

slaves, and campaigned for Ulysses S. Grant in the Presidential election in 1868. Sojourner was a woman of great passion and determination who was spiritually motivated to preach and teach in ways that have had a profound and lasting imprint on American history.

I am proud and the people of my State are proud to claim this legendary leader. In September of 1999, Michigan honored Sojourner Truth with the dedication of the Sojourner Truth Memorial Monument, which was unveiled in Battle Creek, MI.

The contributions of Sojourner Truth, who helped lead our country out of the dark days of slavery, are indelibly etched in the chronicle of not only the history of this Nation, but are viewed with distinction and admiration throughout the world. In 1851, Sojourner delivered her famous "Ain't I a Woman?" speech at the Women's Convention in Akron, OH. She spoke from her heart about the most troubling issues of her time. Her words on that day in Ohio are a testament to Sojourner Truth's convictions and are a part of the great legacy she left for us all.

In closing, I must take a moment to pay special tribute to Dr. C. Delores Tucker, who has been the chief crusader in the movement to add Sojourner Truth to the Women's Suffrage group portrait monument. Dr. Tucker, President of the Bethune-Dubois Institute and Chair of the National Congress of Black Women, is a woman of strong conviction and is unyielding in her pursuits for justice and fairness. Because of her diligence and commitment, constructive efforts are now on the way to ensuring that Sojourner Truth will be shown in her rightful place, in our Capitol Rotunda. I must also commend the National Council of Women's Organizations for their active support of this legislation.

I ask unanimous consent that the text of S. 2600, including cosponsors, be inserted in the RECORD at the end of my remarks, following Truth's "Ain't I a Woman" speech.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

AIN'T I A WOMAN

(By Sojourner Truth)

Well, children, where there is so much racket there must be something out of kilter. I think that 'twixt the negroes of the South and the women at the North, all talking about rights, the white men will be in a fix pretty soon. But what's all this here talking about?

That man over there says women need to be helped into carriages, and lifted over ditches and to have the best place everywhere. Nobody ever helps me into carriages, or over mud puddles, or gets me any best place!

And Ain't I a Woman?

Look at me! Look at my arm! I have ploughed, and planted, and gathered into barns, and no man could head me!

And Ain't I a Woman?

I could work as much and eat as much as a man—when I could get it—and bear the lash as well!

And Ain't I a Woman?

I have borne five children and seen most all sold off to slavery, and when I cried out with a mother's grief, none but Jesus heard me.

And Ain't I a Woman?

Then they talk about this thing in the head; what's this they call it? (member of the audience whispers 'intellect') That's it, honey.

What's that got to do with women's rights or negroes' rights? If my cup won't hold but a pint, and your holds a quart, wouldn't you be mean not to let me have my little half measure full?

Then that little man in black there, he says women can't have as much rights as men, cause Christ wasn't a woman?

Where did your Christ come from? Where did your Christ come from? From God and a woman! Man had nothing to do with Him.

If the first woman God ever made was strong enough to turn the world upside down all alone, these women together ought to be able to turn it back, and get it right side up again! And now they is asking to do it, the men better let them.

Obliged to you for hearing me, and now old Sojourner ain't got nothing more to say.

S. 2600

Mrs. CLINTON (for herself, Mr. LEVIN, Mr. DODD, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. SARBANES, Mr. SCHUMER, Ms. LANDRIEU, Mr. SANTORUM, Mr. LIEBERMAN, Mrs. BOXER, Mr. SPECTER, Mr. ALEXANDER, Ms. STABENOW, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mrs. HUTCHISON, Ms. MIKULSKI, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. CORZINE, and Mr. PRYOR) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Rules and Administration

A BILL To direct the Architect of the Capitol to enter into a contract to revise the statue commemorating women's suffrage located in the rotunda of the United States Capitol to include a likeness of Sojourner Truth.

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

#### SECTION 1. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

(1) Sojourner Truth was a towering figure among the founders of the movement for women's suffrage in the United States, and any monument that accurately represents this important development in our Nation's history should include her.

(2) The statue known as the Portrait Monument, originally presented to Congress in 1920 in honor of the passage of the Nineteenth Amendment guaranteeing women the right to vote and presently exhibited in the rotunda of the Capitol, portrays several early suffragists who were Sojourner Truth's contemporaries, but not Sojourner Truth herself, the only African American among the group.

#### SEC. 2. REVISION OF WOMEN'S SUFFRAGE STATUE.

Not later than the final day on which the One Hundred Ninth Congress is in session, the Architect of the Capitol shall enter into a contract to revise the statue commemorating women's suffrage located in the rotunda of the United States Capitol (commonly known as the "Portrait Monument") to include a likeness of Sojourner Truth.

