in fact, fund some of the critical things that America needs. NIH research has been on hold for the last 5 months. Extramural grants have not been given. But this is the worst process I have seen an appropriation bill put to in my 22 years in this House.

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, I yield my-

self the remaining time.

People listening to this proceeding, watching this proceeding may be kind of scratching their heads and saying, well, why are the Republicans not saying anything about this bill? Why are they just sitting over there? Could it be that they are ashamed of this process? Could it be that they have no way of defending what has happened here?

Normally, on a major piece of legislation, each side takes its 30 minutes. Apparently the Republicans simply want this to slip as quietly through as possible, knowing that this is an indefensible process and that they have done things that no one has done in the past, and I hope that even they will not do in the future.

Mr. Speaker, I urge Members to vote against the rule. I will join Members on our side who will be voting "yes" on final passage, because we do need to make sure the government can operate, and there are important things in this bill. It is just regrettable that the House was denied the opportunity to work its will on so many pieces of the appropriations legislation during this fiscal year.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of the time.

Mr. Speaker, I find that the arguments that my colleagues on the other side of the aisle were using were interesting arguments. They were based on the whole process of what we are supposed to do here in the House, or in the Congress. They, of course, only mentioned what they wanted to mention that would enhance, presumably, their position; but they forgot one very, very important part, step in this whole process, and that is the adoption of a budget which, of course, is a blueprint that all of our appropriators have to go through on all of the 13 spending bills.

Now, by law, by law, that budget has to be passed by both Houses in the spring, which meant that the budget should have been passed last spring. We did pass it in the House. The other body, with the same majority here as our minority, did not pass the budget. So we had no blueprint. And we know that we have to give and take as we go through this whole thing. So that was never mentioned whatsoever on the other side about the process. That made it very difficult: it would have made it very difficult had we passed appropriation bills over to the other body to try to reconcile when we have no blueprint as to where we are supposed to be spending.

So that was left out conveniently by my colleagues when they were talking.

So, Mr. Speaker, as I said in my opening remarks, this has been a long, difficult process. I said in my opening remarks that there are some good things in it, a lot of good things in it; and there are a lot of bad things, and we will hear about that. But in the end, we have to get on with the business of the people; we have to put '03 behind us so that we can start with '04. And I am certainly going to be one Member who is going to work as hard as I can with both sides of the aisle to make sure that we have a budget this year. I hope the other body has a budget. And I hope that we can pass the appropriation bills in a timely manner, because we do not know what is going to be ahead of us in this year with all of the challenges that face us.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on H.J. Res. 2, and that I may include tabular and extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.J. RES. 2, CONSOLIDATED APPROPRIATIONS RESOLUTION, 2003

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to the rule just adopted, I call up the conference report on the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 2) making further continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 2003, and for other purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the joint resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 71, the conference report is considered as having been read.

(For conference report and statement, see proceedings of the House of February 12, 2002, Part II.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Florida (Mr. YOUNG) and the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Florida (Mr. YOUNG).

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I want to start my brief comments on this bill to say, "wow." We are finally here. And some of the complaints that we heard about how we got here are very legitimate, and the process was not the best, but we are finally here, and it is important

that we get this bill off the deck, because fiscal year '04 is already descending upon us.

Secondly, Mr. Speaker, I want to pay a strong compliment and tribute to the members of the Committee on Appropriations on both sides of the aisle who really worked hard to get us where we are today, and so we can conclude our work for fiscal year '03. All of the members of the subcommittees were involved at their levels, we had a very open process, we exchanged information and ideas and facts and details with each other as we went through the process. I would compliment the staff who worked many, many long hours; and as it was suggested, some of this bill was not written until 5 o'clock this morning, and I know that, because I was here at 6 o'clock this morning to file the bill, and joined my colleagues on the Committee on Rules at 7 o'clock to get a rule on this bill.

So what led us up to here, we should all be happy that it is behind us. Now we are in a position to close out fiscal year 2003, and I hope that is what we will do

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to bring this conference report on the consolidated appropriations bill for fiscal year 2003 to the House. This is an important appropriations bill. It not only includes 11 appropriations bills, and that is why the stack is so high and it weighs so much, but it also adds additional funding for national defense, national security, homeland security, intelligence activities, and support of our troops in Afghanistan.

□ 1715

It is an important defense bill, a homeland defense bill, and an antiterrorism bill. It is a must-pass bill. It includes funds for our troops in Afghanistan, our intelligence agencies, homeland security, law enforcement, first responders, education programs and many other important operations of our government. It includes money for election reform, something that has been very important to the Members of the House.

I am sure this bill will not please everyone in all respects, and I do not know of any bill that we bring to the floor that does. But it does address many important needs of our country. Most importantly for the Congress, it preserves one of our most basic and important responsibilities under the Constitution: to appropriate funds from the Treasury. The passage of this bill will allow us to focus on the year ahead as we begin to provide funds for the government for the next fiscal year.

We have already received the President's budget request for fiscal year 2004, and we expect that very shortly, within the next 10 days to 2 weeks, we will receive a supplemental appropriations request dealing with national security, national defense. I think we have done a good job in holding down spending to appropriate levels.

When the chairman of the Senate committee and myself met with the

President and we discussed what the top number should be, we agreed on a top number. We have stuck to that top number with the only exception being when the President either agreed with. or requested additional funding for important matters. This bill includes \$397.4 billion in discretionary funding. This is discretionary money that pales in comparison to how much money is spent through mandatory programs; and that is something you will hear me say often, that the biggest spending for the government comes from mandatory spending programs, not the discretionary accounts that we are dealing with today. This is a fiscally responsible bill and it does comply with the fiscal parameters described by the President.

Let me highlight just a few items that I think should be of interest to the Members. On homeland security, the bill provides record levels of resources to defend our homeland, something that we have all sworn that we would do with whatever resources were necessary. This bill includes \$3.5 billion in assistance to State and local first responders. It includes \$6.1 billion for the Coast Guard and \$4.3 billion for the FBI, including new investments in information technology, something that many of us have discussed here on the floor numerous times as a serious requirement.

In the global war on terrorism there is \$10 billion in this bill included to support our military and intelligence activities. For the veterans, Veterans Administration and veterans medical care, we have included a \$2.5 billion increase for medical care over last year and \$1.1 billion over the President's budget request.

On education funding, we provided educational assistance to needy and disadvantaged students through the Title I program. It has been increased by \$1.4 billion. Special education State grants are increased \$1.4 billion over last year and \$400 million over the President's request.

On funding for space programs, something that has been called to our attention in our minds and in our hearts since the disaster with the shuttle Columbia, NASA funding is increased by \$513 million over last year bringing the fiscal year 2003 funding to \$15.4 billion; that is \$414 million above the President's budget request. \$50 million is provided to NASA to investigate the recent Columbia tragedy, so that we can do everything possible to prevent any further or future tragedies of a like nature. Additional flexibility is provided to the administrator of NASA to utilize resources where they are most needed.

Election reform is funded at\$1.5 billion, something that has been very important to the Members of this House. There is \$1.5 billion to help the States meet new standards under the Help America Vote Act, including over \$1.4 billion in direct assistance to our States for the improvements of administration, the buy-out of antiquated equipment and new safeguards for voting rights. Also there is funding for programs to ensure that disabled voters have access and programs to encourage young Americans to participate in the electoral process.

I think we have reached a point of no return on this bill, Mr. Speaker. This is a must-pass bill. I hope we can get this important bill on the President's desk as soon as possible so that our soldiers, diplomats, law enforcement, intelligence officers can have the resources they need to protect our country.

While some may complain that potential operations in Iraq have diverted our attention from the threat of terrorism, funding in this bill for the intelligence activities proves that we will not and have not directed our attention away from that important subject.

The bill includes about \$4 billion in funding for intelligence-related activities in support of the war on terrorism. This \$4 billion, among other things, funds ongoing counterterrorism operations of the Central Intelligence Agency, funds the intelligence community's Counter-Terrorism Center, directly supports the intelligence requirements of counterterrorism activities of the Central Command and the Special Operations Command, funds the increased cost of maintaining 24hour operations of intelligence collection and processing system, funds overtime costs for analysts and dissemination of imagery and signals intelligence.

Mr. Speaker, I think if anyone wants to look at this bill and find a reason why they should vote against it, they can do that. They can do that with any bill that is put on this floor, I believe. But this is a national defense bill. It provides for needs of our country and it provides some fiscal restraints that I think that most all of us have talked about and promised our constituents we would deal with over the years. So this is a good bill. It could have been really worse, but it is a good bill.

Many people worked long and hard to get us where we are so I just hope we can pass it so that our Committee on Appropriations can begin its 2004 responsibilities.

Mr. Speaker, at this point I would like to insert into the RECORD a series of tables that provide more detail on this bill.

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD & DRUG ADMINISTRATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

+15 +1,923 +25,568 (+15,924) Conference vs. Enacted -73,000 -25,000 +5,222 +73,786 +188 +73,306 +246 +3,258 +2,390 +493 +862 +890 +317 +400 +17 (+9,134]+103 -80,919 +12,000 +93,265 +1,100 +8,034 +1,016 -64,201 (196,781) 130,266 32,538 33,977 15,685 38,095 139,354 (41,274) 8,566 13,759 7,358 15,251 133,155 5,572 12,000 3,821 9,140 74,097 35,017 664 1,052,770 119,480 1,172,250 3,412 3,412 195,661 69,123 140,854 (41,274) 12,016 13,759 7,358 31,275 133,155 (197,662) 130,266 33,419 33,977 15,685 42,479 4,157 9,637 78,127 35,588 4007 100,955 1,154,552 3,412 3,412 205,440 1,053,597 House (195,900) 130,266 31,657 137,858 (41,274) 8,566 13,759 7,358 15,251 133,155 5,572 41,000 33,977 15,685 38,095 3,821 9,140 74,097 34,446 1,097,473 664 1,002,193 95,280 31,629 31,629 224,661 73,329 FY 2003 Request 143,659 (41,274) 12,117 14,334 7,358 31,277 133,155 9,637 82,231 37,287 780 33,977 988,025 (70,499 16,580 36,667 21,000 227,159 36,522 79,243 971,445 36,667 FY 2002 Enacted 7,704 12,869 7,041 10,029 59,369 31,438 25,943 15,665 37,079 573 67,200 113,786 (25,350) 979,464 40,000 118,987 2,992 80,919 3,718 8,894 70,839 32,627 187,647) 73,000 83,911 102,396 647 130,266 1,236,451 Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117)..... Buildings and facilities..... Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117)..... Total, Agricultural Research Service....... Total, Executive Operations........... Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights.... Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration.. Agriculture buildings and facilities and rental National Agricultural Statistics Service...... Regular appropriations (P.L. 107-206)...... Total, Office of the Secretary...... Working capital fund.......... Payments to GSA.....Building operations and maintenance...... Office of the Chief Information Officer....... Census of Agriculture..... Production, Processing, and Marketing TITLE I - AGRICULTURAL PROGRAMS Salaries and expenses...... Agricultural Research Service:

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD & DRUG ADMINISTRATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

Conference vs. Enacted	+78,765 +13,995 +3,890 +250	196,900	+105,012 -105,000 (-84,813) +2,800 -14,081	-11,269	+4,272	(+1,023)	+915	+5,187	+6,833	+6,833	+127 +44,117 -15,000	+119,626
Conference	620,827 (7,100) 453,468 46,743 3,493	1,124,531	725,502	735,491	75,702 (5,000)	(61,619)	14,910	91,959	39,950 (42,463)	39,950	603 759,759 (1,000)	4,707,111
Senate	651,411 (7,100) 452,767 48,218 3,493	1,155,889	735,673	748,862	75,411 (5,000)	(61,619)	14,910	91,668	44,475 (42,463)	44,475	759,759 (1,000)	4,756,889
House	572,616 (9,000) 441,821 47,868 8,243	1,070,548	735,937	749,126	75,702 (5,000)	(61,619)	14,910	91,959	44,746 (42,463)	44,746	603 755,793 (1,000)	4,650,891
FY 2003 Request	552,549 (7,100) 419,989 44,865 3,243	1,020,646	767,119 (275,000) -5,000 13,189	775,308	75,411 (5,000)	(619'19)	14,910 1,347	91,668	41,164 (42,463) -29,000	12,164	763,049 763,049 	4,406,602
FY 2002 Enacted	542,062 (7,100) 439,473 42,853 3,243	1,027,631	620,490 105,000 (84,813) 7,189 14,081	746,760	71,430 (5,000)	(965'09)	13,995	86,772	33,117 (42,463)	33,117	476 715,642 15,000 (1,000)	4,587,485
	Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service: Research and education activities	Total, Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service	Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service: Salaries and expenses Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117) AQI user fees Animal welfare user fees (proposed) Buildings and facilities Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117)	Total, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service	Agricultural Marketing Service: Marketing Services			Total, Agricultural Marketing Service	Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration: Salaries and expenses	Total, Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards.	Office of the Under Secretary for Food Safety Food Safety and Inspection Service Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117) Lab accreditation fees 1/	Total, Production, Processing, and Marketing

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD & DRUG ADMINISTRATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

Conference vs. Enacted		+16	+37,708	(+44) (+54) (+6,581)	(+6,679)	(+44,387)	+507	+38,215		(-16,996)	(-16,996)	(-6,198) (+200,000) (-105,531)	(+88,271)	(-25,000)	(+46,275)	+11,227	+14,227
Conference		622	976,738	(834) (1,026) (279,176)	(281,036)	(1,257,774)	4,000	980,838		(130,000)	(1,130,000)	(605,000) (1,700,000) (400,000)	(2,705,000)	(2,000)	(3,937,000)	15,093	22,593
Senate		6 6 8	986,913	(834) (1,026) (279,176)	(281,036)	(1,267,949)	4,000	991,013		(146,996) (1,000,000)	(1,146,996)	(611,198) (1,700,000) (505,531)	(2,816,729)	(2,000)	(4,065,725)	17,066	24,566
House		622	976,738	(834) (1,026) (279,176)	(281,036)	(1,257,774)	4,000	980,838		(100,000)	(1,100,000)	(600,000) (1,700,000) (300,000)	(2,600,000)	(2,000)	(3,802,000)	11,610 7,500	19,110
FY 2003 Request		668	993,620	(834) (1,026) (279,176)	(281,036)	(1,274,656)	48,700 4,000 100	1,046,420		(100,000)	(1,100,000)	(600,000) (1,700,000) (300,000)	(2,600,000)	(2,000)	(3,802,000)	11,610	19,110
FY 2002 Enacted		909	080'686	(790) (972) (272,595)	(274,357)	(1,213,387)	3,493	942,623		(146,996) (1,000,000)	(1,146,996)	(611,198) (1,500,000) (505,531)	(2,616,729)	(2,000) (25,000) (100,000)	(3,890,725)	3,866	8,366
	Farm Assistance Programs	Office of the Under Secretary for Farm and Foreign Agricultural Services	Farm Service Agency: Salaries and expenses	(Transfer from export loans)(Transfer from P.L. 480)(Transfer from ACIF)	Subtotal, transfers from program accounts	Total, Salaries and expenses	Emergency conservation programState mediation grants	Subtotal, Farm Service Agency	Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund Program Account:	Farm ownership loans: Direct	Subtotal	Farm operating loans: Direct	Subtotal	Indian tribe land acquisition loans Emergency disaster loans Boll weevil eradication loans	Total, Loan authorizations	Loan subsidies:	Subtotal

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD & DRUG ADMINISTRATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

vs. Enacted (+46,275) (+1,023) Conference +49,843 +1,240 (+46,275) +61 -3,363 21,350 +29,733 +40,658 +6,581 +47,239 +85,454 -14,000 -3,994,000 -4,008,000 -3,806,948 (+6,679)+6,581 (281,036) (3,937,000) (109,082) #==#===== Conference 104,423 53,890 279,176 8,000 (3,937,000)(2,000)19,171,000 179 1,567,629 25,445,740 228,285 287,176 515,461 1,496,299 70,708 2,886,000 16,285,000 47,200 205,513 (281,036) (4,065,725) Senate 105,493 53,890 59,653 (4,065,725)(2,000)19,171,000 (109,082)179 1,521,970 70,708 2,886,000 16,285,000 219,036 243,781 279,176 8,000 287,176 530,957 1,593,577 103,560 53,890 279,176 8,000 (3,802,000)(3,802,000) (2,000)19,171,000 (281,036) 179 16,285,000 25,373,392 (109,082) 192,850 287,176 499,315 1,480,153 70,726 1,551,501 2,886,000 35,400 212,139 FY 2003 Request 103,560 53,890 35,400 279,176 (281,036)(3,802,000)(3,802,000) (2,000)19,171,000 (109,082)179 192,850 212,139 8,000 287,176 499,315 1,545,735 72,771 1,619,405 2,886,000 16,285,000 FY 2002 Enacted (3,890,725) (108,059) 468,222 (3,890,725) (2,000)(274,357)23,179,000 54,580 52,650 118 1,410,845 1,486,203 20,279,000 3,363 272,595 74,752 2,900,000 68,550 175,780 187,627 8,000 280,595 Total, Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund... Federal crop insurance corporation fund....... (Loan authorization) Total, Farm Service Agency..... (Limitation on administrative expenses).... Total, ACIF expenses......... Unsubsidized guaranteed....... to FSA) Subsidized guaranteed...... Indian tribe land acquisition....... Administrative expenses......... Total, Loan subsidies..... (Loan authorization)...... Total, Corporations..... administrative expenses)...... Hazardous waste management (limitation on Reimbursement for net realized losses.... (transfer Emergency disaster loans.... Total, Farm Assistance Programs. Federal Crop Insurance Corporation: expense Corporations operating loans Subtotal. Risk Management Agency... Salaries and Farm

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD & DRUG ADMINISTRATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	Honse	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
TITLE II - CONSERVATION PROGRAMS						
Office of the Under Secretary for Natural Resources and Environment	730	902	750	902	750	+20
Natural Resources Conservation Service: Conservation operations. Watershed surveys and planning. Watershed and flood prevention operations. Regular appropriations (P.L. 107-206). Emergency watershed protection. Watershed rehabilitation program. Resource conservation and development. Forestry incentives program.	779,000 10,960 106,590 94,000 10,000 48,048 6,811	840,963 110,000 49,079	843,553 11,197 110,000 110,000 55,079	840,002 10,960 105,000 105,000 50,000	825,004 11,197 110,000 30,000 51,000	+46,004 +237 +3,410 -94,000 +20,000 +2,952 -6,811
Total, Natural Resources Conservation Service	1,055,409	1,000,042	1,019,829	1,035,962	1,027,201	-28,208
Total, title II, Conservation Programs = TITLE III - RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS	1,056,139	1,000,944	1,020,579	1,036,864		
Office of the Under Secretary for Rural Development	623	868	640	898	640	+17
Rural Development: Rural community advancement program	806,557	791,499	950,298	867,176	907,737	+101,180 (-6,000)
RD expenses: Salaries and expenses	133,722	145,736	145,736	127,502	145,736	+12,014
(Transfer from RHIF). (Transfer from RDLFP) (Transfer from RETLP) (Transfer from RTB). (Transfer from TLP).	(422,241) (3,733) (36,000) (3,082) (2,000)	(455,630) (4,290) (38,035) (3,082)	(434,980) (4,190) (37,833) (3,082)	(455,630) (4,290) (38,035) (3,082)	(432,374) (4,190) (37,833) (3,082)	(+10,133) (+457) (+1,833) (-2,000)
Subtotal, Transfers from program accounts.	(467,056)	(501,037)	(480,085)	(501,037)	(477,479)	(+10,423)
Total, RD expenses	(600,778)	(646,773)	(625,821)	(628,539)	(623,215)	(+22,437)
Total, Rural Development	940,279	937,235	1,096,034	994,678	1,053,473	+113,194

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD & DRUG ADMINISTRATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Rural Housing Service: Rural Housing Insurance Fund Program Account: Loan authorizations: Single family (sec. 502)	(1,079,848)	(957,300)	(1,084,151) (3,194,444)	(1,005,162) (2,750,000)	(1,044,000) (4,528,000)	(-35,848) (+1,390,032)
Subtotal, Single family	(4,217,816)	(3,707,300)	(4,278,595)	(3,755,162)	(5,572,000)	(+1,354,184)
Housing repair (sec. 504)	(32,324) (114,068) (5,090) (99,770) (1,778) (10,000) (5,000)	(35,000) (60,000) (5,000) (100,000) (2,000) (10,000) (5,011)	(35,000) (115,805) (5,046) (100,000) (2,000) (10,000) (5,011)	(35,000) (120,000) (5,000) (2,000) (10,000) (5,011)	(35,000) (115,805) (5,046) (100,000) (2,000) (10,000) (5,011)	(+2,676) (+1,737) (-44) (+230) (+222) (+222)
Total, Loan authorizations	(4,485,846)	(3,924,311)	(4,551,457)	(3,932,173)	(5,844,862)	(+1,359,016)
Loan subsidies: Single family (sec. 502)	142,108 40,166	185,429 19,800	210,000 23,000	194,700	202,350 32,600	+60,242
Subtotal, Single family	182,274	205,229	233,000	214,500	234,950	+52,676
Housing repair (sec. 504)	10,386 48,274 28,28 3,921 750	10,857 27,978 55 4,500 934	10,857 54,000 4,500 934 	10,857 55,956 10,857 10	10,857 54,000 4,500 934 	+471 +5,726 +27 +279 +184
Total, Loan subsidiesTotal	245,887	249,774	303,567	282,523	305,517	+59,630
RHIF administrative expenses (transfer to RD).	422,241	455,630	434,980	455,630	432,374	+10,133
Rental assistance program: (Sec. 521)	695,104 5,900	706,100	716,100	724,100	720,100	+24,996
Total, Rental assistance program	701,004	712,000	722,000	730,000	726,000	+24,996
Total, Rural Housing Insurance Fund(Loan authorization)	1,369,132 (4,485,846)	1,417,404 (3,924,311)	1,460,547 (4,551,457)	1,468,153 (3,932,173)	1,463,891 (5,844,862)	
Mutual and self-help housing grantsRural housing assistance grants	35,000 38,914	34,000 42,498	35,000 42,498	35,000 47,498	35,000 42,498	+3,584

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD & DRUG ADMINISTRATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Farm labor program account	31,431	34,615	38,000	34,615	36,307	+4,876
Subtotal, grants and payments	105,345	111,113	115,498	117,113	113,805	+8,460
Total, Rural Housing Service	1 4 2 1	1,528,517	1,576,045	2,2	, 4	1
ral Business-Cooperative Service: Rural Development Loan Fund Program Account: (Loan authorization)	(38,171) 16,494 3,733	(40,000) 19,304 4,290	(40,000) 19,304 4,190	(40,000) 19,304 4,290		(+1,829) +2,810 +457
Total, Rural Development Loan Fund	20,227	23,594	23,494	23,594	23,494	+3,267
Rural Economic Development Loans Program Account: (Loan authorization) Direct subsidy	(14,966) 3,616 7,750 14,967	(14,967) 3,197 9,000 9,000	(14,967) 3,197 9,000 14,967	(14,967) 3,197 9,000 14,967	(14,967) 3,197 9,000 14,967	(+1) -419 +1,250 +1,250
Total, Rural Business-Cooperative Service (Loan authorization)	46,560 (53,137)	35,791 (54,967)	50,658 (54,967)	50,758 (54,967)	50,658 (54,967)	+4,098 (+1,830)
ral Utilities Service: Rural Electrification and Telecommunications Loans Program Account: Loan authorizations: Electric: Direct, 5% Direct, Municipal rate. Direct, FFB Direct, Treasury rate. Guaranteed electric. Guaranteed underwriting.	(121,107) (500,000) (2,600,000) (750,000) (100,000)	(121,103) (100,000) (1,600,000) (700,000) (100,000)	(121,107) (100,000) (2,600,000) (1,100,000) (100,000)	(121,103) (100,000) (2,600,000) (1,150,000) (1,000,000)	(121,103) (100,000) (2,600,000) (1,150,000) (1,000,000) (1,000,000)	(-400,000) (+400,000) (+400,000) (+1,000,000)
Subtotal, Electric	(4,071,107)	(2,621,103)	(4,021,107)	(5,071,103)	(5,071,103)	(966'666+)
Telecommunications: Direct, 5%	(74,827) (300,000) (120,000)	(75,029) (300,000) (120,000)	(75,029) (300,000) (120,000)	(75,029) (300,000) (120,000)	(75,029) (300,000) (120,000)	(+202)
Subtotal, Telecommunications	(494,827)	(495,029)	(495,029)	(495,029)	(495,029)	(+202)
Total, Loan authorizations	(4,565,934)	(3,116,132)	(4,516,136)	(5,566,132)	(5,566,132)	(+1,000,198)

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD & DRUG ADMINISTRATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Loan subsidies: Blectric: Direct, 5%	3,609	6,915	6,915	6,915	6,915	+3,306
Direct, Municipal rate	80	4,030	4,030 80	4,030 80	4,030 80	+4,030
Subtotal, Electric	3,689	11,025	11,025	11,025	11,025	+7,336
Telecommunications: Direct, 5%	1,736	1,283	1,283	1,283	1,283	-453 -150
Subtotal, Telecommunications	2,036	1,433	1,433	1,433	1,433	1 809 - 1
Total, Loan subsidies	5,725	12,458	12,458	12,458	12,458	+6,733
RETLP administrative expenses (transfer to RD)	36,000	38,035	37,833	38,035	37,833	+1,833
Total, Rural Electrification and Telecommunications Loans Program Account (Loan authorization)	41,725 (4,565,934)	50,	4)	(5,	50,291	+8,566 (+1,000,198)
Rural Telephone Bank Program Account: (Loan authorization)	(174,615) 3,737 3,082	3,082	(174,638) 2,410 3,082	(174,615) 2,410 3,082	(174,615) 2,410 3,082	1,327
Total, Rural Telephone Bank Program Account.	6,819	3,082	5,492	5,492	5,492	-1,327
High energy costs grants (by transfer)	(24,000)	1 1	NA cor up	(30,000)	(30,000)	(+6,000)
Distance learning and telemedicine program: (Loan authorization)	(380,000)	(156,480) 31,049	(380,000) 44,128	(129,535) 51,941	(380,000) 56,941	+7,500
Local Television Loan Guarantee Program Account: (Loan authorization) Direct loan subsidy Regular appropriations (P.L. 107-206) Rescission (P.L. 107-206) LTLP administration expenses (transfer to RD).	(258,065) 20,000 8,000 -20,000)		!!!!!	(-258,065) -20,000 -8,000 +20,000 -2,000
Total, Local Television Loan Program Account	10,000		1	1 4 4 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3	-10,000
n						
Total, Rural Utilities Service	107,985 (5,378,614)	84,624 (3,272,612)	99,911 (5,070,774)	107,926 (5,870,282)	112,724 (6,120,747)	+4,739 (+742,133)

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD & DRUG ADMINISTRATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Total, title III, Rural Economic and Community Development Programs	69,92 91,05 17,59	2,587,0 (501,0 (7,251,8	,823, (480,	2,739, (531, 9,857,	2,795, (507, 12,020,	+225, (+16,
TITLE IV - DOMESTIC FOOD PROGRAMS		13 13 14 16 16 11 18 18 19 11 11 11	EI [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	E E F F E E E E E E E E E E		
Office of the Under Secretary for Food, Nutrition and Consumer Services	587	774	603	774	603	+16
Food and Nutrition Service: Child nutrition programs Transfer from section 32 Discretionary spending	4,914,288 5,172,458	5,382,1795,193,990	5,830,506	5,830,506 4,745,663 4,000	5,830,506 4,745,663 4,000	+916,218 -426,795 +3,500
Total, Child nutrition programs	10,087,246	10,576,169	10,576,169	10,580,169	10,580,169	+492,923
Special supplemental nutrition program for women, infants, and children (WIC)	4,348,000 39,000 75,000	4,751,000	4,776,000	4,751,000	4,696,000	+348,000 -39,000 -75,000
Food stamp program: Expenses Reserve Nutrition assistance for Puerto Rico and Samoa The emergency food assistance program Rescission (P.L. 107-206)	19,556,436 2,000,000 1,335,550 100,000	22,772,692 2,000,000 1,377,000 100,000	22,772,692 2,000,000 1,401,000 140,000	22,772,692 2,000,000 1,377,000 140,000	22,772,692 2,000,000 1,401,000 140,000	+3,216,256 +3,216,256 +65,450 +40,000 +24,000
Total, Food stamp program	22,967,986	26,249,692	26,313,692	26,289,692	26,313,692	+3,345,706
Commodity assistance programRescission	152,813	144,991	170,000	167,000	164,500	+11,687
Total, Commodity assistance program	149,513	144,991	170,000	167,000	164,500	+14,987
Food donations programs: Needy family program Elderly feeding program	1,081 149,668	1,081	1,081	1,081	1,081	-149,668
Total, Food donations programs	150,749	1,081	1,081	1,081	1,081	-149,668
Food program administration	127,546	147,944	134,397	136,865	136,560	+9,014
Total, Food and Nutrition Service	37,945,040	41,870,877	41,971,339	41,925,807	41,892,002	+3,946,962
Total, title IV, Domestic Food Programs	37,945,627	41,871,651	41,971,942	41,926,581	41,892,605	+3,946,978

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD & DRUG ADMINISTRATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

(+350,000) +350,000 Conference vs. Enacted -10,238 +4,882 (+350,000) ----------+44 (+8,135)+54 +54 +344,698 +352,877 +8,135 (1,200,000) 1,200,000 129,948 (3,224) (1,033) (154,664) 116,171 25,159 (1,200,000) 1,343,389 Conference (134,205)(4,257)2,059 4,058 1,477,395 1,026 (1,185,000) 1,328,389 1,463,645 (4,257) (154,664) 116,171 25,159 (1,185,000) 1,185,000 (3,224) (1,033) Senate (135,455)1,033 3,224 2,059 4,058 (1,200,000) 1,200,000 (1,200,000) 1,357,059 (169,085) 127,000 28,000 (3,224) (1,033) (134,221)(4,257)3,224 2,059 129,964 4,058 1,491,081 FY 2003 Request (1,185,000) 1,185,000 (1,185,000) 1,313,963 131,570 (3,224) (1,033) (131,676) 98,904 28,000 1,033 1,026 (4,257)(135,827)4,058 2,059 1,449,591 FY 2002 Enacted 121,813 (3,224) (1,033) (154,664) 126,409 20,277 (850,000) 850,000 (850,000) 1,124,518 (4,257) (126,070) 3,224 972 2,005 4,014 1,033 998,691 Program level..... Program level..... General Sales Manager (transfer to FAS)..... Programs.....
(By transfer)..... Salaries and expenses, direct appropriation..... (Transfer from export loans)....... Loan authorization, direct............ Appropriation.................. (Transfer from P.L. 480)............. Appropriation..... Total, CCC Export Loans Program Account...... Farm Service Agency (transfer to FSA)...... Foreign Agricultural Service (transfer to FAS) Farm Service Agency (transfer to FSA)...... Total, title V, Foreign Assistance and Related Title II - Commodities for disposition abroad: Total, Salaries and expenses program level. CCC Export Loans Program Account (administrative FITLE V - FOREIGN ASSISTANCE AND Public Law 480 Program and Grant Accounts: Salaries and expenses (Export Loans): RELATED PROGRAMS Foreign Agricultural Service: Total, Public Law 480: Salaries and expenses: Program account Subtotal expenses):

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD & DRUG ADMINISTRATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
TITLE VI - RELATED AGENCIES AND FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION						
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES						
Food and Drug Administration						
Salaries and expenses, direct appropriation Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117) Prescription drug user fee act	1,183,670 151,100 (161,716)	1,369,385 (264,220)	1,376,702	1,383,505 (222,900) (25,125)	1,382,702 (222,900) (25,125)	+199,032 -151,100 (+61,184) (+25,125)
Subtotal	(1,496,486)	(1,633,605)	(1,599,602)	(1,631,530)	(1,630,727)	(+134,241)
Mammography clinics user fee (outlay savings) Export certification Payments to GSA	(15,590) (6,181) (105,116)	(16,612) (6,378) (98,556)	(16,612) (6,378) (106,678)	(16,112) (6,378) (98,556)	(16,112) (6,378) (108,269)	(+522) (+197) (+3,153)
Buildings and facilities	~	8,000	8,000	11,000		,28
Total, Food and Drug Administration	1,369,051	1,377,385	1,384,702	4,50	1,390,702	+21,
INDEPENDENT AGENCIES						IE II II II II II II II II
Commodity Futures Trading Commission Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117) Transaction fee (proposed)	70,700 16,900	79,884	79,884	93, 985	85,985	+15,285 -16,900
Total, Commodity Futures Trading Commission	87,600	46,884	79,884	93,985	85,985	-1,615
Farm Credit Administration (limitation on administrative expenses)	(36,	(36, 700)		(38,404)	(38,400)	(+1,700)
Total, title VI, Related Agencies and Food and Drug Administration	1,456,65	1,424,269	1,464,586	490	===== 1,4	+20,03
TITLE VII - GENERAL PROVISIONS	 		18 18 18 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	61 61 61 61 11 11 12 13 14 14		
Hunger fellowships	2,496	!	4,000	2,496	3,000	+504
fundr	1,000	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,000	1	499	-501
Mallard Pointe conservationJamestown conservation	150		1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1	-150
Child and adult care feeding program	10,000	 	t t i	22,000	22,000	+12,000
Dairy Price Support extension	15,000		1 1	l 	 ! 	-15,000
Sugar Deets	5,000] { ! ! ! !	1 1 6	1 1 1 1	1 ! ! ! ! !	-5,000
CICIUS CAUNCI COMPENSACION	1 1 1	1	18,200	1 1 1]] 	1 1 1

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD & DRUG ADMINISTRATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

Conference	+445,000 -10,000 +250,000	+602,603 +1,312,625 +1,800,325) (+1,800,325) (+47,300) -535,000 (+23,102) (+2,149,254) (+2,723)
Conference	250,000	275,499 74,391,068 (74,391,068) (74,391,068) (792,772) (16,112,240) (16,112,240)
Senate	2000,000	524,496
House	100,000 -5,000 -100	118,200 74,263,068 (74,268,068) (-5,000) (-5,000) (13,648,283) (13,648,283)
FY 2003 Request		-327,104 -327,104 -327,104 -3.50,743 -3.530,527 -4,268,068 (72,590,743) (73,530,527) (74,268,068) (-47,300) -535,000 (1769,670)
FY 2002 Enacted	-445,000	-327,104
	Livestock assistance program	Total, title VII, General provisions Grand total: New budget (obligational) authority Appropriations Emergency appropriations (By transfer)

1/ In addition to appropriation.

DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
TITLE I - DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE						
General Administration						
Salaries and expenses	91,668	114,579	103,579	969'66	100,579	+8,911
EMERGENCY appropriations (F.L. 10/-206)	000 k	!	f	1 1	1 1	-5,750
Anti-terrorism task forces		1	: ? : ? !	63.700	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	000
Joint terrorism task forces	\$ }	1 1	1	158,547	1 1 6	1 1
Foreign terrorist tracking task force	1 6	1	3 4	62,000		
Joint automated booking system	1,000	1 1	} .	15,973	15,973	+14,973
Identification system integration	1 1	;	1	000,6	000.6	000.6+
Chimera	1 1	1 1	1 1	83,400		
Identification systems integration	1 1 70	24,478	24,478	1 0	1 1 0	1 1 1
Deputy Attorney General for Combating Terrorism	1,000	149,254 	81,354	149,254	81,354	-13,261
Counterterrorism fund	4,989	1 1	4,100	1 1 1	1,000	-3,989
Administrative review and appeals:	173,647	193,535	193,535	180,466	191,535	+17,888
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117)	3,500	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-3,500
Subtotal	177,147	193,535	193,535	0,4		+14,388
Detention trustee	1,000 50,735	1,388,566	1,347,216	1,385,966 54,825	1,366,591 57,937	+1,365,591
Total, General administration	432,904	1,93	1 -	2,262,827	1,823,969	+1,391,065
=== United States Parole Commission			100 mm 10			
	9,876		10,862	10,114	10,488	+612
== Legal Activities						
General legal activities: Direct appropriation	549,176	645,299	612,849	537,502	609,329	+60,153
Radiation exposure compensation act	12,500	1 1 1	1,996 	1 1 1 1 1	1,996	+1,996 -12,500
Subtotal	561,676	645,299	614,845	537,502	611,325	+49,649
Vaccine injury compensation trust fund (permanent) Legal activities office automation	4,028 15,765	4,028 15,942	4,028 15,942	4,028 77,127	4,028 15,942	+
Antitrust Division	130,791	137,799	134,295	133,133	133,133	+2,342
Offsetting fee collections - current year	-130,791	-137,799	-134,295	-133,133	-133,133	-2,342
Direct appropriation	\$ \$ \$				#	

DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	Honse	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
United States Attorneys: Direct appropriation Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117)	1,353,968 56,370 -7,000		1,502,767	20,16	, 76	+149,799 -56,370 +7,000
Subtotal	1,403,338	1,506,373	1,502,767	1,320,160	1,503,767	+100,429
United States Trustee System Fund	147,000 -140,000 -7,000	167,510 -161,510 -6,000	159,161 -153,161 -6,000	150,381 -144,381 -6,000	155,736 -149,736 -6,000	+8,736 -9,736 +1,000
Direct appropriation	? ? ? ! ! ! ! ! !	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1		1
Foreign Claims Settlement Commission	1,136	1,136	1,136	1,136	1,136	-
United States Marshals Service: Salaries and expenses (non-CSE) Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117) Courthouse security equipment Construction Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117) Unstice Prisoner & Alien Transportation system	619,429 10,200 14,267 15,000 9,125	691,343	684,174	661,085 12,061 17,378 77,694	680,474	+61,045 -10,200 -14,267 +126 -9,125
Total, United States Marshals Service	668,021	706,469		768,218	695,600	+27,579
Federal prisoner detention. Rescission (P.L. 107-206) Fees and expenses of witnesses Community Relations Service. Assets forfeiture fund. Rescission (P.L. 107-206)	706,182 -30,000 156,145 9,269 -2,949 -5,000	156,145 9,364 22,949	156,145 9,364 22,949	156,145 9,474 22,949	175,645 9,474 21,901	-706,182 +30,000 +19,500 +205 -1,048 +5,000
Total, Legal activities	3,513,509	3,067,705	3,026,4	2,896,739	3,038,8	-474,69
Radiation Exposure Compensation				IF IF IF IF IF IF IF IF IF IF IF IF IF I		II II II II II II II II
Radiation exposure compensation act	1,996	1,996	1 1	1 1	2 1	-1,996
Interagency Law Enforcement						
Interagency crime and drug enforcement	8,577	362,	5,131	400,10	372,131	+33,554
Federal Bureau of Investigation	[]]]]] []					
Salaries and expenses	-i ' ' ' '	3,778,838	3,823,838	3,452,287	3,759,287	+727,457 -745,000 -10,000
Counterintelligence and national security	459,243	472,749	472,749	475,300	475,300	+16,057
Direct appropriation	4,246,073	4,251,587	4,296,587	3,927,587	4,234,587	-11,486

DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Foreign terrorist tracking task force	33,791	1,250	1,250	1,250	62,000	+62,000
Total, Federal Bureau of Investigation	1 6	52,83	97,83	3,928,837	4,297,837	+17,973
Drug Enforcement Administration						
Salaries and expenses	1,567,804 -86,021	1,659,564 -113,645	1,704,564 -113,645	1,566,491 -89,021	1,649,948 -89,029	+82,144
Total, Drug Enforcement Administration	1,481,783	1,545,919	590,9	7,47	,560,	+79,
Immigration and Naturalization Service	200 200 200 300 and and and and and and					
Salaries and expenses	3,371,440	3,241,787	3,049,929	3,241,787	3,589,819	+218,379
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-206)	35,000		top Day, Str.	 	\$ \$ \$	-35,000
Immigration enforcement and border affairs Immigration services	(2,739,695)	(3,153,183) (88,604)	(2,961,325) (88,604)] []]]]	(2,880,819) (709,000)	(+141,124) (+77,255)
Fee accounts:						
<pre>Lumigration user tee</pre>	(591,866) (4,490)	(658,295) (2,700)	(658,295)	(658,295)	(658,295)	(+66,429)
Immigration examinations fund	(1,376,871)	(1,462,803)	(1,462,803)	(1,462,803)	(1,443,803)	(+66,932)
Immigration enforcement fines	(22,733) (22,664) (26,272)	(6,000) (6,000) (10,000)	(6,271) (6,000) (10,000)	(17,273) (6,000) (10,000)	(6,7,1,1)	(+50,512) (-16,664) (-16,272)
Subtotal, Fee accounts	2,142,926	2,311,073	2,311,073	2,311,073	2,311,073	+168,147
Construction	128,454	1 1	267.137	267.138	258.637	+130.183
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117)	009,660	785,598	681,072		;	009'66-
Total, Immigration and Naturalization Service	(6,227,220)	(6,338,458)	(6,309,211)	(5,819,998)	(6,159,529)	(-67,691)
Appropriations	(3,499,894)	(4,027,385)	(3,998,138)	(3,508,925)	(3,848,456)	(+348,562)
(Fee accounts)	142,	(2,311,073)	,311,07	(2,311,0	(2,311,073)	(-364,400) (+168,147)
Federal Prison System						
Salaries and expenses	3,808,600	4,081,765	4,074,265	4,068,237	4,071,251	+262,651
Rescission (P.L. 107-206) Federal Prison Industries, Incorporated (limitation on	-5,000	,	0,000	T77,00%	177 766	-414,325 +5,000
strative expenses)	3,429	3,429	3,429	3,429	3,429	1 1
Total, Federal Prison System	4,620,581	4,480,437	4,472,937	4,531,887	4,473,907	146,674

DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

Conference vs. Enacted -30,162 (-30,191) (+18) +7,500 +13,000 +15,000 -251,100 -20,990 -315,000 +56,425 -59,450 (+11)(-130,000) (-13,341)(+10,000) -400 -400,000 +1,000,000 +364,883 -5,000 -5,000 -610,175 -235,717 353,238 (200,000) (57,132) 33,000 Conference 201,291 (6,632) 400,000 (80,000) (20,000) 18,000 (5,000) (8,000) (5,000) (5,000) (5,000) 150,000 1150,914 1190,000 65,000 7,500 13,000 15,000 5,690 1,000,000 58,925 1,201,291 2,044,279 (90,000) 48,000 (35,000) (8,000) (5,000) 492,000 (330,000) (50,000) 134,700 249,450 50,000 390,565 70,000 5,700 (6,632)58,925 2,232,057 400,000 20,000 1,368,415 2,232,057 210,049 (6,632) 376,106 (200,000) (75,000) 32,812 400,000 (70,000) (19,956) (7,500) 12,971 (7, 982) (8, 982) (8, 983) (9, 717,659 307,610 200,000 2,281,025 58,925 214,024 (6,632) (20,000) 25,685 (7,982)(4,989)52,000 390,165 77,000 4,742 215,000 751,878 126,106 214,024 12,971 58,925 437,008 (6,632) 400,000 -600 496,014 (330,000) (70,473) 32,812 48,162 (35,191) (7,982) (4,989) 565,000 20,000 500,000 94,489 249,450 400,000 (70,000) (19,956) 50,000 390,565 70,000 5,688 10,000 58,925 836,408 2,654,454 Total, State and local law enforcement....... Total, Office of Justice Programs........ Communications and interoperability...... Indian assistance...... Tribal prison construction.......... Indian tribal courts program........ Indian grants..... State criminal alien assistance program...... Cooperative agreement program......... Byrne grants (formula)......Byrne grants (discretionary)..... Public safety and community policing grants..... Methamphetamine....... Management administration............ Citizen corps (earmark)............ Juvenile crime block grant.......... Prescription drug monitoring...... Prior year unobligated balances..... State and local law enforcement assistance: Office of Justice Programs Rescission (P.L. 107-206) Domestic preparedness.....

DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Crime fighting technologies Safe schools initiative Upgrade criminal history records DNA identification/crime lab COPS technology. COMMUNITY prosecutors. Crime prevention Justice assistance grants program.	351,632 (17,000) (35,000) (75,000) (154,345) 99,780 70,202	282,500 (17,000) (60,000) (75,000) (50,000) 59,780 46,963	357,287 (17,000) (60,000) (80,000) (130,000) 59,780 70,235	426,215 (17,000) (35,000) (80,000) (158,815) (100,000) 100,000 67,013	400,567 (17,000) (40,000) (81,538) (189,954) (20,000) 85,000	8 79 9 7 8 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
Total, Community oriented policing services Juvenile justice programs	1,050,440	1,381,034 257,801 (-6,632) 400,000	896,220 257,801 (-6,632)	1,120,228 315,425 (-6,632) 31,000	275,306 (-6,632)	-121,528
Public safety officers benefits: Death benefits	33,224 4,500	49,054 4,000	49,054	49,054	49,054	+15,830
Total, Public safety officers benefits program	37,724	53,054	51,554	53,054	53,054	+15,330
Total, Office of Justice Programs	4,943,811	3,116,716	4,263,184	5,179,104	4,561,767	-382,044
Crime free rural state program (sec. 110)				10,000		
Total, title I, Department of Justice (Transfer out)	23,70	22,800,3 (-6,6 (6,6	23,83	24,206,0 (-6,6 (6,6	23,988,292 (-6,632) (6,632)	+281,097
TITLE II - DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND RELATED AGENCIES			1	l 		t L
TRADE AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT						
RELATED AGENCIES						
Office of the United States Trade Representative						
Salaries and expenses	30,097	32,299	32,000	33,000	34,999	+4,902
International Trade Commission						
Salaries and expenses	51,440	54,000	53,000	54,600	54,000	+2,560
Total, Related agencies	81,537	86,299	85,000	87,600	88,999	+7,462

DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE						
International Trade Administration						
Operations and administration	347,547 1,000 -3,000	376,678	373,807	353,242 -3,000	370,192	+22,645 -1,000 -5,000
Direct appropriation	345,547	363,678	360,807	350,242	362,192	+16,645
Bureau of Industry and Security						
Operations and administration	61,643 1,756 7,250	100,198	62,643	100,198	67,403	+5,760
Total, Bureau of Industry and Security	70,649	100,198	69,893	100,198	74,653	+4,004
Economic Development Administration						
Economic development assistance programs	335,000 30,557	317,235	317,235	257,886 30,765	290,000	-45,000 +208
Total, Economic Development Administration	365,557	348,000	348,000	288,651	320,765	-44,792
Minority Business Development Agency						
Minority business development	28,381	28,906	28,906	28,906	28,906	+525
Total, Trade and Infrastructure Development	} !	927,081	. 1	855,5	875,515	
== ECONOMIC AND INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE						
Economic and Statistical Analysis						
Salaries and expenses	62,515	73,220	73,220	72,158	72,158	+9,643
Bureau of the Census						
Salaries and expenses	169,424 321,376 -11,300	204,996	189,181	173,223	183,000	+13,576 +50,106 +11,300
Total, Bureau of the Census	479,500	705,316	557,663	558,919	554,482	+74,982
National Telecommunications and Information Administration						
Salaries and expenses	14,054	16,581	16,153	14,352	14,700	+646

273,022

305,016

219,360

Conservation....

+1,648

9,886

7,886

7,886

7,886

8,238

Office of Technology Policy Technology Administration

DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

Conference vs. Enacted +90 -1,500 -115,529 +54,499 -171,528 -39,918 +171,528 -7,514 Conference -1,015,229 867,170 15,503 73,759 1,015,229 166,771 1,182,000 13,556 Senate ------1,038,800 871,376 15,560 1,038,800 1,205,571 43,616 73,528 166,771 House 100,000 1,256,000 -1,156,000 806,095 75,212 1,156,000 43,556 15,503 FY 2003 Request 212 60,349 100,000 1,304,357 -1,204,357 938,885 43,556 1,204,357 FY 2002 Enacted 43,466 8,250 15,503 282,300 907,088 81,273 843,701 1,500 1,127,501 -843,701 Economic and Information Infrastructure.. Total, Patent and Trademark Office...... construction.... Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-206)..... Information infrastructure grants....... Offsetting fee collections........ Public telecommunications facilities, planning and United States Patent and Trademark Office Information Administration..... Total, National Telecommunications and SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY Total,

+38,300 -5,000 -4,000 +3,707 -1,225 +13,073 -2,750 -2,000 +8,100 +49,749 +27,383 286,623 66,100 712,134 2,040,497 359,411 291,976 721,150 65,460 281 2,349,301 363,433 110,000 515,508 1,832,598 351,296 54,212 384,809 119,607 54,212 4,482 563,110 1,991,722 2,027,424 2,750 2,000 -8,100 223,273 321,111 5,000 4,000 291,022 62,393 1,225 684,751 Salaries and expenses...... Construction of research facilities.......... Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117)...... National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Institute of Standards and Technology Total, National Institute of Standards and Technology..... Operations, research,

DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

Conference vs. Enacted			+64	+5,755	+4,096 -30,000 -10,000	-30,149		+146	+1,026		+45 +578	+623		+13,420 +195,464 -5,000 -3,143	+200,741
Conference			1,872	45,743	41,626	87,369		2,225	20,313		1,678	13,687		263,854 3,536,146	3,800,000
Senate			1,872	44,399	53,304	97,703		2,225	20,136		1,678	13,529		1 i t 1 t 1 t 1 t 1 t 1 t 1 t 1 t 1 t 1	
House	;		1,872	45,743	41,626	87,369		2,225 18,265	20,490		1,678	13,687		263,854	3,819,119
FY 2003 Request			1,872	46,324	53,626	036'66		2,225 19,668	21,893		1,678	13,777		263,854	4,014,107
FY 2002 Enacted			1,808	39,988	37,530 30,000 10,000	117,518		2,079	19,287		1,633	13,064		250,434 3,340,682 5,000 3,143	3,599,259
	TITLE III - THE JUDICIARY	Supreme Court of the United States	Salaries and expenses: Salaries of justices	Total, Salaries and expenses	Care of the building and grounds	Total, Supreme Court of the United States	United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit	Salaries and expenses: Salaries of judges	Total, Salaries and expenses	United States Court of International Trade	Salaries and expenses: Salaries of judges	Total, Salaries and expenses	Courts of Appeals, District Courts, and Other Judicial Services	Salaries and expenses: Salaries of judges and bankruptcy judges Other salaries and expenses Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117) Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-206)	Direct appropriation

DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Courts of Appeals, District, Magistrate, and Bankruptcy Court Judges and Staff	 	.				
Salaries and expenses: Salaries of judges and bankruptcy judges Other salaries and expenses	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 i 1 i 1 i	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	263,854 454,882	t 9 1 f 1 t	1 4 1 1 3 t
Total, Salaries and expenses				718,736		1
Court Support						
Salaries and expenses	[]	; ; ;	t E	1,048,877	5 5 8	f ;
Court Services						
Salaries and expenses	! !	i i	} }	1,394,039	t t	\$ 2 3
Probation and Pretrial Services						
Salaries and expenses	2 6	# F #	3 to 22	717,214	1 1 1	\$ } [
Vaccine Injury Compensation Trust Fund Defender services	2,692 500,671 48,131 220,677 57,521	2,784 588,741 57,826 298,235	2,784 545,129 54,636 286,200	2,784 531,792 54,636 276,342	2,784 538,461 54,636 268,400	+37,790 +6,505 +47,723 -57,521
Total, Courts of Appeals, District Courts, and Other Judicial Services	4,428,951	4,961,693	4,707,868	4,744,420	4,664,281	+235,330
Administrative Office of the United States Courts						
Salaries and expenses	61,664 2,879	66,912	64,913	1 1	63,500	+1,836
Federal Judicial Center						
Salaries and expenses	19,735	21,885	20,856	20,156	20,856	+1,121
Judicial Retirement Funds						
Payment to Judiciary Trust Funds	37,000	35,300	35,300	35,300	35,300	-1,700
United States Sentencing Commission						
Salaries and expenses	11,575	13,200	12,344	11,835	12,090	+515

DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

Conference vs. Enacted +16,000 -7,000 +8,306 +50,500 +197,098 +1,600 81,722 -47,450 +65,265 +144,796 -19,689-60,960 200,516 +1,406 +2,571 Conference 6,485 11,000 508,500 755,000 (4,000) (-4,000) 183,311 29,264 (1,000)1,219 4,917,396 3,269,258 553,000 3,822,258 245,306 6,500 612 607 18,450 138,200 5,725,493 866,000 Senate (4,000) 210,000 30,844 6,485 9,400 523,000 (-4,000) 612 607 (1,000) 6,500 138,200 5,534,455 866,000 7,972 4,951,051 3,042,096 579,086 3,621,182 237,881 732,700 17,044 House (4,000) 6,485 11,000 500,000 (-4,000) (1,000)4,970,705 138,200 5,719,682 858,000 7,878 553,000 10,500 1,219 18,450 3,269,258 3,822,258 250,306 755,000 607 177,000 29,264 FY 2003 Request 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 (4,000) 9,000 11,000 550,000 755,000 177,000 29,264 5,241,610 (-4,000) 553,000 (1,000)1,219 5,886,985 7,000 3,937,179 15,000 138,200 891,378 3,384,179 245,306 18,817 FY 2002 Enacted 9,400 458,000 815,960 200,516 (4,000) (-1,000) 850,000 237,000 6,485 612 607 (1,000)(-4,000) 8,625 4,720,298 3,142,277 47,450 487,735 3,677,462 29,000 6,500 1,219 17,044 135,629 5,807,215 203,000 Total, Diplomatic and consular programs..... Total, Administration of Foreign Affairs..... Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-206)...... (Transfer out)...... Educational and cultural exchange programs.

Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-206)......
Representation allowances.....
Protection of foreign missions and officials..... (By transfer)....... Worldwide security upgrades........ Embassy security, construction, and maintenance..... Emergencies in the diplomatic and consular service.... (Transfer out)...... Direct loans subsidy...... Administrative expenses....... the Judiciary..... TITLE IV - DEPARTMENT OF STATE AND RELATED AGENCY International Organizations and Conferences Repatriation loans program account Payment to the American Institute in Taiwan... Payment to the Foreign Service Retirement and Disability Fund....... Contributions to international organizations, Administration of Foreign Affairs Diplomatic and consular programs.... Repatriation Loans Program Account: General Provisions current year assessment.. Office of Inspector General Capital investment fund.... title III, (By transfer). Total, Total,

DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Contributions for international peacekeeping activities, current year	844,139	725,981	725,981	673,710	673,710	-170,429
Total, International Organizations and Conferences	1,724,173	1,617,359	1,583,981	1,539,710	1,539,710	-184,463
International Commissions						
International Boundary and Water Commission, United States and Mexico: Salaries and expenses	24,705 5,450 9,911 20,480	27,404 9,401 10,682 19,780	25,808 5,450 9,472 16,348	25,155 5,488 10,023 20,480	25,482 5,450 9,472 17,100	+777 -439 -3,380
Total, International commissions	60,546	67,267	57,078	61,146	57,504	-3,042
Other						
Payment to the Asia Foundation	9,250 500 375 14.000	9,444 500 375 14,280	10,444 500 375 6,000	10,250 500 375 18,000	10,444 500 375 18,000	+1,194
National Endowment for Democracy	33,500	36,000	36,000	6	42,000	+8,500
Total, Department of State	7,649,559	7,632,210	7,414,060	7,210,936	7,394,026	-255,533
RELATED AGENCY		man dan kap dan jan jan jan jan jan jan	K			
Broadcasting Board of Governors						
International Broadcasting Operations Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117)	428,234	467,898	495,760	431,456	468,898	+40,664
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-206)	7,400	1 0	I I I I I I I I I I	1 0	1 00	-7,400
Broadcasting to CubaBroadcasting capital improvements	25,900	13,740	13,740	13,740	12,740	-13,160
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117) Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-206)	10,000	1	t	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1	-10,000 -7,700
Total, Broadcasting Board of Governors		507,000	509,500	470,192	506,634	-6,672
Total, title IV, Department of State	8,162,865 (-5,000) (5,000)	8,139,210 (-5,000) (5,000)	7,923,560 (-5,000) (5,000)	7,681,128 (-5,000) (5,000)	7,900,660 (-5,000) (5,000)	-262,205

DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
TITLE V - RELATED AGENCIES						
DEPARIMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
Maritime Administration						
Maritime security programOperations and trainingShip disposal	98,700 89,054	98,700 93,133 11,161	98,700 92,696 11,161	98,700 89,904	98,700 92,696 11,161	+3,642
Maritime Guaranteed Loan (Title XI) Program Account: Guaranteed loans subsidy	~ ~			32,852 4,144	4,126	-33,000 +148
Total, Maritime guaranteed loan program account.	36,978	4,126	4,126	36,996	4,126	
Total, Maritime Administration	224,732	207,120	206,683	225,600	206,683	118,049
Commission for the Preservation of America's Heritage Abroad	1					
Salaries and expenses	489	499	499	689	499	+10
Commission on Civil Rights						
Salaries and expenses	960'6	960'6	960'6	960'6	960'6	} † †
Commission on International Religious Freedom						
Salaries and expenses	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	2,884	-116
Commission on Ocean Policy						
Salaries and expenses	3,000	t t	1 1	3,000	2,000	-1,000
Commission on Security and Cooperation in Europe						
Salaries and expenses	1,499	1,607	1,582	1,550	1,582	+ 83
Congressional-Executive Commission on the People's Republic of China						
Salaries and expenses	1,000	1,700	1,380	1,000	1,380	+380
Salaries and expenses	310,406 1,301	320,436	308,822	320,436	308,822	-1,584

DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Federal Communications Commission						
Salaries and expenses	0,	268,327 -248,194	256,376 -248,194	275,400 -275,400	271,000	+25,929
Direct appropriation	26,314	20,133	8,182	1	٠ -	-24,314
Federal Maritime Commission						
Salaries and expenses	16,458	17,440	16,458	16,795	16,700	+242
Federal Trade Commission						
Salaries and expenses	155,982	184,599 -150,000 -16,000	174,508 -150,000 -16,000	175,148 -150,000 -16,000	176,608 -150,000 -18,100	+20,626 +5,982 -18,100
Direct appropriation	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	18,599	8,508	9,148	8,508	+8,508
Legal Services Corporation						
Payment to the Legal Services Corporation	329,300	329,300	329,300	348,397	338,848	+9,548
Marine Mammal Commission						
Salaries and expenses	1,957	1,856	1,856	2,050	3,050	+1,093
National Veterans Business Development Corporation						
Salaries and expenses	4,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	-2,000
Pacific Charter Commission						
Salaries and expenses	1,500	;	! !	1 1	T T	-1,500
Securities and Exchange Commission						
Salaries and expenses	437,900 20,705 30,900	266,900	776,000	6,7	745,789 -29,439	+307,889 -29,439 -20,705 -30,900
Direct appropriation	489,505	266,900	776,000	656,700	716,350	+226,845
Small Business Administration						
Salaries and expenses	308,476 11,464	352,968 14,500	317,357	364,357	314,457	+5,981 +958

DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

vs. Enacted Conference +1,866 +7,360 -14,220 -4,000 -580 +42,790 -75,000 -75,000 -152,055 -2,000 -65,774 .93,220 -78,000 -10,874Conference 3,726 85,360 129,000 73,140 118,354 -580 736,459 -78,000 218,086 3,000 2,359,861 -50,874 191,494 Senate 3,726 85,360 129,000 76,140 118,354 3,100 2,391,068 36,230 -120,000 218,086 788,537 194,494 -50,874 House 3,726 85,360 129,000 76,140 118,354 742,563 3,000 2,418,929 218,086 194,494 FY 2003 Request 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 3,726 85,360 129,000 76,140 118,354 3,000 2,296,284 218,086 197,494 783,048 13,550 FY 2002 Enacted 1,860 78,000 129,000 75,000 75,000 87,360 122,354 283,860 284,714 888,514 3,000 2,000 2,317,071 -40,000 Total, Disaster loans program account...... Small Business Administration..... Guaranteed loans subsidy..... Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117)..... Total, Business loans program account...... Administrative expenses......... United States - Canada Alaska Rail Commission United States Patent and Trademark Office Immigration and Naturalization Service Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117) Immigration emergency fund (rescission) .. Assets forfeiture fund (rescission)..... title V, Related agencies TITLE VII - RESCISSIONS General Administration State Justice Institute DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Salaries and expenses (rescission) ... (rescission). Legal Activities Business Loans Program Account: Disaster Loans Program Account: DEPARTMENT OF Direct loans subsidy.... Salaries and expenses 1/.... Salaries and expenses... fund Gainsharing.... Working Capital Total, Total,

DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
National Oceanic and Atmostpheric Administration						
Coastal impact assistance (rescission)	t E	£ 1 3	-7,000	i ; ;	-7,000	-7,000
Departmental Management						
Emergency oil and gas guaranteed loan program account (rescission)	-5,200	-920	- 920	} } §	-920	+4,280
Emergency steel guaranteed loan program account (rescission)	\$ E \$	000'96-	-49,000	; ; ;	1 1 1	8 3 5
RELATED AGENCIES						
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION						
Maritime Administration						
Maritime Guaranteed Loan (Title XI) Program Account: Rescission (P.L. 107-206)	-5,000	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	1 I f I I I	i ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	t 1 t 1 t 1	+5,000
Federal Communications Commission						
Salaries and expenses (rescission)	1	I I I	B B) 1	-5,700	-5,700
Securities and Exchange Commission						
Salaries and expenses (rescission)	-50,000	; ; ;	ŧ	{ ;	\$ 1 1	+50,000
Small Business Administration						
	are say var	\$ }	1 1	f f	-13,750	-13,750
Business Loans Program Account: Guaranteed loans subsidy (rescission)	-5,500			*** *** *** ***	-10,500	-5,000
Total, title VII, Rescissions	-110,100	-96,920	-56,920	-207,104	-167,324	-57,224
Grand total: New budget (obligational) authority Appropriations	44 (44,019,021 (43,766,569) (349,372)	4,352,872 3,929,792 (480,000	44,939,792	4.4	+171,901 +2,848,554 (+40,022 -2,726,451
Rescissions(Transfer out)(By transfer)	(-117,100) (-11,632) (79,632)		(-56,920) (-11,632) (86,632)	(-207,104) (-11,632) (66,632)	(-167,324) (-11,632) (76,632)	(9//, 6+)
1/ The President's budget proposed nothing for the State Justice Institute.			1	į	! ! !	

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
TITLE I			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 2 2 2 3 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3
FEDERAL FUNDS						
Federal payment for Resident Tuition Support	17,000	17,000	17,000	17,000	17,000	1 1 1
	16,058	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	-1,058
Preparedness in the District of Columbia	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1	10,000	10,000	+10,000
Federal payment to the District of Columbia Courts	112,180	159,045	160,545	166,193	161,943	+49,763
Defender Services in District of Columbia Courts	34,311	32,000	32,000	34,000	17,100	-17,211
Unobligated Balance	: :	1 1	1 1	; ;	(16,400)	(+16,400)
Defender Services program level	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1	46 44 44	(33,500)	(+33,500)
Supervision Agency for the District of Columbia	147,300	154,707	154,707	154,707	154,707	+7,407
Federal payment to the District of Columbia						
Department of Transportation	; ;	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	+1,000
Federal Payment to the Chief Financial Officer of the						
District of Columbia	8,300	1 1 1	23,450	15,000	40,300	+32,000
	1 1	1 1	1,000	1 1	2,800	+2,800
	1 1	1 1 2	1,000	1 1	1,000	+1,000
Federal payment to the Fire and Emergency						
Medical Services Department	1 1 3	1 1 1	2,000	1 1 1	2,000	+2,000
Federal payment for Special Education	1	i i 1	14,000	1 1	3,000	+3,000
Federal payment for the Family Literacy Program	1 1	1 1 1	2,000	4,000	4,000	+4,000
and Sewer Authority 1/	1 1	1	50.000	50.000	000	+50 000
Federal payment for the Anacostia Waterfront						
Initiative in the District of Columbia	† † †	1 1	3 4	2,000	5,000	+5,000
Federal payment to the District of Columbia for						
Capital Development	;	1 1 3	24,298	13,100	10,150	+10,150
Federal payment to the District of Columbia for						
Public Charter School Facilities	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1	20,000	17,000	+17,000
Federal payment to the Credit Enhancement						
Revolving Fund 2/	1 1	1 1	16,000	1 1	1 1	ŧ ;

^{1/} The Senate bill includes \$50 million under Federal payment for the Anacostia Waterfront Initiative in the District of Columbia

^{2/} The Senate bill includes \$5 million under Federal payment to the District of Columbia for Public Charter School Facilities. The conference agreement includes \$8 million for this program.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
C 3 2 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		f				
Federal payment to the Children's National Medical				1		i
Medical Center 3/	5,500	1 1 1	3 1 1	2,000	1 1	-5,500
St. Coletta of Greater Washington 3/	2,000	I I	1 1	2,000	3 2 2	-2,000
Federal payment to the District of Columbia for						6
Schools	2,500	! ! !	1 1	£	1 1	-2,500
Federal payment to the Capitol City Career Development						1
and Job Training Partnership	200	1 1 1	1 1	1 1	i I I	-200
Federal payment to the Capitol Education Fund	200	1	3 1 1	ł ;	1 1 1	-500
Federal payment to the Metropolitan Kappa Youth						
Development Foundation, Inc	450	[[1	t t	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	-450
Federal payment to the Fire and Emergency Medical						
Services Department	500	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	-500
Federal payment to the Youth Life Foundation	250	ř L E	\$ 1 1	1 1	1 1	-250
Federal payment to Food and Friends	2,000	 	1 1	[:	-2,000
	300	I I 1	1 1 1	1	1 1	-300
Federal payment to Southeastern University	500	ř E	1 1		1 1 1	- 500
Federal payments for District of Columbia and Federal						
Law Enforcement Mobile Wireless Interoperability						
Project	1,400	1 1	1	1	1 1 1	-1,400
Federal payment to the District of Columbia						
Corrections Trustee Operations	30,200	† †		;	1 1	-30,200
Federal payment for Family Court Act	24,016	1 1	1	1	† 	-24,016
Federal payment to Faith and Politics Institute	50	1	1	1	i 1	-20
Federal payment to the Thurgood Marshall Academy						1
Charter School	1,000	1 1	; ; ;	1	1 1 1 1	-1,000
Federal payment to the George Washington University						1
Center for Excellence in Municipal Management	250	- - -	1 1	; ;	1 5 5	~250
Court Appointed Special Advocates	250	L f	3 1	1	1 1	-250
Federal Contribution for Enforcement of Law Banning						•
Possession of Tobacco Products by Minors (sec. 130).	100	‡ ‡	1 1	1 1	1 1	-100
Supplemental appropriations (P.L. 107-117)	200,000	I t f	\$ 1	1 1 2	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-200,000
Total, Federal funds to the District of Columbia	607,415	378,752	517,000	512,000	512,000	-95,415

3/ The House and the conferenc report includes \$5 million and \$2 million, respectively, for these programs under Federal payment to the Chief Financial Officer of the District of Columbia.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

Conference vs. Enacted		173 +21, +21, +21, +21, +21, +21, +22, +20, +	(666,914) (+54,961)
υ		(5)	4) == ==== 9) (6,
Senat			(6,433,35
House			(661,914) ====================================
FY 2003 Request			(661,914) ====================================
FY 2002 Enacted		488694009401 1881 100 1 1881 0 964 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96	(611,953) ====================================
	COLUMBIA FUND ng Expenses	Governmental direction and support Economic development and regulation. Public safety and justice Public education system. Human support services Public works. Reserve Reserve Repayment of Loans and Interest Repayment of Loans and Interest Repayment of General Fund Recovery Debt Payment of Inderest on Short-Term Borrowing. Certificates of Participation. Certificates of Participation. Certificates of Participation. Settlements and Judgments. Wilson Building. Workforce Investments. Tobacco Settlement Trust Fund Transfer Won-Departmental Agency. Non-Departmental Agency. Non-Departmental Agency. Emergency Planning and Security Costs Pay-As-You-Go Capital. Capital Infrastructure development Emergency Planning and Security Costs Pay-As-You-Go Capital. Capital Infrastructure development Emergency Planning and Security Costs Pay-As-You-Go Capital. Capital Infrastructure development Emergency Planning and Security Costs Pay-As-You-Go Capital. Capital Infrastructure development Emergency Planning expenses, general fund Enterprise and Other Funds Water and Sewer Authority Water and Sewer Authority Enterprise fund Lottery and Charitable Games enterprise fund Sports and Entertainment Commission. District of Columbia Retirement Board National Capital Revitalization Corporation Housing Finance Agency. Total Participal Partic	Total, operating expenses

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
		: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
Capital Outlay						
General fund 4/	(1,074,605)	(656,070) (292,458)	(666,368) (342,458)	(666,368) (342,458)	(671,020) (392,458)	(-403,585) (+240,344)
Total, Capital Outlay	(1,226,719)	(948,528)	(1,008,826)	(1,008,826)	(1,063,478)	(-163,241)
Emergency supplemental	(155,900)			11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		(-155,900)
Total, District of Columbia funds	(7,306,616)	(7,306,616) (7,172,750)	(7,443,535)			(+51,384)
Grand total:		378,752 (7,172,750)	517,000 (7,443,535)	512,000 (7,442,185)	517,000 512,000 512,000 (7,443,535) (7,442,185) (7,358,000)	-95,415 (+51,384)

/ Rounded

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
F DEFENSE						
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY						
Corps of Engineers - Civil						
General investigations	154,350 1,715,951	102,483 1,415,612	143,680 1,831,030	148,304 1,636,602	135,019 1,756,012	-19,331 +40,061
Askansas, intimots, Aencucky, Doutslana, Mississippi, Missouri, and Tennessee	345,992	280,671	342,071	346,437	344,574 1,940,167	-1,418 +65,364
Regular appropriations (P.L. 107-206)	32,000	 	1 000		0 0 0	-32,000
Flood control and coastal emergencies	140,000	140,298	150,000	140,298	, m m	+15,000 +15,000
RescissionGeneral expenses	-25,000 153,000	155,651	154,651	155,651	155,151	+25,000
Total, title I, Department of Defense - Civil	960	4,172,954	65,712	4,547,953	4,629,923	-27,17
TITLE II - DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR		11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11			
Central Utah Project Completion Account						
Central Utah project construction	24,169	23,643	23,643	23,643	23,643	-526
conservation	10,749	11,259	11,259	11,259	11,259	+510
Subtotal	34,918	34,902	34,902	34,902	34,902	-16
Program oversight and administration	1,310	1,326	1,326	1,326	1,326	+16
Total, Central Utah project completion account	36,228	36,228	36,228	36,228	36,228	
Bureau of Reclamation						
Water and related resources	762,531 30,259 7,000 7,495 (26,000)	726,147	807,518	816,147	813, 491	+50,960 -30,259 -7,000 -7,495 (-26,000)

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003	(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Central Valley project restoration fund	55,039 52,968	48,904 15,000 54,870	48,904 54,870	48,904 54,870	48,904 54,870	-6,135 +1,902
Total, Bureau of Reclamation	5,292	844,92	11,292	919,92	917,265	+1,973
== Total, title II, Department of the Interior	951,520	881,149	947,520	956,149	953,493	+1,973
TITLE III - DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY						
Energy supply	666,726 236,372 418,425 3,233,100	693,934 166,000 382,154 3,279,456	633,909 213,259 382,154 3,271,233	815,306 176,000 471,154 3,329,456	701,477 215,100 456,539 3,305,894	+34,751 -21,272 +38,114 +72,794
Departmental administration	210,853	299,220	208,672	235,000	207,404	-3,449
Net appropriation	73,043	161,696	128,672	97,476	87,404	+14,361
Office of the Inspector General	32,430	37,671	37,671	37,671	37,671	+5,241
Atomic Energy Defense Activities						
National Nuclear Security Administration: Weapons activities. Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117) Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-206) Rescission (P.L. 107-206) Regular appropriations (P.L. 107-216) Naval reactors. Office of the Administrator Subtotal, National Nuclear Security Administration. Defense environmental restoration and waste management Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117) Rescission (P.L. 107-206) Defense environmental management cleanup reform Defense environmental management cleanup reform Defense environmental management privatization.	5,429,238 131,000 131,000 -14,460 803,586 226,000 688,045 312,000 688,045 312,546 5,234,576 5,234,576 1,092,878	5,867,000 1,113,630 335,929 8,023,349 4,544,133 4,544,133 1,100,000 1,091,314 158,399	5,772,068 1,167,630 1,167,630 261,929 261,929 7,908,417 4,543,661 1,100,000 1,100,000 1,100,000 1,100,000	6,108,959 1,115,630 1,115,630 335,929 8,267,308 5,370,532 5,370,532	5,954,204 1,113,630 1,113,630 330,929 8,105,553 5,470,180 5,470,180	+524,966 -131,000 +131,000 +14,460 +310,044 -226,000 -100,000 +118,745 +18,745 +110,148 +235,604 +410,148 +235,604 +45,445 +45,436 +45,436 +45,436
Subtotal, Defense environmental management	6,473,651	6,893,846	6,893,374	6,654,245	6,766,893	+293,242

