

S.J. RES. 27

Whereas June 6, 2004, marks the 60th anniversary of D-Day, the first day of the Allied landing at Normandy during World War II by American, British, and Canadian troops;

Whereas the D-Day landing, known as Operation Overlord, was the most extensive amphibious operation ever to occur, involving on the first day of the operation 5,000 naval vessels, more than 11,000 sorties by Allied aircraft, and 153,000 soldiers, sailors, and airmen of the Allied Expeditionary Force;

Whereas the bravery and sacrifices of the Allied troops at 5 separate Normandy beaches and numerous paratrooper and glider landing zones began what Allied Supreme Commander Dwight D. Eisenhower called a "Crusade in Europe" to end Nazi tyranny and restore freedom and human dignity to millions of people;

Whereas that great assault by sea and air marked the beginning of the end of Hitler's ambition for world domination;

Whereas American troops suffered over 6,500 casualties on D-Day; and

Whereas the people of the United States should honor the valor and sacrifices of their fellow countrymen, both living and dead, who fought that day for liberty and the cause of freedom in Europe: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That Congress—

(1) recognizes the 60th anniversary of the Allied landing at Normandy during World War II; and

(2) requests the President to issue a proclamation calling on the people of the United States to observe the anniversary with appropriate ceremonies and programs to honor the sacrifices of their fellow countrymen to liberate Europe.

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 302—EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF THE SENATE THAT THE UNITED STATES SHOULD NOT SUPPORT THE FEBRUARY 20, 2004, ELECTIONS IN IRAN AND THAT THE UNITED STATES SHOULD SEEK A GENUINE DEMOCRATIC GOVERNMENT IN IRAN THAT WILL RESTORE FREEDOM TO THE IRANIAN PEOPLE AND WILL ABANDON TERRORISM

Mr. BROWNBACK (for himself, Mr. LEAHY, Mr. DEWINE, Mr. MCCAIN, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. BAYH, Mr. KYL, Mr. INHOFE, Mr. COLEMAN, Ms. LANDRIEU, and Mr. CHAMBLISS) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations:

S. RES. 302

Whereas there is a long history of mutual affection, appreciation, and respect between the people of the United States and the people of Iran, including the incalculable efforts by the United States in providing humanitarian, financial, and technological assistance to help the people of Iran;

Whereas the people of Iran have shown support for decency and freedom, and solidarity with the United States, including the demonstration of such support through candlelight vigils attended by the youth of Iran in the wake of the September 11, 2001, attacks upon the United States;

Whereas the Council of Guardians is a 12-member unelected body, composed of the most extreme anti-American, anti-democratic clerics, that has arbitrarily disqualified thousands of candidates, including sitting Members of the Parliament of Iran and members of the reformist movement;

Whereas the elections scheduled to be held on February 20, 2004, in Iran are fatally flawed;

Whereas the Council of Guardians has barred candidates solely for failing to demonstrate blind loyalty to Supreme Leader Ayatollah Khamenei;

Whereas the brave efforts of the people of Iran to promote greater democracy and respect for human rights are being thwarted by the actions of the Council of Guardians;

Whereas the blatant interference of the Council of Guardians in the electoral process ensures that the elections scheduled for February 20, 2004, will be neither free nor fair; and

Whereas the circumstances in Iran clearly demonstrate that authentic pro-democratic reform within the regime of Iran is not possible: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of the Senate that—

(1) the United States should not legitimize or support the elections in Iran scheduled to take place on February 20, 2004, as such elections stifle the growth of the genuine democratic forces in Iran and do not serve the national security interest of the United States;

(2) the support provided by the United States to Iran should be provided to the people of Iran, and not to any political figure who supports the preservation of the current regime; and

(3) the policy of the United States should be to seek a genuine democratic government in Iran that will restore freedom to the people of Iran, will abandon terrorism, will protect human rights, and will live in peace and security with the international community.