#### CORRECTION FOR THE RECORD

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, on June 23, 2004, I gave a statement on the Feingold amendment concerning the Inspector General of the Coalition Provisional authority. When it appeared in

the RECORD, text was somehow inadvertently added to my statement. My statement should have ended after the sixth full paragraph of column three on page S7266. I can certainly understand how something like this could have happened as we were all working late into the night under very tight deadlines. This isn't the first time something like this has happened and I bet it won't be the last.

Of course, this is no fault of the good people of the Official Reporters of Debates. They do outstanding work and I know this will continue.

The following is how my statement should have appeared:

I rise today to express my strong support for the amendment offered by Senator Feingold.

Senator Feingold's amendment, which I am a proud co-sponsor, would allow the work of the Inspector General of the Coalition Provisional Authority (CPA-IG) to continue its work uninterrupted after the June 30 handover.

This is critical. Congress provided more than \$18 billion to rebuild Iraq, roughly the same amount that we spend on the rest of the world combined. Congress jammed through the Iraq supplemental appropriations bill in an extremely short time, without a sufficient number of hearings, into a very chaotic environment without the usual financial controls.

Recognizing this reality, Congress created a strong, independent Inspector General to help police these funds.

In the months that followed passage of the Iraq Supplemental, we heard numerous reports of waste, fraud and abuse. If anything, this should have send a clear signal to the administration and Congress that we need more—not less—oversight of these funds. It defies logic, then, that the State Department is now proposing to weaken the one entity that Congress specifically tasked with keeping track of these tax dollars.

The State Department's plan could undermine the independence of this Inspector General and disrupt this important work, reducing Congress's ability to account for these funds. It's unlocking the vault to those who want to cheat us.

The State Department also has told the Appropriations Committee that it will have to create 25 new positions to handle the work in Iraq.

Let me get this straight. We want to close down an IG that has about 60 people in place, which are actively conducting audits and rooting out waste fraud and abuse.

After the administration is finished closing down that office, they will turn around and hire 25 new people to do the same work—only through at a lower level office at the State Department.

Why on Earth would we want to do this? At a time when we are hearing weekly reports of abuse by Haliburton and others, why would we want to re-invent the wheel? Why would we downgrade the status of the CPA-IG and undermine its independence? It just does not make any sense.

This is why the amendment offered by Senator from Wisconsin is so important.

This is why I support his amendment.

I thank the chair for allowing me to make this correction.

#### PEER-REVIEW PROSTATE CANCER RESEARCH PROGRAM

Mr. CRAPO. Mr. President, I rise today in support of the Department of

Defense, DOD, Peer-Review Prostate Cancer Research Program.

No one in this Chamber has been spared the tragedy of cancer taking the life of a family member or friend. Many of those lives, in fact, have been taken by prostate cancer, as it is the leading cause of cancer deaths in men. Because baby boomers are entering the risk age for prostate cancer at a rate of one every seven seconds, the 2 million men currently impacted by the disease are increasing at about every 8 percent per year. Still, lives can be saved and finding a cure can be accelerated.

The DOD Peer Review Prostate Cancer Research Program continues to prove to be a success and many new treatments to end the pain and suffering due to prostate cancer are on the horizon. That is why I support a \$100 million earmark for fiscal year 2005.

The return on this investment is well worth it. In recent years, the DOD Breast Cancer Program funded groundbreaking research, such as the discovery of the drug Herceptin, which prolongs the lives of women afflicted with a particularly aggressive type of advanced breast cancer. In fact, Herceptin when used appropriately with chemotherapy increases the chances of survival by about 33 percent.

Those breakthroughs are possible in prostate cancer. This disease needs a Herceptin-like drug, and it is possible with adequate and fair funding for the DOD Peer Review Prostate Cancer Research Program.

This one-of-a-kind research program uses an innovative granting structure that brings scientists and consumers together to make key policy decisions about prostate cancer research. Since its inception eight years ago, this far-reaching, influential program has literally changed the way prostate cancer research is done. It has become a model that other research programs have sought to replicate.

The program has funded two key research grants, the Prostate Cancer Consortium Awards, which could help us unravel prostate cancer's challenge. These grants cover a 3-year period and are designed to produce an intervention—drug, device or procedure—to bring us all closer to finding a cure for this devastating disease.

