

Kind	Mollohan	Schiff
Klecza	Moore	Scott (GA)
Kucinich	Moran (VA)	Scott (VA)
Lampson	Murtha	Serrano
Langevin	Nadler	Sherman
Lantos	Napolitano	Skelton
Larsen (WA)	Neal (MA)	Slaughter
Larson (CT)	Oberstar	Snyder
Lee	Obey	Solis
Levin	Olver	Spratt
Lipinski	Ortiz	Stark
Lofgren	Owens	Stenholm
Lowe	Pallone	Strickland
Lucas (KY)	Pascrell	Stupak
Lynch	Pastor	Tanner
Majette	Payne	Tauscher
Maloney	Pelosi	Taylor (MS)
Markey	Peterson (MN)	Thompson (CA)
Marshall	Pomeroy	Thompson (MS)
Matheson	Price (NC)	Thompson (MS)
Matsui	Rahall	Tierney
McCarthy (MO)	Rangel	Towns
McCarthy (NY)	Reyes	Turner (TX)
McCollum	Rodriguez	Udall (CO)
McDermott	Ross	Udall (NM)
McGovern	Rothman	Van Hollen
McIntyre	Roybal-Allard	Velazquez
McNulty	Ruppersberger	Visclosky
Meehan	Rush	Waters
Meek (FL)	Ryan (OH)	Watson
Meeks (NY)	Sabo	Watt
Menendez	Sanchez, Linda	Waxman
Michaud	T.	Wexler
Millender-	Sanchez, Loretta	Woolsey
McDonald	Sanders	Wu
Miller (NC)	Sandlin	Wynn
Miller, George	Schakowsky	

NOT VOTING—11

Bartlett (MD)	Hastings (FL)	Smith (NJ)
Carson (IN)	Hunter	Smith (WA)
Conyers	Lewis (GA)	Weiner
Gephardt	Miller (MI)	

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). Members are advised there are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

□ 1140

So the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

TAXPAYER PROTECTION AND IRS ACCOUNTABILITY ACT OF 2003

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House of Wednesday, June 18, 2003, proceedings will now resume on the bill (H.R. 1528) to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to protect taxpayers and ensure accountability of the Internal Revenue Service.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. When proceedings were postponed on that day, all time for debate on the bill had expired.

Mr. PORTMAN. Mr. Speaker, the legislation before the Committee contains important improvements in taxpayer rights and IRS accountability. This bill is very similar to legislation approved by the House twice in 2002.

Practically all the taxpayer provisions in the bill are based on recommendations by the Joint Committee on Taxation, the Treasury Department, the IRS, the National Taxpayer Advocate, and on hearings held by the Ways and Means Subcommittee on Oversight during the past several years.

The provisions also are consistent with, and in some cases are a refinement of, the IRS Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998 that enacted important taxpayer protections and reforms of the IRS.

Just to mention some of the provisions in the bill before us today:

1. It encourages greater use of the more efficient electronic filing by taxpayers.

2. It authorizes more support for Low Income Taxpayer Clinics to help provide legal assistance to more low-income citizens involved in disputes with the IRS.

3. It ensures that taxpayers receive the confidentiality they deserve, by reforming the punishment for code of conduct violations by IRS employees, and providing for dismissal of IRS staff who browse tax records without authorization.

4. It adjusts the so-called "ten deadly sins" in other ways to give the Commissioner more discretion.

5. It reforms penalty and interest provisions by raising the safe harbor for failure to pay estimated taxes and allowing taxpayers to enter into installment agreements for less than the full amount of their tax liability, and it includes many other pro-taxpayer provisions.

The bill has a small revenue impact. The Joint Committee on Taxation estimates that it will raise \$607 million over 5 years and lose \$352 million over 10 years.

Our colleagues, Oversight Subcommittee Chairman AMO HOUGHTON and ranking member EARL POMEROY played key roles in constructing this legislation and we appreciate their efforts.

One new provision allows individuals greater access to the healthcare tax credit previously adopted as part of the Trade Act. Individuals would be permitted to waive certain requirements in TAA and thus receive coverage under state based healthcare plans. This is a short transition measure, effective for less than two years, and will increase the availability of qualified health insurance for individuals who would otherwise not have access to such coverage.

Another new provision would extend the joint House-Senate review of the Internal Revenue Service.

Let me provide some details on this provision, as it was not considered in the Ways and Means Committee. This legislation would reauthorization for 5 additional years, the annual joint review of the strategic plans and budget of the IRS. Unlike other federal agencies, the IRS is subject to oversight by six committees of Congress and the Joint Committee on Taxation. The National Commission on Restructuring the IRS, that I co-chaired, recognized that the IRS would be better managed if the committees that share primary jurisdiction over the IRS budget and IRS administration coordinated their efforts. The Joint Review grew out of a recommendation by the National Commission.

While the Joint Review has met the objective of coordinating Congressional oversight of the IRS, the original legislation imposed a burden on the Joint Committee on Taxation to report on every aspect of the IRS's budget and strategic plans on an annual basis, even when the Joint Review hearing has focused on a more narrow set of issues. The reauthorizing language that is included in this legislation therefore allows the JCT to confine its annual report to the issues addressed at the annual Joint Review hearing. It is anticipated that the topics to be addressed at the Joint Review will be decided well in advance of the annual hearing by the JCT Chairman, in consultation with the staff of the JCT and the six participating committees.

I believe it is important to continue the joint review, and this provision will increase the focus on key areas of the IRS that need attention by the relevant committees of Congress.

In summary, Mr. Speaker, this is a good bill. I urge my colleagues to support this legislation that promotes common sense solutions to some of the most frustrating and time-consuming aspects of our tax system.

Mr. BACA. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to H.R. 1528—the Taxpayer Protection and IRS Accountability Act. This bill contains an amendment that will hurt the thousands of workers entitled to the health benefits under the Trade Adjustment Assistance Act. These benefits were created so that workers who lost their jobs to overseas labor could have access to healthcare.

But instead making sure that American workers are protected or that our working families are protected, Republicans are cutting those few benefits workers have to help them during times of unemployment. Don't they care about the hardworking Americans? Why are Republicans passing tax cuts for the wealthy and cutting benefits that help those that need it most?

One of the most devastating effects of job loss is the loss of health care coverage. These health credits pay 65 percent of the cost of health care premiums for unemployed workers. The McCrery amendment allows workers to keep these health credits, but only if they surrender all consumer protections. This is wrong! Workers need consumer protections because the health credits are useless otherwise.

What about the middle-aged welder with a heart condition who will be deemed uninsurable because he has a "pre-existing" condition?

What about the engineer who will have to pay twice as much for his health insurance?

What about the foreman whose routine illness is no longer covered?

This is part of the Republican plan to leave American workers behind. American workers deserve better! They deserve to have jobs available here in America and they deserve access to healthcare!

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to please join me in opposing this bill unless the McCrery amendment is taken out.

Mr. MOORE. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to H.R. 1528 and in support of the Democratic substitute.

I strongly support the underlying purpose of this bill—protecting taxpayers and increasing the fairness, efficiency and confidentiality of our tax system. I intended to vote in favor of this bill. Unfortunately, the majority party has attached an unrelated provision to this bill that will make it more difficult for thousands of working Americans to obtain health coverage.

Mr. Speaker, under the Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) program, workers who lose their jobs as a result of competition from foreign trade can receive a tax credit for 65 percent of health insurance premiums for the taxpayer and his or her family. The TAA program also contains consumer protections designed to ensure that everyone eligible for the tax credit can actually claim it, regardless of age or health status. Like many of my colleagues, I have supported free trade legislation in part because of the protections the TAA program provides for workers who are adversely affected by foreign trade.

Now the majority party is seeking to repeal TAA protections in the name of "consumer choice." In reality, the controversial consumer choice provisions of H.R. 1528 will allow individual to waive TAA consumer protections, which will, in turn, give insurers the leverage necessary to "cherry pick" healthy workers while excluding those most in need of care. Only young and healthy workers are likely to take advantage of this provision. The end result will be that older workers and workers with health problems will be left without any options for affordable health coverage. Further, this provision will undermine efforts currently underway in many states to negotiate health coverage for thousands of TAA-eligible workers.

I am truly saddened that the majority party has inserted this extraneous provision in a good and otherwise non-controversial bill. The health care protections included in the TAA program were formulated through months of bipartisan negotiation and compromise. In a single partisan act, the majority party has reneged on its promises and placed the health coverage of thousands of our most vulnerable families in jeopardy.

Mr. Speaker, I support the underlying purpose of this bill. In addition to reforming the penalty and interest sections of the Internal Revenue Code, the bill also provides new safeguards against unfair IRS collection procedures and improves the efficiency of tax administration. More specifically, the bill will grant a first-time penalty waiver to individual taxpayers in cases where minor negligence results in liability that is disproportionate and unreasonable. This legislation will also enhance the efficiency of the tax system by allowing electronic filers until April 30th to file their individual income tax returns. Additionally, the legislation will protect taxpayer confidentiality by limiting IRS inspection of tax return preparers and allowing taxpayers to consult with the National Taxpayer Advocate on a confidential basis.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support the substitute which contains the taxpayer protections of the base bill while preserving TAA consumer protections for working Americans.

AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE
OFFERED BY MR. MCDERMOTT

Mr. MCDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I offer an amendment in the nature of a substitute.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman from New York (Mr. RANGEL)?

Mr. MCDERMOTT. Yes, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will designate the amendment in the nature of a substitute.

The text of the amendment in the nature of a substitute is as follows:

Amendment in the nature of a substitute offered by Mr. MCDERMOTT:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; REFERENCE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This Act may be cited as the "Taxpayer and Fairness Protection Act of 2003".

(b) **AMENDMENT OF 1986 CODE.**—Except as otherwise expressly provided, whenever in this Act an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to, or repeal of, a section or other provision, the ref-

erence shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(c) **TABLE OF CONTENTS.**—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; reference; table of contents.

TITLE I—ELIMINATION OF ABUSIVE TAX STRATEGIES

Sec. 101. Findings and purpose.

Subtitle A—Tax Shelters

PART I—PROVISIONS DESIGNED TO CURTAIL TAX SHELTERS

Sec. 111. Clarification of economic substance doctrine.

Sec. 112. Penalty for failing to disclose reportable transaction.

Sec. 113. Accuracy-related penalty for listed transactions and other reportable transactions having a significant tax avoidance purpose.

Sec. 114. Penalty for understatements attributable to transactions lacking economic substance, etc.

Sec. 115. Modifications of substantial understatement penalty for non-reportable transactions.

Sec. 116. Tax shelter exception to confidentiality privileges relating to taxpayer communications.

Sec. 117. Disclosure of reportable transactions.

Sec. 118. Modifications to penalty for failure to register tax shelters.

Sec. 119. Modification of penalty for failure to maintain lists of investors.

Sec. 120. Modification of actions to enjoin certain conduct related to tax shelters and reportable transactions.

Sec. 121. Understatement of taxpayer's liability by income tax return preparer.

Sec. 122. Penalty on failure to report interests in foreign financial accounts.

Sec. 123. Frivolous tax submissions.

Sec. 124. Regulation of individuals practicing before the Department of Treasury.

Sec. 125. Penalty on promoters of tax shelters.

Sec. 126. Statute of limitations for taxable years for which listed transactions not reported.

Sec. 127. Denial of deduction for interest on underpayments attributable to nondisclosed reportable and noneconomic substance transactions.

PART II—OTHER PROVISIONS

Sec. 131. Limitation on transfer or importation of built-in losses.

Sec. 132. Disallowance of certain partnership loss transfers.

Sec. 133. No reduction of basis under section 734 in stock held by partnership in corporate partner.

Sec. 134. Repeal of special rules for FASITS.

Sec. 135. Expanded disallowance of deduction for interest on convertible debt.

Sec. 136. Expanded authority to disallow tax benefits under section 269.

Sec. 137. Modifications of certain rules relating to controlled foreign corporations.

Sec. 138. Basis for determining loss always reduced by nontaxed portion of dividends.

Sec. 139. Affirmation of consolidated return regulation authority.

Subtitle B—Prevention of corporate expatriation to avoid United States income tax

Sec. 151. Prevention of corporate expatriation to avoid United States income tax.

TITLE II—SIMPLIFICATION OF EARNED INCOME TAX CREDIT

Sec. 201. Simplification of earned income tax credit.

Sec. 202. Profiling of earned income tax credit beneficiaries.

