

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(H) coordinate the operation of a cyber tipline to provide online users an effective means of reporting Internet-related child sexual exploitation in the areas of—

“(i) distribution of child pornography;

“(ii) online enticement of children for sexual acts; and

“(iii) child prostitution.”.

SEC. 4. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 408(a) of the Missing Children’s Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5777(a)) is amended by striking “fiscal years 2000 through 2003” and inserting “fiscal years 2004 through 2007”.

(b) ANNUAL GRANT TO NATIONAL CENTER FOR MISSING AND EXPLOITED CHILDREN.—Section 404(b)(2) of the Missing Children’s Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5773(b)(2)) is amended by striking “\$10,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003” and inserting “\$20,000,000 for each of the fiscal years 2004 through 2007”.

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children is a critical component of our Nation’s battle against child pornography and child exploitation. It is absolutely dedicated to eradicating these evils, and its members work tirelessly towards this end. The Center deserves more than just kind words for these heroic efforts; Federal funding is necessary for it to continue this good work. Indeed, Congress has tasked the Center with many missions, including maintaining the cyber-tipline that receives reports of on-line child pornography, which the Center forwards to appropriate law enforcement officials. In this, as well as many other areas, the Center forms a valuable partnership with both Federal and State law enforcement officials and prosecutors in redressing a host of crimes against children.

The Center’s cause is just and its history of performance is excellent. I am pleased to be the lead cosponsor of legislation that will continue to authorize funding for the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children for the next four years. Senator LEAHY and I introduced this legislation in the 107th Congress, and our bipartisan effort continues in this new Congress. Our bill again authorizes funding at \$20 million per year—twice the previous authorization—in recognition of the severity of the problem and the increased duties the Center has taken on.

As the Chairman of the Judiciary Committee, I am confident that this bill will become law very soon. I hope all of my colleagues will join Senator LEAHY and me in supporting this bill.

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 103—TO AUTHORIZE REPRESENTATION BY THE SENATE LEGAL COUNSEL IN THE CASE OF JOHN JENKEL V. DANIEL K. AKAKA, ET AL.

Mr. FRIST (for himself and Mr. DASCHLE) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 103

Whereas, in the case of John Jenkel v. Daniel K. Akaka, et al., No. C 03-0381 (JCS), pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, the plaintiff has named as defendants ninety-four Members of the Senate;

Whereas, pursuant to sections 703(a) and 704(a)(1) of the ethics in Government Act of 1978, 2 U.S.C. §§288b(a) and 288c(a)(1), the Senate may direct its counsel to defend Members of the Senate in civil actions relating to their official responsibilities: Now therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate Legal Counsel is authorized to represent the Members of the Senate who are defendants in the case of John Jenkel v. Daniel K. Akaka, et al.

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 32—EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING THE PROTECTION OF RELIGIOUS SITES AND THE FREEDOM OF ACCESS AND WORSHIP

Mr. GRAHAM of South Carolina (for himself, Mr. SANTORUM, Mr. BUNNING, Mr. NICKLES, Mr. CRAIG, and Mr. CRAPO) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations:

S. CON. RES. 32

Whereas throughout time various groups have felt special attachment to places that they considered sacred and holy, and the sacred texts of the great historical religions include accounts of specific places where individuals or groups experienced significant encounters with God;

Whereas holy places create a memory of these encounters with the divine and are a part of the character of every religious tradition;

Whereas holy places are as much a common feature of the religious traditions of humanity as are sacred time, ceremonies, and prayer;

Whereas one of the results of the identification of locations as sacred is that these places can become the focus for the tensions between the members of different religious communities;

Whereas a place that is considered holy by one group can come to be claimed by adherents of another tradition, and as a result holy places can become the source of conflict as much as of spiritual expression;

Whereas when religious communities tragically fall into estrangement or antagonism, the holy places of each community often become the target of violence or vengeance instead of veneration and reverence, and people act out their contempt and anger through occupation, desecration, and destruction;

Whereas the location of many holy sites of the three main monotheistic religions are located in the State of Israel and in the Palestinian territory;

Whereas this region is especially important to the followers of Judaism, Islam, and Christianity, and many visitors from around the world travel to these sites for personal and religious inspiration;

Whereas under British control the Palestine Mandate of 1922 contained a number of provisions ensuring freedom of religion and conscience and protection of holy places, as well as prohibiting discrimination on religious grounds;

Whereas the Palestine Order in Council of that same year provided that “all persons . . . shall enjoy full liberty of conscience and

free exercise of their forms of worship, subject only to the maintenance of public order and morals” and “no ordinance shall be promulgated which shall restrict complete freedom of conscience and the free exercise of all forms of worship.”;

