

Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas. Madam Speaker, as a nurse by basic profession, I have spent much of my public career working to ensure that the Nation's health care system is affordable and provides the best services possible to all Americans. While America still has a world-class health system, there are those whose lives have been threatened by a focus on profits over healing. I believe that a doctor and patient, not an HMO accountant, should make sensitive medical decisions. I also support a plan that would expand Medicare coverage for prescription medication. However, there must be some cost containment agreement with the manufacturers and a streamlining of the Federal administrative structure to reduce costs to beneficiaries.

The Current Population Survey, the CPS, is the primary source for data on Texas's uninsured population. It paints a picture for the state of health care in Texas. My home State currently has the second highest rate of uninsured in the United States behind New Mexico. CPS data shows that there were 4.5 million people without health insurance in Texas, which is about 21.4 percent of the total population.

The rates for the uninsured minority are also quite frightening. Blacks and Latinos are far more likely to be uninsured when compared to their Anglo, or white, counterparts. Nationally, 11.6 percent of the Anglo population, 20.1 percent of the African American population, and 34.8 percent of the Hispanic population are without health insurance; but in Texas, while 12 percent of whites are uninsured, 21.2 percent of the African Americans and 36.7 percent of Hispanics do not have medical coverage.

Finally, one of my most passionate fights has been an effort to expand health care for children. I am a principal supporter of the State Children's Health Insurance Program, CHIP, the program that represents the largest expansion in health care in over 30 years. CHIP covers children not eligible for Medicaid insurance. Unfortunately, the rates for children without health coverage are also reaching alarming numbers. In the United States today, one in five children is without health insurance. In fact, in my home State of Texas 1.6 million children depend solely on health insurance provided by Medicaid. Limited access to health care contributes to growing rates of disease among children.

Studies have shown that good health is a prerequisite for optimal learning, and schools can help children achieve academic success by participating in efforts that promote good health, including access to regular medical and mental health care.

Protecting the health care of children should be the number one priority of any great nation. An investment in the health care of our youth is one of the wisest investments we can make for this country. Now is the time for all Americans to have access to quality

health care and meaningful patient protection. Our citizens deserve and expect nothing less.

REBUILDING IRAQ

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. BROWN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Madam Speaker, in 1838, John Quincy Adams, as a former President, came to this floor as a Member of the House of Representatives. In those days the conservatives in this Congress passed a rule banning the discussion of slavery on the House floor. Congressman John Quincy Adams, former President Adams, was outraged by that rule; but what he did was come to the House floor and a couple of times every week read letters from his constituents opposing slavery, demanding that slavery be abolished in the United States.

Today, 160-some years later, many of us in this Chamber feel an outrage towards what is happening with Iraq and especially that the leaders in this Chamber are unwilling to debate many of the issues around Iraq, how they propose to spend \$87 billion, asking the President for his plans, wanting the President's contributors and contractors in Iraq who are literally receiving hundreds of millions of dollars a week to account for those dollars.

□ 1945

I thought tonight, in the tradition of John Quincy Adams, I would read letters from constituents of mine around the State who are expressing their views about Iraq.

Kim writes, "Why should we spend \$87 billion when our own servicemen and women who were in Iraq only got one meal MRE, meal ready to eat, per day, went 30 days without showers, not enough heavy artillery or ammunition. They fought hard in Iraq and then come back and don't even get the GI Bill to pay for their educations and medical. Use the \$87 billion to compensate our military personnel first." That is Kim.

A veteran, Jack, writes, "Just a very short few months ago, we were asked, no told, that we had to turn over \$70 billion," that was the first \$70 billion, "for the war in Iraq. That money was dispensed," Jack, a Vietnam vet writes, "on the backs of veterans in decreased benefits; schools, health care, Social Security, Medicare, redistribution of wealth through the Bush tax cuts, even the active duty military was not excluded from cuts. Now the administration is asking for another \$87 billion. Who's going to get thrown out in the cold when the next round of cuts come if Bush is given his \$87 billion," Jack, a Vietnam vet, writes.

Michele writes, "The way this grandmother sees it: for whatever the reasons, Bush wanted the war and misled the public to start it. Bush gave a tax cut to many of the wealthiest Ameri-

cans, many of whom stated it was wrong. Bush has accumulated an unprecedented amount of campaign financing from these wealthy friends."