TITLE III—TAXPAYER PROTECTIONS AND IRS ACCOUNTABILITY

Subtitle A—Penalty and Interest Reforms

Sec. 301. Failure to pay estimated tax penalty converted to interest charge on accumulated unpaid balance.

Sec. 302. Abatement of interest.

Sec. 303. Deposits made to suspend running of interest on potential underpayments.

Sec. 304. Expansion of interest netting for individuals.

Sec. 305. Waiver of certain penalties for first-time unintentional minor errors.

Sec. 306. Frivolous tax submissions.

Sec. 307. Clarification of application of Federal tax deposit penalty.

Subtitle B—Fairness of Collection Procedures

Sec. 311. Partial payment of tax liability in installment agreements.

Sec. 312. Extension of time for return of property.

Sec. 313. Individuals held harmless on wrongful levy, etc., on individual retirement plan.

Sec. 314. Seven-day threshold on tolling of statute of limitations during tax review.

Sec. 315. Study of liens and levies.

Subtitle C—Tax Administration Reforms

Sec. 331. Revisions relating to termination of employment of Internal Revenue Service employees for misconduct.

Sec. 332. Confirmation of authority of tax court to apply doctrine of equitable recoupment.

Sec. 333. Jurisdiction of Tax Court over collection due process cases.

Sec. 334. Office of Chief Counsel review of offers in compromise.

Sec. 335. Access of National Taxpayer Advocate to independent legal counsel.

Sec. 336. Payment of motor fuel excise tax refunds by direct deposit.

Sec. 337. Family business tax simplification.

Sec. 338. Suspension of tax-exempt status of terrorist organizations.

Sec. 339. Tax refund anticipation loans.

Sec. 340. Fairness in tax audit coverage.

Subtitle D—Confidentiality and Disclosure

Sec. 341. Collection activities with respect to joint return disclosable to either spouse based on oral request.

Sec. 342. Taxpayer representatives not subject to examination on sole basis of representation of taxpayers.

Sec. 343. Disclosure in judicial or administrative tax proceedings of return and return information of persons who are not party to such proceedings.

Sec. 344. Prohibition of disclosure of taxpayer identification information with respect to disclosure of accepted offers-in-compromise.

Sec. 345. Compliance by contractors with confidentiality safeguards.

Sec. 346. Higher standards for requests for and consents to disclosure.

Sec. 347. Notice to taxpayer concerning administrative determination of browsing; annual report.

- Sec. 348. Expanded disclosure in emergency circumstances.
- Sec. 349. Disclosure of taxpayer identity for tax refund purposes.
- Sec. 350. Disclosure to State officials of proposed actions related to section 501(c)(3) organizations.
- Sec. 351. Confidentiality of taxpayer communications with the Office of the Taxpayer Advocate.
- Subtitle E—Miscellaneous
- Sec. 361. Clarification of definition of church tax inquiry.
- Sec. 362. Expansion of declaratory judgment remedy to tax-exempt organizations.
- Sec. 363. Employee misconduct report to include summary of complaints by category.
- Sec. 364. Annual report on awards of costs and certain fees in administrative and court proceedings.
- Sec. 365. Annual report on abatement of penalties.
- Sec. 366. Better means of communicating with taxpayers.
- Sec. 367. Explanation of statute of limitations and consequences of failure to file.
- Sec. 368. Amendment to Treasury auction reforms.
- Sec. 369. Enrolled agents.
- Sec. 370. Financial management service fees.
- Sec. 371. Extension of Internal Revenue Service user fees.

Subtitle F—Low-Income Taxpayer Clinics

- Sec. 381. Low-income taxpayer clinics.
- Sec. 382. Matching grants to low income return preparation clinics.

TITLE IV—CHILD TAX CREDIT

- Sec. 401. Acceleration of increase in refundability of the child tax credit.
- Sec. 402. Reduction in marriage penalty in child tax credit.
- Sec. 403. Application of EGTRRA sunset to this section.

TITLE V—UNIFORM DEFINITION OF CHILD

- Sec. 501. Uniform definition of child, etc.
- Sec. 502. Modifications of definition of head of household.
- Sec. 503. Modifications of dependent care credit.
- Sec. 504. Modifications of child tax credit.
- Sec. 505. Modifications of earned income credit.
- Sec. 506. Modifications of deduction for personal exemption for dependents.
- Sec. 507. Technical and conforming amendments.
- Sec. 508. Effective date.

TITLE VI—IMPROVING TAX EQUITY FOR MILITARY PERSONNEL

- Sec. 601. Exclusion of gain from sale of a principal residence by a member of the Uniformed Services or the Foreign Service.
- Sec. 602. Exclusion from gross income of certain death gratuity payments.
- Sec. 603. Exclusion for amounts received under Department of Defense homeowners assistance program.
- Sec. 604. Expansion of combat zone filing rules to contingency operations.
- Sec. 605. Modification of membership requirement for exemption from tax for certain veterans' organizations.
- Sec. 606. Clarification of the treatment of certain dependent care assistance programs.

- Sec. 607. Clarification relating to exception from additional tax on certain distributions from qualified tuition programs, etc. on account of attendance at military academy.
- Sec. 608. Suspension of tax-exempt status of terrorist organizations.
- Sec. 609. Above-the-line deduction for overnight travel expenses of National Guard and Reserve members.
- Sec. 610. Tax relief and assistance for families of Space Shuttle Columbia heroes.

TITLE VII—OTHER PROVISIONS

- Sec. 701. Revision of tax rules on expatriation.
- Sec. 702. Extension of Customs user fees.

TITLE I—ELIMINATION OF ABUSIVE TAX STRATEGIES

SEC. 101. FINDINGS AND PURPOSE.

(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress hereby finds that:

(1) Many corporate tax shelter transactions are complicated ways of accomplishing nothing aside from claimed tax benefits, and the legal opinions justifying those transactions take an inappropriately narrow and restrictive view of well-developed court doctrines under which—

(A) the taxation of a transaction is determined in accordance with its substance and not merely its form,

(B) transactions which have no significant effect on the taxpayer's economic or beneficial interests except for tax benefits are treated as sham transactions and disregarded,

(C) transactions involving multiple steps are collapsed when those steps have no substantial economic meaning and are merely designed to create tax benefits,

(D) transactions with no business purpose are not given effect, and

(E) in the absence of a specific congressional authorization, it is presumed that Congress did not intend a transaction to result in a negative tax where the taxpayer's economic position or rate of return is better after tax than before tax.

(2) Permitting aggressive and abusive tax shelters not only results in large revenue losses but also undermines voluntary compliance with the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(b) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this title is to eliminate abusive tax shelters by denying tax attributes claimed to arise from transactions that do not meet a heightened economic substance requirement and by repealing the provision that permits legal opinions to be used to avoid penalties on tax underpayments resulting from transactions without significant economic substance or business purpose.

Subtitle A—Tax Shelters

Part I—Provisions Designed to Curtail Tax Shelters

SEC. 111. CLARIFICATION OF ECONOMIC SUBSTANCE DOCTRINE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 7701 is amended by redesignating subsection (m) as subsection (n) and by inserting after subsection (l) the following new subsection:

“(m) CLARIFICATION OF ECONOMIC SUBSTANCE DOCTRINE; ETC.—

“(1) GENERAL RULES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In applying the economic substance doctrine, the determination of whether a transaction has economic substance shall be made as provided in this paragraph.

“(B) DEFINITION OF ECONOMIC SUBSTANCE.—For purposes of subparagraph (A)—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—A transaction has economic substance only if—

“(I) the transaction changes in a meaningful way (apart from Federal tax effects and, if there is any Federal tax effects, also apart from any foreign, State, or local tax effects) the taxpayer's economic position, and

“(II) the taxpayer has a substantial nontax purpose for entering into such transaction and the transaction is a reasonable means of accomplishing such purpose.

“(ii) SPECIAL RULE WHERE TAXPAYER RELIES ON PROFIT POTENTIAL.—A transaction shall not be treated as having economic substance by reason of having a potential for profit unless—

“(I) the present value of the reasonably expected pre-tax profit from the transaction is substantial in relation to the present value of the expected net tax benefits that would be allowed if the transaction were respected, and

“(II) the reasonably expected pre-tax profit from the transaction exceeds a risk-free rate of return.

“(C) TREATMENT OF FEES AND FOREIGN TAXES.—Fees and other transaction expenses and foreign taxes shall be taken into account as expenses in determining pre-tax profit under subparagraph (B)(ii).

“(2) SPECIAL RULES FOR TRANSACTIONS WITH TAX-INDIFFERENT PARTIES.—

“(A) SPECIAL RULES FOR FINANCING TRANSACTIONS.—The form of a transaction which is in substance the borrowing of money or the acquisition of financial capital directly or indirectly from a tax-indifferent party shall not be respected if the present value of the deductions to be claimed with respect to the transaction is substantially in excess of the present value of the anticipated economic returns of the person lending the money or providing the financial capital. A public offering shall be treated as a borrowing, or an acquisition of financial capital, from a tax-indifferent party if it is reasonably expected that at least 50 percent of the offering will be placed with tax-indifferent parties.

“(B) ARTIFICIAL INCOME SHIFTING AND BASIS ADJUSTMENTS.—The form of a transaction with a tax-indifferent party shall not be respected if—

“(i) it results in an allocation of income or gain to the tax-indifferent party in excess of such party's economic income or gain, or

“(ii) it results in a basis adjustment or shifting of basis on account of overstating the income or gain of the tax-indifferent party.

“(3) DEFINITIONS AND SPECIAL RULES.—For purposes of this subsection—

“(A) ECONOMIC SUBSTANCE DOCTRINE.—The term ‘economic substance doctrine’ means the common law doctrine under which tax benefits under subtitle A with respect to a transaction are not allowable if the transaction does not have economic substance or lacks a business purpose.

“(B) TAX-INDIFFERENT PARTY.—The term ‘tax-indifferent party’ means any person or entity not subject to tax imposed by subtitle A. A person shall be treated as a tax-indifferent party with respect to a transaction if the items taken into account with respect to the transaction have no substantial impact on such person's liability under subtitle A.

“(C) SUBSTANTIAL NONTAX PURPOSE.—In applying subclause (II) of paragraph (1)(B)(i), a purpose of achieving a financial accounting benefit shall not be taken into account in determining whether a transaction has a substantial nontax purpose if the origin of such financial accounting benefit is a reduction of income tax.

“(D) EXCEPTION FOR PERSONAL TRANSACTIONS OF INDIVIDUALS.—In the case of an individual, this subsection shall apply only to transactions entered into in connection with a trade or business or an activity engaged in for the production of income.

“(E) TREATMENT OF LESSORS.—In applying subclause (I) of paragraph (1)(B)(ii) to the lessor of tangible property subject to a lease, the expected net tax benefits shall not include the benefits of depreciation, or any tax credit, with respect to the leased property and subclause (II) of paragraph (1)(B)(ii) shall be disregarded in determining whether any of such benefits are allowable.

“(4) OTHER COMMON LAW DOCTRINES NOT AFFECTED.—Except as specifically provided in this subsection, the provisions of this subsection shall not be construed as altering or supplanting any other rule of law, and the requirements of this subsection shall be construed as being in addition to any such other rule of law.

“(5) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary shall prescribe such regulations as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the purposes of this subsection. Such regulations may include exemptions from the application of this subsection.”

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to transactions entered into after February 13, 2003.
SEC. 112. PENALTY FOR FAILING TO DISCLOSE REPORTABLE TRANSACTION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Part I of subchapter B of chapter 68 (relating to assessable penalties) is amended by inserting after section 6707 the following new section:

“**SEC. 6707A. PENALTY FOR FAILURE TO INCLUDE REPORTABLE TRANSACTION INFORMATION WITH RETURN OR STATEMENT.**

“(a) IMPOSITION OF PENALTY.—Any person who fails to include on any return or statement any information with respect to a reportable transaction which is required under section 6011 to be included with such return or statement shall pay a penalty in the amount determined under subsection (b).

“(b) AMOUNT OF PENALTY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraphs (2) and (3), the amount of the penalty under subsection (a) shall be \$50,000.

“(2) LISTED TRANSACTION.—The amount of the penalty under subsection (a) with respect to a listed transaction shall be \$100,000.

“(3) INCREASE IN PENALTY FOR LARGE ENTITIES AND HIGH NET WORTH INDIVIDUALS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In the case of a failure under subsection (a) by—

“(i) a large entity, or

“(ii) a high net worth individual,

the penalty under paragraph (1) or (2) shall be twice the amount determined without regard to this paragraph.