This program is not only a shining example of streamlining effective research; it is an outstanding model for best business practices. Every penny spent by this program is accounted for at a public meeting every 2 years. Ninety percent of the funds go directly to research. This kind of efficiency and prudence in spending is unheard of in some of our Nation's best businesses and charities let alone other federally funded research programs and agencies.

According to reports of this business conscious program, the DOD Peer Review Prostate Cancer Research Program cannot conduct human clinical trials without the earmark funding of \$100 million for fiscal year 2005. The

program must help treat men, not just mice.

Unfortunately, the language in the Senate Department of Defense Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2005 threatens both the funding and unique structure of the Prostate Cancer Peer Review Research Program. The Senate bill combines all of the congressionally directed cancer research programs into one account and reduces the total funding available to all.

Because the Senate version lumps all the cancer programs into one pot, rather than maintaining separate earmarks, the proposal will have multiple, negative outcomes. As written, the Senate bill dismantles the unique accountability over research and seriously threatens the consumer-scientist driven integrity of the DOD prostate cancer research program. The proposal relieves the government of accountability while forcing cancer groups to compete with one another for reduced funding. And, a particularly dangerous component of the proposal transfers funding to other cancer projects that are not recommended by a scientific peer reviewed process.

As the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2005 goes to conference, I urge my colleagues to support the language passed in the House and preserve this critical program for prostate cancer research.

#### ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

##### HONORING CAPTAIN CHRIS CHRISTOPHER

• Ms. LANDRIEU. Madam President, I speak today to honor the service of CPT Chris Christopher, who is currently the Deputy Director for Future Operations, Communications and Business Initiatives at NMCI. Captain Christopher comes to this position after nearly 20 years of distinguished service to the Navy in the fields of aviation, public affairs and intelligence.

Captain Christopher has spent most of his life in New Orleans, and he has made a wonderful home there with his wife Patti and their two daughters. He received undergraduate and graduate degrees from the University of New Orleans, and his work with NMCI still brings him back to the UNO campus. Though he is now stationed in Virginia, his heart and family remain in New Orleans. As a Louisiana Senator, I like that!

Captain Christopher's work at NMCI has been truly outstanding. The Navy Marine Corps Intranet is a progressive project whose ultimate goal is to transform the Department of the Navy's computer networks. NMCI will revolutionize command and control efficiencies within the Navy, and between the services, to ensure that our forces are operating in unison. This will save American lives, increase combat readiness and effectiveness, and, ultimately,

make us stronger. Under Captain Christopher's leadership, many of these goals have been brought closer to reality.

From June 20-23, Captain Christopher organized the 2004 Navy Marine Corps Intranet Symposium in New Orleans. This event was an opportunity for all parties involved in NMCI to continue their dialogue on reshaping information technology in the Navy and Marine Corps. Captain Christopher made this event happen and I have been informed that it was a complete success.

I once again want to thank my friend, CPT Chris Christopher, for his efforts on America's behalf. Future generations of sailors and Marines will no doubt reap the benefits of his labor and America will be safer as a result. I am proud of Chris's "Louisiana-bred" success, and I wish him well in his future endeavors. •

(At the request of Mr. DASCHLE, the following statement was ordered to be printed in the RECORD.)

##### IN HONOR OF STEPHEN E. COLLINS

• Mr. KERRY. Madam President, I am proud to join family, friends, and colleagues in recognizing and celebrating the incredible life and dedicated work of Steve Collins. His tireless efforts on behalf of our disadvantaged citizens have greatly benefited the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and his fighting spirit is an inspiration to us all.

Steve began his career as an advocate for the importance of human services over 25 years ago, and has continued his passion for helping others ever since. His career history includes work at a mental health center for youth, supervision of the Uphams Corner Health Center in Dorchester, case management at Minuteman Home Care, and direction of the Alliance for the Mentally Ill of Massachusetts. Steve's most sweeping impact, however, has come through his work with the Massachusetts Human Services Coalition, where, after years of participation in the Coalition's efforts, he became Executive Director in 1999.

Steve comes from a family committed to working for the public good. He is the son of a high school teacher and a newspaper editor, and it was his father who from early on taught him to "comfort the afflicted and afflict the comfortable." Taking this motto to heart, Steve has, for many years, been a voice for the voiceless citizens of Massachusetts by monitoring State policy and budgets and advocating for the vital services that aid the disadvantaged. With unwavering devotion, Steve has embraced his role as David to the sometimes Goliath government bureaucracy, and he has continually won tangible results.

Armed with an amazing ability to inject humor into his noble struggles, Steve calls upon governors and legislators to look more critically at the effects of their policies with events like