(e) PROVISION OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE BY THE SECRETARY.—The Secretary may provide technical assistance, directly or through contracts, to—

(1) tribal governments; and

(2) persons or entities that assist tribal governments.

SEC. 7. COMPLIANCE.

(a) AUDIT BY THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL.— (1) IN GENERAL.—The Comptroller General of the United States may audit any financial transaction involving grant funds that is carried out by a block grant recipient or training and technical assistance grant recipient.

(2) SCOPE OF AUTHORITY.—In conducting an audit under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall have access to all books, accounts, records, reports, files, and other papers, things, or property belonging to or in use by the grant recipient that relate to the financial transaction and are necessary to facilitate the audit.

(3) REGULATIONS.—The Comptroller General shall promulgate regulations to carry out this subsection.

(b) ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—After consultation with Indian tribes, the Secretary may promulgate regulations to carry out this subsection that—

(A) ensure that the policies of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), and other laws that further the purposes of that Act (as specified by the regulations), are most effectively implemented in connection with the expenditure of funds under this Act; and

(B) assure the public of undiminished protection of the environment.

(2) SUBSTITUTE MEASURES.—Subject to paragraph (3), the Secretary may provide for the release of funds under this Act for eligible activities to grant recipients that assume all of the responsibilities for environmental review, decisionmaking, and related action under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), and other laws that further the purposes of that Act (as specified by the regulations promulgated under paragraph (1)), that would apply to the Secretary if the Secretary carried out the eligible activities as Federal projects.

(3) RELEASE.

(Å) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall approve the release of funds under paragraph (2) only if, at least 15 days prior to approval, the grant recipient submits to the Secretary a request for release accompanied by a certification that meets the requirements of paragraph (4).

(B) APPROVAL.—The approval by the Secretary of a certification shall be deemed to satisfy the responsibilities of the Secretary under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and the laws specified by the regulations promulgated under paragraph (1), to the extent that those responsibilities relate to the release of funds for projects described in the certification.

(4) CERTIFICATION.—A certification shall—(A) be in a form acceptable to the Sec-

retary; (B) be executed by the tribal government; (C) specify that the grant recipient has fully assumed the responsibilities described in paragraph (2); and

(D) specify that the tribal officer—

(i) assumes the status of a responsible Federal official under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and each law specified by the regulations promulgated under paragraph (1), to the extent that the provisions of that Act or law apply; and

(ii) is authorized to consent, and consents, on behalf of the grant recipient and on behalf of the tribal officer to accept the jurisdiction of the Federal courts for enforcement of the responsibilities of the tribal officer as a responsible Federal official.

SEC. 8. REMEDIES FOR NONCOMPLIANCE.

(a) FAILURE TO COMPLY.—If the Secretary finds, on the record after opportunity for an agency hearing, that a block grant recipient or training and technical assistance grant recipient has failed to comply substantially with any provision of this Act, the Secretary, until satisfied that there is no longer a failure to comply, shall—

(1) terminate payments to the grant recipient;

(2) reduce payments to the grant recipient by an amount equal to the amount of payments that were not expended in accordance with this Act;

(3) limit the availability of payments under this Act to programs, projects, or activities not affected by the failure to comply; or

(4) refer the matter to the Attorney General with a recommendation that the Attorney General bring an appropriate civil action.

(b) ACTION BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL.— After a referral by the Secretary under subsection (a)(4), the Attorney General may bring a civil action in United States district court for appropriate relief (including mandatory relief, injunctive relief, and recovery of the amount of the assistance provided under this Act that was not expended in accordance with this Act).

SEC. 9. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.

(a) ANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 180 days after the end of each fiscal year in which assistance under this Act is provided, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report that includes—

(1) a description of the progress made in accomplishing the objectives of this Act;

(2) a summary of the use of funds under this Act during the preceding fiscal year; and

(3) an evaluation of the status of telephone, Internet, and personal computer penetration rates, by type of technology, among Indian households throughout Indian country on a tribe-by-tribe basis.

(b) REPORTS TO SECRETARY.—The Secretary may require grant recipients under this Act to submit reports and other information necessary for the Secretary to prepare the report under subsection (a).

SEC. 10. CONSULTATION.

In carrying out this Act, the Secretary shall consult with other Federal agencies administering Federal grant programs.

SEC. 11. HISTORIC PRESERVATION REQUIRE-MENTS.

A telecommunications project funded under this Act shall comply with the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.).

SEC. 12. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this Act—

(1) \$20,000,000 for fiscal year 2005; and
(2) such sums as are necessary for each subsequent fiscal year.

(b) AVAILABILITY.—Funds made available under subsection (a) shall remain available until expended.