Whereas these provisions of the Mandate and the Palestine Orders in Councils have been recognized in the Israeli legal system and are instructive of Israeli policy in safeguarding freedom of conscience and religion;

Whereas the Israeli Declaration of Independence of 1948 is another legal source that guarantees freedom of religion and conscience, and equality of social and political rights irrespective of religion;

Whereas this document states “the State of Israel . . . will be based on freedom, justice, and peace as envisaged by the Prophets of Israel; it will ensure complete equality of social and political rights to all its inhabitants irrespective of religion, race, or sex; it will guarantee freedom of religion, conscience, language, education, and culture.”;

Whereas this document expresses Israel’s vision and its credo, and adherence to these principles is guaranteed by law;

Whereas each religious community within Israel is free to exercise its faith, observe its own holy days and weekly day of rest, and administer its own internal affairs;

Whereas the Israeli Protection of Holy Places Law of 1967 states that freedom of access and worship is ensured at all places of worship and religious significance;

Whereas this law states “the Holy Places shall be protected from desecration and any other violation and from anything likely to violate the freedom of access of members of the various religions to the places sacred to them, or their feelings with regard to those places.”;

Whereas Israel has worked to abolish discriminatory laws and adopt standards of safeguarding access to holy sites;

Whereas in the past fifty-five years Israel has striven to assure the safety of all religions;

Whereas the holy sites in Israel and Palestinian regions should be protected from desecration and any other violation;

Whereas two years ago, in Nablus, the Tomb of Joseph was ransacked and set on fire on live television, and in retaliation a group twice attempted to burn a mosque in the center of Tiberias;

Whereas these actions were followed by attempts to destroy an ancient Jewish synagogue in Jericho;

Whereas last spring, during the Easter season, heavy unrest in the West Bank resulted in a stalemate between Israeli soldiers and over 100 Palestinian fighters in the Church of the Nativity in Bethlehem; and

Whereas this deadlock lasted over a month and prevented anyone from visiting this church of great historical and religious importance: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring),

SECTION 1. SENSE OF CONGRESS.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) holy sites around the world, particularly in the Israeli and Palestinian region, should be protected from desecration and any other violation;

(2) the freedom of access of members of the various religions to the holy sites sacred to them should not be hindered;

(3) to assure the safety of American citizens, the holy sites currently under the sovereignty of the State of Israel should remain under Israeli protection, and that all holy sites in the region remain open to visitors of all faiths;

(4) the Department of State should continue to warn and protect Americans overseas at holy sites and regions of historical and religious significance; and

(5) we should condemn all violence directed against holy sites.

SEC. 2. DEFINITION OF HOLY SITE.

As used in this resolution, "holy site" means a historic location specifically set apart for religious purposes.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED & PROPOSED

SA 435. Mr. STEVENS proposed an amendment to the bill S. 762, making supplemental appropriations to support Department of Defense operations in Iraq, Department of Homeland Security, and Related Efforts for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2003, and for other purposes.

SA 436. Mr. STEVENS (for himself, Mr. INOUE, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. WARNER, Mr. CHAMBLISS, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mrs. DOLE, Mr. DASCHLE, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. LEVIN, Mrs. BOXER, and Mrs. CLINTON) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 762, supra.

SA 437. Mr. DURBIN (for himself and Mr. LEVIN) proposed an amendment to amendment SA 436 proposed by Mr. STEVENS (for himself, Mr. INOUE, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. WARNER, Mr. CHAMBLISS, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mrs. DOLE, Mr. DASCHLE, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. LEVIN, Mrs. BOXER, and Mrs. CLINTON) to the bill S. 762, supra.

SA 438. Mrs. CLINTON (for herself and Mr. LEAHY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 439. Mrs. FEINSTEIN (for herself, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mr. DODD, and Mr. DAYTON) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 440. Mr. REID (for himself, Mrs. CLINTON, Mr. SCHUMER, Mr. LIEBERMAN, and Ms. STABENOW) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 762, supra.

SA 441. Mr. LEAHY (for himself, Mr. CRAIG, Mr. KERRY, Mr. SCHUMER, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mr. BINGAMAN, Mrs. LINCOLN, Mr. HARKIN, Mr. PRYOR, Mr. SARBANES, Mrs. MURRAY, Mr. WARNER, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. FEINGOLD, Mr. JEFFORDS, Mr. CRAPO, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. KOHL, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. ALLEN, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. REID, Mrs. CLINTON, Mr. CAMPBELL, Mr. LIEBERMAN, Mr. DAYTON, Mr. COLEMAN, Ms. SNOWE, Mr. CHAFEE, Mr. REED, Mr. ALLARD, Mr. BURNS, Mr. DORGAN, Mr. LUGAR, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, Mr. ROBERTS, Ms. LANDRIEU, Mr. LEVIN, and Ms. COLLINS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 442. Mr. EDWARDS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 443. Mr. ENSIGN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 444. Mr. BUNNING submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 445. Mr. HOLLINGS (for himself, Ms. STABENOW, Mrs. BOXER, Mr. SCHUMER, Mr. GRAHAM of Florida, Mr. KERRY, and Mr. BREAUX) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 762, supra.

