

SHELBY, Mr. SMITH, Ms. SNOWE, Ms. STABENOW, Mr. STEVENS, Mr. SUNUNU, Mr. TALENT, Mr. THOMAS, Mr. VOINOVICH, Mr. WARNER, and Mr. WYDEN) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

Resolved, That the Senate finds that:

(1) Social Security provides a relatively modest insurance benefit for seniors—many of whom rely on Social Security for part or all of their monthly income. Without Social Security, forty, forty eight percent of beneficiaries would be in poverty today.

(2) In order to protect benefit levels against inflation, Social Security beneficiaries receive an annual cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) based on Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers (CPI-W).

(3) The January 2003 COLA provided only a 1.4 percent increase in Social Security benefits, increasing the average monthly benefit for all retired workers by only \$13 (from \$882 to 895).

(4) Annual growth in Medicare premiums and out-of-pocket health care costs for retired individuals on fixed incomes far exceeded the small COLA increases provided to Social Security beneficiaries.

(5) Reducing COLAs will disproportionately harm low-income Social Security beneficiaries and push millions of seniors into poverty.

SEC. 2.

Sense of the Senate. It is the sense of the Senate that Social Security cost-of-living adjustments should not be reduced.

SENATE RESOLUTION 156—TO AUTHORIZE REPRESENTATION BY THE SENATE LEGAL COUNSEL IN THE CASE OF JUDICIAL WATCH, INC. V. UNITED STATES, ET AL

Mr. FRIST (for himself and Mr. DASCHLE) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 156

Whereas, the United States Senate, Emily J. Reynolds, Secretary of the Senate, and William H. Pickle, Senate Sergeant at Arms, have been named as defendants in the case of *Judicial Watch, Inc. v. United States Senate, et al.*, No. 1:03CV01066, now pending in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia;

Whereas, pursuant to sections 703(a) and 704(a)(1) of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, 2 U.S.C. §§ 288b(a) and 288(a)(1), the Senate may direct its counsel to defend the Senate and officers of the Senate in civil actions relating to their official responsibilities: Now therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate Legal Counsel is authorized to represent the United States Senate, Emily J. Reynolds, Secretary of the Senate, and William H. Pickle, Senate Sergeant at Arms, in the case of *Judicial Watch, Inc. v. United States Senate, et al.*

SENATE RESOLUTION 157—TO AUTHORIZE THE PRINTING OF THE PRAYERS OF REVEREND LLOYD JOHN OGILVIE

Mr. LOTT submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Rules and Administration:

S. RES. 157

Resolved,

SECTION 1. AUTHORIZATION OF PRINTING.

(a) IN GENERAL.—There shall be printed with an appropriate illustration as a Senate document, the prayers by the Reverend Lloyd John Ogilvie, Doctor of Divinity, the Chaplain of the Senate, at the opening of the daily sessions of the Senate during the One Hundred and Fifth Congress, One Hundred and Sixth Congress, One Hundred and Seventh Congress, and One Hundred and Eighth Congress, together with any other prayers offered by him during that period in his official capacity as Chaplain of the Senate.

(b) ADDITIONAL COPIES.—There shall be printed such additional copies not to exceed \$3,000 in cost of such documents for the use of the Joint Committee on Printing.

SEC. 2. OVERSIGHT OF PRINTING.

The copy of the document authorized under section 1 shall be prepared under the direction of the Joint Committee on Printing.