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 349—RECOG-NIZING AND HONORING MAY 17, 2004, AS THE 50TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE SUPREME COURT DECI-SION IN BROWN V. BOARD OF EDUCATION OF TOPEKA

Mr. KENNEDY (for himself, Mr. LEAHY, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. FEINGOLD, Mr. CARPER, and Mr. BIDEN) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary:

S. RES. 349

Whereas May 17, 2004, marks the 50th anniversary of the Supreme Court decision in the case of Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka, 347 U.S. 483 (1954);

Whereas in the 1896 case of Plessy v. Ferguson, 163 U.S. 537 (1896), the Supreme Court upheld the doctrine of "separate but equal", which allowed the continued segregation of common carriers, and, by extension, of public schools, in the United States based on race;

Whereas racial segregation and the doctrine of "separate but equal" resulted in separate schools, housing, and public accommodations that were inferior and unequal for African-Americans and many other minorities, severely limited the educational opportunities of generations of racial minorities, negatively impacted the lives of the people of the United States, and inflicted severe harm on American society;

Whereas in 1945, Mexican-American students in California successfully challenged the constitutionality of their segregation on the basis of national origin in Westminster School District of Orange County v. Mendez (161 F.2d 774 (9th Cir. 1947));

Whereas in 1951, Oliver Brown, on behalf of his daughter Linda Brown, an African-American third grader, filed suit against the Board of Education of Topeka after Linda was denied admission to an all-white public school in Topeka, Kansas;

Whereas in 1952, the Supreme Court combined Oliver Brown's case (Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka, 98 F. Supp. 797 (D. Kan. 1951)) with similar cases from Delaware (Gebhart v. Belton, 91 A.2d 137 (Del. 1952)), South Carolina (Briggs v. Elliott, 98 F. Supp. 529 (E.D.S.C. 1951)), and Virginia (Davis v. County School Board of Prince Edward County, 103 F. Supp. 337 (E.D. Va. 1952)) challenging racial segregation in education and determined that the constitutionality of segregation in public schools in the District of Columbia would be considered separately in Bolling v. Sharpe, 347 U.S. 497 (1954);

Whereas the students in these cases argued that the inequality caused by the segregation of public schools was a violation of their right to equal protection under the law;

Whereas on May 17, 1954, in Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka, the Supreme Court overturned the decision of Plessy v. Ferguson, concluding that "in the field of public education, the doctrine of 'separate but equal' has no place" and, on that same date, in Bolling v. Sharpe, held that the doctrine of "separate but equal" also violated the fifth amendment to the Constitution; and

Whereas the decision in Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka is of national importance and profoundly affected all people of the United States by outlawing racial segregation in education and providing a foundation on which to build greater equality: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) recognizes and honors May 17, 2004, as the 50th anniversary of the Supreme Court

decision in Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka;

(2) encourages all people of the United States to recognize the importance of the Supreme Court decision in Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka; and

(3) acknowledges the need for the Nation to recommit to the goals and purposes of this landmark decision to finally realize the dream of equal educational opportunity for all children of the United States.

SENATE RESOLUTION 350—TO AU-THORIZE THE PRODUCTION OF RECORDS BY THE PERMANENT SUBCOMMITTEE ON INVESTIGA-TIONS OF THE COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

Mr. FRIST (for himself and Mr. DASCHLE) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 350

Whereas, the Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations of the Committee on Governmental Affairs has been conducting an investigation into the credit counseling industry:

Whereas, the Subcommittee has received a number of requests from law enforcement and regulatory officials and agencies for access to records of the Subcommittee's investigation;

Whereas, by the privileges of the Senate of the United States and Rule XI of the Standing Rules of the Senate, no evidence under the control or in the possession of the Senate can, by administrative or judicial process, be taken from such control or possession but by permission of the Senate;

Whereas, when it appears that evidence under the control or in the possession of the Senate is needed for the promotion of justice, the Senate will take such action as will promote the ends of justice consistent with the privileges of the Senate: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Chairman and Ranking Minority Member of the Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations of the Committee on Governmental Affairs, acting jointly, are authorized to provide to law enforcement and regulatory entities and officials records of the Subcommittee's investigation into the credit counseling industry.

SENATE RESOLUTION 351—CON-GRATULATING CHARTER SCHOOLS AND THEIR STUDENTS, PARENTS, TEACHERS, AND AD-MINISTRATORS ACROSS THE UNITED STATES FOR THEIR ON-GOING CONTRIBUTIONS TO EDU-CATION, AND FOR OTHER PUR-POSES

Mr. GREGG (for himself, Mr. LIEBERMAN, Mr. FRIST, Mr. CARPER, Mrs. DOLE, Mr. SUNUNU, Mr. ALEX-ANDER, Mr. DOMENICI, Mr. CRAIG, Mr. COLEMAN, Ms. LANDRIEU, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. DEWINE, and Mr. BROWNBACK) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 351

