

all, to stand. Stand therefore, having girded your loins with truth, and having put on the breastplate of righteousness, and having shod your feet with the equipment of the gospel of peace; besides all these, taking the shield of faith, with which you can quench all the flaming darts of the evil one."

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. BROWN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. BROWN of Ohio addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

#### SMART SECURITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from California (Ms. WOOLSEY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. WOOLSEY. Madam Speaker, the administration's war in Iraq has failed. It has failed to make the world a safer place. In fact, I fear that we are actually less safe from terrorism than we were. The world has actually been made less safe and more susceptible to acts of terror.

Who should be held accountable for this mess? The war is not going too well. Nearly 900 brave American soldiers have already lost their lives as a result of this deadly conflict, not to mention the thousands of innocent Iraqi civilians that have been killed. Worse, as many as 25,000 American troops have been evacuated from Iraq for medical reasons, 25,000. That is one-sixth of the number of troops currently stationed in Iraq.

This speaks to a systematic failure of leadership, and, sadly, examples of this failure are widespread and easily recalled: the failure to secure Iraq's borders, the failure to prevent postwar looting, and the failure to provide the security necessary for reconstruction. In fact, the abuse of POWs at the Abu Ghraib prison is yet another example of failed leadership by the Bush administration. And it is also an example of failed leadership in planning for the war and postwar reconstruction in Iraq.

But the most shameful aspect of our involvement in Iraq, our greatest failure of all, is our failure to provide adequately for our soldiers when it comes to equipment, the guidance, and the leadership they need to ensure their survival in Iraq and the success that they need to complete their stay in that country.

We failed to immediately provide our soldiers with the essential tools for their survival, body armor capable of stopping bullets, armor for tanks that would help prevent the destruction of U.S. military convoys, and the necessary water equipment to keep them hydrated in the desert heat. This issue is one that should have been accounted for during the planning phases of the

war, not as an afterthought when our troops were stationed halfway across the world.

I ask my colleagues again who should be held accountable for this mess? Should it be Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld, whom President Bush claimed was doing a "superb job," and whom Vice President CHENEY, in an absurd statement, called the best Secretary of Defense in our Nation's history? If Rumsfeld is doing a superb job, if he is the best Defense Secretary in history, then I really want to know who is the worst and what is a bad job.

Rumsfeld's consistent failure to adequately plan for the war in Iraq and the postwar phase, during which the lives of far more American soldiers have been lost than during the war itself, Donald Rumsfeld should resign his post with the best interests of the Nation in mind.

But we must also take heed of the quote made famous by President Harry S. Truman: "The buck stops here." President Bush would be well served to embrace this policy, a policy that served President Truman and our Nation well during an earlier wartime. Secretary Rumsfeld must not be used as a scapegoat for the President's failures.

I have introduced legislation to create a SMART security platform for the 21st century, H. Con. Res. 392. SMART stands for Sensible, Multilateral American Response to Terrorism. Three wonderful organizations, Physicians for Social Responsibility, Friends Committee on National Legislation, and Women's Action for New Directions, helped in writing this legislation.

SMART treats war as an absolute last resort. It fights terrorism with stronger intelligence and multilateral partnerships. It controls the spread of weapons of mass destruction with a renewed commitment to nonproliferation. And it aggressively invests in the development of impoverished nations with an emphasis on women's health and women's education.

The Bush doctrine of unilateralism has been tried, and it has failed. It is time for a new national security strategy based on our commitment to peace, our commitment to freedom, our compassion for the people of the world, and our capacity for multilateral leadership. Let us be smart about our future. SMART security, H. Res. 392, is tough, is pragmatic, is patriotic, and it will keep America safe.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. PENCE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. PENCE addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

#### ENRON

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gen-

tleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFAZIO) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Madam Speaker, just before the July 4 recess, the Democrats on our side of the aisle attempted to offer an amendment to force the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, chaired by Pat Wood of Texas, appointed by George Bush of Texas, from continuing to conceal documents regarding Enron of Texas and the scandal and the fraud of which Enron has perpetrated upon the people of the Western United States, costing us tens of billions of dollars, a huge runup in our electricity costs, something that is continuing to hurt the economy of Oregon, Washington, and California. All the businesses depended upon energy, small businesses and residential consumers.

The Republicans would not allow that amendment to be debated on the floor of the House because of its kind of embarrassing links between Enron and the Bush administration and the fraud that was perpetrated on the Western United States.

Ken Lay, as the chief executive of Enron, was the mastermind of this fraud. He bilked billions of dollars from millions of people for his own personal profit and that of his executives, and he was finally today brought to justice. We finally saw him in handcuffs on television, and hopefully he will have a long stay in jail, and hopefully he will also have to work during that stay and not just get free room and board, because he has already extracted enough cost from hard-working Americans.

When we asked for a meeting with Vice President CHENEY during the huge runup in prices in the Western United States, we got together; he got together with the Northwest delegation. And he, in response to concerns I raised, said that I was really stupid, and I just did not understand that this had nothing to do with fraud, abuse, or market manipulation. This was all about market forces. I just did not understand markets and that Enron was a leader in markets, and I just did not understand markets, and unless we build one 500-megawatt plant every week for the next 15 years, and this is Vice President CHENEY, the \$4,000 megawatt prices, about 100 times normal, would continue forever.

Of course, then we appealed to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, seeing that the Vice President's mind was slightly closed on the matter. And the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, chaired by Pat Wood of Texas, with a couple of other appointees chosen by Ken Lay of Texas, of Enron, refused to look into it. Finally, after additional pressure was raised, they said they would look into it. Then they said, no, it is just market forces. There is no market manipulation.