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 47—RECOGNIZING THE OUTSTANDING EFFORTS OF THE INDIVIDUALS AND COMMUNITIES WHO VOLUNTEERED OR DONATED ITEMS TO THE NORTH PLATTE CANTEN IN NORTH PLATTE, NEBRASKA, DURING WORLD WAR II FROM DECEMBER 25, 1941, TO APRIL 1, 1946

Mr. HAGEL (for himself and Mr. NELSON of Nebraska) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary:

S. CON. RES. 47

Whereas, at the beginning of World War II, residents of North Platte, Nebraska, received information that members of the Nebraska National Guard from the North Platte area would be traveling through the community of North Platte on a troop train en route to the west coast;

Whereas residents of the North Platte community met the troop train with food and other gifts for the troops when the train arrived at the Union Pacific train station on December 17, 1941;

Whereas, although the troop train carried young men from Kansas instead of members of the Nebraska National Guard, the residents of North Platte presented the young men from Kansas with the food and other items that were donated;

Whereas Rae Wilson, of North Platte, proposed to her community the idea of establishing the North Platte Canteen so that residents could greet every troop train that traveled through North Platte and provide the military troops en route to serving their country in World War II with comforts from home;

Whereas, on December 25, 1941, the North Platte Canteen began serving food and other items to the United States military troops traveling across the United States to either the east or west coast before being shipped overseas;

Whereas, during World War II, the North Platte Canteen greeted and served food to approximately 6,000,000 men and women from every State in the Union;

Whereas individuals from 125 communities in Nebraska, Colorado, and Kansas donated food and volunteered at the North Platte Canteen during the approximately 5-year period in which it operated;

Whereas the North Platte Canteen operated strictly with volunteers from local communities, organizations, churches, schools, and other groups, and without any Federal assistance;

Whereas the North Platte Canteen received \$137,000 in cash contributions from benefit dances, scrap-metal drives, school victory clubs, donation cans in local businesses, and relatives of servicemembers who traveled through the Canteen to help maintain the Canteen's operations for about 5 years;

Whereas the North Platte Canteen served each month about 40,000 homemade cookies, 30,000 hard-boiled eggs, 6,500 doughnuts, 4,000 loaves of bread, 3,000 pounds of meat, 450 pounds of cheese, 60 quarts of peanut butter, 1,350 pounds of coffee, 1,200 quarts of cream, 750 dozen rolls, and 600 birthday cakes; and

Whereas the North Platte Canteen was honored by the United States Army with the presentation of the Meritorious Wartime Service Award by the Secretary of War: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring), That Congress—

(1) recognizes the outstanding efforts of the individuals and communities involved with the North Platte Canteen to dispense food and good cheer to the approximately 6,000,000 members of the United States Armed Forces who traveled on troop trains through North Platte, Nebraska, from December 25, 1941, through April 1, 1946, during World War II; and

(2) requests the President to issue a proclamation recognizing the heroic efforts of those patriotic Americans who made enormous sacrifices to make the North Platte Canteen a successful expression of the warmth and caring of home for soldiers, sailors, airmen, and Marines of our Nation making their way to war.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED & PROPOSED

SA 832. Mr. KENNEDY (for himself, Mrs. CLINTON, Mr. SARBANES, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. REED, Mr. DAYTON, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. DASCHLE, and Mr. REID) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the joint resolution H.J. Res. 51, increasing the statutory limit on the public debt.

SA 833. Mr. BAUCUS proposed an amendment to the joint resolution H.J. Res. 51, supra.

SA 834. Mr. DASCHLE proposed an amendment to the joint resolution H.J. Res. 51, supra.

SA 835. Mr. FEINGOLD (for himself, Mr. CARPER, Ms. CANTWELL, and Mrs. FEINSTEIN) proposed an amendment to the joint resolution H.J. Res. 51, supra.

SA 836. Mr. HOLLINGS proposed an amendment to the joint resolution H.J. Res. 51, supra.

SA 837. Mr. DORGAN proposed an amendment to the joint resolution H.J. Res. 51, supra.

SA 838. Mr. HARKIN proposed an amendment to the joint resolution H.J. Res. 51, supra.

