INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Mr. VOINOVICH:

S. 2064. A bill to increase the minimum and maximum rates of basic pay payable to administrative law judges, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Governmental Affairs.

By Mr. JOHNSON (for himself and Mr. McCain):

S. 2065. A bill to restore health care coverage to retired members of the uniformed services, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Ms. SNOWE:

S. 2066. A bill to authorize appropriations to the Secretary of Commerce for the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act for fiscal years 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, and 2008, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

By Mrs. BOXER (for herself and Mrs. FEINSTEIN):

S. 2067. A bill to withdraw the Los Padres National Forest in California from location, entry, and patent under mining laws, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

SUBMISSION OF CONCURRENT AND SENATE RESOLUTIONS

The following concurrent resolutions and Senate resolutions were read, and referred (or acted upon), as indicated:

By Mr. CAMPBELL (for himself, Ms. Murkowski, Mrs. Lincoln, Mrs. Murray, Ms. Landriet, Mr. Biden, Mr. Bunning, Mr. Dorgan, Mr. Johnson, and Mr. Fitzgerald):

S. Res. 298. A resolution designating May 2004 as "National Cystic Fibrosis Awareness Month"; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. CAMPBELL (for himself, Mr. SPECTER, Mr. DEWINE, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. AKAKA, Mr. INHOFE, Mr. ALLEN, and Mr. DORGAN):

S. Res. 299. A resolution recognizing, and supporting efforts to enhance the public awareness of, the social problem of child abuse and neglect; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. GRAHAM of Florida (for himself and Mr. McCAIN):

S. Res. 300. A resolution expressing the sense of the Senate on project earmarking in surface transportation Acts; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

By Mr. SPECTER:

S. Res. 301. A resolution honoring the 30th anniversary of Congressman Murtha's service; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 596

At the request of Mr. ENSIGN, the name of the Senator from Michigan (Ms. STABENOW) was added as a cosponsor of S. 596, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to encourage the investment of foreign earnings within the United States for productive business investments and job creation.

S. 846

At the request of Mr. SMITH, the name of the Senator from Georgia (Mr.

CHAMBLISS) was added as a cosponsor of S. 846, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow a deduction for premiums on mortgage insurance, and for other purposes.

S. 884

At the request of Ms. Landrieu, the name of the Senator from Missouri (Mr. Bond) was added as a cosponsor of S. 884, a bill to amend the Consumer Credit Protection Act to assure meaningful disclosures of the terms of rental-purchase agreements, including disclosures of all costs to consumers under such agreements, to provide certain substantive rights to consumers under such agreements, and for other purposes.

S. 1010

At the request of Mr. Harkin, the names of the Senator from Washington (Mrs. Murray) and the Senator from Louisiana (Ms. Landreu) were added as cosponsors of S. 1010, a bill to enhance and further research into paralysis and to improve rehabilitation and the quality of life for persons living with paralysis and other physical disabilities.

S. 1277

At the request of Mr. BIDEN, the name of the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. CORZINE) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1277, a bill to amend title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 to provide standards and procedures to guide both State and local law enforcement agencies and law enforcement officers during internal investigations, interrogation of law enforcement officers, and administrative disciplinary hearings, to ensure accountability of law enforcement officers, to guarantee the due process rights of law enforcement discipline, accountability, and due process laws.

At the request of Mr. Graham of South Carolina, the name of the Senator from Georgia (Mr. Chambliss) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1277, supra.

S. 1298

At the request of Mr. AKAKA, the name of the Senator from California (Mrs. FEINSTEIN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1298, a bill to amend the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 to ensure the humane slaughter of non-ambulatory livestock, and for other purposes.

S. 1630

At the request of Mrs. CLINTON, the names of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. DODD) and the Senator from Michigan (Mr. LEVIN) were added as cosponsors of S. 1630, a bill to facilitate nationwide availability of 2–1–1 telephone service for information and referral services, and for other purposes.

S. 1703

At the request of Mr. SMITH, the names of the Senator from Arkansas (Mrs. LINCOLN) and the Senator from Indiana (Mr. BAYH) were added as cosponsors of S. 1703, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide a credit against income tax for expenditures for the maintenance of rail-

road tracks of Class II and Class III railroads.

