

Speaker, and Federal programs, it is not how much money you spend that counts; it is how Washington spends the money.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Utah (Mr. MATHESON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. MATHESON addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Mr. DREIER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. DREIER addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. EMANUEL) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. EMANUEL addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. GINGREY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. GINGREY addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from North Dakota (Mr. POMEROY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. POMEROY addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Utah (Mr. BISHOP) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. BISHOP of Utah addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

THE CIRCUMSTANCES SURROUNDING PRESIDENT JEAN-BERTRAND ARISTIDE OF HAITI

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I would like to bring to my colleagues' attention the circumstances surrounding President Jean-Bertrand Aristide of Haiti, whose circumstances are somewhat in doubt tonight. I have spent a fair amount of time calling a number of people to find out whether President Aristide and his wife, Mildred Aristide, are in safe circumstances; and I have this report to make to my colleagues tonight.

We have called the offices of the Assistant Secretary of State, Mr. Noriega; the Secretary of State, Mr. Powell; the Security Council Chief, Ms. Rice; the President of the United States, Mr. Bush; the President of the

Central Republic of Africa; the ambassador to the United States of the Central Republic of Africa; the Secretary of Defense, Mr. Rumsfeld; and the head of the Central Intelligence Agency, Mr. George Tenet.

I was able to reach General Craddock, who works as an assistant to Secretary Rumsfeld, who asked that we send a communication so that they could begin trying to help us determine the whereabouts, and, more importantly, the safety of the circumstances surrounding President Aristide. We sent the following letter, which I include for the RECORD.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, March 10, 2004.

Hon. DONALD RUMSFELD,
c/o General Craddock,
U.S. Secretary of Defense, Washington, DC.

DEAR GENERAL CRADDOCK. This letter is written notification in response to a telephone inquiry on today's date of the location of Haitian President Jean-Bertrand Aristide. This evening the inquiry was conducted by a member of my staff, Bernard Graham, and yourself.

As per your conversation, please advise me as soon as possible as to the whereabouts of President Aristide. My staffer has informed me that you will start to retrieve this information tonight through proper channels.

This matter is of utmost importance to me and I look forward to your timely response.

Sincerely,

JOHN CONYERS, Jr.,
Member of Congress.

In addition, I was able to reach Mr. Brian Newbert, the watch officer at the State Department, who was very cooperative, who was calling Bangui, the capital of the Central Republic of Africa, in an attempt to locate President and Mrs. Aristide. He was not able to do it. There is an 11-hour time difference. But he told me that he would continue this search in the morning.

Now, this problem has arisen because in last week's testimony before a subcommittee of the Committee on International Relations we were told by Assistant Secretary Noriega that it was true that a U.S. aircraft, or an aircraft controlled by the United States, had taken the President and his wife to the Central Republic of Africa. We asked him how were they doing, and he said that he did not know, because the United States Government's responsibility ended with him delivering President Aristide to this francophone country of 3.5 million people in the center of the continent of Africa, and that he had no further responsibility in connection with this.

This was a slightly shocking statement to the people that were in the hearing room, because it would have seemed that we might want to know what was happening to him from that point on.

We have a very sensitive and very serious matter here, and I hope that I will continue to enjoy the cooperation of the various heads of the agencies as we attempt to reach and make contact with President Aristide.

□ 2100

His country was overrun by rebels. He was forced to leave the country. He

left under United States auspices and control, and it seems to me that the most elementary act of courtesy would be for us to make sure that he and his wife, which we pray are alive and in good condition and safe, are that. But it is very disturbing to me to report to my colleagues tonight that not only have I not been able to reach anyone that has been in contact with him, but we do not know anybody that has.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEARCE). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. MCCOTTER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. MCCOTTER addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

WASHINGTON WASTE WATCHERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MARIO DIAZ-BALART) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. MARIO DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Mr. Speaker, as a member of the Washington Waste Watchers, and I just listened to one of my esteemed colleagues from Texas speak about instances of waste in Federal Government and why some of us have such a hard time understanding and believing why it is so easy for our good friends, the Democrats, to constantly ask for massive tax increases while we see the waste that goes on in the Federal Government.

I just would like to read portions of a memo from the Inspector General of the Department of Energy dated March 2003. It is an audit report regarding the transfer of excess personal property from the Nevada test site to the community reuse organization. Mr. Speaker, during the 1990s, as a result of changes in program direction of the Department, the Department of Energy downsized or reconfigured a number of different facilities, including this State of Nevada test site. To mitigate any economic damages or impacts, Congress then authorized the Department to transfer excess personal property and provide aid to these local civic development organizations that are commonly known as CROs.

These transfers, and that is what the memo says, these transfers were based on the express understanding that the property was to be excess to department needs, obviously, and also the memo then further states, despite the realization that the transfers might be made at less than fair market value, the Department was to receive, obviously, the Department was to receive reasonable consideration from these CROs for said personal property.

Mr. Speaker, I want to kind of talk about some of the results, though, of the audit. The audit disclosed that Nevada's personal property transfers

practices, I am quoting, "did not strike an appropriate balance between the efforts to assist community development and the need to assure," and this is the part that I just, again, I insist, when you read things like that, you wonder why the Democrats insist with such passion to raise the taxes on hard-working American taxpayer. Because this says, again, that there was no balance, no appropriate balance between the efforts to assist community development and the need to assure that the Federal taxpayers received reasonable consideration for property transferred to the local CROs. In fact, the audit says, we found that the taxpayers were frequently shortchanged in this process.

Yet, the Democrats want to raise the hard-working American people's taxes to do more of this kind of thing.

