Madam Speaker, American workers deserve better than this. We owe them more than the empty promise that tried and failed tactics will somehow save jobs.

Rather than go the French and German way of stagnation and stifling regulation, I say let us create good jobs right here the American way, by continuing to innovate and grow and produce new opportunities for workers. That has been our recipe for global economic leadership for years; and if we continue to allow Americans to freely invest at home and abroad, we will continue to create more good jobs right here in the United States of America.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from the District of Columbia (Ms. NORTON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. NORTON addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

ANOTHER EPISODE IN THE OUTSOURCING OF AMERICAN JOBS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. KAPTUR) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. KAPTUR. Madam Speaker, I was so interested to hear the prior gentleman's remarks from California about jobs. He has been one of the primary Members of this institution that has helped to outsource our jobs all over the world, China, India, Mexico; so I am sorry he has left the floor.

But I guess I could say, here we go another episode in again. outsourcing of American jobs. And this one is especially outrageous, because it involves our U.S. taxpayer dollars.

The Richmond Times Dispatch in Virginia reported yesterday that the big bank, J.P. Morgan Chase and Company, which administers the Bush administration food stamp program for Virginia and 37 other States, has been exporting administrative jobs since 2001. Why would the Bush administration select a big bank anyway to administer the U.S. food stamp program, rather than use not-for-profit institutions like credit unions and other financial intermediaries located across this country?

Today now, the Associated Press reports that food stamp beneficiaries in 43 States already get help with problems such as replacing lost cards by calling toll-free numbers, and these toll-free numbers connect them to companies that have contracts with State governments, and those companies have outsourced the calls to foreign countries.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture says it would consider permitting a State government to hire private contractors to sign up people for food stamps, even though the Federal law says U.S. Government workers should handle the job.

Madam Speaker, this policy by our U.S. Department of Agriculture is not only inappropriate; it is outrageous, particularly when you look at growing food stamp rolls because of unemployment in this country and this administration not extending unemployment benefits to people.

It is also outrageous because of the growing ranks of the unemployed, 9 million unemployed workers in this country. Just in Ohio, 347,000 people without work, and many more having quit looking, so they are not even counted anymore. Why not put unemployed Americans at work at these call centers inside our country, instead of shipping out these service calls, outsourcing the work to other countries like India?

Something is haywire when we allow multinational corporations to take our U.S. taxpayer dollars and give them in the form of government contracts to companies that then outsource the work to foreign workers and foreign countries. It is absolutely indefensible, when so many of our taxpayers cannot find jobs.

It is ironic. American workers who lose their jobs to unfair trade practices might have to talk to somebody overseas in order to get their food stamps.

Think about this one: when we asked the Under Secretary, Mr. Bost, yesterday before our committee whether he would consider working with the Department of Labor to go into these pockets of unemployed people in our country and let them do the call center jobs, he never attempted it, and it did not really seem to appeal to him.

This issue came up during our agriculture meeting yesterday, and as the ranking member I asked USDA officials, since they were not willing to hire Americans, would they be willing to support a ban on outsourcing these U.S. jobs to call centers, primarily in India. The USDA, that we pay for, we pay for their salaries, U.S. taxpayers, we pay the salary of the U.S. Secretary of Agriculture, of the gentleman who was testifying before us, they said, no, they would not ban sending the work overseas.

Now, the Republican practice of outsourcing American jobs cannot end one moment too soon.

Madam Speaker, the two articles I wish to place in the RECORD that document what I am saying is an article in the Associated Press by Ira Dreyfuss, and the headline reads: "Private Contractors May Handle Food Stamps, and also an article that was in the Richmond Times-Dispatch. The headline reads: "Food Stamp Calls Routed to India. A Firm That Runs Part of the Virginia Program Outsourced Call Center to India

Madam Speaker, it would be nice to have some attention in one of the largest programs that this government funds, over \$50 billion a year in various food programs, about two-thirds of that in the food stamp program, and try to help some of our own people earn some money in this country so they would not have to be on food stamps and they could have good jobs right here in the good old USA.

[From the Times-Dispatch, Mar. 23, 2004] FOOD-STAMP CALLS ROUTED TO INDIA FIRM THAT RUNS PART OF THE VIRGINIA PROGRAM OUTSOURCED CALL CENTER

(By Tyler Whitley)

When food-stamp recipients in Virginia have a question about the program, they get answers from someone in India.