S. 2016

At the request of Mrs. Feinstein, the name of the Senator from Louisiana (Ms. Landrieu) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2016, a bill to provide for infant crib safety, and for other purposes.

S. 2035

At the request of Mr. Graham of South Carolina, the name of the Senator from Minnesota (Mr. Coleman) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2035, a bill to amend title 10, United States Code, to revise the age and service requirements for eligibility to receive retired pay for non-regular service; to expand certain authorities to provide health care benefits for Reserves and their families, and for other purposes.

S. 2056

At the request of Mr. Brownback, the names of the Senator from Alaska (Mr. Stevens), the Senator from Mississippi (Mr. Lott), the Senator from Illinois (Mr. Fitzgerald) and the Senator from Oklahoma (Mr. Inhofe) were added as cosponsors of S. 2056, a bill to increase the penalties for violations by television and radio broadcasters of the prohibitions against transmission of obscene, indecent, and profane language.

S. CON. RES. 8

At the request of Ms. Collins, the name of the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. Kerry) was added as a cosponsor of S. Con. Res. 8, a concurrent resolution designating the second week in May each year as "National Visiting Nurse Association Week".

S. CON. RES. 81

At the request of Mrs. Feinstein, the name of the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. Johnson) was added as a cosponsor of S. Con. Res. 81, a concurrent resolution expressing the deep concern of Congress regarding the failure of the Islamic Republic of Iran to adhere to its obligations under a safeguards agreement with the International Atomic Energy Agency and the engagement by Iran in activities that appear to be designed to develop nuclear weapons.

S. RES. 294

At the request of Mr. McCain, the name of the Senator from Ohio (Mr. DEWINE) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 294, a resolution designating January 2004 as "National Mentoring Month".

AMENDMENT NO. 2286

At the request of Mrs. CLINTON, the name of the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. CORZINE) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 2286 proposed to S. 1072, a bill to authorize funds for Federal-aid highways, highway safety programs, and transit programs, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 2296

At the request of Mr. FITZGERALD, the name of the Senator from Hawaii (Mr. AKAKA) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 2296 intended to be

proposed to S. 1072, a bill to authorize funds for Federal-aid highways, highway safety programs, and transit programs, and for other purposes.

STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

By Ms. SNOWE:

S. 2066. A bill to authorize appropriations to the Secretary of Commerce for the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act for fiscal years 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, and 2008, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

Ms. SNOWE. Mr. President, I rise today to introduce the Fishery Conservation and Management Act Amendments of 2004. This bill would reauthorize the Magnuson-Stevens Fisheries Conservation and Management Act, as amended by the 1996 Sustainable Fisheries Act, and update fisheries policy to better satisfy the ever-changing needs of our Nation's fish stocks and fishing communities.

In 1976, the year in which the Magnuson-Stevens Act was written, our commercial fisheries were in grave danger of being exploited beyond their ability to recover. Passage of the Act has provided a more balanced approach in fulfilling our economic needs by also promoting responsible conservation and stewardship of our resources. Even as it sought to provide better management for the Nation's resources as a whole, this law recognized that our fisheries have vastly different regional problems. The result was the creation of a regional management council in each of the country's eight major marine fisheries. These councils, with substantial input from the local community, are responsible for creating the management plans by which their fish stocks are regulated by the National Marine Fisheries Services. This structure has been vital in allowing the active stakeholders in each region to provide meaningful input to the management process.

Since the enactment of this legislation, domestic offshore catches have increased so dramatically that our fisheries now add billions of dollars to the Nation's economy every year, according to the National Marine Fisheries Service. Because of this increase in fishing harvests and the pressure to fish more than could be sustained, in 1996 Congress passed the Sustainable Fisheries Act to amend and enhance the Magnuson-Stevens Act. The new amendments included new guidelines for conservation of both targeted fisheries and bycatch, or incidentallycaught fish and other marine life. The Act required that overfished stocks be rebuilt within a 10 year timeframe. In addition, the provisions added a requirement for the protection of all essential fish habitat for each fishery.

The overarching goal of the Sustainable Fisheries Act was to ensure prosperity for all United States fisheries by ending overfishing and rebuilding depleted stocks. This goal, and the means for achieving it, are as important today as they were in 1996. I supported the Act, because I saw in it great potential for sustaining fishing communities and the stocks upon which they depend.