SA 839. Mr. DURBIN proposed an amendment to the joint resolution H.J. Res. 51, supra.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 832. Mr. KENNEDY (for himself, Mrs. CLINTON, Mr. SARBANES, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. REED, Mr. DAYTON, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. DASCHLE, and Mr. REID) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the joint resolution H.J. Res. 51, increasing the statutory limit on the public debt; as follows:

At the end add the following:

SEC. 2. EXTENSION OF THE TEMPORARY EXTENDED UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION ACT OF 2002.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 208 of the Temporary Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-147; 116 Stat. 30), as amended by Public Law 108-1 (117 Stat. 3), is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(2), by striking “before June 1” and inserting “on or before December 31”;

(2) in subsection (b)(1), by striking “May 31, 2003” and inserting “December 31, 2003”;

(3) in subsection (b)(2)—

(A) in the heading, by striking “MAY 31, 2003” and inserting “DECEMBER 31, 2003”; and

(B) by striking “May 31, 2003” and inserting “December 31, 2003”; and

(4) in subsection (b)(3), by striking “August 30, 2003” and inserting “March 31, 2004”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect as if included in the enactment of the Temporary Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-147; 116 Stat. 21).

SEC. 3. ADDITIONAL WEEKS OF TEMPORARY EXTENDED UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION FOR EXHAUSTEES.

(a) ADDITIONAL WEEKS.—Section 203 of the Temporary Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-147; 116 Stat. 28) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(d) INCREASED AMOUNTS IN ACCOUNT FOR CERTAIN EXHAUSTEES.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of an eligible exhaustee, this Act shall be applied as follows:

“(A) Subsection (b)(1)(A) shall be applied by substituting ‘100 percent’ for ‘50 percent’.

“(B) Subsection (b)(1)(B) shall be applied by substituting ‘26 times’ for ‘13 times’.

“(C) Subsection (c)(1) shall be applied by substituting ‘7 times the individual’s average weekly benefit amount for the benefit year’ for ‘the amount originally established in such account (as determined under subsection (b)(1))’.

“(D) Section 208(b) shall be applied—

“(i) in paragraph (1), as if “, including such compensation payable by reason of amounts deposited in such account after such date pursuant to the application of subsection (c) of such section” were inserted before the period at the end;

“(ii) as if paragraph (2) had not been enacted; and

“(iii) in paragraph (3), by substituting “October 18, 2003” for “March 31, 2004”.

“(2) ELIGIBLE EXHAUSTEE DEFINED.—For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘eligible exhaustee’ means an individual—

“(A) to whom any temporary extended unemployment compensation was payable for any week beginning before the date of enactment of this subsection; and

“(B) who exhausted such individual’s rights to such compensation (by reason of the payment of all amounts in such individual’s temporary extended unemployment compensation account, including amounts deposited in such account by reason of subsection (c)) before such date of enactment.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE AND APPLICATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to weeks of unemployment beginning on or after the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) TEUC-X AMOUNTS DEPOSITED IN ACCOUNT PRIOR TO DATE OF ENACTMENT DEEMED TO BE THE ADDITIONAL TEUC AMOUNTS PROVIDED BY THIS SECTION.—In applying the amendment made by subsection (a) under the Temporary Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-147; 116 Stat. 26), the Secretary of Labor shall deem any amounts deposited into an eligible exhaustee’s (as defined in section 203(d)(2) of the Temporary

Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 2002, as added by subsection (a)) temporary extended unemployment compensation account by reason of section 203(c) of such Act (commonly known as “TEUC-X amounts”) prior to the date of enactment of this Act to be amounts deposited in such account by reason of section 203(b) of such Act, as amended by subsection (a) (commonly known as “TEUC amounts”).

(3) REDETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY FOR AUGMENTED AMOUNTS FOR ALL ELIGIBLE EXHAUSTEES.—The determination of whether the eligible exhaustee’s (as so defined) State was in an extended benefit period under section 203(c) of such Act that was made prior to the date of enactment of this Act shall be disregarded and the determination under such section, as amended by subsection (a) with respect to eligible exhaustees (as so defined), shall be made as follows:

(A) ELIGIBLE EXHAUSTEES WHO RECEIVED AND EXHAUSTED TEUC-X AMOUNTS.—In the case of an eligible exhaustee whose temporary extended unemployment account was augmented under such section 203(c) before the date of enactment of this Act, the determination shall be made as of such date of enactment.