The audit continues, it says, In February 2002, a rig was, a drill rig was sold to the local CRO for \$50,000 that is now being offered for sale by an out-of-state equipment broker for \$3.9 million. You better believe the taxpayer was shortchanged and, yet, the Democrats insist on wanting to raise the taxes of the American people. It said that this group transferred hundreds of pieces of equipment, including trucks, office machines and trailers, purchased, by the way, by taxpayers, to the CROs for \$1 per transfer. And this is the part which is even harder to believe, Mr. Speaker.

It said, it provided laboratory equipment to the CRO that was needed at another department site, ultimately causing the Department to spend \$2.5 million to replace the equipment that they had basically given away. Another \$2.5 million to purchase that equipment a second time because it was given away. Nothing happens.

Now, the President is trying to change that, and he is aggressively trying to change that. We are going to have a debate tomorrow in the Committee on the Budget where we are going to try to stop this abuse. We are going to try to cut waste, fraud, and abuse. I hope that our dear friends on the Democratic side this year, for a change, do not propose amendments to raise taxes, to increase spending, but will join us in trying to cut waste, protect the American taxpayer. I do not have great faith, because they have not done so. That is not in their culture and their tradition.

I hope they do so, because the American taxpayer is fed up with waste, fraud, and abuse. They want help in cutting that waste, fraud, and abuse. All of us are going to have a great opportunity tomorrow in the Committee on the Budget in the markup.

I hope our dear friends on the Democratic side will not side with the constant increases of taxes, and will side with us to cut waste, fraud, and abuse, to seriously try to control that part of the budget, not increase taxes, not increase spending, spending more money, more good over bad over good over bad money, but will join us to not raise

taxes as they have always wanted to do, but instead will join us to keep the taxes low, to keep the child credits intact, to keep the death penalty tax from going up. As one of our colleagues said, there at least should be no taxation without respiration. And they will have an opportunity tomorrow.

Mr. Speaker, let us see what they will do. I hope that they will join us in fighting for the taxpayer, not fighting for more waste and more tax increases.

VETERANS HEALTH CARE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. STRICKLAND) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. STRICKLAND. Mr. Speaker, I would like to address my colleagues from Texas and Florida who have just spoken and called themselves the Waste Watchers, and they listed all of these wasteful actions of government, and then they said that Democrats want to raise taxes. I would like to remind them that their party controls the presidency. Their party controls this House. Their party controls the Senate. And the last election in Florida demonstrates that their party controls the Supreme Court. If there is all of this waste, why does not their party get rid of it? Why blame the Democrats for something that their party is responsible for doing? I just point out that the Republican party is in charge and, therefore, the Republican party is responsible for the waste that my colleague detailed before us tonight.

I would like to speak tonight about veterans health care. I attended a Committee on Veterans Affairs meeting today where the Veterans of Foreign Wars spoke before our committee. And those veterans are asking why it is that we are spending billions and billions of dollars to Iraq. \$87 billion the last time we got a request from the President. He is going to come back and ask for probably \$50 billion more following the November election, and yet, we are nickel and diming our veterans.

We have said priority 8 veterans can enroll in the VA health care system. The President actually sent us a budget during this time of war, and in the President's budget, he is asking that for many of our veterans, the cost of a prescription drug be increased from \$7 a prescription to \$15 a prescription. Now, for a veteran that is on a fixed income and may have 6 or 8 or 10 prescriptions a month, that is a heavy, intolerable burden.

The President's budget also asks that there be a user fee imposed upon veterans, a user fee of \$250 per year, just so many of our veterans can participate in the VA health care system. And then we have a request in the President's budget to increase the cost of a clinic visit for our veterans. We are piling burden upon burden upon burden on the backs of our veterans. I simply do not understand why we would do this.

In a time of war, when we are creating new veterans, many disabled, veterans with terrible injuries, veterans who have lost their arms and legs, many have been blinded, terribly disfigured, these are veterans who have newly fought for our country, and we are giving them a VA health care system that is woefully underfunded.

I simply do not understand why the President does not step up to the plate and put his actions behind his rhetoric and say, I am willing to pay whatever it takes to provide adequate health care for the men and women who have fought and suffered for this country. I call upon the President tonight to rethink his priorities. Rather than spending money to send a man to Mars, we ought to be spending money to take care of our veterans.

I have shared this with my colleagues in the Committee on Veterans Affairs. A couple of weeks ago I went to Walter Reed Hospital. I visited a young man from my district who joined the military when he was 17 years of age. On his 19th birthday, while standing guard duty in Baghdad, a truck bomb exploded and removed a large part of one side of his face. This young man who is only 19 years of age was at Walter Reed getting reconstructive surgery on his face. He is just one of thousands, and there probably sadly will be thousands more in the future.

This Congress, this President, those of us of both political parties, should put the needs of our disabled, sick, and needy veterans at the top of our priority list. I call upon all of us, myself included, to make our veterans our number 1 priority.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Michigan (Mrs. MILLER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mrs. MILLER of Michigan addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

REAUTHORIZATION OF THE TRANSPORTATION EQUITY ACT FOR THE 21ST CENTURY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. BURGESS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BURGESS. Mr. Speaker, I rise tonight to discuss the reauthorization of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century.

Mr. Speaker, in regards to transportation, we are indeed at a crossroads in this country. We have the intersection of the demands for creating the type of infrastructure which will facilitate commerce and move our citizenry, and trying to achieve some type of rational spending limits within our Federal budget.

Back home in my area of north Texas, we face a silent crisis. This crisis is unrecognized by residents until