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Other defense activities	544,044 3,500 7,000 280,000	468,664	485,076	537,664	546,554	+2,510 -3,500 -7,000 +35,000
Total, Atomic Energy Defense Activities	15,003,600	15,700,859	15,601,867	15,739,217	15,734,000	+730,400
Power Marketing Administrations						
Operation and maintenance, Southeastern Power Administration	4,891	4,534	4,534	4,534	4,534	-357
Operation and maintenance, Southwestern Fower Administration	28,038	27,378	27,378	27,378	27,378	099-
Construction, renabilitation, operation and maintenance, Western Area Power AdministrationFalcon and Amistad operating and maintenance fund	171,938 2,663	162,758 2,734	162,758 2,734	168,858 2,734	168,858 2,734	-3,080
Total, Power Marketing Administrations	207,530	197,404	197,404	203,504	203,504	
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission						
Salaries and expensesRevenues applied	184,155 -184,155 ===================================	192,000	192,000	192,000 -192,000	192,000 -192,000	+7,845 -7,845 ============
Total, title III, Department of Energy	19,966,226	20,894,976	5,871	20,925,784	20,886,589	+920,363
TITLE IV - INDEPENDENT AGENCIES	 	 		 	 	
Appalachian Regional Commission	71,290 18,500 10,000 38,000	66,290 19,000 10,000 29,939	71,290	74,400 19,000 15,000 50,000	71,290 19,000 8,000 48,000	+500 -2,000 +10,000
Nuclear Regulatory Commission: Salaries and expenses Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-117) Revenues	516,900 36,000 -473,520	578,184 -492,545	578,184 -520,087	578,184 	578,184 -520,087	+61,284 -36,000 -46,567
subtotalsubtotal	79,380	85,639	58,097	58,097	58,097	
Office of Inspector GeneralRevenues	6,180 -5,933	6,800	6,800	6,800	6,800	+620 -459
Subtotal	247	408	408	408	408	+161
rotal, Nuclear Regulatory Commission	79,627	86,047	58,505	58,505	58,505	

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board	3,100	3,102	3,102	3,200	3,200	+100
Total, title IV, Independent agencies	220,517	214,378	151,897	220,105	207,995	-12,522
Grand total: New budget (obligational) authority Appropriations Emergency appropriations Rescissions	25,795,359 (25,250,000) (600,359) (-55,000)	26,163,457	26,541,000 (26,541,000)	26,649,991 (26,649,991)	26,678,000 (26,678,000)	+882,641 (+1,428,000) (-600,359) (+55,000)

FOREIGN OPERATIONS, EXPORT FINANCING, AND RELATED PROGRAMS, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

FOREIGN OPERATIONS, EXPORT FINANCING, AND RELATED PROGRAMS, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Payment to the Foreign Service Retirement and Disability Fund	44,880	45,200	45,200	45,200	45,200	+320
Operating expenses of the U.S. Agency for International Development	549,000 7,000 (3,500)	572,200	572,200	571,087	572,000	+23,000 -7,000 (+2,500)
Capital Investment Fund	31,500	32,700	43,000	65,000	43,000	+43,000
Total, U.S. Agency for International Development	3,626,880	3,932,691	4,165,191	4,402,424	4,266,591	+639,711
Other Bilateral Economic Assistance						
Economic support fund: Camp David countries Other (Transfer out) Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-206)	1,375,000 824,000 (-3,500) 465,000	1,415,000	1,415,000 1,030,000 (-200,000)	1,215,000	1,215,000	-160,000 +231,000 (+3,500) -465,000
Subtotal, Economic support fund	2,664,000	2,490,000	2,445,000	2,260,000	2,270,000	-394,000
	25,000 621,000	495,000	25,000	230,000	25,000 525,000	000'96-
Assistance for the independent states of the former Soviet Union	784,000 110,000	755,000	755,000	-	ò	-24,000 -110,000
Total, Other Bilateral Economic Assistance	4,204,000	3,740,000	3,745,000	3,555,000	3,580,000	-624,000
INDEPENDENT AGENCIES						
Inter-American Foundation						
Appropriation	13,107	14,000	16,000	16,385	16,200	+3,093
Appropriation	16,542	16,689	19,689	17,689	18,689	+2,147
Peace Corps						
Appropriation	275,000	317,000	317,000	285,000	297,000	+22,000

FOREIGN OPERATIONS, EXPORT FINANCING, AND RELATED PROGRAMS, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference
Department of State						
International narcotics control and law enforcement Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-206)	217,000	197,000	197,000	196,713	197,000	-20,000
(By transfer)	625,000	731,000	(98,000) 731,000	000'059	700,000	+75,000
(By transfer)	705,000	705,000	800,000	(88,000)	(93,000) 787,000	(+93,000) +82,000
United States Emergency Relugee and Migration Assistance Fund	15,000	15,000	20,000	32,000	26,000	+11,000
Nonproliferation, anti-terrorism, demining and related programs	313,500 83,000	372,400	347,400	411	306,400	-7,100
Subtotal, Department of State	2,072,500	2,020,400	2,095,400	1,972,113	2,016,400	-56,100
Department of the Treasury						
International Affairs Technical Assistance	6,500	10,000	11,000	rU i	8,0	+4,300
Subtotal, Department of the Treasury	235,500	10,000	11,000	10,500	10,800	-224,700
Total, title II, Bilateral economic assistance Appropriations Emergency appropriations (By transfer)	10,443,529 (9,574,529) (869,000) (22,000) (-22,000)	10,050,780)	10,369,280 (10,369,280) (304,000) (-206,000)	10,259,111) (10,259,111) (88,000)	10,205,680 (10,205,680) (99,000) (-6,000)	-237,849 (+631,151) (-869,000) (+77,000) (+16,000)
TITLE III - MILITARY ASSISTANCE						
FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT						
International Military Education and Training	70,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	+10,000
Gamp David countriesOther	3,340,000 310,000	3,400,000	3,400,000	3,400,000	3,400,000 672,000	+60,000
Subtotal, grants	3,650,000	4,107,200	4,080,200	4,072,000	4,072,000	+422,000

FOREIGN OPERATIONS, EXPORT FINANCING, AND RELATED PROGRAMS, 2003

FOREIGN OPERATIONS,	EXPORT FINANCING, AND R (Amounts in thousands)		AND KELATED PROGRAMS, sands)	2003		
	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
(Limitation on administrative expenses) Emergency appropriations (P.L. 107-206)	(35,000)	(37,000)	(38,000)	(38,000)	(38,000)	(+3,000) -357,000 (-93,000)
Total, Foreign Military Financing	4,007,000	4,107,200	4,080,200	4,072,000	4,072,000	+65,000
Peacekeeping operations	135,000 20,000 ============================	108,250	125,000	120,250	115,000	-20,000
Total, title III, Military assistance Appropriations	4,232,000 (3,855,000) (377,000) (35,000)	4,295,450 (4,295,450) (37,000)	4,285,200 (4,285,200) (38,000)	4,272,250 (4,272,250) (38,000)	4,267,000 (4,267,000) (38,000)	+35,000 (+412,000) (-377,000) (+3,000)
TITLE IV - MULTILATERAL ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE						
FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT						
International Financial Institutions						
World Bank Group						
Contribution to the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development: Global Environment Facility	100,500	177,813	147,813	177,813	147,813	+47,313
	792,400	874,338	874,338	837,338	850,000	+57,600
Agency	5,000 (25,000)	3,631 (14,825)	1,631 (14,825)	1,631 (14,825)	1,631 (7,610)	-3,369 (-17,390)
Total, World Bank Group	897,900	1,055,782	1,023,782	1,016,782	999,444	+101,544
Contribution to the Inter-American Development Bank: Contribution to the Inter-American Investment Contribution to the Inter-American Investment	18,000	30,352	30,352	18,352	18,352	+352
	!	29,591	24,591	29,591	24,591	+24,591
Total, Inter-American Development Bank	18,000	59,943	54,943	47,943	42,943	+24,943
Contribution to the Asian Development Bank: Contribution to the Asian Development Fund	98,017	147,386	94, 886	100,386	97,886	-131

FOREIGN OPERATIONS, EXPORT FINANCING, AND RELATED PROGRAMS, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

Contribution to the African Development Bank: Fail-in capital Contribution to the African Development Bank: Contribution to the African Development Fund Contribution to the Buropean Bank for Reconstruction and Development Total, African Development Bank Contribution to the Buropean Bank for Reconstruction and Development Exident Contribution to the Buropean Bank for Reconstruction and Development Contribution to the Buropean Bank for Reconstruction and Development Exident Contribution to the International Pund for Contribution to the International Pund for Contribution to the International Pund for Contribution Contribution to the International Pund for Contribution Contri		FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
ruction 35,779 35,805 35,805 35,805 35,805 35,805 ions) (123,238) (123,328) (124,027) (124,027) (1246,000) (122,000) (121,756) (121,75	Contribution to the African Development Bank: Paid-in capital	5,100	5,104 (79,603) 118,073	5,104 (79,603) 113,073	5,104 (79,603) 108,073	5,104 (79,603) 108,073	+4 (-389) +8,073
ruction 35,779 35,805 35,805 35,805 35,805 ions) 20,000 15,004 16,49,497 16,594,574 16,474,739 16,345,186 17,246,000) 18,2000 19,000 19,000 19,000 19,000 10,246,000) 10,246,000 10,217,756) 10,17,756) 11,756) 1217,756)	Total, African Development Bank	105,100	123,177	118,177	113,177	113,177	+8,077
ions 1,174,796 1,437,097 1,345,597 1,329,097 1,304,259		35,779 (123,238)	35,805 (123,328)	35,805 (123,328)	35,805 (123,328)	35,805 (123,328)	+26 (+90)
ions	Concitation to the international fund for Agricultural Development	20,000	15,004	15,004	15,004	15,004	-4,996
ms 208,500 310,400 190,400 215,000 195,150	Total, International Financial Institutions	1,174,796	1,437,097	1 -	1,329,097	1,304,259	+129,463
208,500 310,400 190,400 215,000 195,150 1,383,296 1,747,497 1,535,997 1,544,097 1,499,409 pt) (217,756) (217,756) (217,756) (210,541) pt) (16,492,896) 16,594,574 16,474,739 16,345,186 (15,390,780) (16,492,896) (16,594,574) (16,474,739) (16,345,186) (15,246,000) (16,492,896) (16,594,574) (16,474,739) (16,345,186) (1,246,000) (16,492,896) (16,594,574) (16,474,739) (16,345,186) (1,246,000) (16,492,896) (16,594,574) (16,474,739) (16,345,186) (1,246,000) (16,492,896) (16,594,574) (16,474,739) (16,345,186) (1,246,000) (16,492,896) (16,594,574) (16,474,739) (16,345,186) (1,246,000) (16,22,000) (16,234,000) (16,380,000) (16,99,000) (1,228,230) (31,7,756) (217,756) (217,756) (217,756) (217,756) (217,756) (217,756) (217,756) (217,756) (217,756) (217,756) (217,756) (217,756)	International Organizations and Programs						
omic 1,383,296 1,747,497 1,535,997 1,544,097 1,499,409 (217,756) (217,756) (217,756) (210,541) (222,020) (16,492,896) (16,594,574) (16,474,739) (16,345,186) (15,20,000) (-22,000) (-22,000) (35,000) (35,000) (38,000) (38,000) (38,000) (38,000) (38,000) (38,000) (38,000) (38,000) (38,000) (221,756) (217,756) (217,756) (217,756) (217,756) (217,756) (217,756) (217,756) (217,756)	Appropriation	208,500	310,400	190,400	215,000	195,150	
16,586,780 16,492,896 16,594,574 16,474,739 16, (15,390,780) (16,492,896) (16,594,574) (16,474,739) (16, (-50,000)		1,383,296 (228,230)	1,747,497 (217,756)	1,535,997 (217,756)	1,544,097 (217,756)	1,499,409	+116,113
	 nses)	16,586,780 (15,390,780) (-50,000) (1,246,000) (-22,000) (-32,000) (35,000) (35,000)	16,492,896 (16,492,896) (37,000) (217,756)	16,594,574 (16,594,574) (16,594,004) (-304,000) (38,000) (217,756)	16,474,739 (16,474,739) (16,474,739) ((88,000) (-88,000) (38,000) (317,756)	16,345,186 (16,345,186) (99,000) (-99,000) (38,000) (210,541)	-241,594 (+954,406) (+50,000) (-1,246,000) (-77,000) (-77,000) (-77,000) (-17,689)

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
TITLE I - DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR				1		; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;
Bureau of Land Management						
Management of lands and resources	746,632 29,000	772,962 40,028	782,904 44,028	816,062	825,712	+79,080
Subtotal	775,632	812,990	826,932	816,062	825,712	+50,080
Wildland fire management: Preparedness. Fire suppression operations Other operations. Suppression (contingent emergency appropriations). Fiscal year 2002 supplemental. Other operations (contingent emergency appropriations)	280,807 127,424 216,190 34,000 20,000	277,213 160,351 216,190	278,639 160,351 216,342 200,000	277, 213 160, 351 216, 690	277,213 160,351 216,842 	-3,594 +32,927 +652 -34,000
Subtotal	678,421	653,754	855,332	654.254	654.406	-24.015
Central hazardous materials fund	9,978 13,076	9,978	9,978 10,976	9,0	9,978 11,976	-1,100
Payments in lieu of taxes	160,000	150,000 15,000	160,000	210,000	220,000	+60,000
Subtotal	210,000	165,000	230,000	210,000	220,000	+10,000
Land acquisition Conservation Oregon and California grant lands Range improvements (indefinite) Service charges, deposits, & forfeitures (indefinite). Offsetting fee collections Miscellaneous trust funds (indefinite)	49,920 105,165 10,000 8,000	44,686 105,633 10,000 7,900 -7,900	47,486 105,633 10,000 7,900 -7,900	30,150 105,633 10,000 7,900 12,405	33,450 105,633 10,000 7,900 12,405	+33,450 -49,920 +468 -100 -7,900
Total, Bureau of Land Management	1,872,597 (1,689,677) (128,920) (54,000)	1,825,422 (1,725,708) (99,714)	2,108,742 (1,747,228) (161,514) (200,000)	1,861,458 (1,861,458)	1,883,560	+10,963 (+193,883) (-128,920) (-54,000)
United States Fish and Wildlife Service		! !	i i			! ! !
Resource management	819,597	825,598 78,006	847,353 71,006	902,697	917,429	+97,832 -31,000
Subtotal	850,597	903,604	918,359	902,697	917,429	+66,832
Construction	55,543 99,135	35,402 70,384	53,108 82,250	42,88281,555	54,427 73,370	-1,116 +73,370 -99,135

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Landowner incentive program	40,000	000 05	40,000	0	40,000	+40,000 -40,000 -40,000
Subtotal	40,000	50,000	40,000	009		-40,000
Private stewardship grantsConservationRescission.	10,000	10,000	10,1	200	10,000	+10,000 -10,000 -10,000
Subtotal	00	10,000	10,000	200	1	-10,000
Cooperative endangered species conservation fund	96,235	91,000	121,400	81,000	81,000	+81,000 -96,235
National wildlife refuge fund		14,414	14,414 5,000	14,414	14,414	
Subtotal	14,414	14,414	19,414	14,414	14,414	
North American wetlands conservation fund	43,500 3,000 83,000 4,000	43,560	43,560 5,000 5,000 4,800	38,560	3, 560	+38,560 -43,500 -43,600 +800
State wildlife grants	85,000 -25,000	000,09	0,0	45,000	00,	+65,000 -85,000 +25,000
Subtotal	000,09	60,000	100,000	45,000	65,000	+5,000
Total, United States Fish and Wildlife Service Appropriations	1,276,424 (896,554) (404,870) (-25,000)	1,283,364 (880,414) (402,950)	1,397,891 (914,875) (483,016)	1,213,108	1,4	1 1
National Park Service		l I		 		
Operation of the national park system	1,474,977 2,000 10,098	1,560,565	1,596,593	1,571,065	1,565,565	+90,588
Subtotal	1,487,075	1,584,565	5,5	1,571,065	1,565,565	+78,490

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	Honse	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
ed States Park Police	65,260 25,295	78,431	78,431	78,431	78,431	+13,171 -25,295
 Subtotalsuptotal	90,555	78,431	78,431	78,431	78,431	-12,124
National recreation and preservation	66,159 30,000 74,500	46,824 300 67,000	30,000	62,978 10,000 67,000	61,667 300 69,000	-4,492 +300 -30,000 +69,000 -74,500
Construction	299,193 66,851 21,624	240,182 82,202	271,450 53,736	322,826	327,843	+28,650 -66,851 -21,624
 Subtotal	387,668	322,384	325,186	322,826	327,843	
Land and water conservation fund (rescission of contract authority)	-30,000	-30,000 286,057	-30,000	-30,000 204,005	-30,000 172,468	 +172,468 -274,117
Total, National Park Service (net)	2,380,074 (1,905,589) (447,468) (57,017)	2,355,561 (1,926,002) (459,559) (-30,000)	1 4 8 6 1 0	. ∞ ⊢ ∞	2,245,274 (2,275,274) (-30,000)	-134,800 (+369,685) (-447,468) (-57,017)
== United States Geological Survey		E E F F F F F F F F F F				
Surveys, investigations, and research	889,002 25,000	853,760 13,578	903,405 25,000	914,617	925,287	+36,285
Total, United States Geological Survey	914,	867,338	928,	14,61	925,	. 2
== Minerals Management Service	 	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		11 13 14 14 14 15 16 17 18 18 18 18 18	H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	
Royalty and offshore minerals management	253,397 -102,730 6,105	264,452 -100,230 6,105	264,951 -100,230 6,105	264,552 -100,230 6,105	265,551 -100,230 6,105	+12,154 +2,500
Total, Minerals Management Service	156,772	170,327	170,826	170,427	171,426	+14,654
Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement	! ! ! ! ! !					
Regulation and technologyReceipts from performance hond forfeitures	102,800	105,092	105,092	105,092	105,092	+2,292
(indefinite)	275	275	275	275	275	
Subtotalsubtotal	103,075	105,367	105,367	105,367	105,367	+2,292

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

Conference vs. Enacted	-11,710	1 -		+57,510 +10,000 -8,880	+507	+59		-2,733	-2,733	+240	-2,260	-4,993	+4,686	+2,481	+2,773 +1,937		+42,053	+39,053
Conference	191,745	12		1,857,319	60,949 5,493	,013	### ##################################	48,497 27,720	76,217	8,985	20,985	97,202	72,427	72,427	47,773		141,277	149,257
Senate	191,745	297,112		1,855,635	57,949 5,493 (72,424)	2,267,329		47,497 27,720	75,217	8,925 12,000	20,925	96,142	72,427	72,427	47,773 36,239		151,027 10,980	162,007
House	184,745	290,112	 	1,859,064	60,949 5,493 (72,424)	2,270,758		45,497 27,720	73,217	9,045	21,045	94,262	57,533	57,533	47,473 36,239 2,000		141,277 7,980	149,257
FY 2003 Request	174,035	279,402		1,837,110	57,949 5,493 (72,424)	2,245,804		42,497 27,720	70,217	8,745 12,000	20,745	90,962	78,596	78,596	47,773 36,659 2,000		151,027 7,980	159,007
FY 2002 Enacted	203,455	, 530		1,799,809 -10,000 357,132	60,949 4,986 (75,000)	2,212,876		51,230 27,720	78,950	8,745 14,500	23,245	102,195	67,741 2,205	69,946	45,000		99,224 10,980	110,204
	Abandoned mine reclamation fund (definite, trust fund)	Total, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement	Bureau of Indian Affairs	Operation of Indian programs	Indian land and water claim settlements and miscellaneous payments to Indians Indian guaranteed loan program account (Limitation on guaranteed loans)	Total, Bureau of Indian Affairs	Departmental Offices	Insular Affairs: Assistance to Territories	Subtotal	Compact of Free Association	Subtotal	Total, Insular Affairs	Departmental management	Subtotal	Office of the Solicitor	Office of Special Trustee for American Indians	Federal trust programsIndian land consolidation	Total, Office of Special Trustee for American Indians

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Natural resource damage assessment fundFederal priority land acquisitions and exchanges	5,497	5,538	5,538	5,538	5,538	+41
Total, Departmental Offices	367,144	423,535	392	420,126	408,436	
Total, title I, Department of the Interior: New budget (obligational) authority (net) Appropriations Conservation Emergency appropriations Contingent emergency appropriations Resissions (Limitation on guaranteed loans)	9,486,419 (8,431,939) (1,006,258) (59,222) (54,000) (-65,000)	8,8 8,8 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,4	1 4·01 000	9,430,4	9,455,10 9,535,10 (9,535,10 (-80,00	-31,311 (+1,103,169) (-1,006,258) (-59,222) (-54,000) (-15,000) (-75,000)
TITLE II - RELATED AGENCIES	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	11 14 14 16 17 16 16 18 18 18 18	16 10 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	If 11 11 11 11 11 11 15 16 16 11
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE						
Forest Service						
Forest and rangeland research	241,304	242,798	252,000	247,804	251,685	+10,381
State and private forestryConservation	190,221 101,000	121,805 155,558	146,695 133,133	297,472	286,574	+96,353 -101,000
Subtotal	1,2	277,363	279,828	4,	, 5	
National forest system	1,331,439	1,366,475	1,370,567	1,352,999	1,362,299	+30,860
Wildland fire management: Preparedness	0,40,0	600,703 420,699 347,736	640,000 420,699 452,750 500,000	600,703	616,000 420,699 343,239	-6,618 +165,378 +6,829 -266,000
Subtotal	1,560,349	1,369,138	2,013,449	1,351,791	1,379,938	-180,411
Capital improvement and maintenance	485,188 61,000	501,222 50,866	507,865 64,866			+66,851
Subtotal	546,188	552,088	572,731	543,656	552,039	+5,851
Land acquisition	149,742	130,510	146,336	145,763	133,815	+133,815 -149,742
acts	1,069	1,069	1,069	1,069	1,069	1 1
(indefinite)	234	234	234	234	234	-

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Range betterment fund (indefinite)	3,290	3,402	3,402	3,402	3,402	+112
	92	92	92	92	92	1 1 5
Wategement of Marional Follow Lot substrated to Wass	5,488	5,542	5,542	5,542	5,542	+54
Reduction for non-conservation fundingConservation (Youth Conservation Corps)	-2,000	-2,000	-2,000	l I 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 3 1 1 1 1	+2,000
Total, Forest Service	4,130,416 (3,470,674) (313,742) (346,000)	77 77 93	0000	1 0 0 1 1	3,976,689	(+506,015) (+313,742) (-316,000)
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY				" 【】 【】 【】 【】 【】 【】 【】 【】 【】 【】 【】 【】 【】		
Clean coal technology:	000	;	000	000 02-	000 28-	-47 000
(Transfer out)	(-33,700)	(-40,000)		0 1		(+33,700)
Fossil energy research and development	582,790	489,305	664,205	625,665	624,900	+42,110
Clean coal technology (by transfer)	(33,700)	(40,000)	\$ 9 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8		? ! ? !	(-33,700)
Naval petroleum and oil shale reserves	17,371	20,831	20,831	20,831	17,831	+460
Elk Hills School lands fund	1 0	36,000	1 00 00	1000	1000	1
Energy Conservation	912,805	901,651	984,653	884,293	897,603	-15,202
Economic regulationStrategic petroleum reserve	1,996	1,487	1,487	1,487	1,487	-509
SPR petroleum account	; ; ; ; ; ;	11,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	+7,000
Subtotal		11,000	000'L	000'L	2,000	+2,000
Northeast home heating oil reserve	78,499	8,000	8,000	6,000	6,000	+6,000
Total, Department of Energy: New budget (obligational) authority (net) Appropriations Advance appropriations Rescissions Deferral. (Transfer out)	1,766,470 (1,772,470) (36,000) (-2,000) (-40,000) (-33,700) (33,700)	1,717,241 (1,717,241) (-40,000) (40,000)	1,928,643 (1,942,643) (36,000) (-50,000)	1,764,243 (1,798,243) (36,000) (-70,000)	1,752,288 (1,808,288) (36,000) (-5,000) (-87,000)	-14,182 (+35,818) (-3,000) (-47,000) (+33,700) (-33,700)

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES	* 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1				
Indian Health Service						
Indian health servicesIndian health facilities	2,389,614 369,487	2,452,997 362,571	2,508,756 391,865	2,455,881	2,492,115 376,190	+102,501
Total, Indian Health Service	1 1	2,815,568	2,900,621	2,821,271	2,868,305	+109,204
OTHER RELATED AGENCIES				The same was been dad have well and the same of the sa		[] [
Office of Navajo and Hopi Indian Relocation						
Salaries and expenses	15,148	14,491	14,491	14,491	14,491	-657
Institute of American Indian and Alaska Native Culture and Arts Development						
Payment to the Institute	4,490	5,130	5,130	5,130	5,490	+1,000
Smithsonian Institution					1	
Salaries and expenses	399,253	448,760	450,760	450,760	463,205	+63,952
Kescission	21,707) ! 				-21,707
Subtotal	420,960	434,660	436,660	436,660		+28,145
Repair, restoration and alteration of facilities	30,000	81,300	81,300	78,300	83,425	+15,525
Total, Smithsonian Institution	518,860	1 1	527,960	530,960	548,530	+29,670
National Gallery of Art				! ! !	AT AN ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY	
Salaries and expenses	68,967 2,148	78,219	78,219	77,219	77,219	+8,252
Subtotal	71,115	78,219	78,219	77,219	77,219	+6,104
Repair, restoration and renovation of buildings	14,220	16,230	16,230	16,230	16,230	+2,010
Total, National Gallery of Art		94,449	94,449	93,449	93,449	+8,114
			 	} 		! !

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts						
Operations and maintenance	15,000	16,310		16,310	16,310	+1,310 -4,310
Subtotal	19,310	16,310	16,310	16,310	16,310	-3,000
Construction	19,000	17,600	17,600	17,600	17,600	-1,400
Total, John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts	38,310	t t	, 910	33,910	33,	! ! !
Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars						
Salaries and expenses		8,488	8,488		8,488	+692
National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities					## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	
National Endowment for the Arts						
Grants and administration	98,234	99,489	99,489	116,489	116,489	+18,255
National Endowment for the Humanities						
Grants and administration	108,382	109,632	114,932	109,632 16,122	109,632	+1,250
Total, National Endowment for the Humanities	124,504	125,754	131,054	125,754	125,754	+1,250
Institute of Museum and Library Services/ Office of Museum Services						
Grants and administration 1/	26,899	Į Į	1	1 1 1	3 1 1	-26,899
Challenge America Arts Fund						
Challenge America grants	17,000	17,000	27,000	† † ;	1 1	-17,000
Total, National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities	266,637	242,243	257,543	I I	1 1 5	
Commission of Fine Arts	, many once that they have they been the second to the sec	The same was the same was been save too the same save save save save save save save sav				
Salaries and expenses	1,224	1,224	1,255	1,224	1,224	i i t
National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs						
Grants	7,000	7,000	2,000	2,000	7,000	; ; †

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation						
Salaries and expenses	3,400	3,667	3,667	3,667	3,667	+267
National Capital Planning Commission						
Salaries and expenses	7,253	7,253	7,553	7,253	7,253	
Total, National Capital Planning Commission	8,01	7,253	7,553	7,253	7,253	1
United States Holocaust Memorial Museum						
Holocaust Memorial Museum	36,028	38,663	38,663	38,663	38,663	+2,635
Presidio Trust						
Presidio trust fund	23,125	21,327	21,327	21,327	21,327	-1,798
Total, title II, related agencies: New budget (obligational) authority (net) Appropriations	9,671,351 (8,988,686) (313,742)	9,487,325 (9,162,491) (338,934)		543, 591,		-48,334 (+704,431) (-313,742)
	(36,000)		(36,000)	(36,000)	(36,000)	(-28,923)
Contingent emergency appropriations Rescissions	(2,000)	(-14,100)	(-14,100)	(-14,100)	(-19,100)	(-346,000)
(Transfer) (By transfer)	(-33,700) (33,700)	(-40,000)	(000,08-)	(000,0/-)	(000, 18=)	(+33,700) (-33,700)
(net)	19,157,770 (19,157,770) (17,420,625) (1,320,000) (36,000) (88,145) (400) (-67,000) (-67,000) (-67,000) (-67,000) (-70,000) (-33,700) (75,000)	18,938,078 (18,938,078) (17,664,443) (1,317,735) (1,317,735) (-44,100) (-40,000) (40,000) (72,424)	20,450,125 (700,000) (19,750,125) (18,370,025) (1,438,200) (36,000) (36,000) (-50,000) (-50,000)	18,973,625 (18,973,625) (19,051,725) (36,000) (-70,000) (-70,000) (-72,424)	19,078,125 (19,228,225) (19,228,225) (36,000) (-99,100) (-87,000)	-79,645 (-79,645) (+1,807,600) (-1,320,000) (-1,320,000) (-88,145) (-400,000) (-47,000) (-47,000) (-47,000) (-33,700) (-75,000)

1/ Funded in the Labor HHS bill for FY 2003.

DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
TITLE I - DEPARTMENT OF LABOR						
Employment and Training Administration						
Training and employment servicesAdvance appropriation, FY 2004	3,021,814 2,463,000	2,573,228 2,463,000	2,675,513 2,463,000	2,657,084 2,463,000	2,755,070 2,463,000	-266,744
Total	5,484,814	5,036,228	5,138,513	5,120,084	5,218,070	-266,744
Community service employment for older Americans	445,100 175,000	440,200	440,200	440,200	445,200	+100 -175,000
<pre>Federal unemployment benefits and allowances (indefinite)</pre>	415,650	871,250	972,200	972,200	972,200	+556,550
State unemployment insurance and employment service operations	167,552 3,237,282	156,452 3,470,691	143,452 3,475,451	143,452 3,475,451	143,452 3,475,451	-24,100 +238,169
Total	3,404,834	3,627,143	3,618,903	3,618,903	3,618,903	+214,069
Advances to the Unemployment Trust Fund and other funds	464,000	463,000	463,000	463,000	463,000	-1,000
Program administrationTrust funds	112,381 48,507	115,921 55,075	121,451 50,610	121,032 56,610	121,424 54,228	+9,043 +5,721
Total	160,888	170,996	172,061	177,642	175,652	+14,764
Total, Employment and Training Administration	10,550,286	10,608,817	10,804,877	10,792,029	, 02	+342,739
Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration						
Salaries and expenses	110,470	117,044	117,044	117,044	117,044	+6,574
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation						
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation fund: Trust funds	11,567	13,050	13,050	13,050	13,050	+1,483
Employment Standards Administration						
Salaries and expensesTrust funds	367,811 1,970	292,286 2,029	378,728 2,029	383,428 2,029	381,578 2,029	+13,767
Total	369,781	294,315	380,757	385,457	383,607	+13,826
Special benefits	121,000	163,000	163,000	163,000	163,000	+42,000
fundfull of conference for the first of	130,665	104,867	104,867	104,867	104,867	-25,798

DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Black Lung Disability Trust Fund: Definite	1,035,522	1,034,644	1,034,644	1,034,644	4,6	-878
Total	1,035,878	1,035,000	1,035,000	1,035,000	1,035,000	-878
Total, Employment Standards Administration	ω	1,597,182	1,683,624	1,688,324	1,686,474	+29,150
Occupational Safety and Health Administration						
Salaries and expenses	443,498	437,019	444,194	462,314	453,256	+9,758
Mine Safety and Health Administration						
Salaries and expenses	252,976	254,323	254,323	271,841	274,741	+21,765
Bureau of Labor Statistics						
Salaries and expensesTrust funds	405,349 69,132	426,135 72,029	426,135 72,029	425,025 72,029	423,425 72,029	+18,076 +2,897
Total	474,481	498,164	498,164	497,054	495,454	+20,973
Office of Disability Employment Policy	37,688	47,015	42,500	47,015	47,487	+9,799
Departmental Management						
Salaries and expensesTrust funds	384,233	317,624 310	294,103 310	396,313 310	390,069 310	+5,836
Total	384,543	317,934	294,413	396,623	390,379	+5,836
Veterans Employment and TrainingTrust funds	25,800 186,716	24,800 185,537	4,80 5,53	26,550 191,537	25,675 188,537	1 -
Total	212,516	210,337	210,337	218,087	214,212	+1,696
Office of Inspector GeneralTrust funds	51,807 4,951	56,659	56,659 5,597	56,659 5,597	56,659 5,597	+4,852 +646
Total	56,7	62,256	62,256	62,256	62,256	+5,498
Total, Departmental Management	653,817	590,527	567,006	676,966	666,847	+13,030
Total, Title I, Department of Labor	14,192,107 (11,729,107) (2,463,000)	14,163,141 (11,700,141) (2,463,000)	14,424,782 (11,961,782) (2,463,000)	14,565,637 (12,102,637) (2,463,000)	14,647,378 (12,184,378) (2,463,000)	+455,271 (+455,271)

DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

Conference vs. Enacted		+397,181 +123 +4,214	+401,517	-67,523 (+187,113)	+445,685 +242,126 +31,774 +169,787	+169,787 +140,773 +1,202,694 +136,148	+103,345 +57,205 +54,172 +108,797	+41,561	+39,7439 +111,202 +35,573 +82,254 +104,496 +39,743	+168,360 +136,103	+9,811
Conference		6,472,630 3,914 85,918 2,991	6,565,453	4,296,566 (210,399)	4,622,394 2,812,011 374,067	633,34 466,00 730,97 859,08	1,213,817 637,290 618,258 1,000,099	489,324	131,438 131,438 418,773 968,013 1,349,788	280,100 1,146,272	114,149
Senate		6,255,681 3,914 85,918 2,991	6,348,504	4,317,749 (84,500)	4,642,394 2,820,011 374,067 1.637,347	1,63/,34/ 1,466,005 3,727,473 1,853,584	1,213,817 634,290 617,258 1,000,099	489,324	131,438 418,773 968,013 1,350,788	283,100 1,161,272	114,149
House		5,885,497 3,914 85,918 2,991	5,978,320	4,288,857 (46,982)	4,299,493 2,698,391 360,528	372,3 674,2 742,5	1,159,405 600,796 589,701 939,608	474,392	130,044 401,333 912,489 1,290,274 431,985	140,973 1,015,395	105,212 151,062
FY 2003 Request		5,365,404 3,914 85,918 2,991	5,458,227	3,974,444 (46,982)	5,071,116 2,726,830 362,029 1,573.847	405,84 405,69 943,36 835,26	1,169,412 613,931 596,898 939,606	475,708	4 4 1 4 4 6 4 7 4 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4	117,966 1,071,424	110,218
FY 2002 Enacted		6,075,449 3,791 81,704 2,992	6,163,936	4,364,089 (23,286)	4,176,709 2,569,885 342,293 1.463,560		1,110,472 580,085 564,086 891,302	341.306	120,236 383,250 885,759 1,245,292 428,294	111,740 1,010,169	104,338
	TITLE II - DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Health Resources and Services Administration	Health resources and services	Total, HRSAControl and Prevention	Disease control, research, and training	National Cancer Institute	National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. National Institute of General Medical Sciences. National Institute of Child Health and Human	Development	and Skin Diseases	National Institute of Nursing Research National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism National Institute on Drug Abuse National Institute of Mental Health National Human Genome Research Institute National Institute for Biomedical Imaging and	Bioengineering	Medicine

DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
John E. Fogarty International Center National Library of Medicine Evaluation tap funding (non-add) Office of the Director Buildings and facilities	56,798 275,792 234,859 295,879	62,188 301,380 247,957 769,100	57,064 277,273 2,476,111 296,100	60,880 302,099 (8,200) 257,974 607,800	63,880 302,099 (8,200) 267,974 632,800	+7,082 +26,307 (+8,200) +33,115 +336,921
Total, N.I.HSubstance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration	23,373,657	27,169,829	26,481,064	27,159,726	27,159,726	+3,786,069
Substance abuse and mental health services Evaluation tap funding (non-add)	3,134,174	3,193,086	3,167,897	3,129,717 (74,200)	3,158,068 (74,200)	+23,894 (+74,200)
Healthcare research and quality	2,585 (296,145)	(250,000)	2,600 (296,145) ====================================	308,645	(303,695)	-2,585 (+7,550)
Total, Public Health Service	37,038,441	39,795,586	39,918,738	41,264,341	41,179,813	+4,141,372
Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services						
Grants to States for Medicaid	143,396,949 -70,000 -36,207,551	158,692,155 -46,601,937	158,692,155 -46,601,937	158,692,155 -46,601,937	158,692,155 -46,601,937	+15,295,206 +70,000 -10,394,386
Total, adjusted appropriation	107,119,398	112,090,218	112,090,218	112,090,218	112,090,218	+4,970,820
New advance, 1st quarter, FY 2004	46,601,937	51,861,386	51,861,386	51,861,386	51,861,386	+5,259,449
Total, grants to States for Medicaid	153,721,335	163,951,604	163,951,604	163,951,604	163,951,604	+10,230,269
Payments to health care trust fundsProgram management (trust funds)	81,979,200 2,427,248	81,462,700 2,507,914	81,462,700 2,550,488	81,462,700 2,559,664	81,462,700 2,581,672	-516,500 +154,424
Total, Center for Medicare & Medicaid Services Appropriations, fiscal year 2003	238,127,783 191,525,846 46,601,937	247,922,218 196,060,832 51,861,386	247,964,792 196,103,406 51,861,386	247,973,968 196,112,582 51,861,386	247,995,976 196,134,590 51,861,386	+9,868,193 +4,608,744 +5,259,449

DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Administration for Children and Families						
Payments to States for Child Support Enforcement and Family Support Programs	3,996,313 -1,000,000	4,036,800 -1,100,000	4,036,800 -1,100,000	4,036,800 -1,100,000	4,036,800	+40,487
Total, adjusted appropriation	2,996,313	2,936,800	2,936,800	2,936,800	2,936,800	-59,513
New advance, 1st quarter, FY 2004	1,100,000	1,100,000	1,100,000	1,100,000	1,100,000	1 1
Total	4,096,313	4,036,800	4,036,800	4,036,800	4,036,800	
Low income home energy assistance: Emergency allocation: Non-emergency funding	300,000	300,000	1,700,000	1,700,000	1,700,000	-300,000
Total	2,000,000	1,700,000	1,700,000	1,700,000	1,700,000	-300,000
Refugee and entrant assistance	460,155	452,724	446,724	442,724	446,724	-13,431
tt	2,099,942 1,700,000 7,025,911	2,099,994 1,700,000 7,193,364	2,099,994 1,700,000 7,067,062	2,099,994 1,700,000 7,248,884	2,099,994 1,700,000 7,243,117	+52 + 217,206
Evaluation tap funding (non-add)	000,000	000'000#'1) 	- 1	(6,000)	(+6,000)
Total	8,425,911	8,593,364	8,467,062	8,648,884	8,643,117	+217,206
Rescission of permanent appropriations	-21,000	1	!	1	1 1	+21,000
Promoting Safe and Stable Families	304,989 69,997	305,000	305,000	305,000	305,000	+11 +30,003
Payments to States for foster care and adoption assistance	6,621,492 -1,735,900	6,609,000 -1,754,000	6,609,000 -1,754,000	6,609,000 -1,754,000	6,609,000	-12,492 -18,100
Total, adjusted appropriation	4,885,592	4,855,000	4,855,000	4,855,000	4,855,000	-30,592
New advance, 1st quarter, FY 2004	1,754,000	1,745,600	1,745,600	1,745,600	1,745,600	-8,400
Total, Payments to States for foster care	6,639,592	6,600,600	6,600,600	6,600,600	6,600,600	-38,992
Total, Administration for Children and Families.	25,775,899	25,688,482	25,426,180	25,734,002	25,632,235	-143,664

DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	Honse	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Administration on Aging						
Aging services program	1,347,395	1,341,344	1,355,844	1,369,290	1,376,001	+28,606
Office of the Secretary						
General departmental managementTrust funds	367,512 5,851	378,544 5,851	352,600 5,851	368,535 5,851	361,364 5,851	-6,148
Total	373,363	384,395	358,451	374,386	367,215	
Office of Inspector General	35,558 28,492 3,314	39,747 30,328 3,314	37,300 30,328 3,314	39,747 30,328 3,314	37,300 30,328 3,314	+1,742 +1,836
Total	31,806	33,642	33,642	33,642	33,642	+1,836
Policy research	2,494	2,499	2,499	2,499	2,499	+5
Medical benefits for commissioned Officers: Expenses (indefinite)	262,075	251,039	251,039	251,039	251,039	-11,036
Public Health and Social Services Emergency Fund	242,643 2,418,314	2,295,184	2,507,184	5,9	2,246,680	+2,004,037 -2,418,314
Total	2,660,957	2,295,184	2,507,184	2,255,980	2,246,680	-414,277
Total, Office of the Secretary	3,366,253	3,190,506	1	2,957,293	2,938,375	1
Net total, Title II, Department of Health and Human Services	305,655,771 (254,799,834) (252,084,421) (-21,000)	317,938,136 (261,831,150) (259,314,071) (56,106,986)	317,855,669 (261,748,683) (259,189,030) (56,106,986)	319, 298, 894 (263, 191, 908) (260, 623, 079) (56, 106, 986)	319,122,400 (263,015,414) (260,424,577) (56,106,986)	+13,466,629 (+8,215,580) (+8,340,156) (+21,000) (+5,251,049)
Total, discretionary FY 2003	55,470,563	58,144,475	58,062,008	59,505,233	59,328,739	+3,858,176

DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
TITLE III - DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION				 		i i t t i i i i i
Education for the disadvantagedFunding for Innovative Programs	4,963,599	6,002,099	6,053,599 6,883,301	9,551,099 (5,000,000) 8,627,301	4,826,099	-137,500 +1,644,000
Total	12,346,900	13,385,400	12,936,900	18,178,400	13,853,400	+1,506,500
Impact aidSchool improvement programs	1,143,500 6,072,473 1,765,000	1,140,500 5,019,484 1,765,000	1,185,000 5,082,584 2,265,000	1,176,500 6,023,329 1,765,000	1,196,000 6,287,957 1,765,000	+52,500 +215,484
Total	7,837,473	6,784,484	7,347,584	7,788,329	8,052,957	+215,484
Indian education	120,368 665,000 3,600,804 5,072,000	122,368 665,000 4,615,804 5,072,000	122,368 665,000 4,115,804 5,072,000	122,368 690,000 3,619,424 7,572,000	122,368 690,000 4,423,639 5,672,000	+2,000 +25,000 +822,835 +600,000
Total	8,672,804	9,687,804	9,187,804	11,191,424	10,095,639	+1,422,835
Rehabilitation services and disability research	464,430 2,481,383	468,348	423,184	426,346 2,533,492	422,890 2,533,492	-41,540 +52,109
Total	2,945,813	3,001,840	2,956,676	2,959,838	2,956,382	+10,569
Special Institutions for Persons With Disabilities: American Printing House for the Blind National Technical Institute for the Deaf	14,000 55,376 96,938	14,000 52,014 94,446	15,500 53,500 95,000	15,500 54,600 98,438	15,500 54,050 98,438	+1,500 -1,326 +1,500
Total	166,314	160,460	164,000	168,538	167,988	+1,674
Vocational and adult educationAdvance appropriation, FY 2004	1,143,060	1,106,560	1,128,560	1,147,060 791,000	1,165,060 791,000	+22,000
Total	1,934,060	1,897,560	1,919,560	1,938,060	1,956,060	+22,000

DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
) ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;]] ; t t ! ! ! ! ! !	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Student financial assistance	13,285,500	12,767,500	13,171,610	13,151,500	13,450,500	+165,000
Federal family education loan program account	48,836	1 1 1	1 1	!!!	1 1	-48,836
Higher education	2,031,048	1,883,053	1,903,553	2,047,640	2,100,701	+69,623
Howard University	237,474	237,474	240,000	239,974	240,000	+2,526
College housing and academic facilities loans program. Historically Black College and University capital	762	762	762	762	762	1 1
financing, program account	208	208	208	208	208	1
Institute of Education Sciences	443,870	432,887	397,887	397,387	450,887	+7,017
Departmental Management:					•	•
Program administration	418,798	411,795	411,795	412,093	412,545	-6,253
Office for Civil Rights	79,666	86,276	86,276	86,276	86,276	+6,610
Office of the Inspector General	38,588	41,000	41,000	41,000	41,000	+2,412
Total	537,052	539,071	539,071	539,369	539,821	+2,769
Student aid administrationFDSL reclassification		932,000	105,388	105,388	105,388	+105,388
"						
Total, Title III, Department of Education Appropriations, fiscal year 2003	52,416,982 (37,405,681)	52,843,371 (37,832,070)	52,843,371 (37,832,070)	60,695,685	55,979,061	+3,562,079
Advance appropriations, FY 2004	(15,011,301)	(15,011,301)	(15,011,301)	(18,755,301)	(17,255,301)	(+2,244,000)
Total, discretionary FY 2003	49,935,599	50,309,879	50,309,879	58,162,193	53,445,569	+3,509,970

DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
TITLE IV - RELATED AGENCIES		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Armed Forces Retirement Home: Operation and maintenance	61,628 9,812	61,628 5,712	61,628 5,712	61,628 5,712	62,244 5,769	+616
Total, AFSH	71,440	67,340	67,340	67,340	68,013	-3,427
Corporation for National and Community Service: Domestic Volunteer Service Programs, operating expenses.	328,895	396,063	350,331	351,063	356,205	+27,310
Colporation for Fublic Bloaucasting: Advance appropriation, fiscal year 2005	380,000	L	380,000	395,000	390,000	+10,000
Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service	39,982	40,718	40,718	48,744	48,744	+23,744 +1,443
Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission	6,939	,	7,127	7,127	7,178	+239
ט	8,250	8,500	8,250	203,000 8,250	245,485 8,585	+20,984
National Commission on Libraries & Information Science	1,000		1,000	1,000	1,010	+10
National Council on Disability	2,830	2,830	2,830	2,830	2,858	+28
National Labor Relations Board	226,618	233,223	226,618	238,223	238,982	+12,364
National Mediation Board	10,635	-	-		11,315	+680
Cocupacional parety and health review commission	6,364	115'6	115'6	9,577	9,673	+109
Dual benefits payments accountFederal payments to the Railroad Retirement	137,000	124,000	124,000	124,000	124,000	-13,000
Accounts.	150	150	150	150	150	!!!!
Administrative expensesOffice of Inspector General	97,700 6,261	97,720 6,300	100,000 6,300	97,720 6,300	100,000 6,363	+2,300
Total	241,111	228,170	230,450	228,170	230,513	-10,598
Social Security Administration						
Payments to social security trust fundsSpecial benefits for disabled coal miners:	434,400	20,400	20,400	20,400	20,400	-414,000
Direct appropriationAppropriation available from prior year advance	446,840	408,177 -108,000	408,177 -108,000	ထထ		ထ ဖ
Total, fiscal year 2003 appropriation	332,840	300,177	300,177	300,177	300,177	-32,663
New advance, 1st quarter, FY 2004	108,000	97,000	97,000	000'16	7,0	-11,000
Total, special benefits for disabled coal miners	440,840	397,177	397,177	397,177	397,177	

DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

Conference vs. Enacted		+2,956,980	-320,000	+2,636,980	-200,000 +11,000 +290,000	+2,737,980	+360,500 +2,000 +6,000	000'8+		+2,639,817 (+2,360,817) (+279,000)	+1,258	+2,724,496 (+2,435,496) (+279,000) (+10,000)	+453,179	+20,208,475 (+11,937,969) (-300,000) (+21,000)	(+11,658,969) (+7,774,049) (+10,000)
Conference	31,879,392 2,825,000	34,704,392	-10,790,000	23,914,392	111,000	35,105,392	7,936,000 21,000 62,000	83,000	1 	40,605,969 (29,428,969) (11,177,000)	16,362	42,282,317 (30,715,317) (11,177,000) (390,000)	9,695,198	432,031,156 (333,059,853)	(333,059,853) (87,002,287) (390,000)
Senate	α α	34,704,392	-10,790,000	23,914,392	111,000 11,080,000	35,105,392	7,936,000 21,000 62,000	83,000		40,605,969 (29,428,969) (11,177,000)	16,200	42,234,414 (30,662,414) (11,177,000) (395,000)	9,647,295	436,794,630 (336,337,631)	(336,337,631) (88,502,287) (395,000)
House	31,871,392 2,825,000	34,696,392	-10,790,000	23,906,392	111,000	35,097,392	7,936,000 21,000 62,000	83,000		40,597,969 (29,420,969) (11,177,000)	15,104	42,183,517 (30,626,517) (11,177,000) (380,000)	9,604,398	427,307,339 (330,628,236)	(330,628,236) (84,758,287) (380,000)
FY 2003 Request	31,871,392 2,825,000	34,696,392	-10,790,000	23,906,392	111,000 11,080,000	35,097,392	7,936,000 21,000 62,000	83,000		40,597,969 (29,420,969) (11,177,000)	16,200	41,853,920 (30,676,920) (11,177,000)	9,274,801	426,798,568 (330,544,364)	(330,544,364) (84,758,287)
FY 2002 Enacted	29,120,412 2,627,000	31,747,412	-10,470,000	21,277,412	200,000 100,000 10,790,000	32,367,412	7,575,500 19,000 56,000	75,000		37,966,152 (27,068,152) (10,898,000)	15,104	39,557,821 (28,279,821) (10,898,000) (380,000)	9,242,019	411,822,681 (321,121,884) (300,000) (-21,000)	(321,400,884) (79,228,238) (380,000)
	Supplemental security income program: Mandatory Discretionary	Subtotal	Appropriation available from prior year advance	Total, fiscal year 2003 appropriation	Additional CDR funding	Total, supplemental security income program	Limitation on administrative expenses: Trust funds Office of Inspector General	TotalAdjustment: Trust fund transfers from general revenues		Total, Social Security Administration	United States Institute of Peace: Operating expenses	Total, Title IV, Related agencies	Total, discretionary FY 2003	Grand total	Total, fiscal year 2003Advance appropriations, FY 2004Advance appropriations, FY 2005

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
TITLE I - LEGISLATIVE BRANCH	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
SENATE						
Payments to Widows and Heirs of Deceased Members of Congress						
Gratuities, deceased Members	1	;	!	150	150	+150
Vice President	10	10	1 1	20	20	+10
President Pro Tempore of the Senate	0 7 0	10	1 :	20	20	01+
Majority Leader of the Senate	10	100	! ! !	0 70	70 70	0 1 +
Majority Whip of the Senate	S	ហ	!!!	10	10	÷
Minority Whip of the Senate		ហ	1 1	10	10	
Frestdein Fro lempore Emericus of the Senate Chairman of the Majority Conference Committee	1 m	: E	l ! l !	വാ	ວ ເກ	+ +
Chairman of the Minority Conference Committee	m	ന	! !	ı ıv	, ro	+ 5
the Majority Policy Comm the Minority Policy Comm	m m	м м		ហហ	w w	+ + +
Subtotal, expense allowances	62	62		128	128	99+
Representation allowances for the Majority and Minority Leaders	30	30	1	30	30	1
Total, Expense allowances and representation	92	92	1	158	158	+66
Salaries, Officers and Employees						
Office of the Vice President	1,867	1,949	\$ \$ \$	1,949	1,949	+82
Office of the President Pro Tempore	473	518		518	518	+45
Office of the President Pro Tempore Emeritus	1 1	!	1 1	150	150	+150
Offices of the Majority and Minority Leaders	2,868	3,094	!!!	3,094	3,094	+226
	1,912	2,042	1	2,042	2,042	+130
:	7,8/5	11,266 2,610	1 1 1	2,710	7 610	+1,391
Offices of the Secretaries of the Conference of the	0 6))))		1) (D ()
najority and the conterence of the minority	0 10 0	0 4 0	; !	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	040	7 -
Office of the Chaplain	301	3.15	1 I 1 I 1 I	3.15	2,724 315	+ 1 / 4 + 1 4
Office of the Secretary	15,424	17,079	1 1	17,079	17,079	+1,655
Office of the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper	39,082	45,941	!	43,161	43,161	+4,079
Minority	1,350	1,410		1,410	1,410	1+60
Agency contributions and related expenses	25,219	30,075	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	30,075	30,075	+4,856
Total, Salaries, officers and employees	104,039	119,671	 	117,041	117,041	+13,002

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Office of the Legislative Counsel of the Senate						
Salaries and expenses	4,306	4,581	1 1	4,581	4,581	+275
Office of Senate Legal Counsel						
Salaries and expenses	1,109	1,176	!	1,176	1,176	+67
Expense Allowances of the Secretary of the Senate, Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper of the Senate, and Secretaries for the Majority and Minority of the Senate: Expenses allowances	12	12	1	12	12	}
Contingent Expenses of the Senate						
Inquiries and investigations	107,264	109,450	1	109,450	109,450	+2,186
Expenses of United States Senate Caucus on International Narcotics Control	520	520	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	520	520	1 1
Secretary of the Senate	8,571	7,077	1 1	7,077		-1,494
Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper or the Senate Emergency supplemental	34,500	11/,133	 	114,423	114,423	-34,500
Miscellaneous items	14,274	19,409	1 1	18,355	18,355	+4,081
Account	270,494	303,879	1	294,545	294,545	+24,051
Official Mail Costs						
Expenses	300			300	300	1
Total, Contingent expenses of the Senate	531,827	557,768	1	544,670		+12,843
Total, Senate	641,385	683,300	!!!!	667,788	667,788	+26,403
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES						
Payments to Widows and Heirs of Deceased Members of Congress						
Gratuities, deceased Members	145	!	 	!!!	-	-145
Salaries and Expenses						
House Leadership Offices						
Office of the Speaker	1,866	1,979	1,979 1,899	1,979 1,899	1,979	+1113 +69 +85
of the Majority Whip	1,168	1, 214 412, 44	1,624	1,624	1,624	+ + + + 46 1.146
Sprance s office for begistarive fiour Activities	104	יי יי	D H H	P H	D F F	1

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Republican Steering Committee	806	834	834	834	834	+58
Republican Conference	1,342	1,397	1,397	1,397	1,397	15 H
Democratic Steering and Folicy Committee	1,435	-	•	741	741	+28
Nine minority employees	1,293	1,337	1,337	1,337	1,337	+44
Training and Program Development:	ć	c c	Ċ	G G	Ċ	
Minority	290	290	290	290	290	
Cloakroom Personnel: Majority	330 330	340 340	340 340	340 340	340 340	+10
subtotal, House Leadership Offices	15,910	16,530	16,530	16,530	16,530	+620
Members' Representational Allowances Including Members' Clerk Hire, Official Expenses of Members, and Official Mail						
Expenses	479,472	483,536	476,536	476,536	476,536	-2,936
Committee Employees						
Standing Committees, Special and Select (except Appropriations)	104,514 1,600	108,741	108,741	103,421	103,421	-1,093 -1,600
Committee on Appropriations (including studies and investigations)	23,002	24,200	24,200	24,200	24,200	+1,198
Subtotal, Committee employees	129,116	132,941	132,941	127,621	127,621	-1,495
Salaries, Officers and Employees						
Office of the Clerk	15,408	17,530	20,032	20,032	20,032	+4,624
Utilice of the Sergeant at Arms	4,139 67,495	4,732 99,863	5,097	5,097 104,363	5,097 105,363	+37,868
By Transfer - Legislative Branch Emergency Response Fund (P.T. 107-117)	41.712	! !	1 1	1	1 1	-41.712
Office of Inspector General	3,756	3,947	3,947	3,947	3,947	+191
and Operations	1 0	2,603	6,000	6,000	6,000	+6,000
Office of the Chaplain	894 144	o 1 v 4 v 4	149	09 4 149	034 149	1 15 15
Office of the Parliamentarian	1,344		1,464	1,464	1,464	+120
UILICE OI THE FAILIAMENTARIAN	(1,168)	(1,2/9)	(6/7'T)	(6/7/1)	(61717)	/ /
RepresentativesOffice of the Law Revision Counsel of the House	(176) 2,107 5,456	(185) 2,168 5,852	(185) 2,168 5,852	(185) 2,168 5,852	(185) 2,168 5,852	(+9) +61 +396
סידדכם סד ניום הפקדשדמרואם כסתושפה סד ניום יוסתשפייייייי	0	1000	1	1	1)) -

LEGISLATIVE BRANCH APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Corrections Calendar Office	883 140	915	915 146	915 146	915 146	+32+6
Technical Assistants, Office of the Attending Physician	(140)	(146)	(146)	(146)	(146)	(9+)
Subtotal, Salaries, officers and employees	143,478	140,263	151,027	151,027	152,027	+8,549
Allowances and Expenses						
Supplies, materials, administrative costs and Federal tort claims	3,379	3,384	3,384	3,384	3,384	+
Official mail for committees, leadership offices, and administrative offices of the House	52,	410 171,888 690	410 178,888 690	410 178,888 690	410 178,888 690	
Subtotal, Allowances and expenses	157,436	176,372	183,372	183,372	183,372	+25,936
Undistributed reduction	-4,050	1 1	1 1	1 1	!	+4,050
Total, Salaries and expenses	921,362	949,642	960,406	980,386	926,086	+34,
			H 		 	
Total, House of Representatives	921,507	949,642	960,406	982,086	926,086	+34,579
JOINT ITEMS						
Joint Economic CommitteeJoint Committee on Taxation	3,4246,733	3,658	3,658	3,658	3,658	+234
Office of the Attending Physician						
Medical supplies, equipment, expenses, and allowances.	1,865	1,947	3,000	3,000	3,000	+1,135
Capitol Guide Service and Special Services Office	2,512	3,035	3,035	3,035	3,035	+523
by Transfer - Legislative Branch Emergency Response Fund (P.L. 107-117)	350	1 :	1 1	1	1 1	-350
Total, Capitol Guide Service and Special Services Office	2,862	3,035	3,035	3,035	3,035	+173
Statements of Appropriations	30	30	30	30	30	H H H H H H H H H H
Total, Joint items	14,914	93	17,046	17	99	+

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
CAPITOL POLICE						
Salaries: Sergeant at Arms of the House of Representatives Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper of the Senate Capitol Police salaries	2, 80, 1	184,526	175,675	5,6	1 9	-55,239 -57,805 +175,675
Subtotal, salaries	113,044	184,526	175,675	175,675	175,675	+62,631
General expenses	13,146 31,000 16,100	28,100	43,000	28,100	28,100	+14,954 -31,000 -16,100
Subtotal, General expenses	60,246	28,100	43,000	28,100	28,100	-32,146
Total, Capitol Police	173,290	212,626	218,675	203,775	203,775	+30,485
OFFICE OF COMPLIANCE Salaries and expenses	2,059	2,224	2,059	2,059	2,059	1 1
:	30,780	32,390	32,390	32,101	32,101	+1,321
ARCHITECT OF THE CAPITOL Capitol Buildings and Grounds				1	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
General administration	51,371 15,194	64,151 46,789	61,927 32,062	59,343 32,094	59,343 32,094	+7,972 +16,900
Response Fund (P.L. 107-117)	106,304 6,009 42,126 54,006	7,711 55,103 46,650	8,125	8,356 64,871 61,960	8,356 64,871 60,960	-106,304 +2,347 +22,745 +6,954
Capitol Power Plant	56,983	148,003	111,573	106,686	106,686	+49,703
Net subtotal, Capitol Power Plant	52,583	143,603	107,173	102,286	102,286	+49,703
Library buildings and grounds	21,753	5,661	35,319 37,500 5,936	37,521 23,900 6,103	37,521 23,900 6,103	+15,768 +23,900 +457 ===========
Total, Architect of the Capitol	354,992	409,952	346,502	396, 434	395,434	+40,442

LEGISLATIVE BRANCH APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
UNITED STATES CAPITOL HISTORICAL SOCIETY						
Grant - By Transfer - Legislative Branch Emergency Response Fund (P.L. 107-117)	1,000	-	: ! !	;	!	-1,000
LIBRARY OF CONGRESS						
Salaries and expenses	306,692 -6,850	357,121 -6,850	358,797 -6,850	358,474 -6,850	358,474 -6,850	+51,782
by Transier - Legislative Branch Emergency Response Fund (P.L. 107-117)	o,	1 1	t 2 1	1 1		-29,615
Subtotal, Salaries and expenses	329,457	350,271	351,947	351,624	351,624	+22,167
Copyright Office, salaries and expenses	40,896 -27,864	44,321 -29,527	44 ,876 -31,102	39,226 -29,512	39,226 -29,512	-1,670 -1,648
Subtotal, Copyright Office	13,032	14,794	13,774	9,714	9,714	-3,318
2002 Supplemental (P.L. 107-206)	7,500	1	1	1	1	-7,500
Congressional Research Service, salaries and expenses.	81,454	87,646	86,241	86,952	86,952	+5,498
	49,788 7,932 ====================================	51,020 8,003 ===================================	56,522	50,963	50,963	+1,175 -7,932
Total, Library of Congress	489,163	511,734	484	499,253	ю	+
ARCHITECT OF THE CAPITOL .						
Capitol Visitors Center						
Capitol Visitors Center	70,000	!!!	1 1	1 1	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	-70,000
Congressional Cemetery						
Congressional Cemetery	1,250	1 1	!	1 1	1	
== Total, Architect of the Capitol	71,250					-71,250
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE				•		
Congressional printing and binding	81,000	90,143	90,143	90,143	90,143	+9,143

LEGISLATIVE BRANCH APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Office of Superintendent of Documents	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 4 4 4 4 4	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Salaries and expenses	29,639	32,302	29,661	29,661	29,661	+22
Government Printing Office Revolving Fund						
By Transfer - Legislative Branch Emergency Response Fund (P.L. 107-117)	4,000			48 31 31 10 10 10 11 14 14 14 14	1	-4,000
Total, Government Printing Office	114,639	122,445	119,		Н	
GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE						
Salaries and expenses	424,345 -2,501	457,802	456,534	454,134 -3,000	454,134 -3,000	+29,789 -499
By Transier - Legislative Branch Emergency Response Fund (P.L. 107-117)	7,600				11 	-7,600
Total, General Accounting Office	429,444	454,802	453,534	451,134	451,134	+21,690
OPEN WORLD LEADERSHIP CENTER						
Payment to the Open World Leadership Center Trust Fund	8,000	10,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	+5,000
TITLE II - GENERAL PROVISIONS						
John Stennis Center	!	1	1 1	300	300	+300
Congressional Award Act	1 [1] 1]	I I I I I I I	 	250 1,800	250	+250
		# H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H				
Grand total	3,252,423	3,405,108	2,671,900	3,359,830	3,358,350	+105,927

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

Conference vs. Enacted	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		699 164	(+282)	(+190)		1 1	(+2,302)	(840'8-)	(-7.421)		(+12,452)	1	(+647)	(171)	(1,1,1)	(+9,821)	(+203)	(+187)	(+104)		(+64)	(-1,321)	(+7,046)	(+21,669)	+200	-1,250,000	49,007	(+6,443)		(+3,643)
Conference			89 447	(2, 211)	(808)		1	(15,657)	1	1 1		(12,452)		(8,3/5)	(2 453)	(0)1 (1)	(29,071)	(1,926)	(1,391)	(611)		(1,304)	1 1	(13,187)	(89,447)	8,700	! 	21.000	(131,766)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(131,766)
Senate			83.069	(2,201)	(1997)		1	(15,507)	1 1 1	1		(11,123)		(8,3/5)	(2 282)		(26,070)	(1,920)	(1,390)	(611)		(1,304)	1	(11,487)	(690'88)	8,700	! !	21,000	(131,779)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(131,779)
House			82 474	1 1 1	1		(4,355)	(14,950)	1 1	1 1		(12,024)	1	(7,415)	(2.453)		(27,686)	(1,926)	1 1	(611)		(1,304)	1 1	(9,750)	(82,474)	8,500	 	11,157	(131,766)		(131,766)
FY 2003 Request			92 460		!		(4,410)	(15,657)	t t t	1 1		(12,452)	1	(8,3/5)	(2.453)	()))	(29, 285)	(1,926)	1 1	(611)		(1,304)	:	(15,987)	(92,460)	8,700	i i	10,700	 		1 1
FY 2002 Enacted			877.73	(1,929)	(619)		!	(13,355)	(3,058)	(7,421)		1 1 1		(1,,78)	(2,282)		(19,250)	(1,723)	(1,204)	(202)		(1,240)	(1,321)	(6,141)	(67,778)	8,500	1,250,000	11,993	(125,323)		(128,123)
	H	Office of the Secretary	Salaries and expenses	Immediate Office of the Secretary.	Immediate Office of the Deputy Secretary	Immediate office of the Secretary and Deputy	Secretary	Office of the General Counsel	Office of the Assistant Secretary for Folicy Office of the Assistant Secretary for Aviation	and International Affairs	Office of the Under Secretary for Transportation	Policy	UIIICE OI The Assistant Secretary for Budget	Office of the Assistant Secretary for Governmental	Affairs	Office of the Assistant Secretary for	Administration	Office of Public Affairs	Executive Secretariat	Board of Contract Appeals	Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business	Utilization	Office of Intelligence and Security	Office of the Chief Information Officer	Subtotal	Office of civil rights	offsetting collections	Transportation planning, research, and development	Transportation Administrative Service Center		Subtotal, TASC

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

Conference vs. Enacted	+39,100 -50,000	-1,230,024 +1,250,000 	+5,180,000 (+4,516,300) (+244,800) (+110,200) (+308,700)	(+5,180, -144, -2,650, -3,370,	-1,078,800	+1,040,122 -100,000 -209,150 -189,000	+541,972
Conference	900 (18,367) 3,000 52,100	175,147	5,180,000 (4,516,300) (244,800) (110,200) (308,700)	0000	2,386,000	3,982,122	4,322,122
Senate	900 (18,367) 3,000 65,000	181,669	5,346,000	1 0011	2,641,000	3,978,456	4,318,456
Honse	900 (18,367) 3,000 25,000 50,000	181,031 181,031	5,146,000 (4,355,726) (206,864) (129,519) (453,891)	(5,146,000) -176,691 -2,650,000	2,319,309	3,005,456	4,305,456
FY 2003 Request	900 (18,367) 3,000 25,000	140,760	5,346,000	1	2,572,000	3,978,456 340,000 -165,000	4,153,456
FY 2002 Enacted	3,000 3,000 13,000 50,000	1,405,171 -1,250,000 		I .	3,464,800	2,942,000 440,000 209,150 189,000	3,780,150
	Minority business resource center program. (Limitation on guaranteed loans) Minority business outreach New headquarters building Payments to air carriers (Airport & Airway Trust Fund) Emergency supplemental (PL 107-117)	Total, Office of the Secretary Offsetting collections	Transportation Security Administration Salaries and expenses. Aviation Security. Maritime and Land Security. Research and Development. Support Services.	Subtotal	Total, Transportation Security Administration Coast Guard	Operating expenses	Subtotal, OE

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

Conference vs. Enacted	+105,746 -66,000 -17,000 (-90,140) (-5,500) (+14,278) (-35,071) (-1,631) (-1,631)	1,7 1,7 1,7 1,7	+601,258 -17,000 +584,258	+183,019 (-5,452,871) (-768,769) (-150,154) (-12,456) (-12,456) (-50,284) (-69,516) (-85,943) (-109,208) (+9,000)	(-6,886,000) -200,000 (-33,000) -49,981
Conference	742,100 -17,000 (25,600) (4,000) (121,300) (50,200) (63,000)		6,095,917 -17,000 -17,000 6,078,917	7,069,019	7,069,019
Senate	752,000 (25,600) (132,700) (48,700) (65,000)	7 4 6 9 6 7	6,098,978	7,047,203 (5,662,037) (839,467) (207,600) (12,325) (48,782) (80,260) (82,192) (84,890) (29,650)	(7,047,203)
House	725,000 (11,715) (2,700) (114,200) (31,385) (65,000)	5,7	6,060,978	7,060,203	7,060,203
FY 2003 Request	725,000 (13,600) (117,700) (28,700) (55,000)	(725,000) 17,000 889,000 86,522 22,000	6,057,978 -165,000 5,892,978	7,077,203	7,077,203
FY 2002 Enacted	636,354 66,000 (115,740) (9,500) (107,022) (85,271) (64,631) (320,190)	(70 (70 1 1 8 8 8 2 2	5,494,659	6,886,000 (5,452,871) (768,769) (150,154) (195,799) (12,486) (50,284) (69,516) (69,516) (109,208)	(6,886,000) 200,000 (33,000) 7,119,000
	Acquisition, construction, and improvements 2002 Supplemental (PL 107-206) (emergency). AC&I rescission. Vessels. Aircraft. Other equipment. Shore facilities & aids to navigation facilities. Personnel and related support. Integrated Deepwater Systems.	Subtotal, A C & I Environmental compliance and restoration Alteration of bridges Retired pay Reserve training Research, development, test, and evaluation	Total, Coast Guard	Operations Air traffic services Aviation regulation and certification Civil aviation security Research and acquisition Commercial space transportation Financial services Human resources Regional coordination Staff offices Information services	Subtotal, Operations Emergency supplemental (PL 107-117) 2002 Supplemental (PL 107-206) (Transfer authority)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Facilities & equipment (Airport & Airway Trust Fund) Rescission (Airport and Airway Trust Fund) Emergency supplemental (PL 107-117)	2,914,000 -15,000 108,500	2,981,022	2,981,022	2,981,022	2,981,022	+67,022 -5,000 -108,500
Subtotal, F&E (incl supplemental)	3,007,500	2,981,022	2,981,022	2,981,022	2,961,022	-46,478
Research, engineering, and development (Airport and Airway Trust Fund)	195,000	124,000	138,000	124,000	148,450	-46,550
Subtotal, RE&D	245,000	124,000	138,000	124,000	148,450	-96,550
Grants-in-aid for airports (Airport and Airway Trust Fund): (Liquidation of contract authorization)	(1,800,000) (3,300,000) (20,000) -301,720	(3,100,000)	(3,100,000)	(3,100,000) (3,400,000) (20,000)	(3,100,000) (3,400,000) (20,000)	(+1,300,000) (+100,000) +301,720
Subtotal, Grants-in-aidSmall community air service development	(3,173,280)	(3,400,000)	(3,400,000)	(3,400,000)	(3,400,000)	
Total, Federal Aviation Administration	10,528,500		10,199,225	10,152,225 (3,400,000)	10,198,491	-330,009
Total budgetary resources	(13,828,500)	(13,582,225)	(13,599,225)	(13,552,225)	(13,598,491)	(-230,009)
RescissionsRescissions of contract authority	-15,000	# 1 # 1 1 1	! !) [] [] [-20,000	-5,000 +301,720
Net total, FAA	(13,511,780)	(13,582,225)	(13, 599, 225)	(13,552,225)	(13,578,491)	(+66,711)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

Conference vs. Enacted		(+5,126) (+106,967)	(+4,520,000) (-4,543,000) (+23,896)	(+896) (+896) (-72,541)	(+2,000,000)	+320,000	-5,609	-250,000	-12,000	+5,750	+1 231	+43,742		-100,000	1 1 1	-75,000	-167,000		-354,000	(+836)	(-72,541)	(-425,645)	+45,114	+70,000	(-310,531)
Conference		(316,126) (106,967)	(31,800,000)	(31,800,000) (892,767)	(32,000,000)	1 1	-5,609	-250,000	188,000	!	000 8-			1 1	1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1		188,000	(31,800,000)		(32,880,767)	-13,609	-250,000	(32,617,158)
Senate		(317,732) (106,967)	(31,800,000)	(31,800,000) (892,767)	(32,000,000)	!		1 1	200,000	1 1	;	!		1	1 1	1 1 1	1 1		200,000	(31,800,000)	(892,767)	(32,892,767)	!		(32,892,767)
House		(370,042)	(32,022,143) (-4,369,000)	(27,653,143) (892,767)	(30,000,000)	!	-5,609	1 1 1	100,000	!	1	!		!!	22,000	i !	1 1 1		155,000	(27,653,143)	(892,767)	(28,700,910)	-5,609		(28,695,301)
FY 2003 Request		(317,732)	(27,573,787) (-4,369,000)	(23,204,787)	(29,000,000)	1 1	1 1	1	1 1	!	!	1		:	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1		1	(23,204,787)	(892,767)	(24,097,554)	1		(24,097,554)
FY 2002 Enacted		(311,000)	(27,280,000) (4,543,000) (-23,896)	(31,799,104)	(30,000,000)	-320,000	1 1	1 1	200,000	-5,750	-9.231	-43,742		100,000	1	75,000	167,000	. =====================================	542,000	(31,799,104)	(965,308)	(33,306,412)	-58,723	-320,000	(32,927,689)
	Federal Highway Administration	Limitation on administrative expenses (Border enforcement program)	Federal and ingumays (inglammay lines fund): (Limitation on obligations)	Subtotal, limitation on obligations	(Liquidation of contract authorization)	•	Miscellaneous appropriations (rescission)	Miscellaneous (rescission of contract authority)	Appalachian development highway system	State infrastructure banks (rescission)	(Sec. 364)	TIFIA (rescission) (Highway Trust Fund)	Miscellaneous appropriations (Highway Trust Fund)	(emergency supplemental) (PL 107-117)	Emergency Relief (Highway Trust Fund)	Emergency relief program (emergency sup)(PL 107-117)	2002 Supplemental (PL 107-206) (emergency)		Total, Federal Highway Administration	(Limitations on obligations)	(Exempt obligations)	Total budgetary resources	Rescissions	Rescissions of contract authority	Net total, FHWA

