

find ways to get around the law." State officials deny any effort to shortchange disabled students. Rather, many say they were overwhelmed by the new law and could not initially meet some of its more cumbersome reporting provisions.

In some states, like New York, officials said that local and statewide systems did not meet the federal law's demands and that they had not entirely worked out the conflicts. New York officials pledged to correct the problems but also expressed misgivings about the value of report cards for some schools.

Particularly in the city's special education district, said Lori Mei, executive director of the division of accountability for the city's public schools, "you really can't have a cookie-cutter approach." Ms. Mei added, "it may be that we have to have different kinds of outcome measures that are not really tests."

To close the achievement gap, the federal law requires schools to report test scores separately for various groups of students, including African-Americans, Latinos, immigrants and low-income and disabled children.

Schools must show sufficient progress by each of these groups or face steadily tougher consequences that can ultimately include closing.

But states are skirting the law in a range of ways. About a dozen have raised the minimum number of disabled students that must be enrolled before the school has to report on their progress as a separate group. In Maine, school report cards, available on the state's Web site, do not break down test scores for groups like disabled students or report the percentage that took the exams. Nor do they in New Mexico, Colorado or Arkansas, while in Michigan, report cards say only whether particular groups, like disabled students, met targets for proficiency and 95 percent participation in exams.

About 10 states, including Missouri, Utah, Delaware, Colorado and Hawaii, have failed to properly report the scores of disabled children on the special achievement tests and are receiving federal money under "special conditions" obligating them to do so in the future, federal officials say.

Most states are not issuing public report cards on special education schools. Like California, states generally contend that these are not schools, but programs, and thus are exempt from the federal law, an argument largely accepted by officials in Washington. In California, the determination of what is a program and not a school can be made at the local level, but it is often made by states or a consortium of school districts.

As a result, the scores for students attending special education schools are frequently mixed in with the larger pool of scores of disabled students from throughout the districts, making it impossible for parents to get a snapshot of achievement at the institution their children actually attend each day, and for taxpayers to judge their effectiveness.

Dee Alpert, a lawyer who has researched the issue extensively for her newsletter, The Special Education Muckraker, said that parents of children who must attend special education schools, usually those with severe disabilities, must "go through 97 different steps" to get information that is readily available to parents of normal children.

"Being the parent of a kid with a disability is tough enough," said Ms. Alpert, whose son was in special education. "Trying to be an informed involved parent of a kid with a disability is tougher, by far."

But Mitchell Chester, the assistant superintendent for policy and accountability in Ohio, said there were sound reasons for at-

tributing disabled children's performance to their home districts, as Ohio does.

"We think districts have to remain accountable for whether or not those children are served," Dr. Chester said. "So districts can't just make the decisions to farm kids out and wash their hands of their progress."

Officials in Colorado, Maine and New Mexico said they would release the breakdown of scores of disabled students on standardized tests in the coming months. In Colorado, officials said they had just begun reporting scores on the special tests tailored to the disabled, while Delaware said it had been reporting such scores, but not in the way the federal law requires. Both said they were now complying with the requirement.

In Michigan, Ed Roeber, the director for assessment and accountability, said school report cards did not detail performance by particular groups like disabled students because it "would be confusing to people." Michigan grades schools based on 11 indicators, only one of which is test scores for the school as a whole. But reporting on separate groups of students would be "misleading," he said, because test scores were unreliable indicators at that level. "To me, that's a major fault with the No Child Left Behind Act," Mr. Roeber added.

Ms. Brenneise, who is the chairwoman of a special education advisory committee to the San Diego Board of Education, said many schools were reluctant to honestly disclose their record in educating disabled students, believing that these students by definition cannot reach the same academic heights as other students, and thus will always drag down the school as a whole. Aside from discovering that no report card existed for her son's school, she said that she never officially received his test results. Eventually, Ms. Brenneise said, she filed a formal records request and a district employee gave her a slip of paper on which she had written what she said were the son's test scores. Ms. Brenneise is now home schooling her son.

But much sidestepping of the law appears independent of the intellectual disability involved. In Ohio, as in New York, Oregon and many other states, public schools for the deaf and the blind issue no reports on how well their students are performing. Ohio officials acknowledge that deafness and blindness do not typically imply lower intelligence, and said they would release report cards for these schools next year.

In New York, state education officials acknowledged that the city's special education district was not fully reporting on student achievement. Many of the district's schools exclude more than half their students from the state's standardized tests and do not report how they do on the special achievement tests. Nor do they report how many graduate or drop out.

Though Albany issues report cards for many schools, state officials said District 75 preferred to report its performance to the public in a report card of its own design.