What these letters all home in on, Madam Speaker, is that we are today spending \$1 billion a week in Iraq. \$300 million of that \$1 billion is going to private contractors, many of them going to Halliburton, one of the largest companies in the United States, a company which still pays Vice President CHENEY who used to work there, still pays him \$13,000 a month, and people want these hundreds of millions of dollars of tax dollars going to these private contractors, people want them accounted for, as we can see in these letters.

Joseph writes, "We are between a rock and a hard place. We are over there because of lies and it looks as if we will be stuck there for many years to come. First, this administration should roll back the tax cuts for the wealthy."

If my colleagues recall, Congress passed, at the President's urging, tax cuts, literally hundreds of billions of dollars of tax cuts where 43 percent of those tax cuts went to the richest 1 percent of people in this country. That is what Joe is writing about.

"In order to increase their now questionable integrity, this administration should agree to turn over total control of Iraq and its oil supplies to the U.N. and cooperate with the U.N. and our other allies 100 percent."

Again, Joe who writes in is troubled by the fact that we are giving hundreds of millions of dollars a week to private contractors who are not accountable, many of them the President's contributors, most of them the President's friends, and one of those companies a company that is still paying Vice President CHENEY \$13,000 a month.

The last letter I would like to read is from Joseph. "It appears we have no choice but to spend the \$87 billion, but Congress should make sure that the money comes from a rollback of Mr. Bush's excessive tax cuts for the wealthy, which primarily benefits the rich in this country. I sincerely hope the Congress does not give the money to Mr. Bush without stipulations. Three million Americans have lost their jobs," actually about 3.5 million now. "Three million Americans have lost their jobs in the country since Mr. Bush moved into the White House. More Americans are suffering and dying because they are unable to pay for proper health care and health care insurance. Exactly how we can afford to spend \$87 billion is something that I don't even understand." That is a letter from Joe.

Madam Speaker, I think it is clear what people in this country think. We need answers, we need accountability, and we really need to know the truth.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. MILLER of Michigan). Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman

from Florida (Ms. BROWN-WAITE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. GINNY BROWN-WAITE of Florida addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

WASHINGTON WASTE WATCHERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. HENSARLING) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. HENSARLING. Madam Speaker, I rise tonight, along with my colleague, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MARIO DIAZ-BALART), as cofounder of a new Republican effort dedicated to bringing the disinfectant of sunshine into the shadowy corners of the wasteful Washington bureaucracy. We call ourselves the "Washington Waste Watchers."

Do not be confused, the Washington Waste Watchers are not about counting calories. It is about counting the myriad of ways that the Federal bureaucracy routinely wastes the hard-earned money of the American family. We are here to look after the family budget by checking the growth of the Federal budget.

Madam Speaker, I am sure all of my colleagues are well aware of the size of our Federal deficit. It is large and getting larger every day; and, to compound the challenge, we are presently faced with a supplemental appropriation request of \$87 billion to help fight the war on terror. I believe, after much debate and due diligence, that this body will pass most, if not all, of that request. I, for one, agree that it is far better to fight this war over there, as opposed to over here. And although I have concerns about portions of the request, I fundamentally believe that helping rebuild the infrastructure and the civil society of Iraq is just as important in winning this war as are additional combat troops and munitions.

So, faced with unparalleled homeland security needs and a growing budget deficit, what are we to do?

Democrats say the only way to cut the deficit is to yet again raise the taxes on the American family. Sound familiar? It is the same refrain we have heard from them for years.

We do have a large budget deficit, but it is not because the American people are undertaxed. It is because Washington spends too much.

Since I was born, the Federal budget has grown seven times faster than the family budget; seven times. This is unconscionable. And putting aside the war on terror, the Democrats, who claim to be concerned about budget deficits, have voted to spend almost \$1 trillion more than our budget allows; \$1 trillion more. There is a spending problem in Washington, not a taxing problem. Much of the spending in Washington is pure waste, fraud, and abuse; and by attacking it every day, we can begin to close this deficit.

For a moment, let us talk about the waste of duplication.