“(B) LARGE ENTITY.—For purposes of subparagraph (A), the term ‘large entity’ means, with respect to any taxable year, a person (other than a natural person) with gross receipts in excess of \$10,000,000 for the taxable year in which the reportable transaction occurs or the preceding taxable year. Rules similar to the rules of paragraph (2) and subparagraphs (B), (C), and (D) of paragraph (3) of section 448(c) shall apply for purposes of this subparagraph.

“(C) HIGH NET WORTH INDIVIDUAL.—For purposes of subparagraph (A), the term ‘high net worth individual’ means, with respect to a reportable transaction, a natural person whose net worth exceeds \$2,000,000 immediately before the transaction.

“(c) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) REPORTABLE TRANSACTION.—The term ‘reportable transaction’ means any transaction with respect to which information is required to be included with a return or statement because, as determined under regulations prescribed under section 6011, such transaction is of a type which the Secretary determines as having a potential for tax avoidance or evasion.

“(2) LISTED TRANSACTION.—Except as provided in regulations, the term ‘listed trans-

action’ means a reportable transaction which is the same as, or substantially similar to, a transaction specifically identified by the Secretary as a tax avoidance transaction for purposes of section 6011.

“(d) AUTHORITY TO RESCIND PENALTY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commissioner of Internal Revenue may rescind all or any portion of any penalty imposed by this section with respect to any violation if—

“(A) the violation is with respect to a reportable transaction other than a listed transaction,

“(B) the person on whom the penalty is imposed has a history of complying with the requirements of this title,

“(C) it is shown that the violation is due to an unintentional mistake of fact;

“(D) imposing the penalty would be against equity and good conscience, and

“(E) rescinding the penalty would promote compliance with the requirements of this title and effective tax administration.

“(2) DISCRETION.—The exercise of authority under paragraph (1) shall be at the sole discretion of the Commissioner and may be delegated only to the head of the Office of Tax Shelter Analysis. The Commissioner, in the Commissioner’s sole discretion, may establish a procedure to determine if a penalty should be referred to the Commissioner or the head of such Office for a determination under paragraph (1).

“(3) NO APPEAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any determination under this subsection may not be reviewed in any administrative or judicial proceeding.

“(4) RECORDS.—If a penalty is rescinded under paragraph (1), the Commissioner shall place in the file in the Office of the Commissioner the opinion of the Commissioner or the head of the Office of Tax Shelter Analysis with respect to the determination, including—

“(A) the facts and circumstances of the transaction,

“(B) the reasons for the rescission, and

“(C) the amount of the penalty rescinded.

“(5) REPORT.—The Commissioner shall each year report to the Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Finance of the Senate—

“(A) a summary of the total number and aggregate amount of penalties imposed, and rescinded, under this section, and

“(B) a description of each penalty rescinded under this subsection and the reasons therefor.

“(e) PENALTY REPORTED TO SEC.—In the case of a person—

“(1) which is required to file periodic reports under section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or is required to be consolidated with another person for purposes of such reports, and

“(2) which—

“(A) is required to pay a penalty under this section with respect to a listed transaction,

“(B) is required to pay a penalty under section 6662A with respect to any reportable transaction at a rate prescribed under section 6662A(c), or

“(C) is required to pay a penalty under section 6662B with respect to any noneconomic substance transaction,

the requirement to pay such penalty shall be disclosed in such reports filed by such person for such periods as the Secretary shall specify. Failure to make a disclosure in accordance with the preceding sentence shall be treated as a failure to which the penalty under subsection (b)(2) applies.

“(f) COORDINATION WITH OTHER PENALTIES.—The penalty imposed by this section is in addition to any penalty imposed under this title.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for part I of subchapter B of chapter 68 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 6707 the following:

“Sec. 6707A. Penalty for failure to include reportable transaction information with return or statement.”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to returns and statements the due date for which is after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 113. ACCURACY-RELATED PENALTY FOR LISTED TRANSACTIONS AND OTHER REPORTABLE TRANSACTIONS HAVING A SIGNIFICANT TAX AVOIDANCE PURPOSE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter A of chapter 68 is amended by inserting after section 6662 the following new section:

“**SEC. 6662A. IMPOSITION OF ACCURACY-RELATED PENALTY ON UNDERSTATEMENTS WITH RESPECT TO REPORTABLE TRANSACTIONS.**

“(a) IMPOSITION OF PENALTY.—If a taxpayer has a reportable transaction understatement for any taxable year, there shall be added to the tax an amount equal to 20 percent of the amount of such understatement.

“(b) REPORTABLE TRANSACTION UNDERSTATEMENT.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘reportable transaction understatement’ means the sum of—

“(A) the product of—

“(i) the amount of the increase (if any) in taxable income which results from a difference between the proper tax treatment of an item to which this section applies and the taxpayer’s treatment of such item (as shown on the taxpayer’s return of tax), and

“(ii) the highest rate of tax imposed by section 1 (section 11 in the case of a taxpayer which is a corporation), and

“(B) the amount of the decrease (if any) in the aggregate amount of credits determined under subtitle A which results from a difference between the taxpayer’s treatment of an item to which this section applies (as shown on the taxpayer’s return of tax) and the proper tax treatment of such item.

For purposes of subparagraph (A), any reduction of the excess of deductions allowed for the taxable year over gross income for such year, and any reduction in the amount of capital losses which would (without regard to section 1211) be allowed for such year, shall be treated as an increase in taxable income.

“(2) ITEMS TO WHICH SECTION APPLIES.—This section shall apply to any item which is attributable to—

“(A) any listed transaction, and

“(B) any reportable transaction (other than a listed transaction) if a significant purpose of such transaction is the avoidance or evasion of Federal income tax.

“(c) HIGHER PENALTY FOR NONDISCLOSED LISTED AND OTHER AVOIDANCE TRANSACTIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (a) shall be applied by substituting ‘30 percent’ for ‘20 percent’ with respect to the portion of any reportable transaction understatement with respect to which the requirement of section 6664(d)(2)(A) is not met.

“(2) RULES APPLICABLE TO COMPROMISE OF PENALTY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—If the 1st letter of proposed deficiency which allows the taxpayer an opportunity for administrative review in the Internal Revenue Service Office of Appeals has been sent with respect to a penalty to which paragraph (1) applies, only the Commissioner of Internal Revenue may compromise all or any portion of such penalty.

“(B) APPLICABLE RULES.—The rules of paragraphs (3), (4), and (5) of section 6707A(d) shall apply for purposes of subparagraph (A).

“(d) DEFINITIONS OF REPORTABLE AND LISTED TRANSACTIONS.—For purposes of this section, the terms ‘reportable transaction’ and ‘listed transaction’ have the respective meanings given to such terms by section 6707A(c).”

“(e) SPECIAL RULES.—

“(1) COORDINATION WITH PENALTIES, ETC., ON OTHER UNDERSTATEMENTS.—In the case of an understatement (as defined in section 6662(d)(2))—

“(A) the amount of such understatement (determined without regard to this paragraph) shall be increased by the aggregate amount of reportable transaction understatements and noneconomic substance transaction understatements for purposes of determining whether such understatement is a substantial understatement under section 6662(d)(1), and

“(B) the addition to tax under section 6662(a) shall apply only to the excess of the amount of the substantial understatement (if any) after the application of subparagraph (A) over the aggregate amount of reportable transaction understatements and noneconomic substance transaction understatements.

“(2) COORDINATION WITH OTHER PENALTIES.—

“(A) APPLICATION OF FRAUD PENALTY.—References to an underpayment in section 6663 shall be treated as including references to a reportable transaction understatement and a noneconomic substance transaction understatement.

“(B) NO DOUBLE PENALTY.—This section shall not apply to any portion of an understatement on which a penalty is imposed under section 6662B or 6663.

“(3) SPECIAL RULE FOR AMENDED RETURNS.—Except as provided in regulations, in no event shall any tax treatment included with an amendment or supplement to a return of tax be taken into account in determining the amount of any reportable transaction understatement or noneconomic substance transaction understatement if the amendment or supplement is filed after the earlier of the date the taxpayer is first contacted by the Secretary regarding the examination of the return or such other date as is specified by the Secretary.

“(4) NONECONOMIC SUBSTANCE TRANSACTION UNDERSTATEMENT.—For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘noneconomic substance transaction understatement’ has the meaning given such term by section 6662B(c).”

“(5) CROSS REFERENCE.—

“**For reporting of section 6662A(c) penalty to the Securities and Exchange Commission, see section 6707A(e).**”

(b) DETERMINATION OF OTHER UNDERSTATEMENTS.—Subparagraph (A) of section 6662(d)(2) is amended by adding at the end the following flush sentence:

“The excess under the preceding sentence shall be determined without regard to items to which section 6662A applies and without regard to items with respect to which a penalty is imposed by section 6662B.”

(c) REASONABLE CAUSE EXCEPTION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 6664 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) REASONABLE CAUSE EXCEPTION FOR REPORTABLE TRANSACTION UNDERSTATEMENTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—No penalty shall be imposed under section 6662A with respect to any portion of a reportable transaction understatement if it is shown that there was a reasonable cause for such portion and that the taxpayer acted in good faith with respect to such portion.

“(2) SPECIAL RULES.—Paragraph (1) shall not apply to any reportable transaction understatement unless—

“(A) the relevant facts affecting the tax treatment of the item are adequately dis-

closed in accordance with the regulations prescribed under section 6011,

“(B) there is or was substantial authority for such treatment, and

“(C) the taxpayer reasonably believed that such treatment was more likely than not the proper treatment.

A taxpayer failing to adequately disclose in accordance with section 6011 shall be treated as meeting the requirements of subparagraph (A) if the penalty for such failure was rescinded under section 6707A(d).

“(3) RULES RELATING TO REASONABLE BELIEF.—For purposes of paragraph (2)(C)—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—A taxpayer shall be treated as having a reasonable belief with respect to the tax treatment of an item only if such belief—

“(i) is based on the facts and law that exist at the time the return of tax which includes such tax treatment is filed, and

“(ii) relates solely to the taxpayer’s chances of success on the merits of such treatment and does not take into account the possibility that a return will not be audited, such treatment will not be raised on audit, or such treatment will be resolved through settlement if it is raised.

“(B) CERTAIN OPINIONS MAY NOT BE RELIED UPON.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—An opinion of a tax advisor may not be relied upon to establish the reasonable belief of a taxpayer if—

“(I) the tax advisor is described in clause (ii), or

“(II) the opinion is described in clause (iii).

“(ii) DISQUALIFIED TAX ADVISORS.—A tax advisor is described in this clause if the tax advisor—

“(I) is a material advisor (within the meaning of section 6111(b)(1)) who participates in the organization, management, promotion, or sale of the transaction or who is related (within the meaning of section 267(b) or 707(b)(1)) to any person who so participates,

“(II) is compensated directly or indirectly by a material advisor with respect to the transaction,

“(III) has a fee arrangement with respect to the transaction which is contingent on all or part of the intended tax benefits from the transaction being sustained, or

“(IV) as determined under regulations prescribed by the Secretary, has a continuing financial interest with respect to the transaction.

“(iii) DISQUALIFIED OPINIONS.—For purposes of clause (i), an opinion is disqualified if the opinion—

“(I) is based on unreasonable factual or legal assumptions (including assumptions as to future events),

“(II) unreasonably relies on representations, statements, findings, or agreements of the taxpayer or any other person,

“(III) does not identify and consider all relevant facts, or

“(IV) fails to meet any other requirement as the Secretary may prescribe.”

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The heading for subsection (c) of section 6664 is amended by inserting “FOR UNDERPAYMENTS” after “EXCEPTION”.

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Subparagraph (C) of section 461(i)(3) is amended by striking “section 6662(d)(2)(C)(iii)” and inserting “section 1274(b)(3)(C)”.

(2) Paragraph (3) of section 1274(b) is amended—

(A) by striking “(as defined in section 6662(d)(2)(C)(iii))” in subparagraph (B)(i), and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) TAX SHELTER.—For purposes of subparagraph (B), the term ‘tax shelter’ means—

“(i) a partnership or other entity,

“(ii) any investment plan or arrangement, or

“(iii) any other plan or arrangement, if a significant purpose of such partnership, entity, plan, or arrangement is the avoidance or evasion of Federal income tax.”

(3) Section 6662(d)(2) is amended by striking subparagraphs (C) and (D).

(4) Section 6664(c)(1) is amended by striking “this part” and inserting “section 6662 or 6663”.

(5) Subsection (b) of section 7525 is amended by striking “section 6662(d)(2)(C)(iii)” and inserting “section 1274(b)(3)(C)”.