SENATE RESOLUTION 303—COMMENDING THE CARROLL COLLEGE FIGHTING SAINTS FOOTBALL TEAM FOR WINNING THE 2003 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS (NAIA) NATIONAL FOOTBALL CHAMPIONSHIP GAME

Mr. BURNS (for himself and Mr. BAUCUS) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 303

Whereas the Carroll College Fighting Saints football team won the 2003 NAIA national championship game and its second straight national championship by defeating the Northwestern Oklahoma State University Rangers by a score of 41 to 28 at the Jim Carroll Stadium in Savannah, Tennessee, on December 20, 2003;

Whereas the Fighting Saints won 15 straight games, going undefeated in the 2003 regular season to win the Frontier Conference Championship and progressing through 4 rounds of playoffs;

Whereas head coach Mike Van Diest led the Fighting Saints to their second straight championship in his fifth season as head coach and was 1 of 5 coaches to receive the American Football Coaches Association Coach of the Year award;

Whereas Fighting Saints quarterback Tyler Emmert was named NAIA Player of the Year and offensive MVP for the championship game;

Whereas wide receiver Mark Gallik was named NAIA Football.net Offensive Player of the Year;

Whereas both Emmert and Gallik were named to the NAIA First Team All-American;

Whereas 2 players were named to the NAIA Second Team All-American—Spencer Schmitz and Marcus Atkinson—and 4 players received NAIA Honorable Mention All-American honors—Regan Mack, Rhett Crites, Nate Chiovaro, and Brett Bermingham;

Whereas 7 Fighting Saints were named as NAIA All-America Scholar Athletes—Kyle Baker, D.J. Dearcorn, Tyler Emmert, Kevin McCutcheon, Matt Peterson, A.J. Porrini, and Zach Zawacki; and

Whereas the Carroll College community, including the Carroll College Athletic Department, students, administration, board of trustees, faculty, and alumni, the city of Helena, and the entire State of Montana, are to be congratulated for their continuous support of the Carroll College football team: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) commends the Carroll College Fighting Saints football team for winning the 2003 NAIA national championship;

(2) recognizes the achievements of all the players, coaches, support staff, and fans who were instrumental in helping Carroll College during the 2003 season; and

(3) directs the Secretary of the Senate to transmit a copy of this resolution to the president of Carroll College.

SENATE RESOLUTION 304—EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF THE SENATE THAT THE UNITED STATES SHOULD NOT SUPPORT THE FEBRUARY 20, 2004, ELECTIONS IN IRAN AND THAT THE UNITED STATES SHOULD ADVOCATE DEMOCRATIC GOVERNMENT IN IRAN THAT WILL RESTORE FREEDOM TO THE IRANIAN PEOPLE AND WILL ABANDON TERRORISM

Mr. BROWNBACK (for himself, Mr. LEAHY, Mr. BIDEN, and Mr. DASCHLE) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 304

Whereas there is a long history of mutual affection, appreciation, and respect between the people of the United States and the people of Iran, including the incalculable efforts by the United States in providing humanitarian, financial, and technological assistance to help the people of Iran;

Whereas the people of Iran have shown support for decency and freedom, and solidarity with the United States, including the demonstration of such support through candlelight vigils attended by the youth of Iran in the wake of the September 11, 2001, attacks upon the United States;

Whereas the Council of Guardians is a 12-member unelected body, that has arbitrarily disqualified thousands of candidates, including sitting Members of the Parliament of Iran and members of the reformist movement;

Whereas the elections scheduled to be held on February 20, 2004, in Iran are fatally flawed;

Whereas the brave efforts of the people of Iran to promote greater democracy and respect for human rights are being thwarted by the actions of the Council of Guardians;

Whereas the blatant interference of the Council of Guardians in the electoral process

ensures that the elections scheduled for February 20, 2004, will be neither free nor fair; and

Whereas the circumstances in Iran clearly call into serious question whether pro-democratic reform within the regime of Iran is not possible: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of the Senate that—

(1) the United States should not support the elections in Iran scheduled to take place on February 20, 2004, as such elections stifle the growth of the democratic forces in Iran and do not serve the national security interest of the United States;

(2) the support provided by the United States to Iran should be provided to the people of Iran; and

(3) the policy of the United States should be to advocate a democratic government in Iran that will restore freedom to the people of Iran, will abandon terrorism, will protect human rights, and will live in peace and security with the international community.

