

Issa	Melancon	Saxton
Istook	Mica	Schiff
Jackson (IL)	Michaud	Schwartz (PA)
Jackson-Lee	Miller (FL)	Schwartz (MI)
(TX)	Miller (MI)	Scott (VA)
Jenkins	Miller (NC)	Sensenbrenner
Jindal	Miller, George	Serrano
Johnson, E. B.	Mollohan	Sessions
Johnson, Sam	Moore (KS)	Sherman
Jones (NC)	Moore (WI)	Sherwood
Kanjorski	Moran (KS)	Shimkus
Kaptur	Moran (VA)	Shuster
Keller	Murtha	Simpson
Kelly	Musgrave	Skelton
Kennedy (MN)	Myrick	Smith (NJ)
Kennedy (RI)	Napolitano	Smith (TX)
Kildee	Ney	Smith (WA)
Kilpatrick (MI)	Norwood	Snyder
Kind	Nussle	Sodrel
King (IA)	Oberstar	Solis
Kingston	Obey	Souder
Kirk	Ortiz	Spratt
Kline	Osborne	Stearns
Knollenberg	Otter	Stupak
Kolbe	Owens	Sullivan
Kucinich	Pallone	Sweeney
Kuhl (NY)	Pascarell	Tancredo
Langevin	Pastor	Tanner
Lantos	Payne	Tauscher
Latham	Pence	Taylor (MS)
LaTourette	Peterson (MN)	Terry
Leach	Petri	Thomas
Lee	Pickering	Thompson (CA)
Levin	Pitts	Thompson (MS)
Lewis (CA)	Platts	Thornberry
Lewis (KY)	Poe	Tiahrt
Lipinski	Pombo	Udall (CO)
LoBiondo	Pomeroy	Udall (NM)
Lofgren, Zoe	Porter	Upton
Lucas	Portman	Van Hollen
Lungren, Daniel	Price (GA)	Visclosky
E.	Pryce (OH)	Walden (OR)
Mack	Rahall	Wasserman
Manzullo	Ramstad	Schultz
Marchant	Regula	Waters
Markey	Rehberg	Watson
Matheson	Reichert	Waxman
Matsui	Renzi	Weiner
McCarthy	Reynolds	Weldon (PA)
McCaul (TX)	Rogers (MI)	Westmoreland
McCollum (MN)	Rohrabacher	Wexler
McCotter	Ros-Lehtinen	Whitfield
McCrery	Ross	Wicker
McDermott	Roybal-Allard	Wilson (NM)
McGovern	Royce	Wilson (SC)
McHenry	Ruppersberger	Wolf
McIntyre	Rush	Woolsey
McKinney	Ryun (KS)	Wu
McMorris	Sabo	Wynn
McNulty	Salazar	Young (AK)
Meek (FL)	Sánchez, Linda	Young (FL)
Meeks (NY)	T.	

Simmons	Tiberi	Walsh
Slaughter	Tierney	Wamp
Stark	Towns	Watt
Strickland	Turner	Weldon (FL)
Taylor (NC)	Velázquez	Weller

□ 2042

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, on rolcall No. 150, on H.R. 210, I was in my Congressional District on official business. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea."

Mr. GUTIERREZ. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably absent from this Chamber today. I would like the record to show that, had I been present, I would have voted "yea" on rolcall vote No. 150.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. NUSSLE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on House Concurrent Resolution 95, the conference report on the budget just passed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Iowa?

There was no objection.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Ms. Curtis, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate has passed a concurrent resolution of the following title in which the concurrence of the House is requested.

S. Con. Res. 29. Concurrent resolution providing for a conditional adjournment or recess of the Senate.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. HOYER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of inquiring of the deputy majority whip the schedule for the coming week.

Mr. CANTOR. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. HOYER. I yield to the gentleman from Virginia.

Mr. CANTOR. Mr. Speaker, the House will convene on Tuesday at 2 p.m. for legislative business. We will consider several measures under suspension of the rules. A final list of those bills will be sent to Members' offices by the end of the week. Any votes called on those measures will be rolled until 6:30 p.m.

On Wednesday and Thursday, the House will convene at 10 a.m. for legislative business. We may consider additional legislation under suspension of the rules, as well as two bills under a

rule, H.R. 1185, the Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act, and H.R. 366, the Vocational and Technical Education For the Future Act.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for the information.

Does the gentleman know which day we will consider the vocational education bill?

Mr. CANTOR. We plan to consider the vocational education bill on Wednesday, and the deposit insurance reform bill on Thursday.

Mr. HOYER. Can the gentleman tell me what type of rule is anticipated? Will it be open or modified open rules on these two bills?