(6)(A) The heading for section 6662 is amended to read as follows:

“**SEC. 6662. IMPOSITION OF ACCURACY-RELATED PENALTY ON UNDERPAYMENTS.**”

(B) The table of sections for part II of subchapter A of chapter 68 is amended by striking the item relating to section 6662 and inserting the following new items:

“Sec. 6662. Imposition of accuracy-related penalty on underpayments.

“Sec. 6662A. Imposition of accuracy-related penalty on understatements with respect to reportable transactions.”

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years ending after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 114. PENALTY FOR UNDERSTATEMENTS ATTRIBUTABLE TO TRANSACTIONS LACKING ECONOMIC SUBSTANCE, ETC.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter A of chapter 68 is amended by inserting after section 6662A the following new section:

“**SEC. 6662B. PENALTY FOR UNDERSTATEMENTS ATTRIBUTABLE TO TRANSACTIONS LACKING ECONOMIC SUBSTANCE, ETC.**

“(a) IMPOSITION OF PENALTY.—If a taxpayer has a noneconomic substance transaction understatement for any taxable year, there shall be added to the tax an amount equal to 40 percent of the amount of such understatement.

“(b) REDUCTION OF PENALTY FOR DISCLOSED TRANSACTIONS.—Subsection (a) shall be applied by substituting ‘20 percent’ for ‘40 percent’ with respect to the portion of any noneconomic substance transaction understatement with respect to which the relevant facts affecting the tax treatment of the item are adequately disclosed in the return or a statement attached to the return.

“(c) NONECONOMIC SUBSTANCE TRANSACTION UNDERSTATEMENT.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘noneconomic substance transaction understatement’ means any amount which would be an understatement under section 6662A(b)(1) if section 6662A were applied by taking into account items attributable to noneconomic substance transactions rather than items to which section 6662A would apply without regard to this paragraph.

“(2) NONECONOMIC SUBSTANCE TRANSACTION.—The term ‘noneconomic substance transaction’ means any transaction if—

“(A) there is a lack of economic substance (within the meaning of section 7701(m)(1)) for the transaction giving rise to the claimed tax benefit or the transaction was not respected under section 7701(m)(2), or

“(B) the transaction fails to meet the requirements of any similar rule of law.

“(d) RULES APPLICABLE TO COMPROMISE OF PENALTY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—If the 1st letter of proposed deficiency which allows the taxpayer an opportunity for administrative review in the Internal Revenue Service Office of Appeals has been sent with respect to a penalty

to which this section applies, only the Commissioner of Internal Revenue may compromise all or any portion of such penalty.

“(2) APPLICABLE RULES.—The rules of paragraphs (3), (4), and (5) of section 6707A(d) shall apply for purposes of paragraph (1).

“(e) COORDINATION WITH OTHER PENALTIES.—Except as otherwise provided in this part, the penalty imposed by this section shall be in addition to any other penalty imposed by this title.

“(f) CROSS REFERENCES.—

“(1) For coordination of penalty with understatements under section 6662 and other special rules, see section 6662A(e).

“(2) For reporting of penalty imposed under this section to the Securities and Exchange Commission, see section 6707A(e).”

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for part II of subchapter A of chapter 68 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 6662A the following new item:

“Sec. 6662B. Penalty for understatements attributable to transactions lacking economic substance, etc.”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to transactions entered into after February 13, 2003.

SEC. 115. MODIFICATIONS OF SUBSTANTIAL UNDERSTATEMENT PENALTY FOR NON-REPORTABLE TRANSACTIONS.

(a) SUBSTANTIAL UNDERSTATEMENT OF CORPORATIONS.—Section 6662(d)(1)(B) (relating to special rule for corporations) is amended to read as follows:

“(B) SPECIAL RULE FOR CORPORATIONS.—In the case of a corporation other than an S corporation or a personal holding company (as defined in section 542), there is a substantial understatement of income tax for any taxable year if the amount of the understatement for the taxable year exceeds the lesser of—

“(i) 10 percent of the tax required to be shown on the return for the taxable year (or, if greater, \$10,000), or

“(ii) \$10,000,000.”

(b) REDUCTION FOR UNDERSTATEMENT OF TAXPAYER DUE TO POSITION OF TAXPAYER OR DISCLOSED ITEM.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 6662(d)(2)(B)(i) (relating to substantial authority) is amended to read as follows:

“(i) the tax treatment of any item by the taxpayer if the taxpayer had reasonable belief that the tax treatment was more likely than not the proper treatment, or”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 6662(d) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) SECRETARIAL LIST.—For purposes of this subsection, section 6664(d)(2), and section 6694(a)(1), the Secretary may prescribe a list of positions for which the Secretary believes there is not substantial authority or there is no reasonable belief that the tax treatment is more likely than not the proper tax treatment. Such list (and any revisions thereof) shall be published in the Federal Register or the Internal Revenue Bulletin.”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 116. TAX SHELTER EXCEPTION TO CONFIDENTIALITY PRIVILEGES RELATING TO TAXPAYER COMMUNICATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 7525(b) (relating to section not to apply to communications regarding corporate tax shelters) is amended to read as follows:

“(b) SECTION NOT TO APPLY TO COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING TAX SHELTERS.—The privilege under subsection (a) shall not apply to any written communication which is—

“(1) between a federally authorized tax practitioner and—

“(A) any person,

“(B) any director, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the person, or

“(C) any other person holding a capital or profits interest in the person, and

“(2) in connection with the promotion of the direct or indirect participation of the person in any tax shelter (as defined in section 1274(b)(3)(C)).”

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to communications made on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 117. DISCLOSURE OF REPORTABLE TRANSACTIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 6111 (relating to registration of tax shelters) is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 6111. DISCLOSURE OF REPORTABLE TRANSACTIONS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Each material advisor with respect to any reportable transaction shall make a return (in such form as the Secretary may prescribe) setting forth—

“(1) information identifying and describing the transaction,

“(2) information describing any potential tax benefits expected to result from the transaction, and

“(3) such other information as the Secretary may prescribe.

Such return shall be filed not later than the date specified by the Secretary.

“(b) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) MATERIAL ADVISOR.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘material advisor’ means any person—

“(i) who provides any material aid, assistance, or advice with respect to organizing, promoting, selling, implementing, or carrying out any reportable transaction, and

“(ii) who directly or indirectly derives gross income in excess of the threshold amount for such aid, assistance, or advice.

“(B) THRESHOLD AMOUNT.—For purposes of subparagraph (A), the threshold amount is—

“(i) \$50,000 in the case of a reportable transaction substantially all of the tax benefits from which are provided to natural persons, and

“(ii) \$250,000 in any other case.

“(2) REPORTABLE TRANSACTION.—The term ‘reportable transaction’ has the meaning given to such term by section 6707A(c).

“(c) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary may prescribe regulations which provide—

“(1) that only 1 person shall be required to meet the requirements of subsection (a) in cases in which 2 or more persons would otherwise be required to meet such requirements,

“(2) exemptions from the requirements of this section, and

“(3) such rules as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the purposes of this section.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The item relating to section 6111 in the table of sections for subchapter B of chapter 61 is amended to read as follows:

“Sec. 6111. Disclosure of reportable transactions.”

(2)(A) So much of section 6112 as precedes subsection (c) thereof is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 6112. MATERIAL ADVISORS OF REPORTABLE TRANSACTIONS MUST KEEP LISTS OF ADVISEES.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Each material advisor (as defined in section 6111) with respect to any reportable transaction (as defined in section 6707A(c)) shall maintain, in such manner as the Secretary may by regulations prescribe, a list—

“(1) identifying each person with respect to whom such advisor acted as such a material advisor with respect to such transaction, and

“(2) containing such other information as the Secretary may by regulations require.

This section shall apply without regard to whether a material advisor is required to file a return under section 6111 with respect to such transaction.”

(B) Section 6112 is amended by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (b).

(C) Section 6112(b), as redesignated by subparagraph (B), is amended—

(i) by inserting “written” before “request” in paragraph (1)(A), and

(ii) by striking “shall prescribe” in paragraph (2) and inserting “may prescribe”.

(D) The item relating to section 6112 in the table of sections for subchapter B of chapter 61 is amended to read as follows:

“Sec. 6112. Material advisors of reportable transactions must keep lists of advisees.”

(3)(A) The heading for section 6708 is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 6708. FAILURE TO MAINTAIN LISTS OF ADVISEES WITH RESPECT TO REPORTABLE TRANSACTIONS.”

(B) The item relating to section 6708 in the table of sections for part I of subchapter B of chapter 68 is amended to read as follows:

“Sec. 6708. Failure to maintain lists of advisees with respect to reportable transactions.”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to transactions with respect to which material aid, assistance, or advice referred to in section 6111(b)(1)(A)(i) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as added by this section) is provided after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 118. MODIFICATIONS TO PENALTY FOR FAILURE TO REGISTER TAX SHELTERS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 6707 (relating to failure to furnish information regarding tax shelters) is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 6707. FAILURE TO FURNISH INFORMATION REGARDING REPORTABLE TRANSACTIONS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—If a person who is required to file a return under section 6111(a) with respect to any reportable transaction—

“(1) fails to file such return on or before the date prescribed therefor, or

“(2) files false or incomplete information with the Secretary with respect to such transaction,

such person shall pay a penalty with respect to such return in the amount determined under subsection (b).

“(b) AMOUNT OF PENALTY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the penalty imposed under subsection (a) with respect to any failure shall be \$50,000.

“(2) LISTED TRANSACTIONS.—The penalty imposed under subsection (a) with respect to any listed transaction shall be an amount equal to the greater of—

“(A) \$200,000, or

“(B) 50 percent of the gross income derived by such person with respect to aid, assistance, or advice which is provided with respect to the reportable transaction before the date the return including the transaction is filed under section 6111.

Subparagraph (B) shall be applied by substituting ‘75 percent’ for ‘50 percent’ in the case of an intentional failure or act described in subsection (a).

“(c) RESCISSION AUTHORITY.—The provisions of section 6707A(d) (relating to authority of Commissioner to rescind penalty) shall apply to any penalty imposed under this section.

“(d) REPORTABLE AND LISTED TRANSACTIONS.—The terms ‘reportable transaction’ and ‘listed transaction’ have the respective meanings given to such terms by section 6707A(c).”

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The item relating to section 6707 in the table of sections for part I of subchapter B of chapter 68 is amended by striking “tax shelters” and inserting “reportable transactions”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to returns the due date for which is after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 119. MODIFICATION OF PENALTY FOR FAILURE TO MAINTAIN LISTS OF INVESTORS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (a) of section 6708 is amended to read as follows:

“(a) IMPOSITION OF PENALTY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—If any person who is required to maintain a list under section 6112(a) fails to make such list available upon written request to the Secretary in accordance with section 6112(b)(1)(A) within 20 business days after the date of the Secretary's request, such person shall pay a penalty of \$10,000 for each day of such failure after such 20th day.

“(2) REASONABLE CAUSE EXCEPTION.—No penalty shall be imposed by paragraph (1) with respect to the failure on any day if such failure is due to reasonable cause.”

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to requests made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 120. MODIFICATION OF ACTIONS TO ENJOIN CERTAIN CONDUCT RELATED TO TAX SHELTERS AND REPORTABLE TRANSACTIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 7408 (relating to action to enjoin promoters of abusive tax shelters, etc.) is amended by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (d) and by striking subsections (a) and (b) and inserting the following new subsections:

“(a) AUTHORITY TO SEEK INJUNCTION.—A civil action in the name of the United States to enjoin any person from further engaging in specified conduct may be commenced at the request of the Secretary. Any action under this section shall be brought in the district court of the United States for the district in which such person resides, has his principal place of business, or has engaged in specified conduct. The court may exercise its jurisdiction over such action (as provided in section 7402(a)) separate and apart from any other action brought by the United States against such person.

“(b) ADJUDICATION AND DECREE.—In any action under subsection (a), if the court finds—

“(1) that the person has engaged in any specified conduct, and

“(2) that injunctive relief is appropriate to prevent recurrence of such conduct,

the court may enjoin such person from engaging in such conduct or in any other activity subject to penalty under this title.

“(c) SPECIFIED CONDUCT.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘specified conduct’ means any action, or failure to take action, subject to penalty under section 6700, 6701, 6707, or 6708.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The heading for section 7408 is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 7408. ACTIONS TO ENJOIN SPECIFIED CONDUCT RELATED TO TAX SHELTERS AND REPORTABLE TRANSACTIONS.”