(B) ELIGIBLE EXHAUSTEES WHO EXHAUSTED TEUC AMOUNTS BUT WERE NOT ELIGIBLE FOR TEUC-X AMOUNTS.—In the case of an eligible exhaustee whose temporary extended unemployment account was not augmented under such section 203(c) as of the date of enactment of this Act, the determination shall be made at the time that the individual’s account established under section 203 of the Temporary Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-147; 116 Stat. 28), as amended by subsection (a), is exhausted.

SEC. 4. TEMPORARY AVAILABILITY OF EXTENDED UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS UNDER THE RAILROAD UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE ACT FOR EMPLOYEES WITH LESS THAN 10 YEARS OF SERVICE.

Section 2(c)(2) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act (45 U.S.C. 352(c)(2)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(D) TEMPORARY AVAILABILITY OF EXTENDED UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS FOR EMPLOYEES WITH LESS THAN 10 YEARS OF SERVICE.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (ii), in the case of an employee who has less than 10 years of service (as so defined), with respect to extended unemployment benefits, this paragraph shall apply to such an employee in the same manner as this paragraph applies to an employee who has 10 or more years of service (as so defined).

“(ii) APPLICATION.—Clause (i) shall apply to—

“(I) an employee who received normal benefits for days of unemployment under this Act during the period beginning on July 1, 2002, and ending on November 30, 2003; and

“(II) days of unemployment beginning on or after the date of enactment of this subparagraph.”.

SA 833. Mr. BAUCUS proposed an amendment to the joint resolution H.J. Res. 51, increasing the statutory limit on the public debt; as follows:

Strike “7,384,000,000,000” and insert “6,750,000,000,000”.

SA 834. Mr. DASCHLE proposed an amendment to the joint resolution H.J. Res. 51, increasing the statutory limit on the public debt; as follows:

At the appropriate place add the following:
SEC. . PROTECTING SOCIAL SECURITY BENEFICIARIES FROM COLA CUTS.

(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that:

(1) Social Security provides a relatively modest insurance benefit for seniors—many of whom rely on Social Security for part or all of their monthly income. Without Social Security, forty eight percent of beneficiaries would be in poverty today.

(2) In order to protect benefit levels against inflation, Social Security beneficiaries receive an annual cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) based on Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers (CPI-W).

(3) The January 2003 COLA provided only a 1.4 percent increase in Social Security benefits, increasing the average monthly benefit for all retired workers by only \$13 (from \$882 to 895).

(4) Annual growth in Medicare premiums and out-of-pocket health care costs for retired individuals on fixed incomes far exceeded the small COLA increases provided to Social Security beneficiaries.

(5) Reducing COLAs will disproportionately harm low-income Social Security beneficiaries and push millions of seniors into poverty.

(b) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that Social Security cost-of-living adjustments should not be reduced.

SA 835. Mr. FEINGOLD (for himself, Mr. CARPER, Ms. CANTWELL, and Mrs. FEINSTEIN) proposed an amendment to the joint resolution H.J. Res. 51, increasing the statutory limit on the public debt; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

SEC. . EXTENSION OF PAY-AS-YOU-GO.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 275(b) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (2 U.S.C. 900 note) is amended by striking “2006” and inserting “2008”.

(b) EXTENSION OF PAY-AS-YOU-GO.—Section 252 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (2 U.S.C. 902) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “2002” and inserting “2008”; and

(2) in subsection (b), by striking “2002” and inserting “2008”.

(c) APPLICATION.—Section 252 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (2 U.S.C. 902), as amended by this section, shall not apply to direct spending and receipts legislation enacted prior to the enactment of this section.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect September 30, 2002.

SA 836. Mr. HOLLINGS proposed an amendment to the joint resolution H.J. Res. 51, increasing the statutory limit on the public debt; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

SECTION 1. LIMITABILITY OF PUBLIC DEBT TRUST FUNDS.