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;		; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	\$ \$ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Motor carrier safety (limitation on administrative expenses) (limitation on obligations)	(110,000) -6,665	(117,464)	(92,857) 24,587	(117,464)	(117,464)	(+7,464) +6,665
Liquidation of contract authorization)(Limitation on obligations)	(205,896) (182,000)	(190,000) (190,000)	(190,000) (190,000)	(190,000) (190,000)	(190,000) (190,000)	(-15,896) (+8,000)
Border-State grantsState commercial driver's license	(18,000) (5,896)	 	1 1	 	1 1 1 1 1 1	(-18,000) (-5,896)
Subtotal, RABA	(23,896)]		1	1	(-23,896)
Subtotal, limitation on obligations	(205, 896)	(190,000)	(190,000)	(190,000)	(190,000)	(-15,896)
Border enforcement program (Highway Trust Fund)	25,866	59,967	59,967			-25,866 -19,300
i						
Total, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Admin (Limitations on obligations)	45,166 (315,896)	59,967 (307,464)	84,554 (282,857)	(307,464)	(307,464)	-45,166 (-8,432)
Total budgetary resources	(361,062)	(367,431)	(367,411)	(307,464)	(307,464)	(-53,598)
Rescissions	-6,665	1 1		!	!!!	+6,665
Net total, FMCSA	(354,397)	(367, 431)	(367,411)	(307,464)	(307,464)	(-46,933)
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration						
Operations and researchOperations and research (Highway trust fund):	127,780	126,445	131,433	141,000	138,288	+10,508
(Liquidation of contract authorization)	(72,000)	(72,000)	(72,000)	(72,000)	(72,000)	!!!
Description of contract outhority	(72,000)	(77,000)	(72,000)	(72,000)	(72,000)	1 1 1
National Driver Register (Highway trust fund)	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	910'1+
Subtotal, Operations and research	(200,264)	(200,445)	(205,433)	(215,000)	(212,288)	(+12,024)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

Conference vs. Enacted	(+2,000)	(+2,000)	(+2,000)	(+2,000) (-10,000)	(+2,000)	+10,508 (+2,000)	(+12,508)	+1,516	(+14,024)		+6,506	1 0	-6,000	1 1	!	-1,850		+528,524	-100,000		- 11	+224,505	+224,505
Conference	(225,000)	(165,000)	(20,000)	(40,000)	(225,000)	140,288 (297,000)	(437,288)	!	(437,288)		117,363	1 1	29,325	1 1	20,000	30,450		1,050,000				1,269,138	1,269,138
Senate	(225,000)	(165,000)	(20,000)	(40,000)	(225,000)	143,000 (297,000)	(440,000)	!	(440,000)		118,264	1 1 1	29,325	1 1 1	20,000	30,000		1,200,000	1		11	1,422,589	1,422,589
House	(225,000)	(165,000)	(20,000)	(40,000)	(225,000)	133,433 (297,000)	(430,433)	1 1	(430,433)		117,363	1 1	27,325	1 1	20,000	30,450		762,476			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	957,614	957,614
FY 2003 Request	(225,000)	(165,000)	(20,000)	(40,000)	(225,000)	128,445 (297,000)	(425,445)	!	(425,445)		118,264	-45,000	28,325	-14,000	20,000	23,200		521,476	{ ()	E01 476	7 + 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	711,265 -59,000	652,265
FY 2002 Enacted	(223,000)	(160,000)	(15,000)	(38,000)	(223,000)	129,780 (295,000)	(424,780)	-1,516	(423,264)		110,857	1 000	29,000	1	20,000	32,300		521,476	205,000		, , , ,	1,044,633	1,044,633
	Highway traffic safety grants (Highway Trust Fund): (Liquidation of contract authorization)	Highway safety programs (Sec. 402)	Occupant protection incentive grants 8.050. 405)	grants (Sec. 410)	Subtotal, limitation on obligations	<pre>Total, National Highway Traffic Safety Admin (Limitations on obligations)</pre>	Total budgetary resources	Rescissions of contract authority	Net total, NHTSA	Federal Railroad Administration	Safety and operations	Offiset for new user fees	Emeryency Suppremental (FD 10/-11/)	Offset for new user fees		Next generation high-speed rail	Grants to the National Railroad Passenger	Corporation	2002 Supplemental (PL 107-117)	Subtotal Amtrak	II	Total, Federal Railroad Administration	Net total, FRA

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Federal Transit Administration						
Administrative expenses	13,400	14,600	14,600	14,600	14,600	+1,200
Infiliative expenses (Algaway flust Fund, Mass Transit Account) (limitation on obligations)	(53,600)	(58,400)	(58,400)	(58,400)	(58,400)	(+4,800)
Subtotal, Administrative expenses	(67,000)	(73,000)	(73,000)	(73,000)	(73,000)	(+6,000)
Formula grants Emergency supplemental (PL 107-117)	718,400 23,500	767,800	767,800	767,800	767,800	+49,400 -23,500
Formula grants (Highway irust Fund) (limitation on obligations)	(2,873,600)	(3,071,200)	(3,071,200)	(3,071,200)	(3,071,200)	(+197,600)
Subtotal, Formula grants	(3,615,500)	(3,839,000)	(3,839,000)	(3,839,000)	(3,839,000)	(+223,500)
University transportation research	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1
University transportation research (nighway ilust) Fund, Mass Transit Acct) (limitation on obligations)	(4,800)	(4,800)	(4,800)	(4,800)	(4,800)	!
Subtotal, University transportation research	(000'9)	(000'9)	(000'9)	(000'9)	(6,000)	1
	23,000	24,200	24,200	24,200	24,200	+1,200
mansic pramming and research (nighway link fund, Mass Transit Account) (limitation on obligations)	(93,000)	(94,800)	(94,800)	(94,800)	(94,800)	(+4,800)
Subtotal, Transit planning and research	(116,000)	(122,000)	(122,000)	(122,000)	(122,000)	(+6,000)
Rural transportation assistance	(5,250)	(5,250)	(5,250)	(5,250)	(5,250)	1
National transit institute	(4,000)	(4,000)	(4,000)	(4,000)	(4,000)	1 1
Itamsic cooperative research	(8,250)	(8,250)	(8,250)	(8,250)	(8,750)	(+4,964)
State planning	(11,578)	(12,614)	(12,614)	(12,614)	(12,614)	
ational planning and research	(31,500)	(31,500)	(31,500)	(31,500)	(31,500)	! !
Subtotal, Transit planning and research	(116,000)	(122,000)	(122,000)	(122,000)	(122,000)	(+6,000)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
<u> </u>	(5,397,800) 568,200 100,000 1,800,000	(5,781,000) 607,200	(5,781,000)	(5,781,000)	(5,781,000)	(+383,200) +39,000 -100,000
capical investment grants (highway irust fund, mass Transit Account) (limitation on obligations)	(2,272,800)	(2,428,800)	(2,428,800)	(2,428,800)	(2,428,800)	(+156,000)
Subtotal, Capital investment grants	(4,741,000)	(3,036,000)	(3,036,000)	(3,036,000)	(3,036,000)	(-1,705,000)
Fixed guideway modernization Buses and bus-related facilities	(1,136,400) (568,200) (1,136,400)	(1,214,400) (607,200) (1,214,400)	(1,214,400) (607,200) (1,214,400)	(1,214,400) (607,200) (1,214,400)	(1,214,400) (607,200) (1,214,400)	(+78,000) (+39,000) (+78,000)
Subtotal	(2,841,000)	(3,036,000)	(3,036,000)	(3,036,000)	(3,036,000)	(+195,000)
Job access and reverse commute grants	25,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	+5,000
(limitation on obligations)	(100,000)	(120,000)	(120,000)	(120,000)	(120,000)	(+20,000)
Subtotal, Job access and reverse commute grants.	(125,000)	(150,000)	(150,000)	(150,000)	(150,000)	(+25,000)
Total, Federal Transit Administration(Limitations on obligations)	3,272,700 (5,397,800)	1,445,000 (5,781,000)	1,445,000 (5,781,000)	1,445,000 (5,781,000)	1,445,000 (5,781,000)	-1,827,700 (+383,200)
Total budgetary resources, FTA	(8,670,500)	(7,226,000)	(7,226,000)	(7,226,000)	(7,226,000)	(-1,444,500)
Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation						
Operations and maintenance (Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund)	13,345	14,086	15,486	13,345	14,086	+741

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Research and Special Programs Administration						
Research and special programs: Hazardous materials safety	21,217	23,079	22,998	23,079	23,301	+2,084
Oliser for mem user fees Emergency transportation	1,897 2,784	-6,000 2,058 2,854	1,951 2,846	2,058	1,951 2,846	+ 54 + 62
Program and administrative support	11,381	16,387	12,882	15,734	12,882	+1,501
Subtotal, Research and special programs	37,279	38,378	40,677	43,725	40,980	+3,701
Emergency supp (emergency trans) (PL 107-117)	2,500	!	;	1 1	!	-2,500
Pipeline safety: Pipeline Safety Fund	50,386	56,385	51,225	56,385	56,370	+5,984
Subtotal, Pipeline safety program (incl reserve)	58,250	63,857	58,697	63,857	63,842	+5,592
Emergency preparedness grants: Emergency preparedness fund	200 (14,300)	200 (14,300)	200 (14,300)	200 (14,300)	200 (14,300)	! !
== Total, Research and Special Programs Admin Offset for new user fees	98,229	108,435 (-6,000)	99,574	107,782	105,022	+6,793
Net total	98,229	102,435	99,574	107,782	105,022	+6,793

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Office of Inspector General						
Salaries and expenses	50,614 1,300	,42	57,421	42	42	,80
Total, Office of Inspector General	51,914	57,421	57,421	57,421	57,421	+5,507
Surface Transportation Board						
Salaries and expenses	4 o	4,0	19,450 -1,000		19,450	+993 -50
Total, Surface Transportation Board	17,507	18,459	18,450	18,459	18,450	+943
Bureau of Transportation Statistics						
Office of airline information (Airport & Airway Trust Fund)		3,965	;	1 1	1	1 1
General Provisions						
Amtrak Reform Council	148,300			90,600 -77,100	90,600 -90,000 -690 -690 285,000 3,500	-225 -57,700 -90,000 -690 +690 +285,000 +3,500
Total, General provisions	148,525			17,000	289,100	+140,575
Net total, title I, Department of Transportation Appropriations. Emergency. Offsets for new user fees. Rescission of contract authority. (By transfer). (Transfer authority). (Limitations on obligations). (Exempt obligations).	24,303,305 17,894,879) (7,112,050) (-1,250,000) (-623,236) (-623,236) (41,107,800) (41,107,800) (66,376,413)	21,270,006 (21,270,006) (-230,000) (-230,000) (32,990,251) (892,767) (55,153,024)	21, 721, 466 (21, 727, 075) (-5, 609) (37, 414, 000) (892, 767) (60, 028, 233)	22,498,468 (22,575,568) (-77,100) (41,585,464) (892,767)		-2,221, +4,577, +1,250, +1,250, (+373, (+373, (+477, (-72, -1,816,

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
TITLE II - RELATED AGENCIES						
Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board						
Salaries and expenses	5,015	5,194	5,194	5,194	5,194	+179
National Transportation Safety Board						
Salaries and expenses	68,000 650	70,480	71,270	72,500	72,450	+4,450 -650
Total, National Transportation Safety Board	68,650	70,480	71,270	72,500	72,450	+3,800
Total, title II, Related Agencies=	H H H			77,694	1	+3,979
Grand total Appropriations Bmergency Offset for new user fees Rescissions Rescission of contract authority (Transfer authority) (Limitation on obligations) (Exempt obligations) Net total budgetary resources	24,376,970 (17,967,894) (7,112,700) (-1,250,000) (-623,236) (-623,236) (41,107,800) (965,308)	21,345,680 (21,345,680) (-230,000) (-23,000) (892,767) (55,228,698)	21, 797, 930 (21, 803, 539) (21, 803, 539) (-5, 609) (-5, 609) (892, 767) (60, 104, 697)	22,576,162 (22,653,262) (-77,100) (41,585,464) (892,767) (65,054,393)	22,159,095 (22,550,394) (-250,000) (-250,000) (-250,000) (41,585,464) (892,767) (64,637,326)	-2,217,875 (+4,582,500) (-7,112,700) (+1,250,000) (+60,911) (+373,236) (+373,64) (-73,64)

TREASURY AND GENERAL GOVERNMENT, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
TITLE I - DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY						
Departmental Offices	177,142	191,914	187,241	191,887	189,201	+12,059
programs	68,828 35,424	68,828 35,428	68,828 35,424	68,828 35,424	65,628 35,736	-3,200 +312
Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration9/11 Supplemental (P.L. 107-117)	123,746 2,032	123,962	123,962	123,962	125,011	+1,265 -2,032
Subtotal	125,778	123,962	123,962	123,962	125,011	
Air Transportation Stabilization Program Account Treasury Building and Annex Repair and Restoration Expanded Access to Financial Services	28,932	6,041 32,932 2,000	6,041 32,932 4,000	6,041 30,932 2,000	6,041 28,932 2,000	+6,041
Counterterrorism Fund	40,000	40,000	33,000	20,000	10,000	-30,000
Financial Crimes Enforcement Network9/11 Supplemental (P.L. 107-117)	45,837 1,700	50,517	51,444	50,517	51,752	+5,915 -1,700
Subtotal	47,537	50,517	51,444	50,517	51,752	+4,215
Federal Law Enforcement Training Center: Salaries and Expenses	105,680 23,000	122,393	152,951	126,660	134,986	+29,306
Subtotal	128,680	122,393	152,951	126,660	134,986	+6,306
Acquisition, Construction, Improvements, & Related Expenses	33,434 8,500	23,329	31,800	32,029	36,000	+2,566
Subtotal	41,934	23,329	31,800	32,029	36,000	-5,934
Total	170,614	145,722	184,751	158,689	170,986	+372
ı	107,576	107,576	110,594	107,576	107,576	
Financial Management Service	212,850 -14,000	220,712	220,664	220,664	222,078	+9,228 +14,000
Subtotal	198,850	220,712	220,664	220,664	222,078	+23,228

TREASURY AND GENERAL GOVERNMENT, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
l, Tobacco ental (P.L	810,316 31,431	870,775	878,034	875,430	873,430	+63,114 -31,431
Subtotal	841,747	870,775	878,034	875,430	873,430	+31,683
GREAT grants	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	1 1
Total	854,747	883,775	891,034	888,430	886,430	+31,683
United States Customs Service: Salaries and Expenses	07 39	, 952	2,496,865	2,501,488	2,527,15	+447,
Subtotal	2,471,960	2,391,952	2,496,865	2,501,488	2,527,155	+55,195
Users fees, conveyance/passenger/other	3,000	-167,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	
Operation, Maintenance and Procurement, Air and Marine Interdiction Programs	177,860	170,829	190,000	177,829	181,829	+3,969 -6,700
Subtotal	184,560	170,829	190,000	177,829	181,829	-2,731
Automated Commercial System	122,432 5,400 300,000	122,432 312,900	122,432 316,900	122,432 312,900	122,432	 -5,400 +12,900
Subtotal	427,832	435,332	439,332	435,332	435,332	+7,500
Customs Services at Small Airports (to be derived from fees collected)	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	
Total	3,087,352	2,834,113	3,129,197	3,117,649	3,147,316	+59,964
Bureau of the Public Debt	186,953 1,000	191,119	168,673	191,073 1,000	190,068	
Internal Revenue Service: Processing, Assistance, and Management	3,797,890	3,958,337	3,955,077	3,955,777	3,955,777	+157,887
Subtotal	3,810,880	3,958,337	3,955,077	3,955,777	3,955,777	+144,897

TREASURY AND GENERAL GOVERNMENT, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Tax Law Enforcement	3,538,347 4,544	3,729,072	3,729,072	3,729,072	3,729,072	+190,725 -4,544
Subtotal	3,542,891	3,729,072	3,729,072	3,729,072	3,729,072	+186,181
Earned Income Tax Credit Compliance Initiative	146,000	146,000	146,000	146,000	146,000	1 1
Information Systems	1,563,249 15,991 -10,000	1,632,444	1,632,444	1,632,444	1,632,444	+69,195 -15,991 +10,000
Subtotal	1,569,240	1,632,444	1,632,444	1,632,444	1,632,444	+63,204
Business systems modernization2002 Supplemental (P.L. 107-206)	391,593 14,000	380,000	436,000	436,000	366,000	-25,593 -14,000
Subtotal	405,593	380,000	436,000	436,000	366,000	-39,593
Health Insurance Tax Credit Administration	1 1	70,000	1	1 1	70,000	+70,000
Total (net)	9,474,604	9,915,853	9,898,593	9,899,293	9,899,293	+424,689
United States Secret Service: Salaries and Expenses	920,615 104,769	1,010,43		,81	1,029,	53 76
Subtotal	1,025,384	1,010,435	1,017,892	1,010,817	1,029,150	+3,766
Acquisition, Construction, Improvements, & Related Expenses	3,457	3,519	3,519	3,519	3,519	+62
Total	1,028,841	1,013,954	1,021,411	1,014,336	1,032,669	+3,828
Total, title I, Department of the Treasury Appropriations	15,636,178 15,055,918 604,260 -24,000	,865,446 ,865,446 	,168,	16,128,3	717 717	+535,539 +1,115,799 -604,260 +24,000

TREASURY AND GENERAL GOVERNMENT, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
TITLE II - POSTAL SERVICE						
Payment to the Postal Service Fund	0,0	0 0	29,000	00	9,00	00,
Subtotal	616,000	29,000	29,000	29,000	29,000	-587,000
Advance appropriation, FY 2002/2003	67,093	47,619 31,014	47,619 31,014	47,619 31,014	47,619 31,014	-19,47. +31,01
Total, title II, Postal Service: Fiscal year 2002/2003Fiscal year = 2004	683,093	76,619 31,014 ====================================	76,619 31,014	76,619 31,014	76,619	-606,474 +31,014 -====================================
TITLE III - EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT AND FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT						
Compensation of the President and the White House						
Compensation of the President			450	45		!
Salaries and Expenses	54,651	84,595	50,715 24,061	59,735 24,844	50,715 19,398	-3,936 +19,398
Executive Residence at the White House:						
Operating Expenses	11,695 8,625	12,228 1,200	12,228 1,200	12,228 1,200	12,228 1,200	+533 -7,425
Residence of the Vice President:						,
Salaries and Expenses	3,925	4,066	3,160	4,066	4,066	+141
Council of Economic Advisers	310	3.24 4.405	3,763	324 4.405	3,763	-448
Office of Policy Development	•	4,221	3,251	4,221	3,251	-891
National Security Council	7,494	9,525	7,803	9,525	7,821	+327
Office of Administration	46,955	70,128	92,681	70,128	91,505	+44,550
2002 Supplemental (P.L. 107-206)	3,800			1	1 1	-3,800
Subtotal		, +		70,128	91,505	-9,290
Office of Management and Budget	70,752	Ω .	61,492	75		-8,358 +100
Subtotal	70,652	70,752	61,492	70,752	62,394	-8,258
Electronic Government (E-Gov) Fund	: :		5,000	 	! ! ! ! ! I	

TREASURY AND GENERAL GOVERNMENT, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Office of National Drug Control Policy: Salaries and expenses		25,458			4,0	
Subtotal	67,563	65,458	80,258	66,456	74,456	+6,893
Federal Drug Control Programs: High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas Program Special Forfeiture Fund	226,350 239,400	206,350 251,300	246,350 240,800	226,350 172,700	226,350 223,200	-16,200
Unanticipated Needs	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	s II s II I II I II I II
Total, title III, Executive Office of the President and Funds Appropriated to the President	801,2======	86,002	,034,	728,384	- H	15
TITLE IV - INDEPENDENT AGENCIES						
Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled	4,629	4,629	4,629	4,629	4,658	+29
Federal Election Commission	43,689	45,244	49,426	45,244	49,866	+6,177 -750
Subtotal	44,439		49,426	45,244	86	+5,427
Federal Labor Relations Authority	26,524	28,684	28,677	28,677	28,950	+2,426
General Services Administration: Federal Buildings Fund: Appropriations	284,400 126,512 21,800	276,400	325,711	363,299	375,711	+91,311 -126,512 -21,800
Subtotal	432,712	276,400	325,711	363,299	375,711	-57,001
Limitations on availability of revenue: Construction and acquisition of facilities	(662,680)	(556,574)	(646,385)	(631,663)	(717,488)	(+54,808)
Repairs and alterations9/11 Supplemental (P.L. 107-117)	(826,676) (42,700)	(986,029)	(978,529)	(997,839)	(951,529)	(+124,853) (-42,700)
Subtotal	(869,376)	(986,029)	(978,529)	(984, 839)	(951,529)	(+82,153)
Installment acquisition paymentsRental of space	(186,427) (2,952,050)	(178,960) (3,153,211)	(178,960) (3,153,211)	(178,960) (3,153,211)	(178,960) (3,113,211)	(-7,467) (+161,161)

Conference vs. Enacted

Conference

Senate

House

FY 2003 Request

FY 2002 Enacted

TREASURY AND GENERAL GOVERNMENT, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

Building Operations9/11 Supplemental (P.L. 107-117)	(1,748,949)	(1,965,160)	(1,925,160)	(1,965,160)	(1,965,160)	(+216,211) (-83,812)
Subtotal	(1,832,761)	(1,965,160)	(1,925,160)	(1,965,160)	(1,965,160)	(+132,399)
Subtotal, limitations	6,503,294	6,839,934	6,882,245	6,926,833	6,926,348	+423,054
Repayment of Debt	(72,000)	(79,685)	(79,685)	(19,685)	(19,685)	(+7,685)
Total, Federal Buildings Fund (Limitations)	432,712 (6,575,294)	276,400 (6,919,619)	325,711 (6,961,930)	363,299 (7,006,518)	375,711 (7,006,033)	-57,001 (+430,739)
and it amount from the	,					,
Policy and Citizen Services	143,139 	65 995	766 79	7 L D D L		-143,139 +66 304
Operating Expenses	1	88,263	77,904	94,640	83,663	+83,663
Office of Inspector General	36,346	37,617	37,617	37,617	37,916	+1,570
Electronic Government Fund	5,000	45,000		5,000	5,000	1 1
Allowances and Office Staff for Former Presidents. Election Reform Reimbursements	3,196	3,339	3,339	3,339	3,339	+143
Total, General Services Administration	620,393	516,614	510,566	569,890	586,933	-33,460
Merit Systems Protection Board:	20 5	707 15	100	1200	700 00	L + C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
Limitation on administrative expenses	2,520	2,594	2,594	2,594	2,626	901+
Morris K. Udall Foundation: Morris K. Udall Trust Fund	1,996	1,996	1,996	1,996	1,996	! !
National Archives and Records Administration:						
Operating expenses9/11 Supplemental (P.L. 107-117)	244,247 1,600	256,731	249,731	249,731	249,875	+5,628
Subtotal	245,847	256,731	249,731	249,731	249,875	+4,028
Reduction of debt	-6,612	-7,186	-7,186	-7,186	-7,186	-574
Repairs and Restoration9/11 Supplemental (P.L. 107-117)	39,143	10,458	10,458	14,208	14,208	-24,935 -1,000
Subtotal	40,143	10,458	10,458	14,208	14,208	-25,935

Conference vs. Enacted

Conference

Senate

House

FY 2003 Request

FY 2002 Enacted

TREASURY AND GENERAL GOVERNMENT, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

+64	-22,417	+440	+29,850	+4,863 +21 +870	+724,000	1 1	+181,000	+940,604	+ 558	+250	+895,435	+836,364	+824,824 (+2,196,736) (-1,396,012) (+24,100) +11,540 (+430,739)
6,500	263,397	10,557	129,486	120,791 1,519 10,886	6,853,000	34,000	9,410,000	16,559,682	12,449 37,305	250	17,592,005	34,653,476	34,574,843 (34,574,843) 78,633 (7,006,033)
7,000	263,753	10,486	128,736	120,791 1,498 10,766	6,853,000	34,000	9,410,000	16,558,791	12,434 37,305	250	17,569,146	34,533,464	34,454,831 (34,454,831) 78,633 (7,006,518)
7,000	260,003	10,486	128,986	120,791 1,498 10,766	6,853,000	34,000	9,410,000	16,559,041	12,432 37,305	250	17,510,502	34,821,460	34,742,827) (34,742,827) 78,633 (6,961,930)
5,000	265,003	10,488	128,804	120,791 1,498 10,766	6,853,000	34,000	9,410,000	16,558,859	12,434	250	17,517,199	34,276,280	34,197,647 (34,197,647) 78,633 (6,919,619)
6,436	285,814	10,117	99,636	115,928 1,498 10,016	6,129,000	34,000	9,229,000	15,619,078	11,891	H 1 II 1 I	16,696,570	33,817,112	33,750,019 (32,378,107) (1,396,012) (-24,100) 67,093 (6,575,294)
National Historical Publications and Records Commission: Grants program	Total	Office of Government Ethics	Office of Personnel Management: Salaries and Expenses	Dimitation on administrative expenses Office of Inspector General Limitation on administrative expenses	Government Payment for Annultants, Employees Health Benefits	GOVERNMENT FAYMENT TOF ANNUTCARIES, EMPLOYEE LITE TIBUTEARDE	Fund	Subtotal	Office of Special Counsel	of Rememberance	Total, title IV, Independent Agencies	Grand total (net)	Current year, FY 2003 Appropriations. Emergency funding Rescissions Advance appropriations, FY 2003 / FY 2004 (Limitations)

DEPARTMENTS OF VETERANS AFFAIRS AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
TITLE I						
DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS						
Veterans Benefits Administration						
	24,944,288 1,100,000 2,135,000 26,200	28,949,000 2,264,808 27,530	28,949,000 2,264,808 27,530	28,949,000 2,264,808 27,530	28,949,000 2,264,808 27,530	+4,004,712 -1,100,000 +129,808 +1,330
Veterans housing benefit program fund program account (indefinite)	203,278	437,522	437,522	339,000	437,522	+234,244
Credit subsidy	164,497	-98,000 168,207	-98,000 168,207	168,207	-98,000 168,207	-98,000 +3,710
Education loan fund program account	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3) T	1 1 3
Vocational rehabilitation loans program account				55		-17
(Limitation on direct loans)	(3,301) 274 544	(3,626) 289 558	(3,626) 289 558	(3,626) 289 558	(3,626) 289 558	(+325) +15 +14
Total, Veterans Benefits Administration	28,574,218	31,750,040	31,750,040	31,749,518	31,750,040	+3,175,822
Veterans Health Administration						
Medical care	20,656,164 142,000 675,000	22,243,761	23,639,304	23,389,304	23,889,304	+3,233,140 -142,000 -675,000
 TotalTotal	21,473,164	22,743,761	23,889,304	23,889,304	23,889,304	+2,416,140
Medical care cost recovery collections: Offsetting receipts	-691,000 691,000	-752,000 752,000	-1,386,000	-1,386,000 1,386,000	-1,386,000 1,386,000	-695,000
 Total available (excludes offsetting receipts)	22,164,164	23,495,761	25,275,304	25,275,304	25,275,304	+3,111,140
Medical and prosthetic research	371,000	394,373	405,000	400,000	400,000	+29,000
arcal administration and miscellamedus Opelating expenses	66,731	69,716	74,716	69,716	74,716	+7,985
Total, Veterans Health Administration	21,910,895	23,207,850	24,369,020	24,359,020	24,364,020	+2,453,125
Departmental Administration						
General operating expenses	1,195,728	1,256,418	1,251,418	1,256,418	1,254,000	+58,272

DEPARTMENTS OF VETERANS AFFAIRS AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES (Amounts in thousands)

Conference vs. Enacted	+11,980 +5,692 -83,403 +15,100		+7,000	+5,637,588 (+325)	(+3,172,094) (+2,465,494)				+1,582,591	+1,582,591	-400,000 +388,500 -113,400 +105,132 +11,000 +265 +430 (-37,040) (-37,040)
Conference	133,149 58,000 99,777 226,000	100,000	32,000	58,016,986 (3,929)	(31,580,860) (26,436,126)				13,023,566 4,200,000	17,223,566 (4,200,000)	-1,600,000 3,730,000 3,600,000 574,000 649,000 (197,243) (197,243) 1,035 (39,712)
Senate	133,149 55,000 144,740 210,700	100,000	32,000	58,040,545 (3,629)	(31,580,338)				12,728,697 4,200,000	16,928,697 (4,200,000)	2,683,400 3,530,000 3,530,000 574,000 648,570 5,000 (197,243) 1,000 (39,712)
House	133,149 61,000 193,740 240,700	100,000	32,000	58,131,067 (3,929)	(31,580,860)				12,386,987 4,200,000	16,586,987 (4,200,000)	2,843,400 3,600,000 3,600,000 649,000 649,000 (197,243) 1,035 (39,712)
FY 2003 Request	133,149 55,000 193,740 210,700	100,000	32,000 1,981,007	56,938,897 (3,629)	(31,580,860) (25,358,037)				13,326,559 4,200,000	17,526,559 (4,200,000)	-1,100,000 2,425,900 3,530,000 574,000 646,594 5,200 (197,243) 10,000 1,035 (39,712) 23,619,288
FY 2002 Enacted	121,169 52,308 183,180 210,900	100,000	25,000 1,894,285	52,379,398 (3,604)	(28, 408, 766) (23, 970, 632)				11,440,975 4,200,000	15,640,975 (4,200,000)	-1,200,000 -388,500 2,843,400 3,494,868 -11,000 573,735 648,570 5,987 (234,283) 1,000 (40,000) 22,008,535
	National Cemetery Administration	Grants for construction of State extended care facilities	cemeteries Total, Departmental Administration	Total, title I, Department of Veterans Affairs (Limitation on direct loans)	Consisting of: Mandatory Discretionary	TITLE II	DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT	Public and Indian Housing	Housing Certificate Fund: Direct appropriationAdvance appropriations provided in previous acts	Subtotal, discretionary(Advance appropriation)	Rescission of unobligated balances. Rescission (P.L. 107-206). Public housing capital fund. Public housing operating fund. Operation Safe Home (rescission). Revitalization of severely distressed public housing. Native American housing block grants. Indian housing loan guarantee fund program account. (Limitation on guaranteed loans). Native Hawaiian housing block grant. (Limitation on guaranteed loans). Total, Public and Indian Housing.

DEPARTMENTS OF VETERANS AFFAIRS AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Community Planning and Development			; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	, t 	
Housing opportunities for persons with AIDS. Rural housing and economic development. Empowerment zones / enterprise communities. Community development fund. Emergency supplemental. Emergency supplemental (P.L. 107-206).	277,432 25,000 45,000 5,000,000 2,000,000 783,000	292,000	292,000 25,000 30,000 5,000,000	292,000 25,000 30,000 5,000,000	292,000 25,000 30,000 4,937,000	+14,568 -15,000 -2,000,000 -783,000
Credit subsidy (Limitation on guaranteed loans) (Credit subsidy. Administrative expenses. Brownfields redevelopment HOME investment partnerships program. Rescission (P.L. 107-206) Homeless assistance grants	(608,696) 14,000 1,000 25,000 1,846,040 -50,000 1,122,525	(275,000) 6,325 1,000 25,000 2,084,100 1,129,500	(275,000) 6,325 1,000 25,000 2,221,040 1,250,000	(608,696) 14,000 1,000 25,000 1,950,000 1,215,025	(275,000) 6,325 1,000 25,000 2,000,000 1,225,000	(-333,696) -7,675 +153,960 +50,000 +102,475
Total, Community planning and development	8,355,997	8,253,425	8,850,365	8,552,025	8,541,325	+185,328
Housing Programs		 			11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	
Housing for special populations Housing for the elderly. Housing for the disabled. Housing counseling assistance. Rental Housing assistance. Rescission (P.L. 107-206) Manufactured housing fees trust fund Offsetting collections. Savings from canceling S.1029.	1,024,151 (783,286) (240,865) -300,000 13,566 -13,566	1,024,151 (773,636) (250,515) 35,000 -100,000 -13,000	1,100,000 (840,903) (259,097) -100,000 -13,000	1,033,801 (783,286) (250,515) 100,000 -100,000 -13,000	1,033,801 (783,286) (250,515) -100,000 -13,000	+9,650 (+9,650) -100,000 +300,000 +566 +8,000
Federal Housing Administration						
	(160,000,000) (250,000) 336,700 -2,323,000 160,000	(160,000,000) (50,000) 347,829 -2,753,000 85,720	(165,000,000) (50,000) 347,829 -2,753,000 85,720 1,000	(160,000,000) (250,000) 347,829 -2,753,000 85,720 1,000	(165,000,000) (100,000) 347,829 -2,753,000 85,720	(+5,000,000) (-150,000) +11,129 -430,000 -74,280
CCAROXA	(21,000,000) (50,000) 216,100 -225,000 15,000 144,000 4,000	(21,000,000) (50,000) 223,716 -225,000 15,000 93,780 4,000	(23,000,000) (50,000) 223,716 -225,000 15,000 93,780 4,000	(21,000,000) (50,000) 223,716 -225,000 15,000 93,780 4,000	(23,000,000) (50,000) 223,716 -225,000 15,000 93,780	(+2,000,000) +7,616 -50,220
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

DEPARTMENTS OF VETERANS AFFAIRS AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Total, Federal Housing Administration	-1,671,200	-2,206,955	-2,206,955	-2,206,955	-2,206,955	-535,755
Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA)						
Guarantees of mortgage-backed securities loan guarantee program account: (Limitation on guaranteed loans)(Administrative expensesOffsetting receipts	(200,000,000) 9,383 -382,000	(200,000,000) 10,343 -358,000	(200,000,000) 10,343 -358,000	(200,000,000) 10,343 -358,000	(200,000,000) 10,343 -358,000	+24,000
Policy Development and Research						
and technology	50,250	47,000	47,000	47,000	47,000	-3,250
Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity						
Fair housing activities	45,899	45,899	45,899	45,899	45,899	1 3 4
Office of Lead Hazard Control						
reduction	109,758	126,000	126,000	201,000	176,000	+66,242
and Administration						
Salaries and expenses	556,067	510,299	530,299	510,299	530,299	-25,768
Limitation on FHA corporate fundsGNMA	(530,457) (9,383) (1,000)	(548,202) (10,343) (1,000)	(548,202) (10,343) (1,000)	(548,202) (10,343) (1,000)	(548,202) (10,343) (1,000)	(+17,745) (+960)
Indian Housing Loan Guarantee Fund Program	(200) (35)	(200) (35)	(200) (35)	(130) (200) (35)	(200) (35)	1 1 1
Total, Salaries and expenses	(1,097,292)	(1,070,229)	(1,090,229)	(1,070,229)	(1,090,229)	(-7,063)
Working capital fund	1 1	276,300	276,300	276,737	276,300	+276,300
Office of Inspector General	66,555 (22,343) (5,000)	74,341 (23,343)	73,341 (23,343)	74,341 (23,343)	74,156 (23,343)	+7,601 (+1,000) (-5,000)
Total, Office of Inspector General	(868'86)	(97,684)	(96,684)	(97, 684)	(97,499)	(+3,601)
Emergency supplemental	1,000 -6,700 27,000 -27,000	-8,000 30,000 -30,000	-8,000 30,000 -30,000	 -8,000 30,000 -30,000	30,000	-1,000 -1,300 +3,000 -3,000
•		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

DEPARTMENTS OF VETERANS AFFAIRS AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES (Amounts in thousands)

Total, title II, Department of Housing and Urban Development	31,349,091 30 === =================================	31,346,314			
1d Urban 32,193, (27,165, (-1,956, (2,784, (4,200, (381,882, (563,			31,149,157	31,245,069	+1,097,374 -2,045,500 ==================================
	(3	31,346,314 (28,554,314) (-1,408,000) (4,200,000) (308,511,955) (308,511,955)	31,149,157 (28,457,157) (-1,508,000) (4,200,000) (381,845,651) (383,845,651)	31,245,069 (28,753,069) (-1,708,000) (4,200,000) (388,511,955) (388,511,955)	-948,126 (+1,587,674) (+248,200) (-2,784,000) (-150,000) (+6,628,976)
American Battle Monuments Commission					
expenses35,466	30,400	35,246	30,400	35,246	-220
Safety and Hazard Investigation Board					
expenses7,850	7,850	6,500	7,850	6,450	-1,400
Department of the Treasury					
Community Development Financial Institutions					
Community development financial institutions fund program account	000 (88,000	80,000	73,000	75,000	-5,000
Interagency Council on the Homeless					
Operating expenses	i i i	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	1,500	1,500	+1,500
Consumer Product Safety Commission					
and expenses55,200	56,767	57,117	26,767	57,000	+1,800
Corporation for National and Community Service					
National and community service programs operating expenses	80 631,342 5.000		405,842	429,000 -48,000 6,000	+27,020
40	63	5,000	412,742	387,000	-19,980

DEPARTMENTS OF VETERANS AFFAIRS AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES (Amounts in thousands)