(2) The table of sections for subchapter A of chapter 67 is amended by striking the item relating to section 7408 and inserting the following new item:

“Sec. 7408. Actions to enjoin specified conduct related to tax shelters and reportable transactions.”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall take effect on the day after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 121. UNDERSTATEMENT OF TAXPAYER'S LIABILITY BY INCOME TAX RETURN PREPARER.

(a) STANDARDS CONFORMED TO TAXPAYER STANDARDS.—Section 6694(a) (relating to understatements due to unrealistic positions) is amended—

(1) by striking “realistic possibility of being sustained on its merits” in paragraph (1) and inserting “reasonable belief that the tax treatment in such position was more likely than not the proper treatment”,

(2) by striking “or was frivolous” in paragraph (3) and inserting “or there was no reasonable basis for the tax treatment of such position”, and

(3) by striking “UNREALISTIC” in the heading and inserting “IMPROPER”.

(b) AMOUNT OF PENALTY.—Section 6694 is amended—

(1) by striking “\$250” in subsection (a) and inserting “\$1,000”, and

(2) by striking “\$1,000” in subsection (b) and inserting “\$5,000”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to documents prepared after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 122. PENALTY ON FAILURE TO REPORT INTERESTS IN FOREIGN FINANCIAL ACCOUNTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 5321(a)(5) of title 31, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(5) FOREIGN FINANCIAL AGENCY TRANSACTION VIOLATION.—

“(A) PENALTY AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Treasury may impose a civil money penalty on any person who violates, or causes any violation of, any provision of section 5314.

“(B) AMOUNT OF PENALTY.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (C), the amount of any civil penalty imposed under subparagraph (A) shall not exceed \$5,000.

“(ii) REASONABLE CAUSE EXCEPTION.—No penalty shall be imposed under subparagraph (A) with respect to any violation if—

“(I) such violation was due to reasonable cause, and

“(II) the amount of the transaction or the balance in the account at the time of the transaction was properly reported.

“(C) WILLFUL VIOLATIONS.—In the case of any person willfully violating, or willfully causing any violation of, any provision of section 5314—

“(i) the maximum penalty under subparagraph (B)(i) shall be increased to the greater of—

“(I) \$25,000, or

“(II) the amount (not exceeding \$100,000) determined under subparagraph (D), and

“(ii) subparagraph (B)(ii) shall not apply.

“(D) AMOUNT.—The amount determined under this subparagraph is—

“(i) in the case of a violation involving a transaction, the amount of the transaction, or

“(ii) in the case of a violation involving a failure to report the existence of an account or any identifying information required to be provided with respect to an account, the balance in the account at the time of the violation.”

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to violations occurring after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 123. FRIVOLOUS TAX SUBMISSIONS.

(a) CIVIL PENALTIES.—Section 6702 is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 6702. FRIVOLOUS TAX SUBMISSIONS.

“(a) CIVIL PENALTY FOR FRIVOLOUS TAX RETURNS.—A person shall pay a penalty of \$5,000 if—

“(1) such person files what purports to be a return of a tax imposed by this title but which—

“(A) does not contain information on which the substantial correctness of the self-assessment may be judged, or

“(B) contains information that on its face indicates that the self-assessment is substantially incorrect; and

“(2) the conduct referred to in paragraph (1)—

“(A) is based on a position which the Secretary has identified as frivolous under subsection (c), or

“(B) reflects a desire to delay or impede the administration of Federal tax laws.

“(b) CIVIL PENALTY FOR SPECIFIED FRIVOLOUS SUBMISSIONS.—

“(1) IMPOSITION OF PENALTY.—Except as provided in paragraph (3), any person who submits a specified frivolous submission shall pay a penalty of \$5,000.

“(2) SPECIFIED FRIVOLOUS SUBMISSION.—For purposes of this section—

“(A) SPECIFIED FRIVOLOUS SUBMISSION.—The term ‘specified frivolous submission’ means a specified submission if any portion of such submission—

“(i) is based on a position which the Secretary has identified as frivolous under subsection (c), or

“(ii) reflects a desire to delay or impede the administration of Federal tax laws.

“(B) SPECIFIED SUBMISSION.—The term ‘specified submission’ means—

“(i) a request for a hearing under—

“(I) section 6320 (relating to notice and opportunity for hearing upon filing of notice of lien), or

“(II) section 6330 (relating to notice and opportunity for hearing before levy), and

“(ii) an application under—

“(I) section 6159 (relating to agreements for payment of tax liability in installments),

“(II) section 7122 (relating to compromises), or

“(III) section 7811 (relating to taxpayer assistance orders).

“(3) OPPORTUNITY TO WITHDRAW SUBMISSION.—If the Secretary provides a person with notice that a submission is a specified frivolous submission and such person withdraws such submission within 30 days after such notice, the penalty imposed under paragraph (1) shall not apply with respect to such submission.

“(c) LISTING OF FRIVOLOUS POSITIONS.—The Secretary shall prescribe (and periodically revise) a list of positions which the Secretary has identified as being frivolous for purposes of this subsection. The Secretary shall not include in such list any position that the Secretary determines meets the requirement of section 6662(d)(2)(B)(ii)(II).

“(d) REDUCTION OF PENALTY.—The Secretary may reduce the amount of any penalty imposed under this section if the Secretary determines that such reduction would promote compliance with and administration of the Federal tax laws.

“(e) PENALTIES IN ADDITION TO OTHER PENALTIES.—The penalties imposed by this section shall be in addition to any other penalty provided by law.”

(b) TREATMENT OF FRIVOLOUS REQUESTS FOR HEARINGS BEFORE LEVY.—

(1) FRIVOLOUS REQUESTS DISREGARDED.—Section 6330 (relating to notice and opportunity for hearing before levy) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g) FRIVOLOUS REQUESTS FOR HEARING, ETC.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, if the Secretary determines

that any portion of a request for a hearing under this section or section 6320 meets the requirement of clause (i) or (ii) of section 6702(b)(2)(A), then the Secretary may treat such portion as if it were never submitted and such portion shall not be subject to any further administrative or judicial review."

(2) PRECLUSION FROM RAISING FRIVOLOUS ISSUES AT HEARING.—Section 6330(c)(4) is amended—

(A) by striking "(A)" and inserting "(A)(i)";

(B) by striking "(B)" and inserting "(ii)";

(C) by striking the period at the end of the first sentence and inserting "; or"; and

(D) by inserting after subparagraph (A)(ii) (as so redesignated) the following:

"(B) the issue meets the requirement of clause (i) or (ii) of section 6702(b)(2)(A)."

(3) STATEMENT OF GROUNDS.—Section 6330(b)(1) is amended by striking "under subsection (a)(3)(B)" and inserting "in writing under subsection (a)(3)(B) and states the grounds for the requested hearing".

(c) TREATMENT OF FRIVOLOUS REQUESTS FOR HEARINGS UPON FILING OF NOTICE OF LIEN.—Section 6320 is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(1), by striking "under subsection (a)(3)(B)" and inserting "in writing under subsection (a)(3)(B) and states the grounds for the requested hearing", and

(2) in subsection (c), by striking "and (e)" and inserting "(e), and (g)".

(d) TREATMENT OF FRIVOLOUS APPLICATIONS FOR OFFERS-IN-COMPROMISE AND INSTALLMENT AGREEMENTS.—Section 7122 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(e) FRIVOLOUS SUBMISSIONS, ETC.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, if the Secretary determines that any portion of an application for an offer-in-compromise or installment agreement submitted under this section or section 6159 meets the requirement of clause (i) or (ii) of section 6702(b)(2)(A), then the Secretary may treat such portion as if it were never submitted and such portion shall not be subject to any further administrative or judicial review."

(e) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for part I of subchapter B of chapter 68 is amended by striking the item relating to section 6702 and inserting the following new item:

"Sec. 6702. Frivolous tax submissions."

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to submissions made and issues raised after the date on which the Secretary first prescribes a list under section 6702(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended by subsection (a).

SEC. 124. REGULATION OF INDIVIDUALS PRACTICING BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY.

(a) CENSURE; IMPOSITION OF PENALTY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 330(b) of title 31, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by inserting ", or censure," after "Department", and

(B) by adding at the end the following new flush sentence:

"The Secretary may impose a monetary penalty on any representative described in the preceding sentence. If the representative was acting on behalf of an employer or any firm or other entity in connection with the conduct giving rise to such penalty, the Secretary may impose a monetary penalty on such employer, firm, or entity if it knew, or reasonably should have known, of such conduct. Such penalty shall not exceed the gross income derived (or to be derived) from the conduct giving rise to the penalty and may be in addition to, or in lieu of, any suspension, disbarment, or censure."

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to ac-

tions taken after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) TAX SHELTER OPINIONS, ETC.—Section 330 of such title 31 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(d) Nothing in this section or in any other provision of law shall be construed to limit the authority of the Secretary of the Treasury to impose standards applicable to the rendering of written advice with respect to any entity, transaction plan or arrangement, or other plan or arrangement, which is of a type which the Secretary determines as having a potential for tax avoidance or evasion."

SEC. 125. PENALTY ON PROMOTERS OF TAX SHELTERS.

(a) PENALTY ON PROMOTING ABUSIVE TAX SHELTERS.—Section 6700(a) is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: "Notwithstanding the first sentence, if an activity with respect to which a penalty imposed under this subsection involves a statement described in paragraph (2)(A), the amount of the penalty shall be equal to 50 percent of the gross income derived (or to be derived) from such activity by the person on which the penalty is imposed."

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to activities after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 126. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS FOR TAXABLE YEARS FOR WHICH LISTED TRANSACTIONS NOT REPORTED.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 6501(e)(1) (relating to substantial omission of items for income taxes) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

"(C) LISTED TRANSACTIONS.—If a taxpayer fails to include on any return or statement for any taxable year any information with respect to a listed transaction (as defined in section 6707A(c)(2)) which is required under section 6011 to be included with such return or statement, the tax for such taxable year may be assessed, or a proceeding in court for collection of such tax may be begun without assessment, at any time within 6 years after the time the return is filed. This subparagraph shall not apply to any taxable year if the time for assessment or beginning the proceeding in court has expired before the time a transaction is treated as a listed transaction under section 6011."

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to transactions after the date of the enactment of this Act in taxable years ending after such date.

SEC. 127. DENIAL OF DEDUCTION FOR INTEREST ON UNDERPAYMENTS ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONDISCLOSED REPORTABLE AND NONECONOMIC SUBSTANCE TRANSACTIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 163 (relating to deduction for interest) is amended by redesignating subsection (m) as subsection (n) and by inserting after subsection (l) the following new subsection:

"(m) INTEREST ON UNPAID TAXES ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONDISCLOSED REPORTABLE TRANSACTIONS AND NONECONOMIC SUBSTANCE TRANSACTIONS.—No deduction shall be allowed under this chapter for any interest paid or accrued under section 6601 on any underpayment of tax which is attributable to—

"(1) the portion of any reportable transaction understatement (as defined in section 6662A(b)) with respect to which the requirement of section 6664(d)(2)(A) is not met, or

"(2) any noneconomic substance transaction understatement (as defined in section 6662B(c))."

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to transactions after the date of the enactment of this Act in taxable years ending after such date.

Part II—Other Provisions

SEC. 131. LIMITATION ON TRANSFER OR IMPORTATION OF BUILT-IN LOSSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 362 (relating to basis to corporations) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(e) LIMITATIONS ON BUILT-IN LOSSES.—
"(1) LIMITATION ON IMPORTATION OF BUILT-IN LOSSES.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—If in any transaction described in subsection (a) or (b) there would (but for this subsection) be an importation of a net built-in loss, the basis of each property described in subparagraph (B) which is acquired in such transaction shall (notwithstanding subsections (a) and (b)) be its fair market value immediately after such transaction.

"(B) PROPERTY DESCRIBED.—For purposes of subparagraph (A), property is described in this paragraph if—

"(i) gain or loss with respect to such property is not subject to tax under this subtitle in the hands of the transferor immediately before the transfer, and

"(ii) gain or loss with respect to such property is subject to such tax in the hands of the transferee immediately after such transfer.

In any case in which the transferor is a partnership, the preceding sentence shall be applied by treating each partner in such partnership as holding such partner's proportionate share of the property of such partnership.

"(C) IMPORTATION OF NET BUILT-IN LOSS.—For purposes of subparagraph (A), there is an importation of a net built-in loss in a transaction if the transferee's aggregate adjusted bases of property described in subparagraph (B) which is transferred in such transaction would (but for this paragraph) exceed the fair market value of such property immediately after such transaction."