There are more than 90 programs across 11 different agencies to support the early development of children. For example, there are 9 Federal agencies and 69 different programs to educate and care for children under the age of 5. There are 29 different programs offering early education for children within the Department of HHS, itself having 4 separate programs to educate those from low-income families. And Democrats want to raise our taxes to pay for more of this?

The Federal Government operates 342 different economic development programs; 342. And, by the way, what does the Federal Government know about economic development anyway?

There are 86 different programs in 9 Federal agencies to assist teachers in improving their teaching skills. This is on top of the thousands that already exist at the State level. Also, if we already have a Department of Education, why do we need teaching programs spread over 9 different agencies? Yet Democrats want to raise our taxes to pay for more of this.

Madam Speaker, 12 different Federal agencies are responsible for food safety. For example, the Department of Agriculture inspects meat pizzas, while vegetarian pizzas are under the purview of the Department of Health and Human Services. Only in Washington, D.C., could this absurd result happen.

The Federal Government operates at least 70 programs dedicated to helping the disabled. About half of these duplicate programs cost taxpayers close to \$110 billion annually. That is a quarter of the cost of the 10-year prescription drug bill for our seniors. And Democrats want to raise our taxes to pay for more of this?

Madam Speaker, these are just a few of the examples of rampant duplication and waste throughout our Federal Government. After we begin to look closely, it is easy to see that many Federal programs routinely lose 10, 20, 30 percent of their taxpayer-funded budgets to waste, fraud, and abuse, and they have for years.

In the real world, when people lose that much money, they are either fired or they go to jail. But in Washington, it is only an excuse to ask for even more money from the American family next year.

There are many ways we can cut the deficit without cutting any needed services, because when it comes to Federal programs, it is not how much money Washington spends, it is how Washington spends the money.

QUESTIONING OUR PATRIOTISM

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GREEN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. GREEN of Texas. Madam Speaker, over the past week there has been a lot of talk in the chambers of Congress about what it means to be patriotic. The Republican leadership would have

us believe that patriotism is asking no questions and voicing no concerns. According to them, patriotism is simply handing over \$87 billion tied with a bright red bow and a card attached to it that says, here is the money you asked for. Go ahead and spend it how you want.

Madam Speaker, this is not patriotic. This would be neglecting our constitutional duty to oversee how taxpayers' dollars are spent, and it is an obligation that I think we need to take very seriously when considering this supplemental bill. We need to take it seriously not only for the taxpayers but also, more importantly, for our soldiers.

There is not a person in this chamber who would vote against supporting our troops. They are serving bravely and honorably in a faraway land for far longer than anyone expected, and our prayers are with them and their families. Our troops are the true patriots, and the patriotism I see in this debate is demonstrated by those demanding the best for those troops.

Unfortunately, the war plan may have failed to adequately protect our troops. Details may have been overlooked.

Members of Congress returning from Iraq talk about the lack of Kevlar inserts and the need for heavier armor for Humvees. The \$87 billion supplemental includes these items. But why were these items not in the \$79 billion Congress provided the administration last spring? Kevlar inserts cost \$517, \$517 for a life-saving device. I ask my colleagues, why was there not enough money for each soldier to have a Kevlar insert? Did we not foresee our soldiers being shot at? Unfortunately, my question is not one that will be answered, or as the chief of the U.S. Central Command said last week, "I can't answer for the record why we started this war with protective vests that were in short supply."

Madam Speaker, there is no answer, or at least no answer that could satisfy this Member of Congress. Where was the money to armor up our military vehicles? The Department of Defense thinks we only need \$177 million to do it now. Again, why was this not done with the \$79 billion appropriated last April? Why was the money not provided to protect our soldiers in these vehicles from gunshots and shrapnel from these roadside bombs?

So, I say to my Republican friends, you will have to excuse us if we insist on exercising our constitutional duty, one that I happen to believe is our patriotic duty, to ensure that we get our priorities straight and protect our young men and women in Iraq and in Afghanistan.

In examining patriotism and priorities, I cannot help but wonder if singlehandedly rebuilding Iraq while our country remains in economic downturn is the most patriotic use of this \$20 billion in proposed reconstruction funding. I see part of this funding going towards a children's hospital in Iraq