"Clearly, it was less than perfect, but I don't think it was intentional," said Martha P. Musser, director of information reporting services for the State Education Department. "New York City never had to deal with these accountability issues for District 75 before." Ms. Musser added that the state had ordered District 75 to improve its public disclosure.

The failure to report leaves parents like Martin Schwartzman of Queens to make decisions in a vacuum. The state recently ordered Mr. Schwartzman's 11-year old son, Robby, who is autistic, to leave the private school he had attended at taxpayer expense since first grade and return to public school, along with 75 classmates.

"How can I get a measure of what's out there when there's so little data available for District 75?" Mr. Schwartzman asked.

Ms. Alpert, the lawyer, contends that the reticence to report school results is too pervasive to be accidental, and said the information being withheld was crucial for parents and advocates.

Several years ago, she represented a boy with attention deficit disorder and learning disabilities whom the city wanted to place in one of the special education district schools. The boy was talented in math, and his parents believed that with extra support, he could earn a Regents diploma at a regular high school, she said.

Using online school report cards that showed its reading scores had fallen 20 percentile points in three years, while math scores stagnated, Ms. Alpert refuted claims that the school offered any "foreseeable benefit" for her client.

"We won the hearing," Ms. Alpert said. Within a year, she added, the cumulative scores disappeared from the city's school report cards.

"That's what score and graduation-dropout information does for parents of kids with disabilities," she said, "and that's why school, district, regional programs and state education officials 'don't want to publish it.'"

LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 2003

Mr. SMITH. Mr. President, I rise today to speak about the need for hate crimes legislation. On May 1, 2003, Senator KENNEDY and I introduced the Local Law Enforcement Enhancement Act, a bill that would add new categories to current hate crimes law, sending a signal that violence of any kind is unacceptable in our society.

On July 16, a 32-year-old man in Austin, TX, went to Oilcan Harry's, a popular gay bar. Four men accompanied the man home when the bar closed, and once there, broke a glass over the victim's head. The four men then ripped off his clothes, beating and kicking him. They also tried choking him with a cord cut from his vacuum cleaner. The assailants allegedly forced the victim to sodomize himself with an object at knifepoint while they used homosexual slurs. After the attackers left, the police were called and the victim taken to a local hospital.

I believe that the Government's first duty is to defend its citizens, to defend them against the harms that come out of hate. The Local Law Enforcement Enhancement Act is a symbol that can become substance. I believe that by passing this legislation and changing current law, we can change hearts and minds as well.

OPENING OF THE NATIONAL MUSEUM OF THE AMERICAN INDIAN

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I want to bring due attention to the Smithsonian Institution's newest museum on the National Mall in Washington. The National Museum of the American Indian celebrates its grand opening tomorrow.

This new museum is a wonderful place, and its collection has been a long time coming. It is a beautiful and suitable home for honoring centuries of American Indian history and their contributions to our Nation today. Never

before has such an American Indian exhibit been done on this scale.

Congress passed the Smithsonian National Museum of the American Indian charter in 1989, but for such a grand endeavor, much planning, coordination, and attention to detail is most appropriate.

All who have collaborated on this project have done so in an effort to promote and celebrate a deeper understanding of the diversity of the American Indian people and to celebrate their rich culture and storied history. The exhibits will include ancient artifacts and will eloquently display the talented work of modern Indian artists in a skillfully assembled setting.

There are numerous tribes in my home State of New Mexico. Those of us who reside in the Southwest are familiar with the tribes and their people, but many are not. While each share many common traditions and customs, it is important to note that each is a distinct entity of individuals who maintain unique identities.

Indian lands in New Mexico are full of fascinating cultures, extraordinary landscapes, captivating ruins, and a broad array of handmade arts and crafts by the Pueblo, Navajo and Apache people who are rightfully proud of their legacies and strong traditions.

Several New Mexicans have been working closely in the project's planning and design. I would like to especially note Santa Fe weaver Ramona Sakiestewa, Donna House of Acalde, and Duane Blue Spruce of Laguna and San Juan pueblos.

Ramona Sakiestewa has been involved with the project development since 1994, collaborating with a team of architects and designers as the lead interior designer for museum. Donna House coordinated much of the landscape, and Duane Blue Spruce served as facilities manager for the entire endeavor. There are others from my home State who had a hand in the museum, but these three deserve special recognition. And as the years go on, New Mexico tribes and pueblos will add to the ongoing exhibits and programs to be offered at the National Museum of the American Indian.

Museum officials spent years consulting with representatives of Indian tribes from throughout the Western hemisphere. Because of their vision and creativity, the museum will forever tell the story of American Indians—their trials, tribulations, triumphs and successes. I laud their countless hours of work and tireless efforts.