"(2) LIMITATION ON TRANSFER OF BUILT-IN LOSSES IN SECTION 351 TRANSACTIONS.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—If—

"(i) property is transferred in any transaction which is described in subsection (a) and which is not described in paragraph (1) of this subsection, and

"(ii) the transferee's aggregate adjusted bases of the property so transferred would (but for this paragraph) exceed the fair market value of such property immediately after such transaction,

then, notwithstanding subsection (a), the transferee's aggregate adjusted bases of the property so transferred shall not exceed the fair market value of such property immediately after such transaction.

"(B) ALLOCATION OF BASIS REDUCTION.—The aggregate reduction in basis by reason of subparagraph (A) shall be allocated among the property so transferred in proportion to their respective built-in losses immediately before the transaction.

"(C) EXCEPTION FOR TRANSFERS WITHIN AFFILIATED GROUP.—Subparagraph (A) shall not apply to any transaction if the transferor owns stock in the transferee meeting the requirements of section 1504(a)(2). In the case of property to which subparagraph (A) does not apply by reason of the preceding sentence, the transferor's basis in the stock received for such property shall not exceed its fair market value immediately after the transfer."

(b) COMPARABLE TREATMENT WHERE LIQUIDATION.—Paragraph (1) of section 334(b) (relating to liquidation of subsidiary) is amended to read as follows:

"(1) IN GENERAL.—If property is received by a corporate distributee in a distribution in a complete liquidation to which section 332 applies (or in a transfer described in section 337(b)(1)), the basis of such property in the

hands of such distributee shall be the same as it would be in the hands of the transferor; except that the basis of such property in the hands of such distributee shall be the fair market value of the property at the time of the distribution—

“(A) in any case in which gain or loss is recognized by the liquidating corporation with respect to such property, or

“(B) in any case in which the liquidating corporation is a foreign corporation, the corporate distributee is a domestic corporation, and the corporate distributee’s aggregate adjusted bases of property described in section 362(e)(1)(B) which is distributed in such liquidation would (but for this subparagraph) exceed the fair market value of such property immediately after such liquidation.”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to transactions after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 132. DISALLOWANCE OF CERTAIN PARTNERSHIP LOSS TRANSFERS.

(a) TREATMENT OF CONTRIBUTED PROPERTY WITH BUILT-IN LOSS.—Paragraph (1) of section 704(c) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (A), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (B) and inserting “, and”, and by adding at the end the following:

“(C) if any property so contributed has a built-in loss—

“(i) such built-in loss shall be taken into account only in determining the amount of items allocated to the contributing partner, and

“(ii) except as provided in regulations, in determining the amount of items allocated to other partners, the basis of the contributed property in the hands of the partnership shall be treated as being equal to its fair market value immediately after the contribution.

For purposes of subparagraph (C), the term ‘built-in loss’ means the excess of the adjusted basis of the property (determined without regard to subparagraph (C)(ii)) over its fair market value immediately after the contribution.”

(b) ADJUSTMENT TO BASIS OF PARTNERSHIP PROPERTY ON TRANSFER OF PARTNERSHIP INTEREST IF THERE IS SUBSTANTIAL BUILT-IN LOSS.—

(1) ADJUSTMENT REQUIRED.—Subsection (a) of section 743 (relating to optional adjustment to basis of partnership property) is amended by inserting before the period “or unless the partnership has a substantial built-in loss immediately after such transfer”.

(2) ADJUSTMENT.—Subsection (b) of section 743 is amended by inserting “or with respect to which there is a substantial built-in loss immediately after such transfer” after “section 754 is in effect”.

(3) SUBSTANTIAL BUILT-IN LOSS.—Section 743 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) SUBSTANTIAL BUILT-IN LOSS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of this section, a partnership has a substantial built-in loss with respect to a transfer of an interest in a partnership if the transferee partner’s proportionate share of the adjusted basis of the partnership property exceeds by more than \$250,000 the basis of such partner’s interest in the partnership.

“(2) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary shall prescribe such regulations as may be appropriate to carry out the purposes of paragraph (1) and section 734(d), including regulations aggregating related partnerships and disregarding property acquired by the partnership in an attempt to avoid such purposes.”

(4) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

(A) The section heading for section 743 is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 743. ADJUSTMENT TO BASIS OF PARTNERSHIP PROPERTY WHERE SECTION 754 ELECTION OR SUBSTANTIAL BUILT-IN LOSS.”

(B) The table of sections for subpart C of part II of subchapter K of chapter 1 is amended by striking the item relating to section 743 and inserting the following new item:

“Sec. 743. Adjustment to basis of partnership property where section 754 election or substantial built-in loss.”

(c) ADJUSTMENT TO BASIS OF UNDISTRIBUTED PARTNERSHIP PROPERTY IF THERE IS SUBSTANTIAL BASIS REDUCTION.—

(1) ADJUSTMENT REQUIRED.—Subsection (a) of section 734 (relating to optional adjustment to basis of undistributed partnership property) is amended by inserting before the period “or unless there is a substantial basis reduction”.

(2) ADJUSTMENT.—Subsection (b) of section 734 is amended by inserting “or unless there is a substantial basis reduction” after “section 754 is in effect”.

(3) SUBSTANTIAL BASIS REDUCTION.—Section 734 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) SUBSTANTIAL BASIS REDUCTION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of this section, there is a substantial basis reduction with respect to a distribution if the sum of the amounts described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of subsection (b)(2) exceeds \$250,000.

“(2) REGULATIONS.—

“For regulations to carry out this subsection, see section 743(d)(2).”

(4) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

(A) The section heading for section 734 is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 734. ADJUSTMENT TO BASIS OF UNDISTRIBUTED PARTNERSHIP PROPERTY WHERE SECTION 754 ELECTION OR SUBSTANTIAL BASIS REDUCTION.”

(B) The table of sections for subpart B of part II of subchapter K of chapter 1 is amended by striking the item relating to section 734 and inserting the following new item:

“Sec. 734. Adjustment to basis of undistributed partnership property where section 754 election or substantial basis reduction.”

(d) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) SUBSECTION (a).—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply to contributions made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) SUBSECTION (b).—The amendments made by subsection (b) shall apply to transfers after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(3) SUBSECTION (c).—The amendments made by subsection (c) shall apply to distributions after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 133. NO REDUCTION OF BASIS UNDER SECTION 734 IN STOCK HELD BY PARTNERSHIP IN CORPORATE PARTNER.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 755 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(c) NO ALLOCATION OF BASIS DECREASE TO STOCK OF CORPORATE PARTNER.—In making an allocation under subsection (a) of any decrease in the adjusted basis of partnership property under section 734(b)—

“(1) no allocation may be made to stock in a corporation which is a partner in the partnership, and

“(2) any amount not allocable to stock by reason of paragraph (1) shall be allocated under subsection (a) to other partnership property.

Gain shall be recognized to the partnership to the extent that the amount required to be allocated under paragraph (2) to other part-

nership property exceeds the aggregate adjusted basis of such other property immediately before the allocation required by paragraph (2).”

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to distributions after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 134. REPEAL OF SPECIAL RULES FOR FASITs.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Part V of subchapter M of chapter 1 (relating to financial asset securitization investment trusts) is hereby repealed.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Paragraph (6) of section 56(g) is amended by striking “REMIC, or FASIT” and inserting “or REMIC”.

(2) Clause (ii) of section 382(l)(4)(B) is amended by striking “a REMIC to which part IV of subchapter M applies, or a FASIT to which part V of subchapter M applies,” and inserting “or a REMIC to which part IV of subchapter M applies.”

(3) Paragraph (1) of section 582(c) is amended by striking “, and any regular interest in a FASIT.”

(4) Subparagraph (E) of section 856(c)(5) is amended by striking the last sentence.

(5) Paragraph (5) of section 860G(a) is amended by adding “and” at the end of subparagraph (B), by striking “, and” at the end of subparagraph (C) and inserting a period, and by striking subparagraph (D).

(6) Subparagraph (C) of section 1202(e)(4) is amended by striking “REMIC, or FASIT” and inserting “or REMIC”.

(7) Subparagraph (C) of section 7701(a)(19) is amended by adding “and” at the end of clause (ix), by striking “, and” at the end of clause (x) and inserting a period, and by striking clause (xi).

(8) The table of parts for subchapter M of chapter 1 is amended by striking the item relating to part V.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2003.

(2) EXCEPTION FOR EXISTING FASITs.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) shall not apply to any FASIT in existence on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(B) TRANSFER OF ADDITIONAL ASSETS NOT PERMITTED.—Except as provided in regulations prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury or the Secretary’s delegate, subparagraph (A) shall cease to apply as of the earliest date after the date of the enactment of this Act that any property is transferred to the FASIT.

SEC. 135. EXPANDED DISALLOWANCE OF DEDUCTION FOR INTEREST ON CONVERTIBLE DEBT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 163(l) is amended by striking “or a related party” and inserting “or equity held by the issuer (or any related party) in any other person”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Paragraph (3) of section 163(l) is amended by striking “or a related party” in the material preceding subparagraph (A) and inserting “or any other person”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to debt instruments issued after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 136. EXPANDED AUTHORITY TO DISALLOW TAX BENEFITS UNDER SECTION 269.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (a) of section 269 (relating to acquisitions made to evade or avoid income tax) is amended to read as follows:

“(a) IN GENERAL.—If—

“(1)(A) any person acquires stock in a corporation, or

“(B) any corporation acquires, directly or indirectly, property of another corporation and the basis of such property, in the hands of the acquiring corporation, is determined by reference to the basis in the hands of the transferor corporation, and

“(2) the principal purpose for which such acquisition was made is evasion or avoidance of Federal income tax by securing the benefit of a deduction, credit, or other allowance,

then the Secretary may disallow such deduction, credit, or other allowance.”

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to stock and property acquired after February 13, 2003.

SEC. 137. MODIFICATIONS OF CERTAIN RULES RELATING TO CONTROLLED FOREIGN CORPORATIONS.

(a) LIMITATION ON EXCEPTION FROM PFIC RULES FOR UNITED STATES SHAREHOLDERS OF CONTROLLED FOREIGN CORPORATIONS.—Paragraph (2) of section 1297(e) (relating to passive investment company) is amended by adding at the end the following flush sentence:

“Such term shall not include any period if there is only a remote likelihood of an inclusion in gross income under section 951(a)(1)(A)(i) of subpart F income of such corporation for such period.”

(b) DETERMINATION OF PRO RATA SHARE OF SUBPART F INCOME.—Subsection (a) of section 951 (relating to amounts included in gross income of United States shareholders) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) SPECIAL RULES FOR DETERMINING PRO RATA SHARE OF SUBPART F INCOME.—The pro rata share under paragraph (2) shall be determined by disregarding—

“(A) any rights lacking substantial economic effect, and

“(B) stock owned by a shareholder who is a tax-indifferent party (as defined in section 7701(m)(3)) if the amount which would (but for this paragraph) be allocated to such shareholder does not reflect such shareholder's economic share of the earnings and profits of the corporation.”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years on controlled foreign corporation beginning after February 13, 2003, and to taxable years of United States shareholder in which or with which such taxable years of controlled foreign corporations end.

SEC. 138. BASIS FOR DETERMINING LOSS ALWAYS REDUCED BY NONTAXED PORTION OF DIVIDENDS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1059 (relating to corporate shareholder's basis in stock reduced by nontaxed portion of extraordinary dividends) is amended by redesignating subsection (g) as subsection (h) and by inserting after subsection (f) the following new subsection:

“(g) BASIS FOR DETERMINING LOSS ALWAYS REDUCED BY NONTAXED PORTION OF DIVIDENDS.—The basis of stock in a corporation (for purposes of determining loss) shall be reduced by the nontaxed portion of any dividend received with respect to such stock if this section does not otherwise apply to such dividend.”

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to dividends received after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 139. AFFIRMATION OF CONSOLIDATED RETURN REGULATION AUTHORITY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1502 (relating to consolidated return regulations) is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: “In prescribing such regulations, the Secretary may prescribe rules applicable to corporations filing consolidated returns

under section 1501 that are different from other provisions of this title that would apply if such corporations filed separate returns.”

(b) RESULT NOT OVERTURNED.—Notwithstanding subsection (a), the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 shall be construed by treating Treasury regulation §1.1502-20(c)(1)(iii) (as in effect on January 1, 2001) as being inapplicable to the type of factual situation in 255 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2001).