(a) PROTECTION OF SOCIAL SECURITY TRUST FUNDS.—

(1) DELAY OR FAILURE TO INVEST.—No officer or employee of the United States shall—

(A) delay the deposit of any amount into (or delay the credit of any amount to) any social security trust fund or otherwise vary from the normal terms, procedures, or timing for making such deposits or credits; or

(B) refrain from the investment in public debt obligations of amounts in any such fund.

(2) EARLY REDEMPTION.—No officer or employee of the United States shall redeem prior to maturity amounts in any social security trust fund which are invested in public debt obligations for any other purpose

other than payment of benefits or administrative expenses from such fund.

(b) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term “public debt obligation” means any obligation subject to the public debt limit established under section 3101 of title 31, United States Code.

SEC. 2. CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.

Subsections (j), (k), and (l) of section 8348 and subsections (g) and (h) of section 8438 of title 5, United States Code, are repealed.

SA 837. Mr. DORGAN proposed an amendment to the joint resolution H.J. Res. 51, increasing the statutory limit on the public debt; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

SEC. ____ FOREIGN DEBT CEILING.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) The United States has become the world’s largest net debtor Nation, having run up massive trade deficits in the 1990s.

(2) At the end of 2001, the net United States foreign debt stood at over \$2,300,000,000,000.

(3) The United States foreign debt position worsened in 2002, when the United States had a record trade deficit of over \$436,000,000,000, equivalent to 4.1 percent of the United States GDP that year.

(4) The large and growing United States foreign debt represents claims on United States assets by foreign nationals, which will eventually have to be repaid. If unchecked, the foreign debt could seriously undermine our children’s future standard of living.

(5) Moreover, the growing accumulation of foreign claims on United States assets, including nearly \$1,200,000,000,000 in United States Treasury securities, makes the United States economy vulnerable to the whims of foreign investors.

(6) Congress presently places a ceiling on United States public debt, but does not place a ceiling on United States foreign debt.

(7) Just as Congress recognized the importance of placing a ceiling on the United States public debt, it is appropriate that Congress place a limit on the United States foreign debt.

(b) ACTIONS TRIGGERED BY UNITED STATES FOREIGN DEBT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than the 15th day of the second month after the date of enactment of this Act, and every 3 months thereafter, the United States Trade Representative shall determine if—

(A) the net United States foreign debt for the preceding 12-month period is more than 25 percent of United States GDP for the same period; or

(B) the United States trade deficit for the preceding 12-month period is more than 5 percent of United States GDP for the same period.

(2) ACTION BY USTR.—Whenever an affirmative determination is made under paragraph (1) (A) or (B), the United States Trade Representative shall—

(A) within 15 days of the determination, convene an emergency meeting of the Trade Policy Review Group to develop a plan of action to reduce the United States trade deficit; and

(B) within 45 days of the determination, present to Congress a report detailing the Trade Policy Review Group’s trade deficit reduction plan.

(c) MEASUREMENT OF FOREIGN DEBT.—

(1) STATISTICAL SOURCES.—For purposes of the calculations described in subsection (b)(1), the United States Trade Representative shall rely on the most recent period for which the following data, published by the Department of Commerce, is available:

(A) In the case of United States foreign debt, the United States Trade Representative shall use the net international investment position of the United States, with direct investment positions determined at market value, as compiled by the Bureau of Economic Analysis.

(B) In the case of the United States trade deficit, the United States Trade Representative shall use the goods and services trade deficit data compiled by the United States Census Bureau.

(C) In the case of the United States GDP, the United States Trade Representative shall use the nominal gross domestic product data compiled by the Bureau of Economic Analysis.

(2) ADJUSTMENT.—The United States Trade Representative may adjust the data described in paragraph (1) to ensure that the determination is made for comparable time periods.