Mr. CANTOR. Mr. Speaker, I would defer that decision to the Committee on Rules, but believe they will make a number of amendments in order on each bill.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman for that information.

I note, Mr. Deputy Whip, that the supplemental is not on the announcement, is apparently not on the calendar for next week. Obviously this is a very important bill. It is a very important bill to our country and our troops. We passed this bill some time ago. Can the gentleman tell us when that bill might be before us?

Mr. CANTOR. Mr. Speaker, I tell the gentleman from Maryland, the minority whip, that the conference committee has met a number of times this week and I believe they are narrowing in on agreement. The gentleman from California (Chairman LEWIS) has informed us that his goal is to reach an agreement with the Senate in time to file a conference report on Monday which would allow the House to consider it as early as Wednesday.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for that information. Before we conclude, I would like to ask a couple of questions. I understand the gentleman may not know the answers.

Our side, as you probably know, is very concerned about what transpired this week on the Child Interstate Abortion Notification Act. We are very concerned because three Members on our side offered amendments. Those amendments were pretty straightforward, subject to, obviously, an up-or-down vote. They were allowed by the Committee on Rules. But the report of the Committee on the Judiciary starkly mischaracterized those amendments. As the gentleman knows, a motion has been filed on those. A ruling was not made in order as to whether or not that filing will be in order Tuesday or the next day. We raised this issue at the time of the consideration of the bill. We raised it again, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS), the ranking member on the Committee on the Judiciary raised it.

Has the gentleman had an opportunity to discuss this, and does the gentleman know whether or not there is a possibility or probability that what we believe to be egregious language that was substituted for a

NOT VOTING—119

Ackerman	Delahunt	McKeon
Allen	Meehan	Dicks
Baca	Doggett	Menendez
Baker	Doyle	Millender-
Barrett (SC)	Emanuel	McDonald
Bass	Etheridge	Miller, Gary
Becerra	Everett	Murphy
Berkley	Filner	Nadler
Berman	Flake	Neal (MA)
Bishop (GA)	Ford	Neugebauer
Bishop (NY)	Galleghy	Northup
Boehkert	Gingrey	Nunes
Bonner	Goode	Olver
Boyd	Gordon	Oxley
Brown (OH)	Graves	Paul
Brown (SC)	Hayes	Pearce
Burton (IN)	Hinchev	Pelosi
Butterfield	Honda	Peterson (PA)
Buyer	Inglis (SC)	Price (NC)
Cannon	Israel	Putnam
Capito	Jefferson	Radanovich
Capps	Johnson (CT)	Rangel
Capuano	Johnson (IL)	Reyes
Chandler	Jones (OH)	Rogers (AL)
Clay	King (NY)	Rogers (KY)
Clyburn	LaHood	Rothman
Cooper	Larsen (WA)	Ryan (OH)
Cox	Larson (CT)	Ryan (WI)
Cunningham	Lewis (GA)	Sanchez, Loretta
Davis (FL)	Linder	Sanders
Davis (TN)	Lowey	Schakowsky
Davis, Jo Ann	Lynch	Scott (GA)
Davis, Tom	Maloney	Shadegg
Deal (GA)	Marshall	Shaw
DeFazio	McHugh	Shays

straight definition, which, by the way, the Committee on Rules did correctly, but which the Committee on the Judiciary did not, does the gentleman know whether that is being corrected?

□ 2045

Mr. CANTOR. Mr. Speaker, I tell the gentleman from Maryland that the chairman of the Committee on the Judiciary takes a position that everything was done correctly and in order and is looking forward to a debate on the floor on the resolution late Tuesday afternoon.

Mr. HOYER. Late Tuesday afternoon?

Mr. CANTOR. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, does the gentleman know how late that will be? Of course, votes will not be scheduled until 6:30, so most Members will not be back.

Mr. CANTOR. Mr. Speaker, I think we can work that out, but I believe around 5 or 5:30 the debate will take place.

Mr. HOYER. I appreciate that information, Mr. Speaker. I will certainly notify the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) and the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. SCOTT), one of the sponsors; the gentleman from New York (Mr. NADLER), another one of the sponsors; and the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON-LEE), another one of the sponsors. I regret that we need to go ahead with the issue of the privileges of the House. I will tell the gentleman I do not know that I have seen an amendment as mischaracterized as this amendment was, and I was hopeful that we could simply resolve it. But if that cannot be done, then we will have to have it subject to debate.

I thank the gentleman for his information.

Mr. CANTOR. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. HOYER. I yield to the gentleman from Virginia.