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The provisions of this section shall apply to taxable years beginning before, on, or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

Subtitle B—Prevention of Corporate Expatriation to Avoid United States Income Tax
SEC. 151. PREVENTION OF CORPORATE EXPATRIATION TO AVOID UNITED STATES INCOME TAX.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (4) of section 7701(a) (defining domestic) is amended to read as follows:

“(4) DOMESTIC.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the term ‘domestic’ when applied to a corporation or partnership means created or organized in the United States or under the law of the United States or of any State unless, in the case of a partnership, the Secretary provides otherwise by regulations.

“(B) CERTAIN CORPORATIONS TREATED AS DOMESTIC.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—The acquiring corporation in a corporate expatriation transaction shall be treated as a domestic corporation.

“(ii) CORPORATE EXPATRIATION TRANSACTION.—For purposes of this subparagraph, the term ‘corporate expatriation transaction’ means any transaction if—

“(I) a nominally foreign corporation (referred to in this subparagraph as the ‘acquiring corporation’) acquires, as a result of such transaction, directly or indirectly substantially all of the properties held directly or indirectly by a domestic corporation, and

“(II) immediately after the transaction, more than 80 percent of the stock (by vote or value) of the acquiring corporation is held by former shareholders of the domestic corporation by reason of holding stock in the domestic corporation.

“(iii) LOWER STOCK OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT IN CERTAIN CASES.—Subclause (II) of clause (ii) shall be applied by substituting ‘50 percent’ for ‘80 percent’ with respect to any nominally foreign corporation if—

“(I) such corporation does not have substantial business activities (when compared to the total business activities of the expanded affiliated group) in the foreign country in which or under the law of which the corporation is created or organized, and

“(II) the stock of the corporation is publicly traded and the principal market for the public trading of such stock is in the United States.

“(iv) PARTNERSHIP TRANSACTIONS.—The term ‘corporate expatriation transaction’ includes any transaction if—

“(I) a nominally foreign corporation (referred to in this subparagraph as the ‘acquiring corporation’) acquires, as a result of such transaction, directly or indirectly properties constituting a trade or business of a domestic partnership,

“(II) immediately after the transaction, more than 80 percent of the stock (by vote or value) of the acquiring corporation is held by former partners of the domestic partnership or related foreign partnerships (determined without regard to stock of the acquiring corporation which is sold in a public offering related to the transaction), and

“(III) the acquiring corporation meets the requirements of subclauses (I) and (II) of clause (iii).

“(v) SPECIAL RULES.—For purposes of this subparagraph—

“(I) a series of related transactions shall be treated as 1 transaction, and

“(II) stock held by members of the expanded affiliated group which includes the acquiring corporation shall not be taken into account in determining ownership.

“(vi) OTHER DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this subparagraph—

“(I) NOMINALLY FOREIGN CORPORATION.—The term ‘nominally foreign corporation’ means any corporation which would (but for this subparagraph) be treated as a foreign corporation.

“(II) EXPANDED AFFILIATED GROUP.—The term ‘expanded affiliated group’ means an affiliated group (as defined in section 1504(a) without regard to section 1504(b)).

“(III) RELATED FOREIGN PARTNERSHIP.—A foreign partnership is related to a domestic partnership if they are under common control (within the meaning of section 482), or they shared the same trademark or tradename.”

(b) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to corporate expatriation transactions completed after September 11, 2001.

(2) SPECIAL RULE.—The amendment made by this section shall also apply to corporate expatriation transactions completed on or before September 11, 2001, but only with respect to taxable years of the acquiring corporation beginning after December 31, 2003.

TITLE II—SIMPLIFICATION OF EARNED INCOME TAX CREDIT

SEC. 201. SIMPLIFICATION OF EARNED INCOME TAX CREDIT.

(a) REPEAL OF DENIAL OF CREDIT WHERE INVESTMENT INCOME.—Section 32 is amended by striking subsection (i).

(b) EARNED INCOME TO INCLUDE ONLY AMOUNTS INCLUDE IN GROSS INCOME.—Section 32(c)(2)(B) is amended by striking “and” at the end of clause (iv), by striking the period at the end of clause (v) and inserting “, and”, and by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(vi) the requirement under subparagraph (A)(i) that an amount be includible in gross income shall not apply if such amount is exempt from tax under section 7873 or is derived directly from restricted and allotted land under the Act of February 8, 1887 (commonly known as the Indian General Allotment Act) (25 U.S.C. 331 et seq.) or from land held under Acts or treaties containing an exception provision similar to the Indian General Allotment Act.”

(c) MODIFICATION OF JOINT RETURN REQUIREMENT.—Subsection (d) of section 32 is amended to read as follows:

“(d) MARRIED INDIVIDUALS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—If the taxpayer is married at the close of the taxable year, the credit shall be allowed under subsection (a) only if the taxpayer and his spouse file a joint return for the taxable year.

“(2) MARITAL STATUS.—For purposes of paragraph (1), an individual legally separated from his spouse under a decree of divorce or of separate maintenance shall not be considered as married.

“(3) CERTAIN MARRIED INDIVIDUALS LIVING APART.—For purposes of paragraph (1), if—

“(A) an individual —

“(i) is married and files a separate return, and

“(ii) has a qualifying child who is a son, daughter, stepson, or stepdaughter of such individual, and

“(B) during the last 6 months of such taxable year, such individual and such individual's spouse do not have the same principal place of abode,

such individual shall not be considered as married.”

(d) EXPANSION OF MATHEMATICAL ERROR AUTHORITY.—Paragraph (2) of section 6213(g) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (K), by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (L) and inserting “, and”, and by inserting after subparagraph (L) the following new subparagraph:

“(M) the entry on the return claiming the credit under section 32 with respect to a child if, according to the Federal Case Registry of Child Support Orders established under section 453(h) of the Social Security Act, the taxpayer is a noncustodial parent of such child.”

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2003.

SEC. 202. PROFILING OF EARNED INCOME TAX CREDIT BENEFICIARIES.

(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress hereby finds that:

(1) Current law authorizes the Internal Revenue Service to impose additional earned income tax credit eligibility requirements, such as the current recertification program, only in cases in which a taxpayer has made prior improper claims of the earned income tax credit.

(2) The Internal Revenue Service is planning to implement an earned income tax credit precertification program that differs from what is authorized under current law in that it would apply to taxpayers who fall within broad categories even though they made no prior improper claims for the credit.

(3) There is no precedent in the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for denying or delaying a tax refund that is apparently properly claimed on a tax return merely because the taxpayer meets a certain profile.

(4) The proposed earned income tax credit precertification program is an affront to our sense of fairness because compliant taxpayers are treated differently solely by reason of differing family structures or relationships and solely by reason of the fact that they are claiming a tax benefit designed to assist the working poor.

(5) No other family-related tax benefit, such as the dependency exemption or child tax credit, is subject to such a precertification requirement; and there is no such precertification requirement for abusive tax shelters purchased by corporations or for tax benefits claimed by higher income individuals.

(b) PROPOSED EITC PROFILING NOT PERMITTED.—The Internal Revenue Service shall not implement any system of precertification for the earned income tax credit that applies to taxpayers who have not made prior improper claims unless such a system is hereafter specifically authorized by law.

TITLE III—TAXPAYER PROTECTIONS AND IRS ACCOUNTABILITY

Subtitle A—Penalty and Interest Reforms

SEC. 301. FAILURE TO PAY ESTIMATED TAX PENALTY CONVERTED TO INTEREST CHARGE ON ACCUMULATED UNPAID BALANCE.

(a) PENALTY MOVED TO INTEREST CHAPTER OF CODE.—The Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by redesignating section 6654 as section 6641 and by moving section 6641 (as so redesignated) from part I of subchapter A of chapter 68 to the end of subchapter E of chapter 67 (as added by subsection (e)(1) of this section).

(b) PENALTY CONVERTED TO INTEREST CHARGE.—The heading and subsections (a) and (b) of section 6641 (as so redesignated) are amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 6641. INTEREST ON FAILURE BY INDIVIDUAL TO PAY ESTIMATED INCOME TAX.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Interest shall be paid on any underpayment of estimated tax by an individual for a taxable year for each day of such underpayment. The amount of such interest for any day shall be the product of the underpayment rate established under subsection (b)(2) multiplied by the amount of the underpayment.

“(b) AMOUNT OF UNDERPAYMENT; INTEREST RATE.—For purposes of subsection (a)—

“(1) AMOUNT.—The amount of the underpayment on any day shall be the excess of—

“(A) the sum of the required installments for the taxable year the due dates for which are on or before such day, over

“(B) the sum of the amounts (if any) of estimated tax payments made on or before such day on such required installments.

“(2) DETERMINATION OF INTEREST RATE.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The underpayment rate with respect to any day in an installment underpayment period shall be the underpayment rate established under section 6621 for the first day of the calendar quarter in which such installment underpayment period begins.

“(B) INSTALLMENT UNDERPAYMENT PERIOD.—For purposes of subparagraph (A), the term ‘installment underpayment period’ means the period beginning on the day after the due date for a required installment and ending on the due date for the subsequent required installment (or in the case of the 4th required installment, the 15th day of the 4th month following the close of a taxable year).

“(C) DAILY RATE.—The rate determined under subparagraph (A) shall be applied on a daily basis and shall be based on the assumption of 365 days in a calendar year.

“(3) TERMINATION OF ESTIMATED TAX INTEREST.—No day after the end of the installment underpayment period for the 4th required installment specified in paragraph (2)(B) for a taxable year shall be treated as a day of underpayment with respect to such taxable year.”

(c) INCREASE IN SAFE HARBOR WHERE TAX IS SMALL.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Clause (i) of section 6641(d)(1)(B) (as so redesignated) is amended to read as follows:

“(i) the lesser of—

“(I) 90 percent of the tax shown on the return for the taxable year (or, if no return is filed, 90 percent of the tax for such year), or

“(II) the tax shown on the return for the taxable year (or, if no return is filed, the tax for such year) reduced (but not below zero) by \$1,600, or”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (e) of section 6641 (as so redesignated) is amended by striking paragraph (1) and redesignating paragraphs (2) and (3) as paragraphs (1) and (2), respectively.

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (e) (as redesignated by subsection (c)(2)) and subsection (h) of section 6641 (as so redesignated) are each amended by striking “addition to tax” each place it occurs and inserting “interest”.

(2) Section 167(g)(5)(D) is amended by striking “6654” and inserting “6641”.

(3) Section 460(b)(1) is amended by striking “6654” and inserting “6641”.

(4) Section 3510(b) is amended—

(A) by striking “section 6654” in paragraph (1) and inserting “section 6641”;

(B) by amending paragraph (2)(B) to read as follows:

“(B) no interest would be required to be paid (but for this section) under 6641 for such taxable year by reason of the \$1,600 amount specified in section 6641(d)(1)(B)(i)(II).”;

(5) Section 3510(b) is amended—

(A) by striking “section 6654” in paragraph (1) and inserting “section 6641”;

(B) by amending paragraph (2)(B) to read as follows:

“(B) no interest would be required to be paid (but for this section) under 6641 for such taxable year by reason of the \$1,600 amount specified in section 6641(d)(1)(B)(i)(II).”;

(6) Section 3510(b) is amended—

(A) by striking “section 6654” in paragraph (1) and inserting “section 6641”;

(B) by amending paragraph (2)(B) to read as follows:

(C) by striking “section 6654(d)(2)” in paragraph (3) and inserting “section 6641(d)(2)”;

and

(D) by striking paragraph (4).

(5) Section 6201(b)(1) is amended by striking “6654” and inserting “6641”.

(6) Section 6601(h) is amended by striking “6654” and inserting “6641”.

(7) Section 6621(b)(2)(B) is amended by striking “addition to tax under section 6654” and inserting “interest required to be paid under section 6641”.

(8) Section 6622(b) is amended—

(A) by striking “PENALTY FOR” in the heading; and

(B) by striking “addition to tax under section 6654 or 6655” and inserting “interest required to be paid under section 6641 or addition to tax under section 6655”.

(9) Section 6658(a) is amended—

(A) by striking “6654, or 6655” and inserting “or 6655, and no interest shall be required to be paid under section 6641,”; and

(B) by inserting “or paying interest” after “the tax” in paragraph (2)(B)(ii).

(10) Section 6665(b) is amended—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1) by striking “, 6654,”; and

(B) in paragraph (2) by striking “6654 or”.

(11) Section 7203 is amended by striking “section 6654 or 6655” and inserting “section 6655 or interest required to be paid under section 6641”.