SA 446. Mr. EDWARDS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 447. Mr. REID submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 448. Mr. KERRY (for himself, Ms. SNOWE, and Mr. BENNETT) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 449. Mrs. FEINSTEIN (for herself, Mr. BINGAMAN, and Mr. SCHUMER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 450. Mr. DODD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 451. Mr. ALLARD (for himself, Mr. WARNER, Mr. PRYOR, Mr. MCCAIN, Mr. GRAHAM of Florida, Mr. GRAHAM of South Carolina, Mrs. DOLE, Mr. CHAMBLISS, Mr. NELSON of Florida, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. CORNYN, Mrs. CLINTON, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. LIEBERMAN, and Mr. DODD) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 762, supra.

SA 452. Ms. LANDRIEU proposed an amendment to the bill S. 762, supra.

SA 453. Mr. ALLEN (for himself, Mr. HARKIN, and Mr. HOLLINGS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 454. Mr. WYDEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 455. Mr. KOHL (for himself, Mr. LEAHY, Mr. BYRD, Mr. BIDEN, Mrs. MURRAY, Mr. HARKIN, and Mr. NELSON of Florida) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 456. Mr. MCCAIN proposed an amendment to the bill S. 762, supra.

SA 457. Mr. EDWARDS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 458. Mr. WYDEN (for himself and Mr. SMITH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 459. Mr. GRAHAM of Florida (for himself, Mr. KERRY, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mrs. MURRAY, Mr. DORGAN, Mr. DAYTON, Mr. DASCHLE, Mr. JOHNSON, Ms. LANDRIEU, Mr. LAUTENBERG, and Mrs. CLINTON) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 460. Mr. BINGAMAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 461. Mr. COCHRAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 462. Mr. CORZINE (for himself and Mr. EDWARDS) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 762, supra.

SA 463. Mr. WYDEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 464. Mr. DODD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 465. Mr. DODD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 466. Mr. SMITH (for himself and Mr. HATCH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 467. Mr. KYL (for himself and Mr. CAMPBELL) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 468. Mr. MCCAIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 469. Mr. FRIST (for Ms. COLLINS (for himself, Mr. CARPER, and Mr. LIEBERMAN)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 380, to amend chapter 83 of title 5, United States Code, to reform the funding of benefits under the Civil Service Retirement System for employees of the United States Postal Service, and for other purposes.

SA 470. Mr. BAYH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 762, making supplemental appropriations to support Department of Defense operations in Iraq, Department of Homeland Security, and Related Efforts for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2003, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 435. Mr. STEVENS proposed an amendment to the bill S. 762, making supplemental appropriations to support Department of Defense operations in Iraq, Department of Homeland Security, and Related Efforts for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2003, and for other purposes; as follows:

Section 3101 of title 31, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) The National Debt Ceiling of the United States shall be increased by the total amount of funds appropriated by Act of Congress for the Department of Defense, Department of Homeland Security or any other Agency of government to prosecute the war against terrorism, the war in Afghanistan, the war in Iraq, since September 11, 2001.

SA 436. Mr. STEVENS (for himself, Mr. INOUE, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. WARNER, Mr. CHAMBLISS, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mrs. DOLE, Mr. DASCHLE, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. LEVIN, Mrs. BOXER, and Mrs. CLINTON) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 762, making supplemental appropriations to support Department of Defense operations in Iraq, Department of Homeland Security, and Related Efforts for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2003, and for other purposes; as follows:

At the end of chapter 3 of title I add the following:

(a) INCREASE IN IMMINENT DANGER SPECIAL PAY.—Section 310(a) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking “\$150” and inserting “\$225”.

(b) INCREASE IN FAMILY SEPARATION ALLOWANCE.—Section 427(a)(1) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking “\$100” and inserting “\$250”.

(c) EXPIRATION.—(1) The amendment made by subsections (a) and (b) shall expire on September 30, 2003.

(2) Effective on September 30, 2003, sections 310(a) of title 37, United States Code, and 427(a)(1) of title 37, United States Code, as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act are hereby revived.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsections (a) and (b) shall take effect on Oct. 1, 2002 and shall apply with respect to months beginning on or after that date.