Mr. CANTOR. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding to me. I will tell the gentleman, again, that the chairman feels that all was in order and we will debate the question on the floor Tuesday afternoon.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for that information.

CONGRATULATIONS TO THE HONORABLE ROB PORTMAN

(Mr. CANTOR asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. CANTOR. Mr. Speaker, it is with great pride and a little bit of sorrow that I rise this evening to recognize the fact that this may very well be one of the last nights for one of our very esteemed colleagues to serve on the floor of this great House.

I have gotten to know the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. PORTMAN) over the last several years. I have had the privilege of serving with him on the Committee on Ways and Means and at the Repub-

lican leadership table. I do not believe that there is a finer or more dedicated public servant to serve in this House. There is no question that he has the respect of all of our colleagues on both sides of the aisle for his seriousness of purpose, for his understanding of the issues, and mastery of the legislative process. It will truly be a loss for us in the House, but we are also fortunate as Americans that the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. PORTMAN) will go on to serve this great country and serve our President hopefully in the very near future.

So it is with a bittersweet good-bye that I do say and congratulate the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. PORTMAN).

Mr. EHLERS. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. CANTOR. I yield to the gentleman from Michigan.

Mr. EHLERS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding to me.

I would just like to add my voice, and I know the Chamber is not as full as it should be for this occasion, but I have served in public office for approximately 30 years. I am relatively quiet, but I do a lot of observation. And I have noticed over the years that there are some people who are simply very outstanding by making a lot of noise but not doing much.

The people I prefer, whom I have observed also, are those who do a great deal but do not make a lot of noise about it. And the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. PORTMAN) is in the latter category. I have watched him over the years I have been here. An outstanding person, very hard worker, always behind the scenes, not asking for credit or bragging about what he has done. This is what makes the government work. This is what made the House work. And I want to express my appreciation to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. PORTMAN) and wish him the best of everything in his new position. I am sure he will do equally well in that position and will continue to serve this country well.

Mr. CANTOR. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. FOLEY), another fellow member of the Committee on Ways and Means.

Mr. FOLEY. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding to me.

I too want to commend the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. PORTMAN), particularly his family for willingly sacrificing him both to the service in this Congress as well as the endeavor he is about to undertake.

The President chose wisely. The gentleman from Ohio (Mr. PORTMAN) has been one of the most intellectually honest, sincere members of our committee. He has tackled the tough issues. He has faced them head on. He comes from a very important State. He understands the dynamics of trade. It is good to have a friend at Trade. I am particularly delighted.

But I honestly and sincerely wish him God's blessing as he proceeds to help our Nation, strengthen our economy, deal with our allies, create new

opportunities. We are blessed with the fact that when trade is done mutually and satisfactorily, we open up democracies. We change patterns of behavior. We bring down the walls of communism by opening the hearts, the minds, and the wallets of the oppressed.

So his job is significant, it is important, and he is not only suitable to the task, but he is genuinely one of the best representatives our President could have chosen. And I wish him God speed.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY COMMITTEE ON RULES REGARDING AMENDMENTS TO H.R. 1185, FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE REFORM ACT OF 2005

Mr. SESSIONS. Madam Speaker, the Committee on Rules may meet the week of May 2 to grant a rule which could limit the amendment process for floor consideration of H.R. 1185, the Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act of 2005.

Any Member wishing to offer an amendment should submit 55 copies of the amendment and one copy of a brief explanation of the amendment to the Committee on Rules in room H-312 of the Capitol by noon on Tuesday, May 3, 2005. Members should draft their amendments to the bill as reported by the Committee on Financial Services on April 27, 2005. Members are advised that the report of the Committee on Financial Services is expected to be filed tomorrow, Friday, April 29. And Members are also advised that the text of the reported bill should be available for their review on the Web sites of the Committee on Financial Services and the Committee on Rules by Friday, April 29, 2005.

Members should use the Office of Legislative Counsel to ensure that their amendments are drafted in the most appropriate format and should check with the Office of the Parliamentarian to be certain their amendments comply with the rules of the House.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY COMMITTEE ON RULES REGARDING AMENDMENTS TO H.R. 366, VOCATIONAL AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION FOR THE FUTURE ACT

Mr. SESSIONS. Madam Speaker, the Committee on Rules may meet the week of May 2 to grant a rule which could limit the amendment process for floor consideration of H.R. 366, the Vocational and Technical Education for the Future Act. The Committee on Education and the Workforce ordered the bill reported on May 9 and filed its report with the House on March 17.

Any Member wishing to offer an amendment should submit 55 copies of the amendment and one copy of a brief explanation of the amendment to the Committee on Rules in room H-312 of the Capitol by noon on Tuesday, May 3,