DEPARTMENTS OF VETERANS AFFAIRS AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
				; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Emergency supplemental	41,292	1 1 1		 	1 1	-41,292
Leaking Underground Storage Tank Program	73,000 15,000	72,313 15,581	72,313 15,581	72,313 15,581	72,313 15,581	-687 +581
State and Tribal Assistance Grants	2,658,900 1,074,376	2,305,500 1,158,276	2,616,303 1,172,882	2,796,804 1,123,835	2,709,612 1,150,382	+50,712 +76,006
Subtotal, STAG	3,733,276	3,463,776	3,789,185	3,920,639	3,859,994	+126,718
Emergency supplemental	5,000	# 1	10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1			-2,000
Total, EPA	8,078,813	620,5	,204,465	8,205,436	, 13	+
Executive Office of the President						
Office of Science and Technology Policy	5,267	5,368	5,750	5,368	5,368	+101
Environmental Quality	2,974	3,031	3,031	3,031	3,031	+57
Total	8,241	8,399	8,781	8,399	8,399	+158
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation						
Office of Inspector General (transfer)	(33,660)	(31,388)	(30,848)	(30,848)	(30,848)	(-2,812)
Federal Emergency Management Agency						
Disaster relief	664,000 (-2,900) 1,500,000 4,356,871 2,650,700	1,842,843 (-2,900) (-21,577)	1,820,000 (-2,900) (-21,577)	842,843 (-2,900) (-21,577)	800,000 (-2,900) (-21,577)	+136,000 (-21,577) -1,500,000 -4,356,871 -2,650,700
Subtotal	9,171,571	1,842,843	1,820,000	842,843	800,000	
National pre-disaster mitigation fund	1 1	300,000	250,000	25,000	150,000	+150,000
Disaster assistance direct loan program account: State share loan	405 (25,000) 543	(25,000)	(25,000)	, (25,000) 557	(-25,000)	-405 (-50,000) +14
Salaries and expenses	203,801	209,163	219,690	209,163	214,690	+10,889
Subtotal	233,801	239,690	250,690	239,690	245,690	+11,889

DEPARTMENTS OF VETERANS AFFAIRS AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES (Amounts in thousands)

25,000 10,303 11,549 11,540 11,000 11,000 11,000 11,000 11,000 11,000 11,000 11,000 11,000 11,000 11,000 11,000 11,000 120,000)	 754 577) 214	- 25 000
11,549 11,549 11,549 12,577) (21,577) (22,577) (21,577) (22,577) (754 577) 214	>>> 104
384,623 3,727,914 348,040 696, 20,000 (2,900) (2,900) (2,900) (2,225,400 -1,000 -1,000 -1,000 -1,000 -1,000 140,000 153,000 103,000 103,000 103, 300,000 (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) 300,000 (20,000) (4	0 +3,697 7) (+21,577)
(2,900) (2,900) (2,900) (2,900) (2,900) (2,20,000) (2,900) (2,900) (2,900) (2,25,400) 450,000 900, -1,000 -1,000 -1,000 -1,000 140,000 153,000 153,000 153,000 153,000 153,000 153,000 153,000 76,381 77,666 77,666 77,666 77,666 77,766 77,666 77,766 70,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) 10,535,825 6,703,912 3,611,895 3,203,733,73 11,557,854 (6,703,912 3,611,895 3,203,73 11,557,854 (6,703,912 3,611,895 3,203,73 11,557,854 (6,703,912 3,611,895 (3,203,703) 11,557,854 (6,703,912 3,611,895 (3,203,703) 11,557,854 (6,703,912 3,611,895 (3,203,703) 11,557,854 (6,703,912 3,611,895 (3,203,703) 11,557,854 (6,703,912 (44,477) (444,477)	000 19,000	9 -15,324 0 -1,000
(2,900) (2,900) (2,900) (2,900) 220,000 225,400 450,000 -1, -1,000 -1,000 -1,000 -1,000 140,000 153,000 153,000 153,000 153,000 200,000 100, 76,381 77,666 77,666 77,766 76,381 77,666 77,666 77,77 77,666 77,666 77,666 77,77 77,666 77,666 77,666 77,666 77,666 77,666 77,666 77,666 77,666 77,666 77,666 77,666 78,381 (-20,000) (20,000) (20,000) 79,381 (6,703,912) 3,611,895 3,203,912 70,400 1,557,854 (6,703,912) 3,611,895 3,203,913 70,000 (22,900) (44,477) (44,477) (44,477) 70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000	,214 388,299	
-1,000 -1,000 -1,000 -1,000 100 -1,000 100, -1, 100, -1, 100,	(2,900) (2,900	900) -220,000225,400 +750,000
28,798 32,393 32,393 32,393 32, 76,381 77,666 77,666 77,666 77,667 (-20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (44,477) (44,477) (44,477) (44,477)	000 -1,000 000 90,000 000 153,000 000 150,000	0 +90,000 0 +13,000 0 +150,000
(20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (20,000) (44,477) (44,477) (44,477) (44,477)	32,393 32,393 77,666 77,666 20,000) (-20,000)	3 +3,595 6 +1,285 0)
10,535,825 6,703,912 3,611,895 3,203, (1,557,854) (6,703,912) (3,611,895) (3,203, (8,977,971) (22,900) (44,477) (44,477) (44,477)	000) (20,000)	(0
	2,850, (2,850,	605 -7,685,220 605) (+1,292,751) (-8,977,971) 477) (+21,577)
General Services Administration		
Federal Consumer Information Center Fund	541 11,541	1 +4,265
National Aeronautics and Space Administration		
	900 6,180,900	ı
7,857,100 8,844,500 9,144,500 9,003, 32,500 32,500 24,600 24,600 26,	.000 9,207,665 25,600	5 +1,350,565 32,500 - +1,900
Total, NASA	500 15,414,16	.5 +512,465

DEPARTMENTS OF VETERANS AFFAIRS AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
National Credit Union Administration						
Central liquidity facility: (Limitation on direct loans)	(1,500,000)	(1,500,000)	(1,500,000)	(1,500,000)	(1,500,000)	!
corporate funds)	(309) 1,000	(309)	(309)	(309)	(309)	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
National Science Foundation						
Research and related activities	3,530,270 68,070	3,715,200 68,000	0,0	4,013,580 68,070	4,015,000 68,000	+484,730
Subtotal Emergency supplemental		3,783,200	4,150,000	4,081,650	4,083,000	+484,660
Major research equipment and facilities construction. Education and human resources Emergency supplemental (P.L. 107-206) Salaries and expenses. National Science Board. Office of Inspector General.	138,800 875,000 19,300 170,040 6,760	126,280 908,080 202,950 7,700	159,510 910,580 193,852 9,000	59,280 932,730 182,160 3,500 9,660	149,510 909,080 190,352 3,500 9,250	+10,710 +34,080 -19,300 +20,312 +3,500 +2,490
Total, NSF	4,808,540	5,028,210	5,422,942	5,268,980	5,344,692	+536,152
Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation						
Payment to the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation	105,000	105,000	105,000	110,000	105,000	1 1 1
Selective Service System						
Salaries and expenses	25,003	26,480	26,480	26,480	26,480	+1,477
Total, title III, Independent agencies	39,251,615 (29,959,444) (-22,900) (56,560) (1,525,000)	35,496,712 (35,496,712) (-44,477) (75,865) (1,525,000)	33,095,500 (33,095,500) (-44,477) (75,325) (1,525,000)	32,739,843 (32,739,843) (-44,477) (75,325) (1,525,000)	32,669,282 (32,717,282) (-44,477) (75,325) (1,475,000)	-6,582,333 (+2,757,838) (-21,577) (+18,765) (-50,000)
Grand total (net). Appropriations. Rescissions. Emergency appropriations. Advance provided in previous acts. (By transfer).	,824, ,502, ,956, ,078, ,200,	(120, 792, 700) (-1, 208, 000) (4, 200, 000)	122,572,881 (119,780,881) (-1,408,000) (4,200,000)		121,931,337 (119,487,337) (-1,756,000) (4,200,000)	(+12,085,100) (+2,985,100) (+200,200) (-12,078,171) (+13,765)
	(1,828,604) (381,882,979) (563,877)	(1,628,629) (381,511,955) (583,582)	(1,628,929) (388,511,955) (583,582)	(1,828,629) (381,845,651) (583,582)	(1,628,929) (388,511,955) (583,582)	(-11,5//) (-199,675) (+6,628,976) (+19,705)

DEPARTMENTS OF VETERANS AFFAIRS AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET RECAP)				
Scorekeeping adjustments: FSLIC resolution fund (mandatory)	-4,000	-4,000	-4,000	-4,000	-4,000	!
FHA Administrative Provision	1 1 1 1 1 ‡		3,000	1	1 1 1 1 1 1	
NASA enhanced-use lease Sec. 420	1 1	1	20,000	!	-	!!!!
Extension of NASA early Duyout provision	1 1	1,199,000	5,000	†]	f
Total, adjustments	-4,000	1,195,000	24,000	-4,000		1
Total (including adjustments)	123,820,208 (123,824,208) (-4,000)	124,979,700 (123,784,700) (1,195,000)	122,596,881 (122,572,881) (24,000)	121,925,545 (121,929,545) (-4,000)	121,927,337 (121,931,337) (-4,000)	-1,892,871 (-1,892,871)
Total mandatory and discretionary	123,820,208 28,404,766 95,415,442	124,979,700 31,576,860 93,402,840	122,596,881 31,576,860 91,020,021	121,925,545 31,576,338 90,349,207	121,927,337 31,576,860 90,350,477	-1,892,871 +3,172,094 -5,064,965

DEPARTMENTS OF VETERANS AFFAIRS AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES (Amounts in thousands)

	(Amounts in thousands)	thousands)				
	FY 2002 Enacted	FY 2003 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
RECAP BY FUNCTION						
Mandatory	28,404,766	31,576,860	31,576,860	31,576,338	31,576,860	+3,172,094
Discretionary: General purpose discretionary: Defense discretionary	143,073	144,307	144,480	144,077	144,480	+1,407
Nondefense discretionary	95,272,369	93,258,533	90,875,541	90,205,130	90,205,997	-5,066,372
Total, Discretionary	95,415,442	93,402,840	91,020,021	90,349,207	90,350,477	-5,064,965
Grand total, Mandatory and Discretionary	123,820,208	124,979,700	122,596,881	121,925,545	121,927,337	-1,892,871

MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS IN CONSOLIDATED APPROPRIATIONS RESOLUTION, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

15,000	1,500,000	
650,000	!	!
835,000		
2,000	1 1 1 1 1 1	; 1 ; 1 ; 1
!	1,500,000	1 1
10,000,000 (10,018,600) (-18,600)		
-18,600 18,600 10,000,000 (500,000)	-18,600 18,600 3,894,929	(2,500,000)
Conference	Senate	House

MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS IN CONSOLIDATED APPROPRIATIONS RESOLUTION, 2003 (Amounts in thousands)

	House	Senate	Conference
TITLE III - WILDLAND FIRE EMERGENCY			
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR			
Bureau of Land Management			
Wildland fire management	1	189,000	189,000
RELATED AGENCY			
DEPARIMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
Forest Service			
Wildland fire management	1	636,000	636,000
Total, title III: New budget (obligational) authority)		825,000	825,000
TITLE V - FISHERIES DISASTERS		H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	
Fisheries disasters	1	100,000	100,000
TITLE VI - OFFSETS			
	1	-11,392,000	-2,647,000
Total, Division N: New budget (obligational) authority)		-8,967,000	-222,000
DIVISION P - UNITED STATES-CHINA ECONOMIC AND SECURITY REVIEW COMMISSION			
LEGISLATIVE BRANCH			
General Provisions			
United States-China Economic and Security Review Commission	1	;	1,800
Grand total, all titles: New budget (obligational) authority) Appropriations			9,779,800 (12,445,400)
	1 1	(-11,410,600)	(-2,665,600)

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 10 minutes.

Mr. Speaker, I cannot ask people to vote against their bill because it does some things which any piece of legislation would do which came to the floor at this point. It does provide needed funds for Medicare. It does provide funding for a number of programs which, if we did not pass the bill, would be limited to last year's level; and that would cripple a good number of programs, including education. But before Members decide how they want to vote, I think they need to understand that this legislation is a god-awful mess brought to the floor by a god-awful process.

About \$360 billion, or 90 percent, of the \$400 billion in spending contained in this bill, never came before the House of Representatives until it arrived in this one huge take-it-or-leaveit package today. That means 90 percent of the domestic budget involving hundreds of individual programs was never subjected to debate or amendment in the United States House of

Representatives.

Ŵhat you have here, as I said earlier, is the biggest back-room deal in terms of spending in the Nation's history. And when you have a back-room deal which is not ever aired in public, that means a lot of people are going to get hurt, and a lot of people are going to get things that they should not get. And I want to walk through some examples.

The most urgent need in this country is to ensure that we can track down and stop people who would commit acts of terror against our citizenry. This bill leaves huge gaps in our defenses. Once again, we are delaying the ability of funds that are required to protect

American lives.

I would say to everyone who would listen that this is not the fault of our good friend, the chairman of the committee; he has done his duty, and he understands the need for action in this area. But there are many others in this Chamber and there are some on the other end of Pennsylvania Avenue who are not being helpful and who are blocking the resources that are critically needed at the moment. This Nation is in serious peril and here are some examples:

The head of the Customs Service says we need to greatly beef up the inspections of cargo containers used in worldwide shipping. He and others have warned that these containers offer terrorists with significant opportunities to get bombs, chemicals, missiles and other dangerous weapons into this country. He has asked for \$57 million right now to do that job, \$57 million. This bill contains \$12 million, less than 25 percent of what is needed. We have \$392 million in spending for new courthouses in this bill, but the committee could not find the other \$45 million to fully fund the container security initiative that was asked for by our own administration.

In addition to the containers themselves, we have huge security issues with respect to ports. We have ships arriving in this country every day containing millions of gallons of highly flammable and toxic chemicals. They are bombs waiting to be detonated. The Coast Guard says the costs of upgrading security at these ports is \$1 billion. This bill contains only \$150 million or 15 percent of the amount that ought to be in the bill.

Now, we have known since we saw the pictures of those brave police and fire fighters on September 11 that the next attack might put them in even more dangerous situations. Very few police departments have the equipment necessary to allow first responders to enter an area that has been hit by chemical, biological or radiological weapons. We have been trying to get that money out for more than a year, and we have hit roadblocks time after time after time.

The White House is playing a flimflam game with respect to this issue. A year ago, the President blocked the inclusion of significant first responder funds in the supplemental. Last summer, he vetoed first responder funds in the second supplemental. He has also refused since last October to release the first responder funds made available by the continuing resolutions. But he spends a lot of time going around the country being photographed with firemen and policemen and talking about the \$3.5 billion in funds for first responders in his 2003 budget.

He does not mention, however, where he got the bulk of the money to pay for that \$3.5 billion increase. He got it by eliminating a series of ongoing programs that also provide grants to local policemen and firemen. That is like the boss offering to double your pay next month if you will agree to take no pay this month. It does not help a heck of

This bill restores those basic cuts, but it does so by slashing the President's first responder initiative. When all grants to fire and police are combined, this conference report is \$466 million below the President's request for first responders. For the first time, Congress will have a worse record on first responders than the White House, and that is outrageous. So I guess the answer is, let them use duct tape.

There are deficiencies in other areas. The Department of Energy, the committee could not find \$108 million identified last spring by the Army Corps of Engineers as being absolutely essential for physical security upgrades to waterway navigation and dams around the Nation. And none of the \$254 million identified by the Secretary of Energy last spring as necessary to secure radioactive material, nuclear weapons and other highly toxic substances at U.S. nuclear weapons plants and laboratories is provided in this bill.

Education is a mixed bag. Now, for the last 6 years, on average, we have been able to provide about a 14 percent increase in education.

□ 1730

The good news in this bill is that we have been able in this bill to raise the funding for education above the real dollar freeze that the President presented, or 3 percent in nominal terms.

This bill is about \$3 billion above the President's for education. It means that we will have about a 10 percent increase. That is still a cut in the rate of increase that we have had for education on average over the last 6 years, but it is some progress and I am pleased to see it; but it is still funded at such limited levels that we will be leaving an additional 628.000 children behind who would not have been left behind if we had funded this bill at the Senate levels.

We are also turning a blind eye to what is happening on college campuses. State and local budget crunches are hitting, and tuition is skyrocketing in some places by as much as 36 percent. This bill holds Pell grants to a 11/2 percent increase over last year. That simply means college will not be affordable to tens of thousands of young people who want to better themselves by getting a college education.

We have an ugly product with respect to both homeland security and a mixed bag with respect to education. I save the worst for last.

This bill is sad with respect to both funding and legislative language that will damage the environment and damage our forests and wilderness areas. This bill is a wholesale attack on environmental protection. The interior section of this bill is one of the worst appropriation measures to come to the floor of this House in many years. The park service is cut by \$110 million below the President's request. The committee has trampled on a commitment made by the Republican and Democratic leadership of both bodies to provide a specific level of funding for key conservation programs, an agreement that was entered into in order to prevent the creation of yet a new entitlement in this area, and yet the committee has walked away from a solemn commitment that it made.

Then we have the environmental ridor I should say the antienvironmental riders. This bill will exempt the Tongass from any review whatsoever once the regulations are out, and they have not even been completed yet; but this bill will prevent any review of whatever regulations are produced by either administrative challenge or challenge in courts. That is outrageous.

It also includes language which again allows funds in this bill to be used for preliminary activities, preliminary to drilling in ANWR. The worst provision involves an innocuous-sounding program called the Forest Stewardship Pilot Program. Currently, there are 80 projects around the country in which noncommercial organizations work at

thinning growth in forests that are considered vulnerable to fire.

These small projects are not required to get the normal environmental waivers. The House bill proposed to expand the number of projects by 12. The Senate bill would expand the project by 28. Reasonable people can disagree on what ought to happen here, but the conference agreement provides no limits whatsoever. You might as well turn our national forests over lock, stock and barrel to the timber companies. That is what this provision does.

There are no longer any legal limits to what can be cut under this provision. Whatever the administration wants, by all means, go ahead.

Then, as I said earlier, we have some special provisions. I have mentioned the provision in this bill which is here on behalf of a company that operates three chicken plants in Georgia. This provision says that the USDA shall certify chickens as being organic even if they are not fed organic meal. That provision may boost the profits of one company, but it undermines the integrity of the entire organic certification process.

Then there is another nifty little provision that arrived in the Committee on Appropriations last night. It involves the bank accounts of 10 Texas dairy farmers. As we all know, and I know a lot of you like dairy farmers. I do, too. I have a lot of them in my district. They are not getting this kind of treatment.

I was stunned to see what was being done in this bill. It seems that these 10 dairy farmers have herds close to the Mexican border. There have been concerns that the herds might be exposed to bovine tuberculosis, and USDA decided that they ought to be slaughtered. The problem is that if the cows had already been infected the farmers would be able to defer tax payments on the money received from the government: but because the cattle had not yet become infected, that deferral option is not allowed under Tax Code. So somebody decided the only fair thing to do was to have the government pay the taxes in full up front. So this bill contains 15 million bucks to be distributed to 10 farmers to cover their tax liability. Had they received the deferral, their benefit would have only been a fraction of that amount.

So I guess moral of the story is, there is no limit to how rich you can become if you have certain friends on the Committee on Appropriations.

So, Mr. Speaker, I am going to offer a motion to recommit to try to improve this bill in the most egregious area of the bill, and that motion to recommit would negate the language in this bill which allows funds to be used for activities preparatory to drilling in the ANWR. It would also provide funds that eliminate the special rider that abuses the pilot stewardship forest thinning contracting program. We would eliminate the rider that says that Tongass is exempt from all envi-

ronmental review in court or in administrative activities, and we would raise the first responder funds in this bill to the amount requested by the President. That is what the recommittal motion will do

There are many other provisions I would like to reach. In a recommittal motion we cannot do it under the rules under which we operate, but we are going to try to at least correct the most egregious antienvironmental provisions in this bill and try to put enough money in for first responders so that you can all go home and look at your firemen and policemen with a straight face.

I would urge support for the recommittal motion when it comes, and I would urge you to use your own judgment in terms of how to vote on final passage.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 1 minute, and I do so to restate my earlier comment that if one looks really hard, one can find a few things in this bill not to like, and the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY), in his usual, skillful approach to his legislative responsibilities, has done just that.

He has identified a few things he does not like, but I wanted to comment on his comments about the ANWR, and there is no money in this bill for the Bureau of Land Management to develop or predevelop the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, ANWR. The President did not request funding for oil and gas development in ANWR, and the interior bill does not include funding for ANWR. So that really is not an issue, and we will address the other issues in the motion to recommit when we get to that point.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. FLAKE).

Mr. FLAKE. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me the time.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the bill today. The bill and conference report beside me, I would lift it but it is tough. It is about a foot and a half tall. We were given this document around noon today, if we could get a hard copy. That indeed was difficult as it was. So we have that amount of time to go through this.

I disagree that if one flips through this bill a person can find a few things wrong. It is tough to find much right about this bill. I object to the process as well as the product.

We had a House rule which says that we ought to have 3 days to review any omnibus bill like this. We are given a couple of hours. We waived that provision. We should not have.

I would say that this bill in many ways is a work of art. It is kind of a Hall of Fame bill, because if my colleagues look at it, I will just name a couple of the provisions in it. These are elements in the bill. We usually find the more objectionable earmarks or

pork in the conference report, but we have not had time to leaf through that.

In the bill we will find, if one is a baseball fan, we will find \$750,000 earmarked for the Baseball Hall of Fame. If we look a little further, if one is not a baseball fan, we can find \$350,000 for the Rock and Roll Hall of Fame. Why we have that as an omnibus bill from the Federal Government I do not know. If one still is not a rock and roll fan or baseball fan, we can look further in the bill and find an earmark for \$90,000 for the National Cowgirl Hall of Fame. I did not even know there is a Cowgirl Hall of Fame. Perhaps there is not, but now there will be. Who knows what else is in this bill and report. We will be discovering it for months.

We should not do business like this. It should not be done.

I would have thought, given the hall of fame element, that I ought to nominate some of my colleagues, both Democrats and Republicans, for the hall of fame for pork, but I am afraid that they would fund it.

I urge my colleagues to reject this bill. Let us start over.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 30 seconds.

The gentleman who just spoke said it was hard to find something good in this bill, but let me tell my colleagues, Mr. Speaker, there is money in this bill to take care of our soldiers in Afghanistan who are fighting the war against terrorism. There is money in this bill to upgrade our ability to perform intelligence activities, to know what the enemy might be planning to do against us. There is money in this bill to protect our homeland. There is money in this bill to protect our homeland. There is money in this bill to provide funding and training and equipment for first responders, police and firemen, medical techni-

There is plenty in this bill that is good, and that is the reason it is going to be passed.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. ROG-FRS), chairman of one of our very im-

cians, other people on the scene.

ERS), chairman of one of our very important subcommittees on homeland security.

Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairman for yielding me the time, and let me say at the outset how much we appreciate the work of our great chairman.

This has been a long, tortuous path that he has had to lead us through. He has got us here against all odds, and we owe a great debt of gratitude to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. YOUNG) for the fine work that he has done in bringing this bill to us.

The gentleman who spoke just before the chairman, we have got to be sure that we come here with clean hands when we speak. Yes, this bill is big and we would like to have had it in a different form here, but we had to work with what we had to work with.

The gentleman from Arizona who says he is against the bill because he does not like all the spending in it, well, he requested 10 items in the defense bill. I do not know whether they

were granted or not, but he requested spending in the sum of \$160 million, and now he says he is against the bill. Mr. FLAKE. Mr. Speaker, will the

gentleman yield?

Mr. ROGĚRS of Kentucky. I yield to the gentleman from Arizona.

Mr. FLAKE. Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman name what that is?

Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky. Well, there are 10 of them. Would the gentleman like to read them?

Mr. FLAKE. Sure.

Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky. Mr. Speaker, here they are. While the gentleman is reading, I will proceed.

Mr. Speaker, this is an excellent conference report. It has significant benefits for homeland security and transportation activities in this country. I would like to take a minute, if I could, to highlight some of the aspects of the bill that I have just referred to.

Homeland security. We include \$5.2 billion for the Transportation Security Administration, slightly more than the House figure, but it is \$400 million more than what the President's asking in next fiscal year 2004. So TSA is going to have to slim down over the next few months to hit the President's target. They will also have to begin addressing security needs outside the aviation sector, and the bill funds several programs in port security and land security and other areas to help provide that focus.

Within this \$5.2 billion for transportation security, we have \$440 million to procure more of the airport bomb detection systems and install them with inline systems with baggage handling systems at the airports so we can get these systems out of the lobbies of our airports.

There is \$100 million to reimburse the airlines to armor the cockpit doors to prevent another 9-11, and there is \$8 million for commercial pilots to receive firearms training so that they can carry the weapons into the cockpit.

□ 1745

There is \$150 million for port security grants and \$10 million more for port security research and development.

There is \$35 million to improve the security of commercial trucking and intercity passenger buses.

And then the Coast Guard, very important to our homeland security, we include \$4.3 billion for their operating needs. That is higher than either the House level or the Senate-passed level as we went into conference. We increased them above either one of our levels and well above the administration request.

It includes significant new funding for homeland security activities, including new maritime safety and security teams at the Nation's larger ports and upgraded infrared sensors so the Coast Guard can patrol more effectively after dark.

Now, in transportation we include \$31.8 billion for Federal-aid highways.

That is \$8.6 billion above the administration's request and \$4.1 billion above the level guaranteed in authorizing legislation. Let me repeat that. We are above the level stipulated in the authorization. According to the Federal Highway Administration, under this bill every State will receive more formula highway money in 2003 than they got in 2002.

The conferees deserve a lot of credit for placing such a high priority on highway spending. This will be a significant boost for the economy and a huge boost for communities around the country who are mired in traffic congestion problems.

The bill also includes high-priority projects in response to the thousands of requests we had from Members of this body. No one knows the needs of our districts better than the Members of this House who are elected from those districts, and we have tried to be as responsive to those requests as we could.

Mr. Speaker, we should all be pleased about the Amtrak provisions of this bill. For the first time in at least a decade, this bill forces some real reforms on Amtrak. It allows the Secretary of Transportation to take a much more active and authoritative role.

Mr. Speaker, I urge the adoption of the conference report.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Washington (Mr. DICKS).

Mr. FLAKE. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. DICKS. I yield to the gentleman from Arizona.

Mr. FLAKE. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding to me.

I just wanted to point out that it was not noted by the gentleman who spoke before me that all 11 items that I requested have a "DEF" right next to them. That means defense.

I think all of us recognize that the proper role and function of the Federal Government is to fund our armed services, and I am proud of that. But we do not see anything else there. None at all. There is a difference between the National Cowgirls Hall of Fame and funding aviator night vision imaging systems for our helicopters.

Mr. DICKS. Mr. Speaker, reclaiming my time, and I appreciate the gentleman's comments, I just want to point out on the Interior appropriations bill, as the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY) pointed out and I did as we discussed the rule, our only major concern is the fact that on the conservation spending category we, for 2 years, kept a commitment that was made by the Republican and Democratic leadership of the Committee on Appropriations; and this year we did not get a good allocation in the conference, and we had to cut approximately \$700 million out of our bill.

A lot of the most important conservation spending categories were substantially reduced, which I deeply regret, because we had made a commit-

ment to people outside of the Congress that we were going to stay with this trust fund and increase the level of conservation spending by about \$160 million each year until we got up to \$2.4 billion. Of course, this year we did not make our goal.

I just would point out to my col-

leagues that the League of Conservation Voters urges us to support a motion to recommit, which the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY) will offer, on the fiscal year 2003 omnibus appropriations bill. They say, "We strongly oppose the antienvironmental riders that were added in conference and by the Senate, and we are concerned about the substantial funding cut the bill makes to the conservation trust fund.

I would also point out to my colleagues, many on both sides supported a very modest amendment to create increased funding for the National Endowment for the Arts and Humanities. Both sides put an increase in, but when we got to conference, it was stripped out at the direction of the leadership on the Republican side. I think the Endowment for the Arts and Humanities does wonderful things for our country, and I was really kind of shaken by the fact that after this was cut in half during the Reagan administration and we were starting to move in the right direction, giving modest increases for both the arts and the humanities, that that money was, that increase was, stripped out.

So I wish I could tell my colleagues that this bill, when it left the House this year, was a very good bill. But now that we get the conference report, we are now \$800 million below where we were when this bill was before the House.

I must point out also there are a whole series of categories within the Forest Service where we borrowed money last year to fight forest fires, and much of that money has not been repaid. So I hope, as we go through the year, if we have a supplemental appropriations bill, that we can go and readdress some of these important issues and do a better job.

The fact that we are below the President's request on the Park Service, or the House level on the Park Service, is also of concern to me.

I am going to vote for this bill. We have to preserve the prerogatives of the Congress. And I see the Speaker here on the floor. When the Speaker was elected he made a speech and he said, we are going to run these railroads on time. Well, I am confident this year we are going to go back to the regular order and pass our 13 appropriation bills in time, and I support that endeavor.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I would like to inquire as to the time remaining on both sides.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. THORNBERRY). The gentleman from Florida (Mr. YOUNG) and the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY) each have 12 minutes remaining.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I vield 3 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Virginia (Mr. WOLF), chairman of the Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, State and Judici-

(Mr. WOLF asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the chairman for yielding me this time, and I congratulate the chairman and all the people, the leadership and everyone else involved in this bill. I rise in strong support of the 2003 bill.

I will be very brief, but there is \$4.3 billion for the FBI, an increase of \$773 million above last year to help stop what we are concerned of, what may very well happen to this country, counterterrorism, counterintelligence. There is additional money for the DEA. There is \$6.16 billion for INS, for Entry-Exit, funding additional border patrol, land patrol, immigration, and so many other very, very positive things.

With regard to local law enforcement, \$1.4 billion to prevent violent crimes and acts of terrorism, including \$500 million for the Byrne formula grant, \$400 million for the Local Law Enforcement Block Grant program.

With regard to domestic preparedness for equipment, training, and exercises for fire, EMS, HAZMAT, and law enforcement, all of that is in this bill.

There is \$2.1 billion for crime control. Again, very important.

Also, the body should know the conference report includes \$716 million for the Securities and Exchange Commission, \$150 million above the request and \$248 million above fiscal year 2002 to provide the necessary resources for corporate abuse.

For the State Department, we have adequately funded embassy security and diplomatic readiness.

There are a lot of positive things in here with regard to the Supreme Court and others.

I want to close, Mr. Speaker, by one, commending the chairman and the full committee staff for an outstanding job, and also to personally thank the Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, State and Judiciary staff for their tireless efforts, requiring long nights away from their families while preparing this legislation.

Mike Ringler, clerk of the subcommittee, Christine Ryan Kojac, John Martens, Leslie Albright, Butch Davisson, and Nisha Kumar have worked night and day to finish the CJS portion. And Rob, on the other side, and many others have been involved.

I think this is good legislation that will save a lot of lives and good for the country.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to finally bring to the House Floor the conference report on the fiscal year 2003 Commerce, Justice, State and Judiciary Appropriations. Within a very tight allocation, we were able to provide funding for a variety of critical national priorities.

For Federal law enforcement, the Senate bill was over \$700 million below the House. We

were able to restore those funds in the conference report, including; \$4.3 billion for the FBI, an increase of \$773 million above last vear and \$45 million above the request, to continue efforts to modernize the FBI's IT infrastructure, and to improve the FBI's counterterrorism and counterintelligence capabilities; \$1.65 billion for the DEA, an increase of \$15 million above the request, and \$80 million above last year to continue to fight the scourge of illegal drugs; 6.16 billion for the INS, Including \$362 million for Entry Exit, and funding to hire an additional 570 border patrol agents, 460 land border immigration inspectors, and 760 additional airport and seaport inspectors and support personnel.

The conference agreement provides \$4.6 billion for State and Local law enforcement, including: \$1.4 billion to prevent violent crime and acts of terrorism, including \$500 million for the Byrne formula program, \$400 million for the Local Law Enforcement Block Grant program, and \$515 million for law enforcement hiring, overtime, equipment, training, and technologies; \$1 billion for the Office of Domestic Preparedness for equipment, training, and exercises for all types of first responders, fire, EMS, hazmat, and law enforcement; and \$2.1 billion for other crime control programs, including \$391 million to prevent violence against women, \$57 million for methamphetamine enforcement and clean-up, \$7.5 million for a prescription drug monitoring program to combat the abuse of prescription drugs such as OxyContin, and \$465 million for juvenile delinquency and accountability programs.

The conference report includes \$716 million for the Securities and Exchange Commission, \$150 million above the request and \$248 million above fiscal year 2002, to provide the necessary resources to protect investors from corporate abuse

For the State Department, we have maintained the higher House funding level of \$7.8 billion to fully fund the request for embassy security, to provide second year funding for the Department's Diplomatic Readiness Initiative, and to fully fund estimated current year assessments for the United Nations and United Nations Peacekeeping.

For the Department of Commerce, the Conference Report provides \$5.73 billion for the Department of Commerce and other trade agencies, over \$400 billion above the level in the House bill. This funding will allow the Department to generate timely and accurate economic statistics, negotiate and verify fair trade agreements, improve weather forecasting, and manage the Nation's fisheries.

For the Federal Judiciary, the conference report provides \$4.9 billion, \$199 million above fiscal year 2002. This includes funding to process an all-time-high number of criminal and bankruptcy cases, to continue the renovation of the Supreme Court building, and to fund the Judiciary's security requirements.

Overall, Mr. Speaker, this conference agreement represents a sound and fair resolution of the multitude of issues that we faced in conference, and it does so in a fiscally responsible manner

We have come a long way toward constructing an acceptable bill, and I urge my colleagues to support this conference report.

I would like to thank the Commerce-Justice-State subcommittee staff for their tireless efforts and long nights away from their families while preparing this legislation. Mike Ringler, clerk of the subcommittee, Christine Ryan Kojac, John Martens, Leslie Albright, Butch Davisson, and Nisha Kumar have worked night and day to finish the CJS portion of the Omnibus Bill and I want to personally thank them for their work and efforts.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, how much time do I have remaining?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Wisconsin has 12 minutes remaining.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I vield 3 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. GEORGE MILLER), the ranking Democrat on the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

(Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me this time, and I want to recognize and commend the appropriators for the difficult task that they had over this past year in coming together on this legislation.

I also want to express my very serious disappointment on what happened in the conservation accounts in the Interior appropriations portion of this legislation, that we were not able to fund the commitments that we had made that so many local communities and conservation organizations rely on, where we have partnerships with business and civic organizations and individuals coming together to try and provide for the conservation of our lands and our open spaces, which have been terribly successful.

The urban parks being zeroed out is a major disappointment to so many urban areas where we have, again, put together coalitions from the NBA, from women's sports to neighborhood organizations, from the Urban League and so many to rebuild these recreational facilities and opportunities for young people. It is a major disappointment.

I also must tell my colleagues that the forest stewardship contracts now simply open up the forest in a most un-

regulated fashion.

The disappointment is really this. and it is not the fault of these appropriators, it is the process. Because with this process it is not a fact that I did not get to be heard on this bill at one particular time or another, but my constituents did not. So many millions of Americans that are deeply concerned about the health of our environment, about the health of our forest, about the activities on our public lands are shut out from this process because those decisions were made last night or this morning or the night before, and they have had no time to respond to them. The vote will be taken about it, and they will read about it in the newspapers over the coming weeks.

That is a major affront to democracy. That is a major affront to the basic principles of this institution, to the basic principles of representative government, that the people in this country have been closed out of this Yes, the process has gone on a lot longer than it should have, but it was far more closed than at any time in the history of the House of Representatives. And that is a major, major disappointment for those of us, as we sit here on the brink of war talking about heredom and we think about bringing freedom and democracy to other countries and we see it shut down in the people's House.

Legislation sits here and nobody has the ability to read it. Nobody knows what is in it, but we are going to be asked to vote for it. That is not the democracy we should be exporting. That is not the process we should be export-

ıng.

It is a process that denies our constituents. Whether they are interested in education or the environment or public health or aerospace, it denies them the opportunity to be heard; and it is a corruption, an outright corruption of the basic and fundamental principles of our government, of our constitution, and of this institution, and it ought to be stopped.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Ohio (Mr. BOEHNER), who is chairman of the Committee on Education and the Workforce and au-

thor of No Child Left Behind.

Mr. BOEHNER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me this time, and let me congratulate the gentleman from Florida (Mr. YOUNG), his staff, the committee members, along with the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY) and his staff, for a product well done, considering the handicaps of never having a budget and never having appropriation bills from the Senate.

Mr. Speaker, this Congress has promised to do its part on behalf of America's school children, and the spending bill we debate today more than delivers on those promises. Much like the President's budget itself, this agreement is particularly generous towards education. It will provide yet another large increase in Federal education funding on top of record increases provided last year and the years before. This means that more than enough is being provided for States and public schools to carry out the reforms in the bipartisan No Child Left Behind Act.

Not only are we providing enough money, we are providing more than enough. For 35 years, this Congress spent billions of dollars in education without ever insisting on results for the children that it was meant to serve. Just over a year ago, on this very floor, Democrats and Republicans stood proudly together to bring that era to an end. And as my colleagues rightly pointed out, we made a commitment to our children on that day.

