

the gentleman from California (Mr. GEORGE MILLER).

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Washington?

There was no objection.

REGARDING JUSTICE SCALIA'S REFUSAL TO RECUSE HIMSELF FROM HEARING CASE CONCERNING THE VICE PRESIDENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Washington (Mr. MCDERMOTT) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. MCDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia has done something no Democrat and no Republican has been able to do. Justice Scalia has united the country from Maine to California, from Washington to Texas. Even Texas. Here is just a sample of what editorials across America have been saying about Justice Scalia's decision to hear a case involving the Vice President:

Inappropriate. Unethical. Less arrogance, more impartiality. The appearance of impropriety is abusive and extreme. It taints the very essence of justice. Scalia's refusal to recuse himself let the sour tinge of politics ooze into the High Court's chambers. He's ducking the law.

In America, Mr. Speaker, Lady Justice is blind for a reason. Equal justice for all is a fundamental right of this country. Justice Scalia will soon run afoul of this because he decided to hunt fowl with the Vice President.

The facts, sketchy as they are, are these:

In early January, the Justice joined the Vice President aboard Air Force Two. They flew to Louisiana to spend days together in private while duck hunting on a private reserve owned by a local oilman. Nothing new there. The area they hunted in was declared a no-fly zone by the Secret Service and the ducks apparently abided by the Secret Service order. The trip followed a Supreme Court decision to hear an important case involving the Vice President. The case involves the right of the public to pierce the veil of private meetings the Vice President held with Big Oil and Big Business in public buildings to chart a public energy policy which has led us into two invasions, two occupations. There are huge issues at stake and the Nation must know that the Supreme Court will hear the case impartially.

If Justice Scalia does not remove himself from the case, the entire process will be forever tainted. Here is what the law says:

"Any justice, judge or magistrate of the United States shall disqualify himself in any proceeding in which his impartiality might reasonably be questioned."

How could any rational person not question Justice Scalia's impartiality if he hears this case? His public re-

sponse to date has been a mockery of the serious concerns expressed across the country. "Quack-quack" is how the Justice ended one answer in public at Amherst College. I kid you not. "Quack-quack," from a Justice of the Supreme Court. Perhaps in the future the fierce competition by law graduates to clerk in the highest court will include an audition for bird and duck calls. The Supreme Court decides the fate of lives and the course of our Nation for generations to come. Justice Scalia cannot let thoughtful, respectful concerns expressed by smart, independent voices across the country roll off his back like water off a duck.

This issue concerns not just Justice Scalia; but it goes to the honesty, integrity, ethics, and impartiality of the highest court. The stakes are too high and the right course of action too obvious for him to ignore.

Chief Justice Charles Evans Hughes as he was laying the cornerstone of the Supreme Court Building said: "The Republic endures and this is the symbol of its faith."

Justice Scalia defends America best by defending equal justice under the law. Justice Scalia must remove himself from this case for the good of the Court and for the good of the country.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. CORRINE BROWN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. CORRINE BROWN of Florida addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

COMMENDING AN AMERICAN WAR HERO FROM INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Indiana (Ms. CARSON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. CARSON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, it is with great pride that I rise today to recognize an extraordinary young man who is an American war hero of Iraq and a fellow Hoosier, Specialist Dwayne Anthony Turner of the Third Battalion, 502nd Infantry Regiment, 101st Airborne Division. Specialist Dwayne Anthony Turner, an Army medic from Indianapolis, was awarded the Silver Star for demonstrating exceptional bravery, self-sacrifice and resolute fearlessness during a grenade and arms attack on April 13, 2003.

American soldiers were caught off guard when the attack began on a crowded street 30 miles south of Baghdad. Specialist Dwayne Anthony Turner was riding in his Humvee when the grenade hit. Bleeding from flying shrapnel that ripped into his legs, Specialist Turner dragged several soldiers to safety and administered aid to others while taking fire. More commonly known as Doc Turner, this young 23-

year-old soldier saved the lives of two comrades and provided aid to 14 others after he was hit by shrapnel and shot a total of three times in the leg and arm. He did not cease assisting injured soldiers until he lost a significant amount of blood and was administered morphine. Specialist Dwayne Anthony Turner is the first soldier from the Fort Campbell-based 101st to receive the Silver Star for valor.

I would like to recognize Specialist Turner for his remarkable fortitude, courageousness, his spirit and selfless determination and would certainly ask the House of Representatives to join me in honoring this notable American war hero from my district.

Specialist Turner agreed to 4 years but was arbitrarily extended, Mr. Speaker, to 5 years.

Mr. Speaker, we would think that the United States of America, that the White House, that the Department of the Army would give Specialist Turner a standing ovation for the outstanding contribution that he made as an American, as a soldier and as a hero in Iraq. But not so. Mr. Speaker, upon Specialist Turner's return to Fort Campbell, understandably he consumed alcohol excessively, incurred intense and immense emotional trauma. His behavior became erratic. Instead of addressing what obviously is post-traumatic stress, the Army busted him down to a private, kicked him out of service, did not give him mustering-out pay, which ultimately caused this dear Army soldier to become homeless, notwithstanding the kind of contributions that he has made to a better America and what we were believing to be a better Iraq.