Then a strange thing happened. The Senate changed hands. When the Senator from Vermont changed to Independent, and the Democrats took over

the Senate, and DIANE FEINSTEIN from California threatened to hold hearings on what was going on in the Western energy market, suddenly the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission reviewed its records and found, lo and behold, there was a scandal.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will refrain from referencing individual Senators.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Madam Speaker, certainly. I would not want to mention any individual Senators.

So the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission then suddenly said, oh, no, there is something wrong here. It is a little bit weird that prices are up to 100 times normal. And they reimposed the price caps, which we had during the Clinton administration.

Now we have the tapes of the Enron Corporation, and Ken Lay says he did nothing wrong. The tapes are incredible. The marketers talk about shutting off plants to drive up prices. They talk about gouging Grandma Milly. They talk about getting rid of the Clinton administration, price caps are gone, and Ken Lay is going to run things in this country, and, by God, they are going to make a lot of money. And they did for a while at tremendous pain and cost to the Western United States, all while the Bush administration looked the other way.

Pat Wood of Texas is still in charge of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. The Bush administration is continuing to push for more deregulation. They think the only thing that Enron did wrong and the only thing wrong with deregulation is that Enron got caught, because they were having a wonderful time making a bunch of money.

Now it comes that Ken Lay of Texas is the largest single, individual, lifetime contributor to George Bush of Texas, the President of the United States, and he has contributed over his life \$139,500 to President Bush. His company contributed \$625,000 to President Bush.

I would call upon the President to return these ill-gotten gains, the money that Ken Lay stole from Grandma Milly and others in the Western United States, and to show that he understands and has compassion. He could contribute the money to low-income energy funds in the Western United States to help Grandma Milly, who was taken to the cleaners by Ken Lay of Texas, of Enron, Mr. Bush's best friend, "Ken Boy" Lay.

□ 1830

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. MILLER of Michigan). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New Mexico (Mr. PEARCE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. PEARCE addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. PALLONE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. PALLONE addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

#### ORDER OF BUSINESS

Mr. MCDERMOTT. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to speak out of order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Washington?

There was no objection.

#### WAR WITHOUT END

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Washington (Mr. MCDERMOTT) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. MCDERMOTT. Madam Speaker, another four soldiers died today in Iraq. Families mourn the loss of loved ones. Our Nation mourns the loss of brave soldiers. Over 900 Americans have died in Iraq so far. As many as 10 times that number have been injured. Americans spent \$150 billion, and we know tens of billions dollars more will be spent this year. If only one soldier had died, the number would be too high, but the casualties and the grief are much worse.

The truth is we have not even begun to see the casualties of the Iraq war. The truth is that thousands of soldiers will face a lifetime of injury from the war. The truth is we will have not even begun to count the casualties that will come from post-traumatic stress disorder.

The magnitude of the coming casualties among returning U.S. soldiers is staggering. The prestigious New England Journal of Medicine in its most recent issue, which I will enter into the RECORD, gives a glimpse into the coming medical crisis facing our soldiers, families, and the Nation. The journal is known for credibility, thoughtful and factual reporting and analysis. The journal conservatively estimates that one in five soldiers will be afflicted with PTSD. In many cases, the symptoms will not even surface for a year or more. The casualties from the President's war of choice will affect tens of thousands of soldiers. There are 160,000 soldiers in Iraq today. Using the journal's conservative estimate, 30,000 U.S. soldiers will become post-traumatic stress disorder casualties in this war. Most do not even know that they are sick yet. Most do not exhibit any symptoms outwardly and will not for months or years. Tragically, when symptoms do appear, many soldiers will not ask for help.

Call it the tough-guy stigma. Soldiers are trained to be fearless no matter what the danger. Too many consider it a sign of weakness to need help. They will try to suffer in silence, but

PTSD is as powerful as an artillery shell. Without help, PTSD can tear too many brave military men and women to shreds psychologically. I know. I was a Navy doctor and psychiatrist who treated soldiers returning from Vietnam with the post-traumatic stress disorder. Gut-wrenching is the only polite way to describe the anguish and suffering these soldiers experienced. Many of them still struggle against the demons of this disease.

As a doctor, you can do everything you can to help. All too often it is not enough, and all too often the only thing you can do is comfort the afflicted. You realize just how inadequate modern medicine is.

Some wonder why I strongly oppose the President's war of choice. Because I have seen the casualties. I have seen the pain inside the mind that no bandage can cover. I have treated the wounded, only to know in the dead of night just how little I and every doctor could do. We wanted to end the suffering. Who would not? We wanted to heal their wounds. Who would not?

Years later, long after the Vietnam War, years later after the media moved on to other issues, PTSD was still there haunting soldiers' minds. I saw it when I was a doctor working and treating prisoners in the King County jail. They include former soldiers who got into trouble because they struggled keeping their emotions under control. They struggled with PTSD. People who had served their country with no prior history of mental illness suddenly found themselves on the wrong side of the law. Were they felons or fallen heroes in need of help? I know what I think.

PTSD preys on the peace and happiness every American deserves, especially those who were drafted to fight in a war which this country came to loathe. After Vietnam, soldiers did not even have the thanks of a grateful Nation. We blamed them for the government's arrogance. It took decades before the wounds of the Nation began to heal. Thousands of names on a wall made us realize how much we had lost, how little we had gained, and how wrong it all was.

At least today America honors our soldiers, even as the opposition to the President's war grows. And it should. We are just beginning to realize the consequences of the President's war of choice. America has about 10,000 soldiers already dead or wounded. We face another 30,000 casualties. The wounds have already been inflicted. They are just not visible yet.

And they wonder why I strongly oppose the President's war of choice. The administration keeps inventing new reasons why we had to invade Iraq. They cannot even explain why 10,000 have already suffered or why 30,000 more will.

This is not about my opposition to the war, though. This is about preparing to help the men and women coming home from war. This is about