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 89—EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF THE CONGRESS WITH RESPECT TO THE CONTINUITY OF THE PRESIDENCY

Mr. CORNYN (for himself and Mr. LOTT) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Rules and Administration:

S. CON. RES. 89

Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring), It is the sense of Congress that during the period preceding the end of a term of office in which a President will not be serving a succeeding term—

(1) that President should submit the nominations of individuals to the Senate who are selected by the President-elect for offices that fall within the line of succession;

(2) the Senate should conduct confirmation hearings and a Senate floor vote on the nominations described under paragraph (1), to the extent feasible, between January 3 and January 20 before the Inauguration; and

(3) that President should agree to sign and deliver commissions on January 20 before the Inauguration of all approved nominations, to ensure continuity of Government.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 2558. Mrs. MURRAY (for herself, Ms. COLLINS, Mrs. BOXER, Ms. CANTWELL, Mrs. CLINTON, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. EDWARDS, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Ms. MIKULSKI, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. SCHUMER, Ms. SNOWE, and Mr. STEVENS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 2491 submitted by Mrs. MURRAY (for herself, Ms. COLLINS, Mrs. BOXER, Ms. CANTWELL, Mrs. CLINTON, Mr. COCHRAN, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. EDWARDS, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Ms. MIKULSKI, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. SCHUMER, Ms. SNOWE, and Mr. STEVENS) and intended to be proposed to the bill S. 1072, to authorize funds for Federal-aid highways, highway safety programs, and transit programs, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2559. Mrs. MURRAY (for herself, Ms. COLLINS, Mrs. BOXER, Ms. CANTWELL, Mrs. CLINTON, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. EDWARDS, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Ms. MIKULSKI, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. SCHUMER, Ms. SNOWE, and Mr. STEVENS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to

amendment SA 2492 submitted by Mrs. MURRAY (FOR HERSELF, Ms. COLLINS, Mrs. BOXER, Ms. CANTWELL, Mrs. CLINTON, Mr. COCHRAN, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. EDWARDS, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Ms. MIKULSKI, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. SCHUMER, Ms. SNOWE, AND Mr. STEVENS) AND INTENDED TO BE PROPOSED TO THE BILL S. 1072, SUPRA; WHICH WAS ORDERED TO LIE ON THE TABLE.

SA 2560. Mr. BAUCUS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2561. Mr. BAUCUS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2562. Mr. BAUCUS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2563. Mr. BAUCUS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2564. Mr. SHELBY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2565. Mr. SHELBY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2566. Mr. GRASSLEY (for himself and Mr. BAUCUS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 2549 submitted by Mr. GRASSLEY (for himself and Mr. BAUCUS) and intended to be proposed to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2567. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2568. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2569. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2570. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2571. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2572. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2573. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2574. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2575. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2576. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2577. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2578. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2579. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2580. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2581. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2582. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2583. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2584. Mr. INHOFE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 2315 submitted by Mr. KYL and intended to be proposed to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2585. Mr. INHOFE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 2315 submitted by Mr. KYL and intended to be proposed to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2586. Mr. INHOFE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 2511 submitted by Mr. DASCHLE and intended to be proposed to the amendment SA 2285 proposed by Mr. INHOFE to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2587. Mr. INHOFE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 2382 submitted by Mr. McCain (for himself and Mr. HOLLINGS) and intended to be proposed to the amendment SA 2285 proposed by Mr. INHOFE to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2588. Mr. INHOFE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 2418 submitted by Mr. CARPER and intended to be proposed to the amendment SA 2285 proposed by Mr. INHOFE to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2589. Mr. CONRAD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 2414 submitted by Mr. NICKLES and intended to be proposed to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2590. Mr. ROCKEFELLER (for himself, Mr. BURNS, and Mr. DORGAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2591. Mr. INHOFE proposed an amendment to amendment SA 2388 proposed by Mrs. HUTCHISON (for herself, Mr. KYL, Mr. LEVIN, Mr. GRAHAM of Florida, Mr. McCain, Ms. STABENOW, and Mrs. FEINSTEIN) to the amendment SA 2285 proposed by Mr. INHOFE to the bill S. 1072, supra.

SA 2592. Mr. DAYTON submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2593. Mr. HOLLINGS (for himself and Mr. CARPER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2594. Mr. HOLLINGS (for himself and Mr. CARPER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2595. Mr. HOLLINGS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.