J.P. Morgan Chase & Co., a giant bankholding company that administers a key part of the program for the social-services departments in Virginia and 37 other states, has outsourced its call center to the Asian nation

Maurice Jones, commissioner of the Virginia Department of Social Services, estimates that six or seven jobs could be created in Virginia to handle the 10,000 calls a month that are now made to India by Virginia's 195,000 food-stamp recipients.

He said the Warner administration inherited the outsourcing from the Gilmore administration, which signed a contract with another banking giant, Citicorp, in February

Louis Rossiter, secretary of health and human resources under then-Gov. Jim Gilmore, said the jobs were not being sent abroad when the contract was signed.

Rossiter said Citicorp had a near monopoly on the business at the time the contract was

signed. The decision to send the jobs abroad was $\begin{tabular}{c}$ not the state's but the contractor's, Citicorp. It subsequently sold the food-stamp electronic-transfer program to J.P. Morgan, Jones said

Jones said the calls have been going overseas since October 2001. A disgruntled local official complained about the situation recently to The Times-Dispatch.

When the state's five-year contract expires in 2006, Jones said yesterday, he hopes the 38 states can put pressure on the bank to return the call centers to the United Statesperferably to Virginia.

Outsourcing, largely ignored until recently, has become a major political target in the 2004 presidential campaign. Although it is not a new phenomenon, Democrats are blaming the shipment of jobs to lower-wage countries abroad for the slow pace of job creation during the economic recovery

Trevelocity, which provides airfares and travel service over the Internet, recently announced it is closing a call center with about 250 jobs in Diskenson County in Southwest Virginia later this year and sending most of the business to India. It estimated it could save \$10 million from the move.

Richmond-based Circuit City Stores Inc. also has begun outsourcing jobs to India. Its customer-service toll-free line now goes to India.

According to the American Legislative Issue Campaign Exchange, a Wisconsin-based organization, 22 states are considering legislation to prevent job loss because of outsourcing by requiring state and local government contracts to purchase only American goods and services.

The U.S. Senate has voted to do the same on federal contracts. "I'm a firm believer that you ought to take care of your own people first," said Del. Clarence E. "Bud" Phillips, a Democrat who represents Dickenson

County.

Phillips said he will introduce legislation next year to bar the state from entering into contracts that ship jobs abroad.

If the jobs are returned to the United States, bank officers have told him that Virginia will have to pay a higher fee for the services, Jones said.

Jones said he recognizes the irony that someone in Virginia might be receiving food stamps because he lost a job through outsourcing. "In an ideal world, I wish we could have a call center in Virginia staffed by present or former food-stamp clients," he said.

Food-stamp coupons are not longer given out. The federal government now issues electronic benefit-transfer (EBT) cards, which operate much like debit cards.

A food-stamp recipient gets a card from the state Department of Social Services with a limit on how much the person can draw. J.P. Morgan handles the monetary transfers

for a fee paid by the state.

J.P. Morgan has call centers in Bangalore and Pune, India, and a center for automated calls in Delaware, Jones said. He said only about 10,000 of the 400,000 monthly calls made by Virginians go to India, where people handle the inquiries. The rest go to the automated call center.

Repeated calls for comment to J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. in New York City were not returned.

[From the Associated Press] PRIVATE CONTRACTORS MAY HANDLE FOOD STAMPS

(By Ira Dreyfuss)

WASHINGTON.—The Agriculture Department says it would consider letting a state hire private contractors to sign up people for food stamps, even though federal law says government workers should handle the job.

Eric Bost, undersecretary for food nutrition and consumers, raised the prospect Wednesday after the issue came up at a hearing before the House appropriations subcommittee on agriculture.

If a state has a better way to provide services and save money, "it would be foolhardy on our part not to at least consider it," Bost told reporters. Florida Gov. Jeb Bush has directed his state Department of Children and Families to see if nongovernment workers could handle applications for food stamps, as well as Medicaid and other Welfare benefits.

While the governors's proposal envisions a U.S. contractor with American employees handling Florida's food stamp signups, some states already have contracted to have overseas operators handled complaint and service calls regarding their food stamp programs.

calls regarding their food stamp programs.

Because the Florida project would be lilmited—a test to see if the concept would work—Bost said he could waive the requirement that food stamp signups be handled by government workers. But allowing all states to do so would require a change in law, he said.

Food stamp beneficiaries in 43 states already get help with problems such as replacing lost cards by calling toll-free numbers of companies that are contracted by states to operate help lines. Some of these contracts "outsource" calls overseas, but it is unclear how many.