But maybe some of us need to be reminded of what that commitment was. What No Child Left Behind promised was that politicians would stop using money as an excuse for a 35-year failure to close the achievement gap. Thir-

ty-five years and \$150 billion later, the achievement gap has not changed at all over that period of time. We have now significantly increased our funding for education, twice since the bill was signed into law, and there are no more excuses. Our children need results.

Let us look at title I. Under this agreement, aid to needy and disadvantaged schools through title I is increased by another \$1.4 billion. And this is on top of the \$1.5 billion increase provided as a result of last year's spending bills.

□ 1800

In other words, title I has virtually doubled since fiscal year 1995. Are we spending too little? I do not think so.

Let me look at the second chart which is even more striking, and this is on special education, IDEA. As the chart shows, we have increased IDEA in this agreement by \$1.4 billion. That is on top of the \$1.2 billion that we increased it last year. Since fiscal year 1995, we have increased funding for IDEA 400 percent. That quadruples the amount of money that we are spending for our special-needs children.

In addition to that, we put another \$100 billion increase in the Teacher Quality Program. We increased Pell grants and pay off the shortfall from the prior year, and we increased Head Start funding by another \$131 million.

Mr. Speaker, if money alone can solve the problems in education, they would have been solved a long time ago. What we need to do is work to change attitudes in America that says that all of our children deserve a chance at a decent education.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 10 seconds.

Mr. Speaker, I must say, I am amused by the prior speech. I would simply observe with respect to title I, the Republican Party in this House had to be dragged kicking and screaming into supporting the very funds which the gentleman so proudly now pointed to on his chart

Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Washington (Mr. INS-IFF)

(Mr. INSLEE asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. INSLEE. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the motion to recommit which would remove the corrupt, dirty little disgusting antienvironmental riders that were picked up on this bill as it went through this process that simply are wrong. We ought to remove them. We ought to be ashamed of the antienvironmental riders that were added like leaches on the body politic.

We should have good, honest debates about money around here; but we should be ashamed of the leaches that get added to legislation in the dark of night that are against the environmental values of this country, and there are three of them that ought to be removed that will be in the motion to recommit, and I will tell Members what they are.

Antienvironmental leach number one: we in Congress pass environmental laws, and they ought to pertain to everywhere in America, in all 50 States in America. But in an unprecedented antienvironmental rider, the majority party, unless Members vote for this motion to recommit, says that the environmental laws of the United States passed by this Congress do not apply in one of the most beautiful places in America, the Tongass National Forest in the State of Alaska.

What possible excuse is there for telling Americans that in one of the pristine spots in this country the laws of the United States of America do not apply? What excuse is there? Members have said in this wilderness designation there is no judicial review.

What kind of Congress passes laws and then says we let the executive ignore the law by not having a judicial review? That is not a Congress that I know or should be proud of. We should get rid of this antienvironment rider.

Leach number two: the other side in this bill has attempted to simply say we are going to salvage logging, essentially without meaningful protection or laws. We have to remove it.

Leach number three: there is a dirty little secret of a back-door deal to try to open up the Arctic wilderness to oil drilling, and that is wrong. It should not be done in the dead of night. Join us to pass this motion and get rid of the antienvironmental riders.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. REGULA), chairman of the Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services and Education.

(Mr. REGULA asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. REGULA. Mr. Speaker, today I rise in strong support of this omnibus appropriations conference report. I want to share with my colleagues some of the things that are covered by this conference report.

Our subcommittee section totals \$133.4 billion, and I would emphasize this is the amount of the President's budget request. It includes funding for programs that touch the lives of all Americans from newborn health screening, Head Start, dozens of education programs, health research and prevention programs, training for dislocated workers to older Americans programs and the efficient administration of our Social Security and Medicare programs. All of these are encompassed in the bill.

And for the education portion, it brings funding to title I to \$1.4 billion, an increase overall in education funding of 7 percent. I think as was pointed out by the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. BOEHNER), this is a record. I think it is something we can all take pride in supporting on behalf of the people of this Nation.

Mr. Speaker, today I rise in support of this omnibus appropriations conference report and to share with my colleagues the important programs we are funding within the Labor, Health

and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies title.

Mr. Speaker, this portion of the bill totals \$133.4 billion, the amount of the President's budget request. It includes funding for programs that touch the lives of all Americans from newborn health screening, Head Start, dozens of education programs, health research and prevention programs, training for dislocated workers to older American programs and the efficient administration of our Social Security and Medicare programs. All these programs and more encompass this bill.

As my colleagues know, students, parents and teachers are working together to see real gains in student achievement as established in the No Child Left Behind Act passed by this Congress a year ago. This bill provides the vital funding to bring the goals of the new law to fruition, including a \$1.4 billion increase in Title I funding, aiding disadvantaged students.

In the area of special education, we have also included an increase of \$1.4 billion over fiscal year 2002 as we continue to increase the federal portion of special education dollars. And to assist those seeking to improve their skills through higher education, we have increased the maximum Pell grant to \$4,050 while also addressing a shortfall in the program that has resulted from a larger than expected number of students returning to school by providing more than half a billion dollars over the President's request for the program.

I am pleased to report that the bill includes the fifth and final year of a commitment to double biomedical research funding for the National Institutes of Health with funding set at \$27.2 billion. At this level NIH will be able to fund more than 38,000 research project grants, the highest number ever funded. These grants span countless areas including basic research as well as that for specific diseases that are the leading causes of death and disability—Alzheimer's, Parkinson's, diabetes, cancer, AIDS and hundreds of others, including rare diseases.

The Čenters for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) have been key in bringing new health research into practice through health promotion programs. Now, as we face continued threats to our homeland we call upon the CDC to act as our first line of defense against bioterrorism as well. We have provided \$4.3 billion to the CDC for these important activities

Within the Health Resources and Services Administration we have included \$1.5 billion for Community Health Centers, access points to those who have no health care, and \$2 billion for Ryan White Aids program, a \$96 million increase.

The bill provides funding for services for our neediest people, including \$1.8 billion for the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) and \$1.376 billion for programs for older Americans, including nutrition programs such as Meals on Wheels and totaling nearly \$719 million.

This bill funds the Department of Labor at \$11.9 billion, \$116 million below last year. However, within the account for the Workforce Investment Act, we have tried to focus our resources on the needs of the many people in our country who have been dislocated from their jobs by boosting support for dislocated workers by \$92 million overall. We are hopeful that the many good people in our local communities working to provide job placement as-

sistance to these unemployed workers will use this funding to assist these workers in re-gaining employment as quickly as possible. Finally, Job Corps, an outstanding program that partners with so many businesses to train our nation's youth for productive employment is increased by \$60 million. Job Corps provides a needed second chance to many of our youth who had not found initial success in school or work.

Mr. Speaker, these programs serve the people of our great nation well and help to strengthen the educational, health and job opportunities for all Americans. I urge the support of the Members of this body in passing the bill today.

In closing, Mr. Speaker, I would like to commend the members of my staff and that of Mr. OBEY in the endless hours of work they have provided to bringing this bill before us today. Craig Higgins, Sue Quantius, Susan Firth, Meg Thompson, Nicole Kunko, Francine Mack-Salvador, and Elizabeth Bowles, as well as David Reich, Cheryl Smith and Linda Pagelsen have done a terrific job in producing the product before us. Thank you to each of them.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. HARRIS) for the purpose of a colloquy.

Ms. HARRIS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Florida (Mr. Young), the chairman of the Committee on Appropriations, for the opportunity to briefly discuss the importance of ensuring that Miami, Florida, is selected as the location of the Permanent Secretariat for the Free Trade of Americas.

Due to its role as the headquarters of the FTAA, the Permanent Secretariat will operate as the critical nerve center of an unprecedented economic colossus twice the size of the European Union.

The Permanent Secretariat will provide vital administrative services for FTAA member nations, while providing the situs for dispute resolution and other critical proceedings established under the FTAA agreements.

Although the FTAA will not be established until 2005, the site selection process for the Permanent Secretariat is currently ongoing. I wish to bring to the committee's attention the fact that should the FTAA become a reality, its success as well as the effective promotion of our national interests will depend significantly upon the placement of the FTAA's Permanent Secretariat in Miami, Florida.

The Permanent Secretariat's ability to effectively discharge its crucial functions will depend upon its geographical proximity to member nations, the reliability of the communications, the security of its facilities, and the quality of the transportation infrastructure.

Only a location in the United States can meet all of these criteria, and Miami is uniquely qualified. Miami is also universally recognized as the top of three prenegotiated candidates for the Permanent Secretariat, which also includes Panama City and Mexico City.

Due to the Bush administration's drive to accelerate negotiations, the beginning of negotiations next week, and the occurrence of a ministerial meeting in November, the committee's attention to this issue could not be more timely.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, will the gentlewoman yield?

Ms. HARRIS. I yield to the gentleman from Florida.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I would say to the gentlewoman that throughout the 2004 process, we will continue to work with the gentlewoman and the Florida delegation for this purpose.

Ms. HARRIS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for his acknowledgment of my request and his support.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 4 minutes.

Mr. Speaker, I want to take this time, and so does the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. MURTHA), in order to express the House's appreciation to Greg Dahlberg, who has served this institution so ably and for so long. For over 20 years he served on the Committee on Appropriations on the Subcommittee on Transportation, on the full committee central staff, and on the Subcommittee on Defense. Since 1994, he was a senior Democratic staffer for defense appropriations which covers virtually all of the military operations in the government.

He was appointed Under Secretary of the Army the last 6 months of the Clinton administration. He volunteered to help the new administration get started, and stayed a few extra months. He has been a personal adviser and great friend to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. MURTHA) and myself. He has served over 200 Democratic members to help them understand defense issues and to deal with those issues in their districts. He has a deep concern for the soldiers, sailors, airmen and marines deployed throughout the world. He loves this institution, and he has given help many times to both Democratic and Republican Members of this institution.

In the defense world there are many opportunities for qualified staff to leave the Hill for more lucrative jobs. Greg has resisted that temptation for many years, and for that we are grateful. We certainly wish him well in his future endeavors. He has been the institutional memory of this House on the budget process and on defense intelligence. He is one of the finest, most dedicated public servants, and one of the most decent human beings I have ever had the privilege to work with.

Mr. MURTHA. Mr. Speaker, will the

gentleman yield?

Mr. OBEY. I yield to the gentleman

from Pennsylvania.
Mr. MURTHA. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman from California (Chairman LEWIS) reminded me that Greg

LEWIS) reminded me that Greg Dahlberg was still here. I thought he was gone.

Yesterday we praised him in a closed briefing. Not only did the gentleman

from California (Mr. LEWIS) and I praise him, but the Secretary of Defense praised him because not only was he Under Secretary, he was Acting Secretary of the Army for a period of time.

I was shocked when he came back. This guy went over there, he had an office as big as this room here. He had a bathroom, car, an airplane, and he came back to the cubbyhole where we share a little room 12 by 12 with six other people.

Greg Dahlberg is a dedicated staffer. I have never seen a guy so dedicated. This guy is one of the finest people I know. It is completely bipartisan. He tries to get things done. He wants to take care of not only the military, but every other job he has been involved in. We are going to miss the honorable Greg Dahlberg. This is his last day. Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, whether it is

within the rules of the House or not, I think this institution owes Mr. Dahlberg a round of applause for his

service.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of

my time.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. LEWIS), the chairman of the Subcommittee on Defense.

(Mr. LEWIS of California asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks, and include extra-

neous material.)

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me this time, and it is very appropriate that I should follow with my remarks those of my friend and colleague and partner in this business, the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. MURTHA). The gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY) was very kind to begin his remarks with a tribute to Greg Dahlberg.

I wanted to mention that the work of our subcommittee, that is the Subcommittee on Defense, along with MILCON, got their work done last year for the 2003 year; and it is largely because of the very fine relationship we have with our professional staff and with the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY), the ranking member.

Just the day before yesterday in our meeting, the chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, responding to the needs that are within this bill, and this bill includes a \$10 billion package which is an additive to the 2003 bill which was not included in that budget relative to some of the costs carrying forward the war on terrorism. It is costing us over \$1.5 billion a month, and we are very cognizant of the importance of this legislation in connection with that defense effort. Indeed, I want to have in the RECORD a copy of the letter from the chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff that reflects his support for this legislation.

But probably this piece would not be a part of this package or would not be effectively here if it were not for the work of our very fine staff.

□ 1815

Greg Dahlberg reflects the finest of those professionals that we have

around this place. We have them on both sides of the aisle, and especially among those committees that deal with the work on behalf of defense.

I think the Members know that, year in and year out, we come to the floor with a major portion of our discretionary money in the defense arena and we carry those bills forward with almost no rancor, taking usually very little time on the floor. And I think, generally speaking, those who are watching the action on the floor today should know that a significant reason for this bill going forward, so important that it go forward now, is that we do have this rather sizable piece of money that is critical to our being able to be consistent in moving forward with the war on terrorism.

And so while Greg leaves us officially in the near term, shortly, sometime, I am not sure when, I am sorry to see him leave. I am proud to be a friend of his; I expect to work with him in the months and years ahead, but in turn, I want to thank him for helping us get the kind of bipartisan support we expect to have for this bill as it passes.

CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF, Washington, DC.

Hon. C.W. BILL YOUNG,

Chairman, Committee on Appropriations, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: During our meeting yesterday you asked Secretary Rumsfeld and me how significant the \$6.1B for Defense currently in the FY2003 Omnibus Appropriations Bill is to the Armed Services. The following elaborates on our answer of yesterday.

The Global War on Terrorism is costing about \$1.6B per month. This expense is not part of the Services' FY 2003 budgets. As a result, the Services are dipping into their budgeted 4th quarter operating accounts—we are in fact dipping into Service 3rd quarter operating accounts now—to fund first and second quarter FY 2003 expenses associated with the war. Without the \$6.1B funding in the FY 2003 Omnibus, we will need to take immediate actions to reduce spending. These actions will negatively impact readiness.

I offer my support for your efforts in passing the Omnibus (H.J. Res. 2) before the President's Day recess. The package you have worked includes essential funding for the Defense Department; that is important to our national security.

The men and women of our Armed Forces deeply appreciate the support of your Committee and that of the entire Congress.

Sincerely.

RICHARD B. MYERS, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield my-

self the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, I just want to remind the Members of the House what the content of the recommittal motion will We will simply strike antienvironmental riders exempting the Tongass National Forest plan from administrative and judicial review, expanding the Forest Service stewardship contracting demonstration program throughout the United States, turning over the forests of the country to the timber companies lock, stock and barrel if they choose.

It would also reconsider language in the conference agreement which removes the restriction in the Housepassed Interior bill which prohibited the use of fiscal 2003 BLM funding for activity related to oil drilling in ANWR, the Arctic National Wildlife

It would add funding for critical conservation resource programs at not less than 2002 levels. That is consistent with an agreement that was made and should be abided by until the year 2006.

And it also would add up to \$500 million above the current conference levels to fund training, equipment and assistance for first responders; in other words, bringing it up to the presidential request.

Mr. Speaker, I full well recognize that there are many good things in this bill. Even a stopped clock is right twice a day. There are many things that we have to provide. And so I am certainly not going to ask Members to vote against the bill, but I am going to ask them to vote for the recommittal motion if you care about our environmental stewardship and if you really care about providing the equipment and the support necessary to our first responders, our policemen and firemen.

If indeed you want to send them the resources they need rather than duct tape, vote for the motion to recommit.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance

of my time.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield 15 seconds to the distinguished gentleman from Virginia (Mr. Good-LATTE), chairman of the Committee on Agriculture.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Chairman, included in this legislation is \$1.1 million for the Roanoke River Upper Basin Project. Is it the gentleman from Ohio's intention and expectation that the contract for construction will be awarded only on an open and competitive bidding process?

Mr. HOBSON. If the gentleman will vield, yes, I agree.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

I do so, Mr. Speaker, to say also a sad good-bye to Greg Dahlberg, who was not only a trusted staff but a trusted friend.

Greg, you know that we are going to miss you.

I want to thank the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY) for spirit of cooperation that we have enjoyed with each other working through this process. And I also want to say, please vote against his motion to recommit. I want to thank the Speaker of the House for the strong leadership that he gave us in trying to come to a conclusion on some very thorny issues, as well as the distinguished majority leader of the Senate. As for Senator STEVENS, I tell vou he worked miracles, because he had to come down \$9 billion more than we had to come down in order to meet this number.

I want to pay a special tribute to Vice President CHENEY. The Vice President weighed in as we were trying to solve some very difficult issues. He was

extremely effective. I just really appreciate the fact that he helped us get to where we are today, where we can finally pass this bill out of here, conclude the work of fiscal year 2003 and begin the work of fiscal year 2004.

And, yes, by the way, again, please vote against the gentleman from Wisconsin's motion to recommit.

Mr. STARK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in opposition to this omnibus appropriations bill. It is unfortunate that Republicans felt it necessary to use this legislation as a vehicle to peddle their anti-environment agenda while forcing cuts in basic priorities. I would have liked to support many provisions included in this bill.

This bill contains funding I have worked for to fund two Army Corps of Engineers flood control studies that will help communities in my district to evaluate flood problems. It will also provide money for a pilot program in Alameda County to protect children at risk from entering the long-term foster care system. I strongly support these vital programs. However, I have no choice but to oppose the legislation before us today.

I cannot in good conscience support this Republican bill because it will open the door to an unprecedented assault on our environment. It grants logging companies unfettered access to public lands to devastate and degrade our national forests. It specifically invites the timber industry to invade Alaska's Tongass Rainforest and reduce the splendor of this wilderness to a patchwork of clear cuts. It opens the pristine landscape of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge for the gas industry to make another oil field. An it leaves little money for the unkeep of our national parks and conservation efforts.

Beyond assaulting our environment, this bill continues to shortchange education and will leave our children behind regardless of the President's rhetoric to the contrary. It calls for an across-the-board cut in federal investment in our schools, a cut of over \$300 million this school year. This bill also makes it more difficult for parents and students to pay for college. The meager increase for Pell grants doesn't even keep pace with the rising cost of inflation.

The bill makes a drastic cut in housing subsidies for poor families. In this tough economy, there is little reason to make times harder for those who have already been hardest hit by this tough economy.

Finally, this bill makes a mockery of homeland security and public safety. While the President continues to raise fears about impending terrorist attacks, his Republican cronies aren't funding the first responders who will be on the front lines if such an attack occurs. This bill also contains cuts in security at our ports, dams, and nuclear facilities that if breached could have a catastrophic impact.

I urge President Bush and Congressional Republicans to end their assault on our basic priorities. I ask my colleagues to support Representative OBEY'S motion to recommit, which would remove the disastrous anti-environmental riders from this bill. And, if that motion does not pass—which it won't—I urge my colleagues to vote against the entire bill.

Mr. UDALL of Colorado. Mr. Speaker, I would like to be able to vote for this conference report, because it does include some things that I support and that are very impor-

tant for Colorado and the country. If those items, or even the parts of this omnibus bill that included them, were being considered separately. I well could vote for them.

But that is not the situation today. Instead, today Members of the House are presented with a more difficult choice. We must vote yes or no on this entire conference report, which rolls into one enormous package no fewer than 11 of the regular 13 appropriations bills that together cover all of the government except the Department of Defense.

This is not the way the House should do its work. It is an embarrassment for all of us. But the responsibility lies solely upon the Republican leadership. They are the ones who refused to allow the House to consider only a few of the regular appropriations bills last year, either before the November elections or in the subsequent lame duck session. They are the ones who have brought this embarrassment, this shame, even, upon the House.

Nonetheless, each of us has had to try to review the results, weighing its good and bad features, without adequate information and in undue haste—haste now, after months and months of delay—and decide, on balance whether to support it. So I have done my best to review and understand what is before the House. And, on balance, with some regret, I must vote against it.

Let me briefly explain how I reached that decision, weighing the good parts of the bill against its serious defects.

GOOD ASPECTS OF THE BILL

Here are some of the good things in the bill: COLORADO FUNDING ITEMS

The omnibus bill provides funding for several important activities in Colorado. I want to highlight just a few:

Rocky Flats Cleanup Funds—I am very glad that the omnibus bill includes \$664 million to continue the ongoing cleanup of the Rocky Flats Site. This is a matter of the highest priority to all Coloradans, because this former nuclear-weapons production site, with its large quantities of radioactive materials, toxic chemicals, and other dangers is just 15 miles from the heart of our largest metropolitan area. The Department of Energy, through its contract with Kaiser-Hill, is working hard to have it cleaned up so it can be closed and transferred to the Department of the Interior for management as a National Wildlife Refuge. The funding included in the omnibus bill will enable that effort to continue, so this is definitely a good part of the overall package.

NIST laboratory repairs—I am particularly glad that these include more than \$11 million to enable the National Institute of Standards and Technology, NIST, to begin what the conferees very accurately describe as "urgently needed construction and renovation" work at NIST's laboratory in Boulder. The condition of this laboratory has been of great concern to me, and I want to express my thanks to Chairman Frank Wolf and Ranking Member Jose Serrano for making sure that these funds were included. I look forward to continue working with them and the rest of the appropriations committee so we can complete this important task.

Beaver Brook acquisition—The conference report includes \$2.5 million for the Forest Service to continue with acquisition of lands in the Beaver Brook watershed, in Clear Creek County, now owned by the City of Golden. I am glad that this is included, but regret that

the amount is significantly less than the \$4 million for this purpose that was included in the Interior appropriations bill passed by the House. I will seek to have sufficient funds included in the appropriations bill for fiscal 2004 to enable the Forest Service to stay on schedule for completion of this acquisition.

Great Sand Dunes—The bill includes \$7 million to acquire lands slated to be included in this National Park System unit, and another \$5 million for acquisition of lands that will be included in the adjacent unit of the National Wildlife Refuge system. I support these acquisitions.

Other good aspects of the bill include the following:

MEDICARE PROVISIONS

The omnibus bill includes increased payments for Medicare physicians and rolls back a scheduled March 1 pay cut that could result in serious health care access issues for our creased Medicare payments for providers and have cosponsored legislation to roll back the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services physician payment cuts scheduled for 2002 and 2003, and to devise a formula that better reflects the cost of care. So my vote against the omnibus bill should not be perceived as a vote against increasing payments for Medicare doctors. My record proves otherwise.

DROUGHT ASSISTANCE

The drought Colorado and other states are suffering from has devastated many farmers and ranchers. Some of us in Congress have been asking for drought relief for almost a year. I am encouraged to see that there is some relief in the omnibus appropriation bill, but I am concerned that for many people it may be coming too late. It would have been appropriate for drought assistance funds to have been included in the supplemental appropriation passed last year, but the resistance of the Administration meant that didn't happen. And the refusal of the Republican leadership to act in a more timely fashion on the regular appropriations bills has compounded the problem.

LIMITS ON INFORMATION PROGRAM

I am very glad that the conference report retains the Senate's provision limiting the Defense Department's "Total Information Awareness" program. I thought the potential for abuse of this program outweighed its purported advantages, and think the restrictions included in the conference report are most appropriate.

INTERNATIONAL ASSISTANCE

The conference report includes \$295 million for humanitarian assistance to Afghanistan and \$800 million for international HIV/AIDS programs. Bother are needed.

NASA

The conference report includes \$50 million for NASA to investigate the cause of the Columbia shuttle tragedy, which is very important. It also provides that the general funds provided for the shuttle program will be exempt from the across-the-board rescission made by the bill—something that I support.

ELECTION REFORM FUNDING

The conference report includes funding to implement the Help America Vote Act, including funds to help Colorado and the other states to modernize their voting equipment. I strongly supported that election-reform law, and regretted that the President chose not to

spend the funds provided last year for its implementation. So I am glad these funds are included in this omnibus bill.

BAD ASPECTS OF THE BILL

If those are some of the good things, what are the bad? Here is a partial list:

SHORTCHANGING FIRST RESPONDERS

I have strongly supported efforts to improve our homeland security against terrorism. I supported establishment of a Homeland Security Department, as recommended by former Senators Gary Hart of Colorado and Warren Rudman of New Hampshire, and did so even while the Bush Administration was opposing the idea. Central to those efforts will be the ability of our first responders, police, firefighters, and others, to meet the challenges they are facing. Unfortunately, in my opinion, the Administration's attitude toward them has been nothing short of shameful, and the Republican leadership of the House has slavishly followed the Administration's lead. The President and Homeland Security Director Tom Ridge have repeatedly promised the nation's police and fire departments \$3.5 billion in "new" first responder grants. The President first pledged this "new money" in a February 25, 2002 speech to the nation's governors. However, last year, he threatened to veto the post-9/11 Homeland Security Bill if it included additional homeland security money. And in August, the President refused to spend the \$5.1 billion homeland security package, which included \$150 million in emergency first responder grants and which was developed on a bipartisan basis. Then, in December of 2002, the Justice Department announced that it would "suspend awarding grants to aid first responders to terrorist attacks" even though Congress had provided stopgap funding for first responders in continuing resolutions. And just last month, our Republican colleagues. following the lead of the Administration, voted down legislation to immediately fund the promised \$3.5 billion in new money for first responders.

Now some of our colleagues are saying that this conference report funds the President's supposed request for \$3.5 billion in "new" money for first responders. However, the fact is they are counting previously existing law enforcement and firefighting grants, as well as the new first responder initiative. For comparison, in 2002 those existing programs actually received roughly \$2.3 billion. So, in reality the conference report includes only \$1.2 billion in "new" money—far less than the \$3.5 billion in "new" money that was promised.

UNDERMINING ORGANIC FOOD STANDARDS

Organic food products have become a \$10 billion dollar industry in America—and Colorado is the number-two producer in the nation. The success of this segment of agriculture is built on consumer confidence in the USDA's standards represented by the "organic" label. Yet, this omnibus appropriation bill undermines some of the integrity of those standards by allowing meat to carry the "organic" label even though the livestock were fed on non-organic feed. The USDA took years to develop the organic standards working with a states and private entities.

WEAKENING ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

As others have pointed out, the bill includes many provisions contrary to good environmental policy. Not only are these bad in themselves, their inclusion in an omnibus appropriations measure makes them doubly objectionable.

This is particularly important to me because I was a member of the Resources Committee during the 107th Congress, and am looking forward to membership on both that Committee and the Agriculture Committee in this Congress. Many of the provisions in this omnibus bill are legislative in nature, and should properly be dealt with in legislation originating in one or both of those Committees. Particularly notable in this regard are the provisions related to "stewardship contracting" as an aspect of forest management. While I understand why some of its proponents find it attractive, it is a subject that needs careful review and consideration, and should not be dealt with as a minor part of an omnibus appropriations measure.

If the motion to recommit had been adopted, many of my concerns regarding this conference report would have been resolved. That the motion did not succeed adds a great deal to my reluctance to support this conference re-

oort.

INADEQUATE FUNDING IN MANY AREAS

Overall, the conference report reflects the fact that the Republican leadership, not the appropriators, but their leadership, acted as agents of the Administration by imposing arbitrary and unrealistic constraints on funding for vital functions of government.

CONCLUSION—NO WAY TO DO BUSINESS

This bill is a textbook example of how we should not legislate, behind closed doors, without meaningful participation by more than a small number of Members, and under "crisis" conditions resulting from deliberate strategy. This along is a major reason that I cannot support the conference report.

So, Mr. Speaker, as I said, while it was not an easy decision, I have decided I cannot sup-

port this conference report.

Mr. KIND. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the Obey motion to recommit because this massive piece of legislation falls short in some issues critical to our nation, and includes certain controversial provisions that need to be debated and considered through the legislative process, not in closed conference.

I agree with my colleague from Wisconsin that there are homeland security priorities that we can and must fund that are not adequately addressed in this 1,100 page bill. For example, this legislation funds only one-fifth of what the U.S. Customs Commissioner says is needed to effectively inspect the thousands of cargo containers that enter our nation everyday. This presents our nation with an unfortunate vulnerability to terrorism and Congress must fund this priority.

In addition, as a member of the House Resources Committee, I feel provisions included in this legislation, such as a rider potentially allowing significant commercial logging in some of our most ecologically sensitive areas, and the funding of preliminary work for opening up the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge to drilling, are uncalled for. These important and controversial issues should be fully debated and open to amendment through the normal legislative process. In addition, the cutting of important conservation program funding by \$200 million dollars will set our nation further back in protecting our most important resources. These programs are supported by the states, and especially important to my home state of Wisconsin.

Further, thanks to an 11th hour provision inserted into the fiscal year 2003 omnibus appropriations bill, consumers of some organic food products may not know if their food is

truly organic. This provision seriously undermines both consumer confidence in organic food labels and the USDA standards. The provision will permit some livestock to be labeled "organic" even though the livestock products do not meet the strict criteria established by USDA. Most significantly, producers of select livestock (chicken and other poultry products) would not have to meet the requirement that the animals be fed organically grown feed.

The recently enacted organic standard was the result of many years of careful deliberation and public input. Overwhelmingly, organic producers and consumers have supported the new standards. Due to this outrageous omnibus provision, organic producers and consumers will no longer have confidence in the organic labeling process.

Such a massive omnibus bill incorporating 11 spending bills and totaling nearly \$400 billion, also lends itself to abuse through the inclusion of numerous pet projects that are not based on necessity and would not be justifiable to the public if considered in the light of day.

Mr. Speaker, we must have priorities in our funding. We must confront the threats facing our land while ensuring our children have access to quality education and our most needy have access to healthcare.

This legislation does meet many of the obligations of our government, and on their own merits, I would have supported them. However, the inclusion of funding for countless projects and programs that were never debated or considered on the House floor makes this bill unsupportable in its current form.

I urge my colleagues to support the motion to recommit so that we can address some of the most damaging aspects of this bill.

Mr. RADANOVICH. Mr. Speaker, the Conference Committee for the FY 2003 Omnibus Appropriations Bill has included language relating to the funding of the installment due on or before September 30, 2003 under the Consent Judgment entered on February 7, 2003 in Sumner Peck Ranch, Inc. v. Bureau of Reclamation. By including this language it is not Congress' intent that the United States default in the payment of that installment. Creating such a default would be unwise. Instead, the intent of the language is to indicate that funds under the Energy and Water Development Appropriation Act are not authorized to pay such installment. By so indicating, it is further intended that payment of the Peck judgment installments is "not otherwise provided for" as that phrase is used in Section 1304 of Title 31 of the United States Code. Appropriations exist and are available under Section 1304 for payment of such installment, and it is intended that such appropriations be so utilized.

Mr. DOOLEY of California. Mr. Speaker, the Conference Committee for the FY 2003 Omnibus Appropriation Bill has included language relating to the funding of the installment due on or before September 30, 2003 under the Consent Judgment entered on February 7, 2003 in Sumner Peck Ranch, Inc. v. Bureau of Reclamation. By including this language it is not Congress' intent that the United States default in the payment of that installment. Creating such a default would be unwise. Instead, the intent of the language is to indicate that funds under the Energy and Water Development Appropriation Act are not authorized to

pay such installment. By so indicating, it is further intended that payment of the Peck judgment installments is "not otherwise provided for" as that phrase is used in Section 1304 of Title 31 of the United States Code. Appropriations exist and are available under Section 1304 for payment of such installment, and it is intended that such appropriations be so utilized

Mr. VAN HOLLEN. Mr. Speaker, today the House passed the Omnibus Appropriations bill, to fund federal government operations for the remainder of Fiscal Year 2003.

This Omnibus package combines 11 of 13 separate funding bills that should have been completed months ago. But rather than conduct hearings and encourage thoughtful debate on America's budget priorities, the Republican leadership in this Congress has cobbled together a 3000 page, \$400 billion megaspending bill in mostly back room deals. And now they ask for a simple yes or no vote on this huge package.

Mr. Speaker, I am a new Member of Congress and I am extremely disappointed by the process. The American people have been shut out of the process. I wish, Mr. Speaker, that I could use this vote as a lesson for my three children and children throughout my district, to teach them how the great democratic institutions of our land reflect the will of American people.

But today, Mr. Speaker, the democratic and deliberative process have been hijacked. The Committee system of this Congress has been bypassed. No hearings. No testimony from impartial experts. No debate. No markup. Nothing

Mr. Speaker, this is no way to begin this new session of Congress. The full House has been given little opportunity to debate, and no opportunity to amend this huge bill. This is a dreadfully flawed backroom process that has allowed logging and oil interests to attach riders that are harmful to our environment. These riders, which have nothing to do with the appropriations process, allow for clear-cutting in national forests, prevent Forest Service administration decisions from being challenged in court, and remove a House-passed provision that would have barred preliminary work on a drilling program in the Alaska National Wildlife Refuge.

But at the same time, this omnibus spending bill does include certain provisions that I strongly support. Among them are the full 4.1 percent cost-of-living pay raise for federal employees that the Administration had so strongly opposed, and a desperately needed adjustment to the Medicare reimbursement formula for doctors, hospitals and other health care providers that will improve access to care for thousands of patients. This matter should have been addressed months ago; it should not have been necessary to include it in this bill.

Although I support the federal pay raise and the Medicare formula fix, I am angered that they are being held hostage by the disastrous, short-sighted riders that wreak havoc on our environment. I object to this kind of back-room political extortion, a cynical abuse of the legislative process to advance the interests of a few over the needs of many.

That is why I voted in favor of the Motion to Recommit this bill and consider an alternative that better reflects our needs and priorities.

But since the motion to recommit did not pass, I was forced to cast a yes-or-no vote on

the final package. Even with the terrible riders, I could not vote to deny our federal employees the pay raise they deserve, or to deny seniors access to Medicare by failing to adjust the reimbursement formula, or to deny funding for schools or homeland security or the many other needs that are funded in this bill.

But, Mr. Speaker, those of us who support progressive environmental and conservation policies will persevere. We will keep fighting these short-sighted policies. The American people do not support them and they will not stand.

Mr. Speaker, the American people deserve better so we can do better. We can do so much better.

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Mr. Speaker, in the past, I have often been reluctant to support omnibus bills, which package multiple pieces of legislation into one. It is poor policy to legislate in this manner. This particular omnibus appropriations bill, combining eleven appropriations bills totaling \$397.4 billion is the worst example of this that I have seen.

Many of the individual bills were never brought before the relevant committees and we are barred from offering amendments on the floor. The final draft of more than 1.000 pages were first made available to the full Congress less than 12 hours before we are being asked to vote on it. Nobody knows the extent of the riders, earmarks and provisions that have been added to this bill. What we do know is in here includes some of the most egregious environmental riders imaginable: allowing nearly unlimited clear-cutting of our national forests; exempting the Administration's Tongass National Forest management plan from all judicial or administrative appeals; and, allowing preliminary work for drilling for oil in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge-despite the fact that it is currently illegal to drill there.

Many of my constituents have expressed concern about the process that has taken place to get us to this point. I am not able to defend the process to them and, therefore, cannot support final passage of this bill. It is not fair to legislators or the people they represent to conduct Congress in this manner. I look ahead with promise to a new fiscal year when Congress can again return to legislating.

Mr. HOLT. Mr. Speaker, I will vote for final passage of the FY 03 Omnibus Bill, but I will hold my nose as I do so.

Let me first note one positive aspect of the omnibus—the increase in Medicare provider payments. I'm very pleased that the conferees approved a 1.6 percent increase this year, to prevent the drastic payment cuts that threatened seniors' access to healthcare.

But all is not well, unfortunately. I believe, as do so many of my colleagues, that these are unusual and dangerous times that require urgent action. We cannot continue to leave this government limping along with frozen budgets, trying to meet the challenges of a new world. So I find myself compelled to vote for this bill, to protect my constituents and the people of the United States. Our federal agencies need to have appropriate funding to deal with the threats and challenges of the world as we see it today.

Mr. Speaker, the House leadership did not think it necessary to give our local first responders the funding they need to deal with emergencies. We've heard so much rhetoric about the need for this country to be prepared for the dangers around us. So why have we shortchanged the police, firefighters, and emergency medical personnel who are so crucial to these preparedness efforts? I voted for the motion to recommit because it added \$500 million above the conference-approved levels for training, equipment, and assistance for first responders

What I also cannot condone is the senseless assault on our environment contained in this bill, including language that removes oversight of public land management in areas like the Tongass National Forest. I also cannot condone a decrease in funding for conservation programs, which are so crucial as we consider how to end our dependence on foreign oil

These are only two of the things I can find wrong in this bill, because we had less than one day to work on it. Who knows what else have been slipped in? I will vote for this bill, Mr. Speaker, but I do so with great reservation.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, the Conference Committee for the FY 2003 Omnibus Appropriations Bill has included language relating to the funding of the installment due on or before September 30, 2003 under the Consent Judgment entered on February 7, 2003 in Sumner Peck Ranch, Inc. v. Bureau of Reclamation. By including this language it is not Congress' intent that the United States default in the payment of that installment. Creating such a default would be unwise. Instead, the intent of the language is to indicate that funds under the Energy and Water Development Appropriation Act are not authorized to pay such installment. By so indicating, it is further intended that payment of the Peck judgment installment is "not otherwise provided for" as that phrase is used in Section 1304 of Title 31 of the United States Code. Appropriations exist and are available under Section 1304 for payment of such installment, and it is intended that such appropriations be so utilized.