Whereas charter schools deliver high-quality education and challenge our students to reach their potential;

Whereas charter schools provide thousands of families with diverse and innovative educational options for their children;

Whereas charter schools are public schools authorized by a designated public entity that are responding to the needs of our communities, families, and students and promoting the principles of quality, choice, and innovation;

Whereas in exchange for the flexibility and autonomy given to charter schools, they are held accountable by their sponsors for improving student achievement and for their financial and other operations;

Whereas 41 States, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico have passed laws authorizing charter schools;

Whereas nearly 3,000 charter schools are now operating in 37 States, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico and serving 750,000 students;

Whereas over the last 10 years, Congress has provided more than \$1,000,000,000 in support to the charter school movement through facilities financing assistance and grants for planning, startup, implementation, and dissemination;

Whereas charter schools improve their students' achievement and stimulate improvement in traditional public schools;

Whereas charter schools must meet the student achievement accountability requirements under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 in the same manner as traditional public schools, and often set higher and additional individual goals to ensure that they are of high quality and truly accountable to the public;

Whereas charter schools give parents new freedom to choose their public school, routinely measure parental satisfaction levels, and must prove their ongoing success to parents, policymakers, and their communities;

Whereas nearly 40 percent of charter schools report having a waiting list, and the total number of students on all such waiting lists is enough to fill over 1,000 average-sized charter schools;

Whereas charter schools nationwide serve a higher percentage of low-income and minority students than the traditional public system;

Whereas charter schools have enjoyed broad bipartisan support from the Administration, Congress, State Governors and legislatures, educators, and parents across the United States; and

Whereas the fifth annual National Charter Schools Week, to be held May 3 to 7, 2004, is an event sponsored by charter schools and grassroots charter school organizations across the United States to recognize the significant impact, achievements, and innovations of charter schools: Now, therefore, be it—

Resolved, That—

(1) the Senate acknowledges and commends charter schools and their students, parents, teachers, and administrators across the United States for their ongoing contributions to education and improving and strengthening our public school system;

(2) the Senate supports the fifth annual National Charter Schools Week; and

(3) it is the sense of the Senate that the President should issue a proclamation calling on the people of the United States to conduct appropriate programs, ceremonies, and activities to demonstrate support for charter schools during this weeklong celebration in communities throughout the United States. SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLU-TION 103—HONORING THE CON-TRIBUTION OF THE WOMEN, SYMBOLIZED BY "ROSIE THE RIVETER", WHO SERVED ON THE HOMEFRONT DURING WORLD WAR II, AND FOR OTHER PUR-POSES

Ms. MURKOWSKI (for herself, Mrs. BOXER, Ms. CANTWELL, Mrs. CLINTON, Ms. COLLINS, Mrs. DOLE, Mrs. FEIN-STEIN, Mrs. HUTCHISON, Ms. LANDRIEU, Mrs. LINCOLN, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mrs. MUR-RAY, Ms. SNOWE, and Ms. STABENOW) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions:

S. CON. RES. 103

Whereas during World War II, 6,000,000 women stepped forward to work in homefront industries to produce the ships, planes, tanks, trucks, guns, and ammunition that were crucial to achieving an Allied victory:

Whereas women worked in homefront industries as welders, riveters, engineers, designers, and managers, and held other positions that had traditionally been held by men;

Whereas these women demonstrated great skill and dedication in the difficult and often dangerous jobs they held, which enabled them to produce urgently needed military equipment at recordbreaking speeds;

Whereas the need for labor in homefront industries during World War II opened new employment opportunities for women from all walks of life and dramatically increased gender and racial integration in the workplace;

Whereas the service of women on the homefront during World War II marked an unprecedented entry of women into jobs that had traditionally been held by men and created a lasting legacy of the ability of women to succeed in those jobs;

Whereas these women devoted their hearts and souls to their work to assure safety and success for their husbands, sons, and other loved ones on the battle front;

Whereas the needs of working mothers resulted in the creation of child care programs, leading to the lasting legacy of public acceptance of early child development and care outside the home;

Whereas the needs of women on the homefront led to employer-sponsored prepaid and preventative health care never before seen in the United States; and

Whereas in 2000, Congress recognized the significance to the Nation of the industrial achievements on the homefront during World War II and the legacy of the women who worked in those industries through the establishment of the Rosie the Riveter World War II Home Front National Historical Park in Richmond, California, as a unit of the National Park System: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring), That Congress—

(1) honors the extraordinary contributions of the women whose dedicated service on the homefront during World War II was instrumental in achieving an Allied victory;

(2) recognizes the lasting legacy of equal employment opportunity and support for child care and health care that developed during the "Rosie the Riveter" era; and

(3) calls on the people of the United States to take the opportunity to study, reflect on, and celebrate the stories and accomplishments of women who served the Nation as "Rosies" during World War II.