Outsourcing of jobs has become a political issue after President Bush's chief economic adviser said it benefits the economy, a position that was challenged by leaders in both parties this election year.

Rep. Marcy Kaptur of Ohio, the senior Democrat on the House subcommittee, said at the hearing that the Agriculture Department should be prohibiting all outsourcing of food stamp calls.

Rep. Allen Boyd, D-Fla., another member of the subcommittee, said he doesn't "understand how you would determine food stamp eligibility without a face-to-face interview."

Easy, responded Bost. "We have got such sophistication in this country that potentially I can get a loan for a couple of hundred thousand dollars and never see anybody face to face," Bost said.

MAKING AMERICA ENERGY INDEPENDENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Idaho (Mr. OTTER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. OTTER. Madam Speaker, those of us lucky enough to live in Idaho and the Northwest are blessed. Besides the unmatched beauty and the incredible quality of life we enjoy, our rivers provide us with relatively abundant and affordable hydropower that gives us a competitive advantage in the world marketplace.

As residents of an arid State, my Idaho colleagues and I know better than to take it for granted. Yet despite being a Nation that depends too heavily on foreign sources of fossil fuels, America for too long has taken energy for granted. We have gone a dozen years now without a national energy policy. The time to change that is now.

As our economic recovery picks up steam, it is more important than ever that the United States maintain an abundant and reliable energy supply; and, frankly, we are not going to achieve that without the kind of comprehensive national energy policy already passed three times in this House.

While the recent rise in energy costs has caught many consumers by surprise, it is important to remember that the energy supplies and price concerns are nothing new. Many of us in Congress, especially on this side of the aisle, along with energy industry observers and analysts, have long been warning of the energy train wreck that is about to happen.

And it is not just about oil and gas. A national energy policy must address a relicensing process for hydropower dams that has become a cumbersome and inordinately expensive proposition. It must make a sound commitment to alternative energy production and provide reasonable incentives for market-driven conservation, and it must set the stage for a new generation of safe and more efficient nuclear reactors that could further improve our energy independence.

Indeed, I am more optimistic than ever about the potential for nuclear power. One of the ways in which we can reverse the mistakes of the past decade and start down the right track toward a stable domestic energy marketplace is through the expanded use of clean nuclear energy.

Nuclear power stands out as an obvious answer to the many energy-related challenges we now face. Back home, the Idaho National Energy Engineering and Environmental Laboratory and the Argonne National Laboratory-West are working on the next generation of nuclear reactors. Their vision for nuclear energy is one that will provide America's energy consumers with a cheap, reliable, environmentally friendly and inherently safe source of electricity as far into the future as the human mind can envision.

I believe that the Idaho labs are headed in the right direction, and I am

committed to helping them achieve their mission to enhance our Nation's nuclear power capabilities. I want to share with Members just a few reasons why I believe in the potential for nuclear power.

First, nothing is burned in a nuclear reactor, so there are no emissions into the atmosphere. In fact, nuclear energy is responsible for over a 90 percent reduction in the greenhouse gas emissions coming from the energy industry since 1973.

Between 1973 and 1996, nuclear power accounted for emissions reductions of 34.6 million tons of nitrogen oxide and 80.2 million tons of sulfur dioxide, and over the past 10 years nuclear plants have produced over 5 trillion kilowatt hours of electricity production, with absolutely zero carbon dioxide, sulfur dioxide or particulate matter emissions. Beyond those benefits, existing and emerging technologies will solve the complexities of storing and recycling spent nuclear fuels.

Second, nuclear power is a safe, reliable abundant source of power. Not only does the world contain plenty of resources for fueling nuclear reactors, but existing and emerging technologies will provide even greater efficiencies in the use of nuclear fuel.

Finally, nuclear energy is a homegrown technology. Thanks to the men and women who have worked in Idaho's labs over the past 5 decades, our Nation has long been the world leader in nuclear technology and continues to be the world's largest consumer of nuclear energy.

□ 1915

The bottom line is this: Without a comprehensive national energy policy, America is a nation at risk.

Congress must act decisively to send President Bush a blueprint for lowering natural gas prices, creating jobs, and making us more independent and secure. Only then will America be the architects of their own destiny.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. GINNY BROWN-WAITE) Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFAZIO) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. DEFAZIO addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

INVESTING IN AMERICA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. Jackson-Lee) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. Madam Speaker, I want to follow the chain of thought of the distinguished gentle-woman from Ohio and indicate the importance of focusing on employing America.

I am very proud to be able to salute a businessperson in my community by the name of Anthony Chase, who