In the nearly 8 years since we last renewed and reauthorized the Magnuson-Stevens Act, however, we have witnessed both prosperity and degradation in different fisheries affected by this law. According to the National Marine Fisheries Service's Annual Report in 2003, certain fisheries have thrived; for example, sea scallops on Georges Bank have increased 20-fold from 1994 to 2002. silver hake in the Northeast was declared fully rebuilt in 2002, and recoverv of dozens of other stocks is well underway. The National Marine Fisheries Services' most recent survey of young Georges Bank haddock indicates a population boom with the potential to be the largest ever recorded, putting that fishery well on the road to its recovery goal. Conversely, other fisheries have not fared as well, as demonstrated by the fact that overfishing commenced in 13 U.S. fisheries between 1997 and 2002.

As Chair of the Oceans, Fisheries, and Coast Guard Subcommittee of the Commerce Committee, I have sought answers as to why the Magnuson-Stevens Act has apparently worked well for some fisheries, but not others. Representing a state with scores of fishing communities and thousands of fisheries workers, I understand the great importance of making sure that our federal fisheries laws are working for all of our Nation's fisheries.

In seeking these answers, during the 106th Congress I traveled across the country and held a series of hearings on the Magnuson-Stevens Act. In Washington, D.C. Maine, Louisiana, Alaska, Washington, and Massachusetts, I heard official testimony from over 70 witnesses. Our subcommittee received hundreds of comments, views, and recommendations from federal and state officials, regional council chairmen and members, other fisheries managers, commercial and recreational fishermen, members of the conservation community, and many others interested in fisheries management.

What the subcommittee learned during these hearing—and which continues to be reinforced by more recent fisheries events, comments, and recommendations—is that most of the shortcomings in our federal fisheries policy are products of how the Magnuson-Stevens Act has been interpreted and applied to real-life fisheries problems. While the underpinnings of the Act are sound, it has become clear that implementation of the Act has often been inconsistent with Congressional intent. That is the primary challenge before us today: to clarify how the goals of conservation and management can be achieved for our Nation's fisheries, and ensure effective implementation of the Act.

What we need is a federal fisheries policy that can be interpreted and applied in ways that recognize and respond to the unique conditions facing each individual fishery. Of the hundreds of fisheries occurring around our Nation's coastline, no two are exactly alike. The conservation measures that work in one fishery cannot always be transferred to another. The Magnuson-Stevens act must express enough flexibility to accommodate these variations, so that managers can craft unique, innovative solutions based on the conditions and needs of the fish stocks and fishing communities in question.

I first attempted to address these issues when I introduced S. 2832, the Magnuson-Stevens Reauthorization Act of 2000, as well as bills authorizing national standards for fishing quota systems. During the last several years, the need for these amendments—as well as new amendments to meet evolving fisheries needs—has only intensified. It is this fact that underlies the bill I introduce today, the Fishery Conservation and Management Act Amendments of 2004.

This bill contains several specific measures for enhancing management flexibility. First and foremost, this bill would repeal the 10-year timeline for rebuilding fish stocks and the unnecessarily-rigid measures that stem from it. This provision of the Sustainable Fisheries Act is not based on fish population dynamics, but instead imposes a stringent and arbitrary time-frame inappropriate for the diverse needs of each individual fishery. This bill would replace it with a system that allows a more adaptive approach for determining harvest rates. I am proposing that fishing mortality rates simply be limited to the maximum sustainable yield that a stock can produce in any given year. This fishing rate would not permit overfishing: it would allow stocks to rebuild over time to a level that achieves ecosystem balance.

Another new proposal in this bill would improve managers' ability to fairly distribute access to distantwater fish stocks. As is now occurring in the New England groundfishery, fishermen from different states are unevenly impacted by management measures that treat them as if they are all from the same state. Currently, fishermen who live farther away from healthy fish stocks need to expend their extremely limited number of permitted days-at-sea simply steaming to and from these stocks, while those who live closer to the stocks can spend more of their days-at-sea actually fishing. I am proposing that regional fishery management councils analyze these impacts and, if necessary, take action to eliminate such inequities.

Other key features of this Magnuson-Stevens Act reauthorization would address essential fish habitat and areas of particular concern; authorizations for cooperative research, capacity reduction, and fishing quota systems; and