SA 838. Mr. HARKIN proposed an amendment to the joint resolution H.J. Res. 51, increasing the statutory limit on the public debt; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert:

SEC. ____ TELL THE TRUE COST OF TAX BILLS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—If the Joint Committee on Taxation prepares an estimate of any applicable proposed change in Federal revenue law, the committee shall include with such estimate an estimate of the decrease in Federal revenues which—

(1) in the case of an applicable proposed change described in subsection (b)(1), would have occurred without regard to the reduction or termination described in such subsection during the portion of the period covered by the estimate after the reduction or termination, and

(2) in the case of an applicable proposed change described in subsection (b)(2), will occur during the 10-fiscal year period beginning with the fiscal year following the first fiscal year in which the proposed change becomes fully effective.

(b) APPLICABLE PROPOSED CHANGE.—For purposes of this section, the term “applicable proposed change” means any of the following proposed changes in Federal revenue law:

(1) SUNSET OR REDUCED CHANGES.—Any proposed change which—

(A) when fully effective will have an estimated decrease in Federal revenues of more than \$1,000,000,000 in each fiscal year, and

(B) provides for the termination of such change, or a reduction in such revenue decrease, on or before the close of the period covered by the estimate which the Joint Committee on Taxation is otherwise preparing for such proposed change.

(2) DELAY IN FULL EFFECT.—Any proposed change which—

(A) becomes fully effective at any time during the last 4 years of the period covered by the estimate which the Joint Committee on Taxation is otherwise preparing for such proposed change, and

(B) when fully effective will have an estimated decrease in Federal revenues of more than \$1,000,000,000 in each fiscal year.

SA 839. Mr. DURBIN proposed an amendment to the joint resolution H.J. Res. 51, increasing the statutory limit on the public debt; as follows:

At the end of the resolution, insert the following:

SEC. ____ CBO REPORT ON DEBT IMPACT OF BUDGET RESOLUTION.

Section 301 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 632) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(j) CBO DEBT IMPACT REPORT.—Each budget resolution reported out by the Committee on the Budget of the House of Representatives or the Senate shall be accompanied by a report from CBO containing CBO’s best estimate of the following:

“(1) The amount of new debt subject to limit, in aggregate and divided by the most recent estimate of the United States population, according to the Bureau of the Census, that would be created if the budget resolution is adhered to, assuming reserve funds are spent and reconciliation instructions are fully complied with.

“(2) The amount of new debt subject to limit, if any, in aggregate and divided by the most recent estimate of the United States population, according to the Bureau of the Census, that would have been created if the budget resolution simply reflected the CBO baseline without policy changes.

“(3) The difference between paragraphs (1) and (2).

“(4) Of the amount determined in paragraph (3)—

“(A) the amount of new debt subject to limit, in aggregate and divided by the most recent estimate of the United States population, according to the Bureau of the Census, that is attributable to tax changes; and

“(B) the amount of new debt subject to limit, in aggregate and divided by the most recent estimate of the United States population, according to the Bureau of the Census, that is attributable to policy changes other than tax changes.”

PROVIDING FOR A CONDITIONAL ADJOURNMENT OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AND A CONDITIONAL RECESS OR ADJOURNMENT OF THE SENATE.

Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of H. Con. Res. 191, which is at the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the concurrent resolution by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 191) providing for a conditional adjournment of the House of Representatives and a conditional recess or adjournment of the Senate.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the concurrent resolution.

Mr. FRIST. I ask unanimous consent that the concurrent resolution be agreed to and the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 191) was agreed to, as follows:

H. CON. RES. 191

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring). That when the House adjourns on the legislative day of Thursday, May 22, 2003, Friday, May 23, 2003, or Saturday, May 24, 2003, on a motion offered pursuant to this concurrent resolution by its Majority Leader or his designee, it stand adjourned until 2 p.m. on Monday, June 2, 2003, or until Members are notified to reassemble pursuant to section 2 of this concurrent resolution, whichever occurs first; and that when the Senate recesses or adjourns on Friday, May 23, 2003, or Saturday, May 24, 2003, on a motion offered pursuant to this concurrent resolution by its Majority Leader or his designee, it stand recessed or adjourned until