□ 1645

I would like specifically to call this incredible, awful situation in terms of Specialist Turner's fate, in private, now nothing, the Army soldier, used to be soldier, to the attention of the White House. We often say that we support our troops. We get criticized when we do not support Iraq and the money that goes into Iraq, and I would ask the President and all of his soldiers there on Pennsylvania Avenue and those who are responsible for this incredible inhumane act against an individual who has done so much for this country to counteract, to reverse the vicious act that has been administered against him since he has been in this country by the United States Government. Make him whole, give him his mustering-out pay, and let the record show that he was, in fact, a specialist and not a private, a specialist when he received the honors that he received from the Army.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BISHOP of Utah). Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from California (Ms. LORETTA SANCHEZ) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. LORETTA SANCHEZ of California addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. RODRIGUEZ) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. RODRIGUEZ addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. FROST) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. FROST addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. STRICKLAND) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. STRICKLAND addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

HONORING OUR AFRICAN AMERICAN CONGRESSIONAL PIONEERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. WELLER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. WELLER. Mr. Speaker, I asked for this time today because I felt as we finish up the month of February, Black History Month, it would be appropriate for this body to take a step back into history and remember the pioneering African American Members of this body as well as two men from my State, Illinois, who fought against the practice of slavery.

Mr. Speaker, the Members may not know this, but of the first 19 African American Members of the House, each and every one of them was a Republican. And of the 19 black House pioneers, most were freed slaves. My time only allows me this evening just to mention a few of them because I think they each have very impressive stories and records of serving this country and working towards the goal of civil rights for all.

The first African American to serve in the House was Joseph H. Rainey from South Carolina. Mr. Rainey's parents, Edward and Gracey, were slaves when their son was born in 1832 in Georgetown, South Carolina. Rainey's father was a successful barber in the area and through hard work was able to earn and pay for the family's freedom from slavery. After the Civil War broke out in 1861, the Confederacy conscripted Joseph Rainey to work on the military fortifications of Charleston's harbor. Rainey dreamed of escaping from the military drudgery to a life working without the stigma of color. The dream became reality when he and his wife boarded a ship bound for the

West Indies. Rainey took on the family trade and worked as a barber in Bermuda, and his wife worked as a dressmaker. He studied the manners and conversation of his educated customers, and hearing that opportunities for African Americans were better than they used to be in postwar South Carolina, the Raineys returned home. Rainey was elected to the United States House of Representatives in 1868, took office in 1869, was a leader in the fight for civil rights.

Mr. Speaker, I am proud to announce on this floor tonight that our own House Republican conference, led by the gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. PRYCE), has initiated a program called the Joseph Rainey Scholars to honor the memory of Congressman Joseph Rainey. The Rainey Scholars program aims to get African American students involved in government and to learn about the history of African Americans in the Congress. Currently, there are 10 college students participating in this program, which includes the option of being a congressional intern.

In 1871, Robert Brown Elliott was elected to the U.S. House as a black Republican from South Carolina. He had previously served as the State House Speaker, again was an outspoken advocate for civil rights and often noted the role of African Americans in our own Revolutionary War, the War of 1812, and on the side of the Union in the Civil War.

John Roy Lynch was elected to this body in 1873 as a Republican from Mississippi. Lynch was a Republican Party activist who served as a delegate to five Republican conventions. In fact, Mr. Lynch presided over the 1884 Republican convention in Chicago, serving as the first African American ever to preside over a national party convention.

Charles Nash, elected in 1875, a Republican, was the first black to represent Louisiana in Congress. John Mercer Langston was elected to the House in 1890 and later served as Consul General to Haiti. The first black Member of the United States Senate was also a Republican, Hiram Rhodes Revels from Mississippi. Senator Rhodes took over the seat once held by the President of the Confederacy, Jefferson Davis.

Mr. Speaker, we salute these African American pioneers in Congress, and we remember the path they have made for African Americans to make a difference in our government and in our country.

Additionally, Mr. Speaker, I would be remiss if I did not mention two other major figures in the Republican Party, both from my home State of Illinois. Though while not African American, both played a big role in the fight for freedom, opportunity, and equality. First, of course, was our 16th President, Abraham Lincoln. We all know under Lincoln's leadership the Republican vision of equality was advanced with the Emancipation Proclamation

of 1863, followed by Lincoln's insistence that the abolition of slavery be part of the 1864 Republican platform.

But, Mr. Speaker, I also wanted to draw to the Members' attention Owen Lovejoy from Princeton, Illinois, a community I represent in the 11th Congressional District. Owen Lovejoy came to Princeton, Illinois, in 1838 to assume the ministry of the Hampshire Colony Congressional Church. He was known as a fiery abolitionist who preached his views from the pulpit, causing much dissension in a community already divided over the slavery issue.

A strong supporter of Abraham Lincoln, Lovejoy, a Republican, was elected to the State legislature and then in 1856 began five terms in the U.S. House of Representatives. Lovejoy became known for his efforts on behalf of the abolition of slavery and was among the leaders in the House in advancing civil rights. His home was one of the most important stations in the underground railroad in Illinois. Runaway slaves were harbored by the Lovejoy family until arrangements could be made for them to travel to the next station on the way to Canada and freedom. Today the Lovejoy home stands as a reminder of Lovejoy's efforts.

Mr. Speaker, as Black History Month comes to a close, let us remember our heroes, those pioneers who stood for freedom and led the way to today's equality.

CLIMATE CHANGE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. GILCHREST) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. GILCHREST. Mr. Speaker, in recent years there has been a significant conflict in our discussion and certainly differences of opinions from reliable sources about whether or not human activity is affecting the climate. So what I would like to do this evening in just the short time that I have is not to say that the Earth is warming, not to say that the Earth is cooling, not engage in the dispute as to whether human activity is causing the climate to change or the climate to warm. But what I would like to do is to present some observations from various independent scientists including the National Academy of Sciences that did a study to evaluate the International Panel on Climate Change, a study that was conducted by about a thousand scientists from around the world, to draw from the President's own scientists to make a determination as to what really are or what can be seen as observations of the indicators of whether we are engaged in a climate change.

If we observe the world the way it is now and the way it was 100 years ago and through an analysis the way it was 400,000 years ago, can we make some determination about the type of climate we have today, what we had 100 years ago, what we had 10,000 years