Mr. KOLBE. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support for the conference report.

This omnibus act includes the fiscal year 2003 appropriations act for foreign operations, exporting financing, and related programs. As chairman of the Subcommittee, I'm pleased we'll finally enact into law important funding provisions and policy language that the Appropriations Committee considered last fall. It is vital that the Congress maintain a partnership with the Administration, both in funding for foreign assistance and in the development of the policy that accompanies and guides that assistance. Failure to enact foreign aid appropriations bills in a timely manner erodes and compromises the constitutional role of Congress in both these important areas.

The foreign operations division of this conference report totals \$16.3 billion in discretionary budget authority. It is \$250 million below the level approved last year by the House Appropriations Committee, \$130 million below the Senate level, and \$171 million below the President's request. However, despite these reductions we have managed to fund important initiatives in funding for HIV/ AIDS, assistance for Afghanistan, and in the War on Terrorism.

Assistance to combat HIV/AIDS in the foreign operations division of this conference report totals \$800 million. That compares to \$475 million in the fiscal year 2002 appropriations act. As part of this funding, \$250 million is a contribution to the Global Fund to fight

AIDS, Tuberculosis, and Malaria, bringing the total United States contribution to date to \$725 million.

In the two years since I became chairman of the subcommittee, we've raised funding to combat HIV/AIDS from \$300 million to \$800 million, an increase of over 250 percent. I know everyone would like more, and the President recommends major increases in future budgets. However, I think we can take some satisfaction in the way this Congress has responded to the needs in this area.

The conference report also includes the recommendation from the House bill that provides a minimum funding level for Afghanistan of \$295.5 million. The President's budget stated that final funding levels for Afghanistan were yet to be determined, but I believe it is necessary to maintain an emphasis on reconstruction of the physical infrastructure as well as building institutions of democracy in Afghanistan. For that reason funding in this bill for disaster assistance and refugee assistance is increased above the levels recommended by the President.

Total refugee assistance in this conference report is \$813 million, compared to the President's request of \$720 million. Many members of the House wrote to us last year to support as high a level as possible for refugee assistance, and we have responded.

We also have a new focus in this conference report on economic growth, including trade capacity building, basic education, and clean water. We include bill language providing that not less \$452,000,000 should be provided for trade capacity building in the developing world. This is an issue close to my heart, because I believe that without the ability to participate in the international trading system, developing countries will be left behind in the global economy.

We also maintain and enhance assistance for our allies in the War on Terrorism. Israel will receive almost \$2.8 billion in assistance, including an increase of \$60 million in military assistance. Jordan will receive \$250 million in economic assistance, an increase of \$100 million. In addition, Jordan will receive \$198 million in military assistance, an increase of \$123 million. Anti-terrorism training for the security forces of our allies will increase from \$38 million to \$64 million.

I am disappointed we were not able to fund \$200 million for additional anti-terrorism assistance for Israel as I recommended twice last year—once in the supplemental and once in the regular fiscal year 2003 bill. In this conference agreement, we were forced to reduce the overall level of the House bill by \$250 million, and the Senate bill did not contain this funding. Therefore it was impossible to accommodate this increase without damaging cuts to other programs. However, it is my expectation we will be addressing this matter as part of the supplemental appropriations request we should be receiving from the Administration in the next month.

It should come as no surprise to learn that we do not continue funding for the heavy fuel oil costs of the Korean Peninsula Energy Development Organization, or KEDO, since North Korea has abrogated the Agreed Framework. This results in a reduction of \$70 million from the President's request.

This conference report continues assistance to Eastern Europe and to Eurasia through out regional accounts. Funding for the Former So-

viet Union and Eurasia is provided at a level of \$760 million while assistance for Eastern Europe is funded at \$525 million. However, we include language similar to that contained in the House bill prohibiting funding for the Government of Urkraine unless the President certifies that it has not provided arms to Iraq. We do not want to reward governments that are aiding Saddam Hussein.

We also retain important language initiatives from the House bill, including language that tightens oversight on both the West Bank and Gaza assistance program of AID and on our funding to the United National Relief and Works Agency. In neither case do we prevent funding for the important humanitarian work done by these agencies; we only ask for oversight by the USAID Inspector General in the case of the West Bank and Gaza Program, and a report by the GAO on whether current law is being followed by the State Department in providing assistance to the UN Relief and Works Agency.

After long negotiations with the Senate, the Committee came to a compromise on the Andean Counterdrug Initiative. The conference report funds this account at a level of \$700 million, which is \$75 million more than last year and \$31 million less than the request. The conference report includes a permissive transfer of \$31 million from the regular International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement account from this or previous Acts, therefore if the authority is exercised, the Andean Counterdrug Initiative will be fully funded

While I am a strong advocate of properly managed United States foreign assistance programs, the Committee learned last year that some foreign governments have assessed taxes against our aid programs. This is unacceptable, and we owe it to the American people to ensure that tax dollars intended for programs to help people are not transferred to the treasuries of foreign governments. Therefore, we include language from the House bill mandating that the Department of State take definite action to halt this practice. We further require that any tax that is collected and not properly reimbursed to the United States government will be deducted at a level of 200 percent from the aid that would be provided to that country in fiscal year 2004. Half of these funds will be returned in a rebate to the Treasury, thereby providing the first "tax relief" Congress will consider this year.

Finally, we have recommended language for the U.N. Population Fund that almost no one likes, but which almost everyone is prepared to live with. This bill respects the concerns of the majority in the House who insist that U.S. funds going to the UNFPA are contingent on that organization's commitment, action, and adherence to a policy of opposing coercive abortions in China in compliance with the socalled "Kemp-Kasten" amendment. I have also tried to recognize our common belief that it is imperative that U.S. maintain and continue to project positive leadership in international affairs in a way that helps us secure our very broad foreign policy interests. That is why both the fiscal year 2002 funds of \$34 million, and \$34 million in fiscal year 2003 will be available to UNFPA if they are in compliance with Kemp-Kasten.

Mr. Speaker, I have highlighted only the most important provisions of the foreign operations appropriations act. I think we have done

a good job responding to the President's needs in this area while protecting programs important to the Congress. I strongly support this conference report and urge its adoption.

Ms. McCARTHY. Mr. Speaker, I am deeply disappointed that we were given less than twenty-four hours to evaluate this critical and massive omnibus appropriations bill. I object to this flawed process.

This bill includes a number of anti-environmental provisions that significantly endanger our nation's public lands, forests, wildlife, clean water, endangered species and other national treasures. These are environmentally harmful and fiscally wasteful projects. H.J. Res. 2 makes deep cuts to the Conservation Trust Fund. Congress established this fund to address the chronic underfunding of our nation's parks, refuges, wildlife protections, open space, and historic and cultural resources. The Conservation Trust Fund has responded to the concerns of thousands of conservation, environmental, preservation and recreation interests and a broad array of state and local interests. This proposal cuts this funding by more than \$200 million. This proposal will also authorize unlimited private contracts for logging in national forests. This will open the doors of our nation's forests to the timber industry, allowing widespread logging under the guise of forest management.

H.J. Res. 2 will allow the Department of the Interior to conduct preleasing activities in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, even though Congress recently rejected oil and gas leasing in the Refuge. This will potentially open up the area to environmentally hazardous oil exploration. H.J. Res. 2 does not provide adequate funds to our homeland security which is needed to protect our nation from potential threats. The safety of individuals within our nation is a high priority and we must do everything we can to adequately fund projects that protect our citizens, as well as uphold our democratic principles

Representative OBEY's motion to recommit will strike the anti-environmental riders. It will exempt the Tongass National Forest Plan from administrative or judicial review. His motion to recommit will add funding for critical conservation resource programs at not less than 2002 levels; and add up to \$500 million above the current conference levels to fund training, equipment, and assistance for first responders. I will support Representative OBEY's motion to recommit because it will protect our environment while addressing concerns over our homeland security.

Not only do we need to protect our nation from potential threats from outside nations but we must also protect our citizens from environmental damage that will impact our health, land, and natural resources. The environment and health of our nation is not something I am willing to gamble with. I strongly urge my colleagues to support Representative OBEY's Motion to Recommit.

Mr. DOOLITTLE. Mr. Speaker, the Conference Committee for the FY 2003 Omnibus Appropriations Bill has included language relating to the funding of the installment due on or before September 30, 2003 under the Consent Judgment entered on February 7, 2003 in Sumner Peck Ranch, Inc. v. Bureau of Reclamation. By including this language it is not Congress' intent that the United States default in the payment of that installment. Creating such a default would be unwise. Instead, the

intent of the language is to indicate that funds under the Energy and Water Development Appropriation Act are not authorized to pay such installment. By so indicating, it is further intended that payment of the Peck judgment installment is "not otherwise provided for" as that phrase is used in Section 1304 of Title 31 of the United States Code. Appropriations exist and are available under Section 1304 for payment of such installment, and it is intended that such appropriations be so utilized.

Mr. MICHAUD. Mr. Speaker, today, the House considered the Omnibus appropriations measure for Fiscal Year 2003. It is the legal duty of the Congress to fund the government, and the time to vote on this funding was long overdue.

As is often the case, the majority decided to use this desperately-need bill as a vehicle to insert "riders" and other language that would be unlikely to pass on its own. In this bill, there was language that would open the way to preliminary studies of the feasibility of oil drilling in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. There was language that would increase logging in national forests. There was a cut to conservation programs, and a severe underfunding of border security and first responders

I object to these provisions in the strongest terms. This is a sneaky, underhanded way of creating policy. At a time when funding for so many valuable programs in Maine and across the country is desperately needed, it is wrong to extort the Members of Congress into voting on these highly controversial measures. The majority is saying: vote in favor of this bill, with these odious measures included, or lose the entire bill.

So when the Motion to Recommit was offered, I gladly voted in favor of it. This motion would have instructed the Appropriations Committee to remove this offensive language, and pass a clean bill to fund the government. I would welcome the idea of a short delay in passing the omnibus if it were to mean removing these environmental sneak-attacks.

However, when that motion failed, I felt that the remainder of the bill was too important for Maine to be allowed to fail. The omnibus includes millions of dollars in direct aid to Maine. I have worked hard to obtain funding for projects in agriculture, health, transportation, construction, science, and labor. This includes \$900,000 that I was able to convince my colleagues to include to help the workers in Millinocket and East Millinocket who have lost their health care in the wake of the Great Northern Paper Bankruptcy. This includes language that I was able to insert prohibiting the Department of Labor from consolidating the Bangor and Portland OSHA offices without further consultation with Congress. This includes Medicare payments to physicians and support to rural hospitals in Maine, money to develop the East-West Highways, money for education, federal housing loans, and small business loans.

I voted in favor of the omnibus so that Maine would not be deprived of all of these vital resources. I am extremely disappointed that this bill contained such detrimental, misguided environmental policy as well. I will continue to oppose measures such as these. I have already worked hard in my first month in Congress to oppose drilling in ANWR, to safeguard our environment, and to promote energy efficiency and alternative fuel sources. I have

written letters, cosponsored bills, and worked with my colleagues already on these issues, and my determination to advance these causes will not diminish.

I am pleased that we could pass this bill, and bring important funding to programs in Maine and around the nation, and I wish that the majority party had not exacted such a high price.

Mr. PETRI. Mr. Speaker, I want to commend the Committee for setting an obligation limit of \$31.6 billion for the federal highway program in 2003. As we are facing a struggling economy and overwhelming transportation challenges, it is essential that we continue to invest now to preserve jobs, save lives, and provide the basic infrastructure we need to get back on the road to economic growth. The Trust Fund can support this spending as we have a \$16 billion balance and, at a time when we are looking at an economic stimulus package, it does not make sense to shortchange a program that helps grow the economy and provide good, wellpaying jobs.

That being said, I am disappointed that once again the Committee has changed the way the program functions and, in essence, amended TEA 21 in the process. Once again funds-\$269 million worth-which would otherwise go to the states are held back in order to expand earmarking opportunities, and at a 100% federal share. In addition, \$285 million is appropriated from the Highway Trust Fund and \$90 million is appropriated from the General Fund for further earmarking. And it appears that obligation authority is distributed in a way that favors program earmarked by appropriators at the expense of other apportioned or allocated programs. As last year, contract authority distributed to the states under the core programs is rescinded. It is only in the last two years that these types of activities have been approved by the appropriators, and it is a trend that should end with this bill.

I again want to praise the overall level of highway spending provided in this conference report. Now that we are finally finished with fiscal year 2003, and begin the 2004 appropriations process, I hope we can return to a process that respects the jurisdiction of the authorizing committees, that does not take funds away from the states, and that we can work together to advance the transportation agenda of our nation.

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I commend the Leadership and Members of the Appropriations Committee for bringing this legislation to the House floor and in concluding the FY 2003 funding process.

This bill provides critical resources for essential and much needed scientific, research and advanced technology initiatives.

As a member of the Research Subcommittee of the House Science Committee, I would like to express appreciation for the continued funding of many valuable research programs, both within the government and for the commercial sector.

Funding continues for the many federal research programs, including NIH, NSF, NOAA, NASA and the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

Within NIST, funding for the Advanced Technology Program is provided. ATP assists in the commercialization of promising technologies and provides federal resources that

are much needed and scarce in the current economy and investment climate.

I would like to recommend an additional category for consideration in the use and awards of ATP funds for FY 2002–03 grants. Important advances in digital holographic technology are being developed, with broad applications for commercial as well as governmental uses, both defense and non-defense.

This important scientific work by mostly small companies has been funded through private capital, which is now largely unavailable at this critical period of technology development. With uses of this three-dimensional visualization technology pending in a number of critical areas, capital is needed to accelerate the R&D programs.

I urge NIST to include within the areas under consideration for ATP funding this emerging field of digital holographic technology and its great commercial potential.

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this House Joint Resolution 2, the Omnibus Appropriations bill for Fiscal 2003

At the outset, I'd like to recognize the valiant efforts of the Chairman of our full Appropriations Committee, the gentleman from Florida, Mr. YOUNG. The Chairman has provided energetic and stalwart leadership throughout this unusually long appropriations cycle and this House owes him a debt of gratitude. The Conference Report before us is a better piece of legislation today for his efforts and those of the rest of the Subcommittee Chairmen. I want to thank our House Conferees and thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Speaker, I will support this Conference Report. In doing so, I echo the comments of many of my Colleagues. There is much to be proud of in this bill.

We provide:

Another \$10 billion for intelligence and defense activities, the immediate need of which is self-evident;

\$825 million for our first-responders—those on the homefront we task to help our citizens in time of need;

An additional \$3.1 billion over the President's request for education—to keep our commitment to the No Child Left Behind Act;

And the single largest program increase in the bill goes to NIH—the agency on the cutting edge of medical research and thus better support he collaborative efforts for basic research with our colleges and universities;

No, this bill is not perfect. Given sole responsibility to draft this \$397 billion package, we all would have rearranged priorities a bit, taken out some of the legislative riders and redrafted others.

But, my colleagues, we cannot let "the perfect" be the enemy of "the good."

We have a responsibility to govern. We have a responsibility to lead.

And at a time when this nation is waging a war on terror, defending our homeland and possibly preparing to send our young soldiers into harm's way, we should pass this bill and get on with the business of governing and reviewing the President's new proposal for FY 2004.

Once again, I thank Chairman YOUNG for his leadership. I urge adoption of the Conference Report.

Mr. HOBSON. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to support the conference report on H.J. Res. 2, which includes the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act for the fiscal year 2003.

We were able to work out the differences between the House and Senate bills in such a way that the critical priorities of the House and Senate were protected. The product of our deliberations is a package that will help strengthen our defense, rebuild our critical infrastructure, and increase our scientific knowledge.

The total amount included in the conference agreement for energy and water programs is \$26 billion. This is \$858 million over fiscal year 2002 and about \$287 million over the budget request

I am pleased with the level of funding we have recommended for the Civil Works program of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. At \$4.6 billion, the recommended funding is \$456 million higher than the Administration's inadequate budget request. While that may sound like a large increase, the amount we have recommended is actually \$27 million less than the Corps spent in fiscal year 2002. If we had funded the Corps' program at the level requested by the Administration, the result would have been schedule delays, increased project costs, and the loss of project benefits. In addition to providing more funds for ongoing projects, the conference agreement includes funding for a number of new construction

For the Bureau of Reclamation, we have provided \$953 million, which is \$72 million above the budget request. This includes \$23 million for the Bay-Delta Ecosystem Restoration Program in California.

For the non-defense programs of the Department of Energy, we were able to provide modest increases over last year for several programs. The basic research performed by the Department of Energy has led to many of the technological breakthroughs that have helped our economy grow. These programs will be even more important as we move into the 21st Century.

For the atomic energy defense programs of the Department of Energy, the conference agreement includes \$15.7 billion, a slight increase of \$33 million over the budget request. These funds will ensure that we have a reliable and safe nuclear weapons stockpile, continue to fund important nuclear nonproliferation programs to secure nuclear materials in Russia, and meet our commitments to communities throughout the United States to clean up the damage done to the environment over the past forty years.

I want to thank my Senate counterpart, Chairman PETE DOMENICI, and his Ranking Minority Member, Senator HARRY REID, for their cooperation and hard work. I am especially grateful to my good friend and the Ranking Minority Member of the House subcommittee, the Honorable PETE VISCLOSKY, for his tremendous efforts on behalf of this conference report. I also want to thank our full committee chairman, Mr. YOUNG, and the full committee ranking member, Mr. OBEY.

Finally, I would like to express my appreciation to the Subcommittee staff for Energy and Water Development—Bob Schmidt, Jeanne Wilson, Kevin Cook, Dennis Kern, Tracey LaTurner, Dave Kilian, Rich Kaelin, and Chris Altendorf. Their expertise, knowledge, and negotiating skills have been invaluable throughout this process.

I urge the unanimous support of the House for adoption of this conference report. I would hope we could quickly conclude action on this conference report so that we can get this bill to the White House for signature.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. THORNBERRY). All time for debate on the conference report has expired.

Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the conference report.

There was no objection.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT OFFERED BY MR. OBEY Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the conference report?

Mr. OBEY. At this stage, I certainly am, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. OBEY moves to recommit the conference report on the joint resolution, H.J. Res. 2, to the committee of conference with instructions to the managers on the part of the House to:

(1) disagree to section 323 in Division F of the conference report (expanding logging in Federal forests);

(2) disagree to section 335 in Division F of the conference report (preventing any administrative or judicial review of the Tongass Land Management Plan);

(3) reconsider its decisions on the Bureau of Land Management, Energy and Minerals program;

(4) fund, within the scope of conference, conservation spending category items in Division F (including National Park Service grants to States and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service programs) at no less than current rate; and

(5) increasing funding for training, equipment, and assistance for first responders provided through the Office of Domestic Preparedness to levels as close to the levels requested by the President as is possible within the scope of conference.

Mr. KIND. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to speak against a provision in the FY2003 Omnibus Appropriations Bill that weakens the organic label standards related to livestock production. This 11th hour provision weakens national organic standards by no longer requiring organic poultry producers to feed their birds only organically raised feed grains. This language, hidden in the Congressional Appropriations Bill, is contrary to the intent of organic livestock production and would severely undermine the organic standards that we currently have in place.

Organic foods have been one of the fastest growing components of the agriculture consumer marketplace, a market that is built upon trust. Millions of American consumers have growth to trust the quality, wholesomeness and integrity of organically labeled meats and vegetables. Should this provision prevail, the American consumers will no longer be able to trust organic labeled meat as truly organic. This provision will undermine both consumer confidence in organic labeling and the existing USDA standards.

Select livestock producers, specifically chicken and poultry product farmers in Georgia, would be able to market their products as organic without raising the birds on organically grown feeds. This provision is inconsistent with organic consumers perceptions of the origin of organic poultry and the intent and regulations of organic producers.

The recently enacted organic standards was the result of many years of careful deliberation and public input. Overwhelmingly, organic producers and consumers have supported the new standards. Due to this outrageous omnibus provision, organic producers and consumers will no longer have confidence in the organic labeling process.

I strongly urge you to vote for the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. OBÉÝ. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, the Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote on the question of adoption of the conference report.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 193, nays 226, not voting 16, as follows:

[Roll No. 31] YEAS—193

Abercrombie Eshoo Ackerman Etheridge Alexander Evans Andrews Farr Fattah Baca Baird Filner Baldwin Ford Frank (MA) Ballance Becerra Frost Gephardt Bell Berkley Gonzalez Berman Gordon Green (TX) Berry Bishop (GA) Grijalva Bishop (NY) Gutierrez Blumenauer Harman Hastings (FL) Boswell Hill Boucher Hinchev Bovd Brady (PA) Hinojosa Brown (OH) Hoeffel Brown. Corrine Holden Holt Capps Honda Hooley (OR) Cardoza Carson (IN) Hover Carson (OK) Inslee Case Israel Jackson (IL) Clyburn Jackson-Lee (TX) Convers Jefferson Cooper Johnson, E. B. Costello Cramer Jones (OH) Crowley Kanjorski Cummings Kaptur Kennedy (RI) Davis (AL) Kildee Davis (CA) Kilpatrick Davis (FL) Davis (IL) Kind Davis (TN) Kleczka DeFazio Kucinich DeGette Lampson Langevin Delahunt DeLauro Lantos Larsen (WA) Deutsch Dicks Larson (CT) Dingell Lee Doggett Levin Dooley (CA) Lewis (GA) Doyle Lofgren Edwards Lowey Lucas (KY) Emanuel Engel Lynch

McCarthy (MO) McCarthy (NY) McCollum McDermott McGovern McIntyre McNulty Meehan Meek (FL) Meeks (NY) Menendez Michaud Millender-McDonald Miller (NC) Miller, George Moore Moran (VA) Nadler Napolitano Neal (MA) Oberstar Obey Olver Ortiz Owens Pallone Pastor Pelosi Peterson (MN) Pomeroy Price (NC)

Maiette

Maloney Markey

Marshall

Matheson

Matsui

Rangel Reyes Rodriguez Ross Rothman Roybal-Allard Ruppersberger Rush Ryan (OH) Sabo Sanchez, Linda

Rahall

Ramstad

Sanders Sandlin Schakowsky Schiff Scott (GA) Scott (VA) Serrano Sherman Skelton Smith (WA) Snyder Solis Spratt Stark

Strickland Stupak Tanner Tauscher Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Tierney Towns Udall (CO) Udall (NM) Van Hollen

Visclosky Waters Watson Watt Waxman Weiner Wexler Woolsey Wu Wynn

Osborne

Ose

Otter

Oxley

Paul

Pearce

Pence

Petri

Pitts

Platts

Pombo

Porter

Portman

Putnam

Regula Rehberg

Reynolds

Rogers (AL)

Rogers (KY)

Rogers (MI)

Rohrabachei

Ryan (WI)

Ryun (KS)

Ros-Lehtinen

Sensenbrenner

Renzi

Royce

Saxton

Schrock

Sessions

Shadegg

Sherwood

Shimkus

Shuster

Simmons

Simpson

Souder

Stearns

Stenholm

Sullivan

Sweenev

Tauzin

Terry

Thomas

Tiahrt

Upton

Vitter

Walsh

Wamp

Weller

Wicker

Whitfield

Toomey

Tancredo

Taylor (MS)

Taylor (NC)

Thornberry

Turner (OH)

Turner (TX)

Walden (OR)

Weldon (FL)

Weldon (PA)

Wilson (NM)

Young (AK)

Young (FL)

Smith (MI)

Smith (TX)

Shaw

Shays

Quinn

Pryce (OH)

Řadanovich

Pickering

Peterson (PA)

NAYS-226

Velazquez

Gingrey Aderholt Goode Bachus Goodlatte Baker Goss Ballenger Granger Barrett (SC) Graves Green (WI) Bartlett (MD) Barton (TX) Bass Gutknecht Beauprez Hall Bereuter Harris Biggert Hart Bilirakis Hastert Bishop (UT) Hastings (WA) Hayes Hayworth Blackburn Blunt Hefley Boehlert Hensarling Boehner Bonilla Herger Bonner Hobson Hoekstra Bono Hostettler Boozman Bradley (NH) Houghton Brady (TX) Hulshof Brown (SC) Hunter Brown-Waite, Hyde Isakson Ginny Burgess Issa Istook Burns Janklow Burr Burton (IN) Jenkins Buver John Calvert Johnson (CT) Camp Johnson (IL) Cannon Johnson Sam Jones (NC) Cantor Keller Capito Carter Kelly Kennedy (MN) Castle King (IA) Chabot Chocola King (NY) Coble Kingston Kirk Cole Cox Kline Crane Knollenberg Crenshaw Kolbe LaHood Culberson Cunningham Latham Davis, Jo Ann Davis, Tom LaTourette Leach Deal (GA) Lewis (CA) DeLay DeMint Lewis (KY) LoBiondo Lucas (OK) Diaz-Balart, L Diaz-Balart, M. Manzullo Doolittle McCotter Dreier McCrery Duncan McHugh Dunn McInnis McKeon Ehlers Mica Miller (FL) Emerson English Miller (MI) Feeney Flake Miller Gary Mollohan Fletcher Moran (KS) Foley Forbes Murphy Murtha Fossella Franks (AZ) Musgrave Frelinghuysen Myrick Gallegly Nethercutt Garrett (NJ) Ney Northup

NOT VOTING-16

Allen Ferguson Slaughter Capuano Collins Linder Lipinski Smith (NJ) Tiberi Combest Pascrell Wilson (SC) Cubin Payne Sanchez, Loretta Everett

Norwood

Nunes

Nussle

Gerlach

Gibbons

Gilchrest

Gillmor

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. THORNBERRY) (during the vote). The Chair reminds Members there are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

□ 1839

Messrs. SAM JOHNSON of Texas, TURNER of Texas, and HALL changed their vote from "yea" to "nay.

So the motion to recommit was re-

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I was unable to be present for rollcall vote 31. Had I been present, I would have voted "aye" on rollcall vote 31.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the conference report.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. YOUNG of Florida, Mr. Speaker. I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 338, noes 83, not voting 14, as follows:

[Roll No. 32]

AYES-338

Cardoza Abercrombie Frost Aderholt Carson (IN) Gallegly Alexander Carson (OK) Garrett (NJ) Andrews Carter Gerlach Gilchrest Baca Case Bachus Castle Gillmor Ballenger Chocola Gingrey Clyburn Barrett (SC) Gonzalez Barton (TX) Coble Goode Bass Cole Goodlatte Gordon Beauprez Cramer Becerra Bell Crane Crenshaw Goss Granger Bereuter Crowley Graves Green (TX) Berkley Culberson Berman Cummings Greenwood Berry Cunningham Gutierrez Biggert Davis (AL) Davis (CA) Hall Bilirakis Harman Bishop (GA) Davis (FL) Harris Bishop (NY) Bishop (UT) Blackburn Davis (TN) Hart Davis, Jo Ann Hastert Davis, Tom Hastings (WA) Blunt Deal (GA) Hayes Boehlert DeLauro Havworth Boehner DeLay Herger Bonilla DeMint Hill Diaz-Balart, L Hinoiosa Bonner Diaz-Balart, M. Bono Hobson Boozman Dicks Hoeffel Boswell Dingell Holden Boucher Dooley (CA) Holt Boyd Doolittle Honda Bradley (NH) Doyle Hooley (OR) Brady (PA) Houghton Dreier Brady (TX) Duncan Hover Hulshof Brown (SC) Dunn Brown, Corrine Brown-Waite, Edwards Hunter Ehlers Hyde Ginny Emanuel Inslee Burgess Emerson Isakson Burns Engel Israel English Burr Issa Burton (IN) Fattah Istook Jackson-Lee Buyer Feeney Calvert Fletcher (TX) Camp Foley Forbes Janklow Jenkins Cantor Capito Ford John Fossella Capps Cardin Johnson (CT) Johnson (IL) Frelinghuysen

Johnson, E. B. Johnson, Sam Jones (OH) Kanjorski Kaptur Keller Kelly Kennedy (MN) Kennedy (RI) Kildee Kilpatrick King (IA) King (NY) Kingston Kirk Kleczka Kline Knollenberg Kolbe LaHood Lampson Langevin Lantos Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Latham LaTourette Leach Levin Lewis (CA) Lewis (KY) LoBiondo Lowey Lucas (KY) Lucas (OK) Lynch Majette Malonev Manzullo Marshall Matheson Matsui McCarthy (MO) McCarthy (NY) McCollum McCotter McCrery McGovern McHugh McInnis McIntyre McKeon McNulty Meehan Meek (FL) Meeks (NY) Menendez Mica Michaud Millender-McDonald Miller (MI)

Akin

Baird

Baker

Baldwin

Ballance

Cannon

Chabot

Convers

Cooper

Costello

Davis (IL)

DeFazio

DeGette

Delahunt

Deutsch

Doggett

Etheridge

Eshoo

Evans

Filner

Flake

Allen

Clay

Cox

Miller (NC) Sanchez, Linda Miller, Garv Sandlin Mollohan Moore Moran (KS) Saxton Schiff Schrock Moran (VA) Murphy Scott (GA) Murtha Scott (VA) Myrick Serrano Nadler Sessions Napolitano Shaw Neal (MA) Shays Nethercutt Sherman Ney Northup Sherwood Shimkus Shuster Norwood Nunes Simmons Nussle Simpson Obersta Skelton Slaughter Smith (NJ) Obey Olver Smith (TX) Snyder Solis Osborne Ose Otter Souder Spratt Owens Pallone Stearns Stenholm Pastor Pearce Strickland Pelosi Stunak Pence Sullivan Peterson (MN) Sweeney Peterson (PA) Tauzin Pickering Taylor (NC) Platts Terry Pombo Thomas Pomerov Thompson (CA) Porter Thompson (MS) Thornberry Portman Tiahrt Price (NC) Turner (OH) Pryce (OH) Putnam Turner (TX) Udall (NM) Quinn Radanovich Upton Van Hollen Rahall Velazquez Rangel Regula Rehberg Visclosky Vitter Renzi Walden (OR) Reyes Walsh Reynolds Wamp Rodriguez Waters Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Weldon (FL) Weldon (PA) Rogers (MI) Weller Whitfield Rohrabacher Ros-Lehtinen Wicker Wilson (NM) Ross Rothman Wolf Roybal-Allard Wu Ruppersberger Wynn Ryan (OH) Young (AK) Young (FL)

NOES-83

Frank (MA) Ackerman Franks (AZ) Gephardt Gibbons Green (WI) Grijalva Bartlett (MD) Gutknecht Blumenauer Hastings (FL) Brown (OH) Hefley Hensarling Hinchey Hoekstra Hostettler Jackson (IL) Jefferson Jones (NC) Kucinich Lewis (GA) Lofgren Markey McDermott Miller (FL) Miller, George Musgrave Oxley Paul Petri

Pitts Ramstad Royce Rush Ryan (WI) Ryun (KS) Sanders Schakowsky Sensenbrenner Shadegg Smith (MI) Smith (WA) Stark Tancredo Tanner Tauscher Taylor (MS) Tierney Toomey Towns Udall (CO) Watson Watt Waxman Weiner Wexler Woolsey

NOT VOTING-14

Combest Capuano Collins Cubin Everett

Ferguson Linder

Lipinski Pascrell

Payne Tiberi Sanchez, Loretta Wilson (SC)

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during

the vote). Members have 2 minutes remaining to record their votes.

Mr. LARSON of Connecticut, Mr. MENENDEZ, and Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas changed their vote from "no" to "aye."

So the conference report was agreed

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. LORETTA SANCHEZ of California. Mr. Speaker, on Thursday, February, I was unavoidably detained due to a prior obligation in my district.

I request that the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD reflect that had I been present and voting. I would have voted "no" on rollcall No. 27, "yea" on rollcall No. 28, "yea" on rollcall No. 29, "no" on rollcall No. 30, "yea" on rollcall No. 31, and "yea" on rollcall No. 32.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. EVERETT. Mr. Speaker, I will be unable to vote during the following rollcall votes this afternoon because I am departing on the Speaker's CODEL to visit our troops in the Middle East. However, if I had been present, I would have voted as indicated below.

Rollcall No. 29 (Motion to recommit H.R. 4, the Personal Responsibility, Work and Family Promotion Act of 2003, with instructions)-"nay"; rollcall No. 30 (Final Passage of H.R. 4)--"yea"; rollcall No. 31 (Motion to recommit H.J. Res. 2, Making Further Continuing Appropriations For The Fiscal Year 2003)—"nay"; rollcall No. 32 (Final Passage of H.J. Res. 2)—"yea".

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. COLLINS. Mr. Speaker, for security reasons our delegation trip departed prior to the conclusion of legislative business. Had I been present for the conclusion of legislative business, I would have voted "nay" on the Motion to Recommit (rollcall No. 29), and "yea" on Final Passage (rollcall No. 30) of H.R. 4-Personal Responsibility, Work and Family Promotion Act of 2003.

In addition, Mr. Speaker, I would have voted "nay" on the Motion to Recommit (rollcall No. 31), and "yea" on Final Passage (rollcall No. 32) of H.J. Res. 2-the Fiscal Year 2003 appropriations Conference Report.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. CAPUANO. Mr. Speaker, due to an official congressional delegation trip to Afghanistan, I was unable to cast votes on rollcall Votes 29, 30, 31, and 32. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea" on rollcall 29, "nay" on rollcall 30, and "yea" on rollcall 31, and "nay" on rollcall 32.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. THORNBERRY). Pursuant to House Resolution 71, the House is considered to have adopted House Concurrent Resolution 35.

The text of House Concurrent Resolution 35 is as follows:

H. CON. RES. 35

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That, in the enrollment of the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 2) making further continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 2003, and for other purposes, the Clerk of the House of Representatives shall make the following correction:

Amend the title so as to read: "Joint Resolution making consolidated appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2003, and for other purposes.'

REQUEST TO DISCHARGE COM-APPROPRIATIONS ON MITTEE FROM FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 23, CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS, FISCAL YEAR 2003

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, in order to allow ample time for the House to move this bill now to the Senate and for the Senate to debate it, pass it, and to engross the bills and get them to the President and give him a little time to review this bill, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Appropriations be discharged from further consideration of the joint resolution, (H.J. Res. 23), making further appropriations for the fiscal year 2003, and for other purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the joint resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to consideration of the joint resolution?

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Wisconsin is recognized under his reservation.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, in a democratic institution, when we have nothing else, the only protections that remain for individual Members and for the constituents that we represent lie in the normal processes of the House. We have just passed a bill in which 90 percent of the dollars contained in that bill were dollars that were never debated on their merits on the House floor. For the last year, this House has engaged in a process of refusing to allow the democratic process that is fundamental to this Nation to manifest itself on the floor of this institution. And as a result, we have never had an opportunity to debate the wisdom of, for instance, cutting the first responders below the President's budget or doing a number of other outrageous things that happened in this bill tonight.

Because I take my institutional responsibilities seriously, I, in the end, most reluctantly voted for the bill that just passed, despite the fact that I am outraged by the process that produced it; I am outraged by the rigidity with which the White House has dealt with these issues; I am outraged that the

White House, especially the Office of Management and Budget, have seemed to have determined that it is their way or the highway on all occasions.

I am used to give-and-take and I have had a cooperative relationship, not necessarily a loving relationship, but a cooperative relationship with virtually every President I have served under, including this President's father, and I want to have the same kind of relationship with the White House under these circumstances. But now we are being asked to provide for consideration of a motion tonight which, under the Rules of the House, ought to be brought up tomorrow; and we are being asked to pass a continuing resolution which gives the President more time to consider the very items that we were given no time whatsoever to consider on this floor today. I find that double standard both interesting and quaint and outrageous.

And so I have great respect for the job the gentleman has tried to do, but there are two ways to handle massive legislation like this on the House floor. One is to try to work out differences; and in working out those differences, it is important that one keeps to his or her word. Secondly, the other way to deal with it is just to ram the other side. And in too many instances, including the conference that took place, the full conference that took place the night before last, issues were rammed rather than working out an honest give-and-take arrangement.

So, in my view, if this body believes that the President needs more time to continue to study the document which we were not allowed to study before we voted on it, I am only the ranking Democrat on the committee and I have no idea of the impact of dozens of provisions in this bill; and I simply want to say that because of that, I think that the White House ought to have to exist under the same conditions that we have been forced to exist under. If we have to consider legislation without having an understanding of what is in it, and incidentally I know that Mr. Daniels, the OMB director, told his staff that he was going to be in charge of the conference.

Now, if he has not told the President of the United States what is in this bill, it is a little late, because they have imposed this product on us. So with all due respect, if the House wants to consider a continuing resolution, then it ought to do so under the regular processes of the House by bringing it up tomorrow.

Now, that is going to inconvenience me in a major way. I know it is going to inconvenience a number of my colleagues. But once in a while we have to put duty ahead of convenience, and I to intend to do so in this instance.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida, Mr. Speaker.

will the gentleman yield? Mr. OBEY. Now, under my reservation, I am happy to yield to the gentleman from Florida.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding; and