I look forward to sharing the pride of the American Indian people as they begin their opening ceremonies with a procession of the Nation's native peoples. I hope all will take time to visit the museum, walk its corridors, and view the priceless treasures that reveal stories filled with the rich history of this diverse group. The museum is a culmination of years of planning and dedication. To those who have worked so hard to make it possible, I give my highest praise for a "job well done."

SUBMITTING CHANGES TO 302(a) ALLOCATIONS

Mr. NICKLES. Mr. President, section 312 of S. Con. Res. 95, the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2005, as given effect by section 14007(b)(2) of Public Law 108-287, permits the Chairman of the Senate Budget Committee to make adjustments to the appropriate allocations and other budgetary levels when certain conditions relating to wildland fire suppression are met.

These conditions having been met, I ask unanimous consent to have a table printed in the RECORD which reflects the revised 302(a) allocations to the Senate Appropriations Committee. The revised allocations for discretionary budget authority and outlays are the appropriate levels to be used for enforcement during consideration of the fiscal year 2005 appropriations bills.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

ADJUSTMENTS TO FY 2005 302(a) ALLOCATIONS TO THE SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE FOR WILDLAND FIRE SUPPRESSION

(\$ in millions)				
Category		Initial allocation	Adjustment	New allocation
Discretionary	BA	821,419	500	821,919
	OT	905,328	250	905,578
Mandatory	BA	460,008	460,008
	OT	445,525	445,525

APOLOGY TO THE BOSTON GLOBE

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. President, last week, on the floor of the U.S. Senate, I spoke about the forged documents that have recently surfaced regarding the National Guard service of President George W. Bush. In that speech, I linked the Boston Globe with CBS News and described both organizations as having been duped by the forgery.

I was in error as far as the Boston Globe is concerned. Not only were they not duped by the forgery, they have been diligent in reporting the discrepancies in the documents. Accordingly, I apologize to the staff at the Globe for my misstatement.

The responsibility for the error is entirely my own. I relied on stories I heard or read which linked the Globe to CBS on this topic. I did not have my staff check the details before I made my speech. I should have known better, and will do all I can to make sure I do not repeat such an error in the future.

ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE

Mr. FEINGOLD. Mr. President, I would like to call attention to a disease that currently affects the memories and functioning capacity of almost five million Americans, and that is Alzheimer's disease.

In the next 50 years, it is estimated that over 16 million Americans will be diagnosed with Alzheimer's. I believe that we need to do more to understand

this disease so that we can bring about effective treatments and preventive measures, and so that we can provide relief to those who care for individuals with Alzheimer's.

Alzheimer's not only affects our Nation's physical health, it also negatively impacts family resources and our country's overall economic health. According to the Alzheimer's Association, our country spends over \$100 billion annually in direct and indirect costs on caring for those with Alzheimer's.

As our population ages, more and more Americans will suffer from this terrible disease. It is urgent that we bring more resources to bear to find effective treatments and, most of all, to find a cure. In recent years, medical researchers have made critical strides in Alzheimer's research. I believe that we need to continue to make biomedical research into Alzheimer's a national priority, and because of this I support the efforts of Senators MIKULSKI and BOND to provide \$1.4 billion in Federal funding for Alzheimer's research. I have long advocated for more resources both for research into Alzheimer's and to help those who care for loved ones afflicted with the disease. I support S. 2533, the Ronald Reagan Alzheimer's Breakthrough Act, not only because it provides those resources, but because it provides hope to families that someday we will bring an end to this debilitating disease.

The research currently being conducted at the National Institutes of Health holds much promise for identifying potential treatments and eventually, I hope, a cure for Alzheimer's. However we will not make the progress necessary to truly make breakthroughs with Alzheimer's unless we provide sufficient Federal funding for the research underway at NIH.

As a strong proponent of fiscal discipline, I understand the current constraints on the Federal budget. However, I believe that providing resources to increase our Federal investment in Alzheimer's research and to offer caregiver support are critical budget priorities. It is my sincere hope that Congress passes the Ronald Reagan Alzheimer's Breakthrough Act before this session of Congress is over.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

NORTH DAKOTA STOCKMEN'S ASSOCIATION

• Mr. DORGAN. Mr. President, later this month, the North Dakota Stockmen's Association will hold its Diamond Anniversary Convention in Medora, ND. I would like to recognize this organization, which has served our State's ranchers and cattle producers for the past 75 years.

On June 6, 1929, a group of cattle producers gathered in Watford City, ND. The men all grazed cattle on the Fort Berthold Indian Reservation and they