to describe how one U.S. organization, Catholic Relief Services, is making a difference in the lives of such children in the African nation of Benin.

We can all be proud of the work that Catholic Relief Services does in our name around the world. CRS is known for its integrated approach to development, weaving together programs that help poor nations address the challenges of hunger, malnutrition, illiteracy, and poverty. At the same time, CRS promotes community participation, empowerment, and economic opportunity.

In 2001 and 2002, CRS received funding through the United States Department of Agriculture's McGovern-Dole food for education pilot program to begin school feeding programs in six districts in northwestern Benin. The problems facing Benin are daunting. It ranks extremely low on the United Nations human development index, at number 147 out of 162 countries. In the region where CRS is carrying out this program, families engage mainly in farming and fishing, with annual incomes of around \$175. During the long dry season, the food security situation becomes very tight, even by Benin standards. Only about 30 percent of parents send their children to school.

Facing these realities, the CRS-Benin school feeding program began by strengthening or creating parent-teacher associations in order to increase local commitment to education. These PTAs became involved in providing meals to schools, reaching out and encouraging local families to send their children to school, and determining how to meet basic needs regarding the number and quality of teachers. In 61 schools, the CRS-Benin program provided daily school breakfasts and lunches to nearly 9,000 children each day. It also targeted 3,500 female students for take-home rations as an incentive to increase school attendance by girls. Additional rice and soybean oil were awarded to girls who achieved at least an 85 percent attendance rate each quarter. Summer school and other programs were initiated to meet the needs of displaced or otherwise crisisaffected children.

As part of its integrated approach to development, the CRS-Benin program monetized USDA-provided soybeans to fund a number of other critical interventions for these students, including micronutrient supplements to improve student health; hygiene, health and nutrition education for parents and teachers; school latrines and other sanitation infrastructure; training for teachers and school directors in improved teaching and school management methods; and community awareness campaigns on the importance of education for girls.

□ 1315

The CRS-Benin program also works with the World Health Organization and other NGOs to administer deworming pills to the students in these schools.

In just 2 years, the program has achieved increased student attendance and enrollment, especially for girls; decreased dropout rates; and increased participation in the community schools, including contributions of local foods by families to supplement the U.S.-provided commodities. Some schools have started school gardens or farms in support of the school kitchens. And parents have showed new and improved problem-solving skills tackling such matters as teacher recruitment, improving school classrooms and buildings, and lobbying local Education Ministry officials for more teachers.

Last year the CRS-Benin program received 2 more years of funding through the McGovern-Dole program. CRS-Benin received approximately \$4.1 million in rice, lentils, and sunflower oil from farmers in Colorado, Texas, Tennessee, and Kansas. The program now reaches 12,500 school children, and its achievement can only expand and solidify.

Under the McGovern-Dole pilot program, CRS once carried out similar programs in Albania, Bosnia, Guatemala, and Honduras. Deep funding cuts in the McGovern-Dole program, however, resulted in the survival of only the Benin program. Sadly, more than 95,000 children in the other four countries are now cut off from these vital food, education and health services.

I want to express my admiration and respect for the national and field staff of the Catholic Relief Services and their partners, who carry out these important programs in Benin and elsewhere. They deserve our support and they require more funding.

Madam Speaker, there is no better investment we can make for a more stable world than in the education and well-being of our children, both here at home and around the globe. I urge the congressional leadership of this House to significantly increase the fiscal year 2005 funding for the USDA McGovern-Dole program and for other USDA and USAID food programs.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. BLACKBURN). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BURTON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. BURTON of Indiana addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

ORDER OF BUSINESS

Mr. BILIRAKIS. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take my special order at this time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

FIGHTING FOR AMERICA'S VETERANS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. BILIRAKIS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BILIRAKIS. Madam Speaker, some military retirees, individuals who are eligible for military retirement benefits as a result of a full-service career, are also eligible for disability compensation from the VA based on a medical problem they incurred while in the service. Due to a 19th Century law, these service-disabled retirees must surrender a portion of their retired pay if they want to receive the disability compensation to which they are entitled.

Nationwide more than 550,000 disabled military retirees have been required to give up their retired pay in order to receive their VA disability compensation. For nearly 2 decades, Madam Speaker, I have introduced legislation to correct this longstanding problem, commonly known as concurrent receipt, in the House of Representatives.

Last year our Republican Congress and President George W. Bush reached an historic agreement that changes the 100-year-old practice of having disabled veterans pay for their VA disability out of their military retirement. The new law greatly expands the Combat-Related Special Compensation Program, which we refer to as CRSC, by repealing the 60 percent minimum disability requirement which was set forth in the 2003 Defense Authorization Act. Effective January 1, 2004, earlier this year, CRSC is payable to any military retiree, including personnel who qualify for reserve retirement, who has at least 20 years of service, a Purple Heart and/or injuries sustained while performing military duty in a combat situation or with military equipment or during military training. Retirees must apply to their military service for CRSC payments, but there is no phasein period for the CRSC benefit.

The new law also phases in full concurrent receipt benefits over the next 10 years for those who have service-connected disabilities rated 50 percent or higher. In addition, the law extends concurrent receipt and CRSC coverage to Reserve and National Guard retirees who were inadvertently excluded from the CRSC program when it was originally enacted. I am pleased to report that on February 1 approximately 150,000 disabled retirees began receiving their new benefits.

Unfortunately, Madam Speaker, the issue of concurrent receipt has become something of a "political football." Some of my Democratic colleagues have been working hard to convince our Nation's veterans that they are truly dedicated to keeping America's promise to them. I find it highly ironic that these Members are now commending themselves for their recent leadership on an issue that I have been

pursuing for 18 years and I have a simple question for them: "Where have you been all of these years?"

For the 40 years that the Democrats had nearly exclusive control of Congress, they never sent a bill, never sent a bill, to end this unfair practice to either a Republican or Democratic President. Moreover, the House Democrats have never included one penny for concurrent receipt in any of their annual budget proposals. For the first 9 years that I worked on this issue, I was stymied at every turn by the party who controlled Congress. My 1993 Discharge Petition was signed by a small fraction of those who last year expressed a sudden interest in the issue by signing the Democrat Discharge Petition. Not a single disabled retiree, Madam Speaker, received any concurrent receipt benefits under the Democratically controlled Congress.

However, Democrats are now shamelessly attempting to hijack this issue in an effort to portray themselves as friends of disabled military veterans. It was not until the Republican Party took control of Congress in 1995 that we have made significant progress, a step-by-step advance towards full concurrent receipt. The Republican-controlled Congress has acted on five separate occasions to address the concurrent receipt issue.

Republicans also fulfilled the pledge given to millions of military retirees that they would receive lifetime medical coverage in exchange for their self-less military service to the Nation with the enactment of the TRICARE-for-Life program. Moreover, spending for veterans' health care programs has grown by 49 percent over the past 5 years, grown by 49 percent over the past 5 years. So I ask which political party has been the true, underline "true," friend of our Nation's military retirees and veterans?

Despite this breakthrough, full concurrent receipt does remain a priority goal for all of us who have been involved in the campaign to provide equity to America's disabled military retirees. In the interim, Madam Speaker, of reaching our ultimate goal, I would say that I am very proud of our accomplishments on behalf of the brave men and women who have sacrificed so much for our great Nation.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. Brown) is recognized for $5\ \text{minutes}.$

(Mr. BROWN of Ohio addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

ORDER OF BUSINESS

Mr. TOWNS. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take my special order at this time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

CONGRESSIONAL TRIBUTE TO BERTHA WOODARD JOHNSON

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New York (Mr. TOWNS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. TOWNS. Madam Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to Bertha Woodard Johnson. Bertha Woodard Johnson is the daughter of the late Sadie Woodard and Richard Wallace. She was born in Chester, South Carolina and reared by her mother and grandmother. Mrs. Johnson spent a life providing services for the needy, with a particular vocation of serving children and the elderly. She has worked tirelessly to improve the quality of life through active participation and personal generous donations of her time and talent. This passion to help people led her to become a care giver and nurse for over 45 years. Before retiring, she received numerous awards and accolades for her dedicated service including "Nurse of the Year.

In a recent celebration in my district, where people came together to pay tribute to Bertha Johnson, they came from all over. They came from Tampa, Florida. Pastor Giles, of course his wife, Deacon Jackson, her daughter Mary and of course Natalie and Ramona. They just came from everywhere to celebrate the life of Bertha Johnson. She is a person that had done so much to improve the quality of life for so many. There were people there who said "I would not be able to be where I am if it had not been for Bertha Johnson." Not her children but people that she influenced, people that she encouraged to do positive things this life. It was the most moving experience I think I have ever encountered.

And I would like to take this opportunity to salute Bertha Johnson; her husband, Charlie Johnson; and all of the Johnson family for the outstanding job that they have done in terms of improving the quality of life for people down through the years and to listen to folks call her Mother that were not even her children but they came and were supportive of her because of the fact that she made such a difference in their lives. There are not too many people around that have the influence and have been able to give the kind of support that Bertha Woodard Johnson has given to so many but recognizing that she could not have done that without the support of her husband, Charlie Johnson, who has been right there by her side and she was able to go out and do things on behalf of people.

I would like to say to the House here today we take the time now to salute Bertha Woodard Johnson for the outstanding work that she has done down through the years and say to those that came to encourage her we salute them as well because we can say without any reservation that Bertha Johnson has made a difference and it is a

life well lived. We continue to support her and we know that she will continue to do great things on behalf of the people not only in the area where she lives but throughout this Nation. We salute Bertha Johnson on this day.

JUDICIAL ACTIVISM, A GRAVE AND GROWING PROBLEM

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. LEWIS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. LEWIS of Kentucky. Madam Speaker, I would like to take this opportunity to speak about judicial activism, a grave and growing problem in our current national discourse that is threatening our democratic principles, eroding the consent of the governed, and radically altering the social fabric of our American society.

It should be of little surprise that the impetus of this debate, and the modest solutions I intend to set forth, stem from the November ruling by the Massachusetts Supreme Court to allow same-sex marriages and the subsequent rulings on the constitutionality of the Defense of Marriage Act that have followed.

I am a strong supporter of numerous legislative measures currently being considered by this Congress, aiming to define marriage as an exclusive union between one man and one woman. However, I believe a more comprehensive solution is necessary to address the broader, troubling trend toward judicial activism, a development with definitive implications beyond just the issue of marriage.

America's judicial branch has become increasingly overreaching and disconnected from the values of everyday Americans, many of whom I represent in the Second District of Kentucky. The recent actions taken by courts in Massachusetts and elsewhere are demonstrative of a single branch of government taking upon itself the singular ability to legislate. I believe these actions usurp the will of the governed, circumvent representative government by allowing tribunals of a select few, not elected or otherwise politically responsible, to conclusively rule on issues that are radically reshaping the societal traditions of our great Nation.

Clearly, this issue is one about power, not in the raw political sense but in terms of the allocation of government authority between each branch of government, specifically between Congress and the Judiciary, in a federal system that relies on checks and balances to protect our liberty. This is a debate that has been taking place since our founding.

At no point is the tension between Congress and the courts greater than in the realm of constitutional interpretation. The Constitution does not expressly provide for judicial review. Instead, the right of judicial review is a practice with origins from the bench