(e) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Chapter 67 is amended by inserting after subchapter D the following:

“Subchapter E—Interest on Failure by Individual to Pay Estimated Income Tax

“Sec. 6641. Interest on failure by individual to pay estimated income tax.”.

(2) The table of subchapters for chapter 67 is amended by adding at the end the following new items:

“Subchapter D. Notice requirements.

“Subchapter E. Interest on failure by individual to pay estimated income tax.”.

(3) The table of sections for part I of subchapter A of chapter 68 is amended by striking the item relating to section 6654.

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to installment payments for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2003.

SEC. 302. ABATEMENT OF INTEREST.

(a) ABATEMENT OF INTEREST WITH RESPECT TO ERRONEOUS REFUND CHECK WITHOUT REGARD TO SIZE OF REFUND.—Paragraph (2) of section 6404(e) is amended by striking “unless—” and all that follows and inserting “unless the taxpayer (or a related party) has in any way caused such erroneous refund.”.

(b) ABATEMENT OF INTEREST TO EXTENT INTEREST IS ATTRIBUTABLE TO TAXPAYER RELIANCE ON WRITTEN STATEMENTS OF THE IRS.—Subsection (f) of section 6404 is amended—

(1) in the subsection heading, by striking “PENALTY OR ADDITION” and inserting “INTEREST, PENALTY, OR ADDITION”; and

(2) in paragraph (1) and in subparagraph (B) of paragraph (2), by striking “penalty or addition” and inserting “interest, penalty, or addition”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to interest accruing on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 303. DEPOSITS MADE TO SUSPEND RUNNING OF INTEREST ON POTENTIAL UNDERPAYMENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter A of chapter 67 (relating to interest on underpayments) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 6603. DEPOSITS MADE TO SUSPEND RUNNING OF INTEREST ON POTENTIAL UNDERPAYMENTS, ETC.

“(a) **AUTHORITY TO MAKE DEPOSITS OTHER THAN AS PAYMENT OF TAX.**—A taxpayer may make a cash deposit with the Secretary which may be used by the Secretary to pay any tax imposed under subtitle A or B or chapter 41, 42, 43, or 44 which has not been assessed at the time of the deposit. Such a deposit shall be made in such manner as the Secretary shall prescribe.

“(b) **NO INTEREST IMPOSED.**—To the extent that such deposit is used by the Secretary to pay tax, for purposes of section 6601 (relating to interest on underpayments), the tax shall be treated as paid when the deposit is made.

“(c) **RETURN OF DEPOSIT.**—Except in a case where the Secretary determines that collection of tax is in jeopardy, the Secretary shall return to the taxpayer any amount of the deposit (to the extent not used for a payment of tax) which the taxpayer requests in writing.

“(d) **PAYMENT OF INTEREST.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of section 6611 (relating to interest on overpayments), a deposit which is returned to a taxpayer shall be treated as a payment of tax for any period to the extent (and only to the extent) attributable to a disputable tax for such period. Under regulations prescribed by the Secretary, rules similar to the rules of section 6611(b)(2) shall apply.

“(2) **DISPUTABLE TAX.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of this section, the term ‘disputable tax’ means the amount of tax specified at the time of the deposit as the taxpayer’s reasonable estimate of the maximum amount of any tax attributable to disputable items.

“(B) **SAFE HARBOR BASED ON 30-DAY LETTER.**—In the case of a taxpayer who has been issued a 30-day letter, the maximum amount of tax under subparagraph (A) shall not be less than the amount of the proposed deficiency specified in such letter.

“(3) **OTHER DEFINITIONS.**—For purposes of paragraph (2)—

“(A) **DISPUTABLE ITEM.**—The term ‘disputable item’ means any item of income, gain, loss, deduction, or credit if the taxpayer—

“(i) has a reasonable basis for its treatment of such item, and

“(ii) reasonably believes that the Secretary also has a reasonable basis for disallowing the taxpayer’s treatment of such item.

“(B) **30-DAY LETTER.**—The term ‘30-day letter’ means the first letter of proposed deficiency which allows the taxpayer an opportunity for administrative review in the Internal Revenue Service Office of Appeals.

“(4) **RATE OF INTEREST.**—The rate of interest allowable under this subsection shall be the Federal short-term rate determined under section 6621(b), compounded daily.

“(e) **USE OF DEPOSITS.**—

“(1) **PAYMENT OF TAX.**—Except as otherwise provided by the taxpayer, deposits shall be treated as used for the payment of tax in the order deposited.

“(B) **RETURNS OF DEPOSITS.**—Deposits shall be treated as returned to the taxpayer on a last-in, first-out basis.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for subchapter A of chapter 67 is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“Sec. 6603. Deposits made to suspend running of interest on potential underpayments, etc.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to deposits made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) **COORDINATION WITH DEPOSITS MADE UNDER REVENUE PROCEDURE 84-58.**—In the case

of an amount held by the Secretary of the Treasury or his delegate on the date of the enactment of this Act as a deposit in the nature of a cash bond deposit pursuant to Revenue Procedure 84-58, the date that the taxpayer identifies such amount as a deposit made pursuant to section 6603 of the Internal Revenue Code (as added by this Act) shall be treated as the date such amount is deposited for purposes of such section 6603.

SEC. 304. EXPANSION OF INTEREST NETTING FOR INDIVIDUALS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (d) of section 6621 (relating to elimination of interest on overlapping periods of tax overpayments and underpayments) is amended by adding at the end the following: “Solely for purposes of the preceding sentence, section 6611(e) shall not apply in the case of an individual.”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply to interest accrued after December 31, 2003.

SEC. 305. WAIVER OF CERTAIN PENALTIES FOR FIRST-TIME UNINTENTIONAL MINOR ERRORS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 6651 (relating to failure to file tax return or to pay tax) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(i) **TREATMENT OF FIRST-TIME UNINTENTIONAL MINOR ERRORS.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—In the case of a return of tax imposed by subtitle A filed by an individual, the Secretary may waive an addition to tax under subsection (a) if—

“(A) the individual has a history of compliance with the requirements of this title,

“(B) it is shown that the failure is due to an unintentional minor error,

“(C) the penalty would be grossly disproportionate to the action or expense that would have been needed to avoid the error, and imposing the penalty would be against equity and good conscience,

“(D) waiving the penalty would promote compliance with the requirements of this title and effective tax administration, and

“(E) the taxpayer took all reasonable steps to remedy the error promptly after discovering it.

“(2) **EXCEPTIONS.**—Paragraph (1) shall not apply if—

“(A) the Secretary has waived any addition to tax under this subsection with respect to any prior failure by such individual,

“(B) the failure is a mathematical or clerical error (as defined in section 6213(g)(2)), or

“(C) the failure is the lack of a required signature.”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by this section shall take effect on January 1, 2004.

SEC. 306. FRIVOLOUS TAX SUBMISSIONS.

(a) **CIVIL PENALTIES.**—Section 6702 is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 6702. FRIVOLOUS TAX SUBMISSIONS.

“(a) **CIVIL PENALTY FOR FRIVOLOUS TAX RETURNS.**—A person shall pay a penalty of \$5,000 if—

“(1) such person files what purports to be a return of a tax imposed by this title but which—

“(A) does not contain information on which the substantial correctness of the self-assessment may be judged, or

“(B) contains information that on its face indicates that the self-assessment is substantially incorrect; and

(2) the conduct referred to in paragraph (1)—

“(A) is based on a position which the Secretary has identified as frivolous under subsection (c), or

“(B) reflects a desire to delay or impede the administration of Federal tax laws.

“(b) **CIVIL PENALTY FOR SPECIFIED FRIVOLOUS SUBMISSIONS.**—

“(1) **IMPOSITION OF PENALTY.**—Except as provided in paragraph (3), any person who submits a specified frivolous submission shall pay a penalty of \$5,000.

“(2) **SPECIFIED FRIVOLOUS SUBMISSION.**—For purposes of this section—

“(A) **SPECIFIED FRIVOLOUS SUBMISSION.**—The term ‘specified frivolous submission’ means a specified submission if any portion of such submission is based on a position which the Secretary has identified as frivolous under subsection (c).

“(B) **SPECIFIED SUBMISSION.**—The term ‘specified submission’ means—

“(i) a request for a hearing under—

“(I) section 6320 (relating to notice and opportunity for hearing upon filing of notice of lien), or

“(II) section 6330 (relating to notice and opportunity for hearing before levy), and

“(ii) an application under—

“(I) section 7811 (relating to taxpayer assistance orders),

“(II) section 6159 (relating to agreements for payment of tax liability in installments), or

“(III) section 7122 (relating to compromises).

“(3) **OPPORTUNITY TO WITHDRAW SUBMISSION.**—If the Secretary provides a person with notice that a submission is a specified frivolous submission and such person withdraws such submission within 30 days after such notice, the penalty imposed under paragraph (1) shall not apply with respect to such submission.

“(c) **LISTING OF FRIVOLOUS POSITIONS.**—The Secretary shall prescribe (and periodically revise) a list of positions which the Secretary has identified as being frivolous for purposes of this subsection. The Secretary shall not include in such list any position that the Secretary determines meets the requirement of section 6662(d)(2)(B)(ii)(II).

“(d) **REDUCTION OF PENALTY.**—The Secretary may reduce the amount of any penalty imposed under this section if the Secretary determines that such reduction would promote compliance with and administration of the Federal tax laws.

“(e) **PENALTIES IN ADDITION TO OTHER PENALTIES.**—The penalties imposed by this section shall be in addition to any other penalty provided by law.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for part I of subchapter B of chapter 68 is amended by striking the item relating to section 6702 and inserting the following new item:

“Sec. 6702. Frivolous tax submissions.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to submissions made and issues raised after the date on which the Secretary first prescribes a list under section 6702(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended by subsection (a).

SEC. 307. CLARIFICATION OF APPLICATION OF FEDERAL TAX DEPOSIT PENALTY.

Nothing in section 6656 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 shall be construed to permit the percentage specified in subsection (b)(1)(A)(iii) thereof to apply other than in a case where the failure is for more than 15 days.

Subtitle B—Fairness of Collection Procedures**SEC. 311. PARTIAL PAYMENT OF TAX LIABILITY IN INSTALLMENT AGREEMENTS.**

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—

(1) Section 6159(a) (relating to authorization of agreements) is amended—

(A) by striking “satisfy liability for payment of” and inserting “make payment on”, and

(B) by inserting “full or partial” after “facilitate”.

(2) Section 6159(c) (relating to Secretary required to enter into installment agreements in certain cases) is amended in the

matter preceding paragraph (1) by inserting "full" before "payment".

(b) REQUIREMENT TO REVIEW PARTIAL PAYMENT AGREEMENTS EVERY TWO YEARS.—Section 6159 is amended by redesignating subsections (d) and (e) as subsections (e) and (f), respectively, and inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsection:

"(d) SECRETARY REQUIRED TO REVIEW INSTALLMENT AGREEMENTS FOR PARTIAL COLLECTION EVERY TWO YEARS.—In the case of an agreement entered into by the Secretary under subsection (a) for partial collection of a tax liability, the Secretary shall review the agreement at least once every 2 years."

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to agreements entered into on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 312. EXTENSION OF TIME FOR RETURN OF PROPERTY.

(a) EXTENSION OF TIME FOR RETURN OF PROPERTY SUBJECT TO LEVY.—Subsection (b) of section 6343 (relating to return of property) is amended by striking "9 months" and inserting "2 years".

(b) PERIOD OF LIMITATION ON SUITS.—Subsection (c) of section 6532 (relating to suits by persons other than taxpayers) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1) by striking "9 months" and inserting "2 years"; and

(2) in paragraph (2) by striking "9-month" and inserting "2-year".

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to—

(1) levies made after the date of the enactment of this Act, and

(2) levies made on or before such date if the 9-month period has not expired under section 6343(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (without regard to this section) as of such date.

SEC. 313. INDIVIDUALS HELD HARMLESS ON WRONGFUL LEVY, ETC., ON INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT PLAN.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 6343 (relating to authority to release levy and return property) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(f) INDIVIDUALS HELD HARMLESS ON WRONGFUL LEVY, ETC., ON INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT PLAN.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary determines that an individual retirement plan has been levied upon in a case to which subsection (b) or (d)(2)(A) applies, an amount equal to the sum of—

"(A) the amount of money returned by the Secretary on account of such levy, and

"(B) interest paid under subsection (c) on such amount of money,

may be deposited into an individual retirement plan (other than an endowment contract) to which a rollover from the plan levied upon is permitted.

"(2) TREATMENT AS ROLLOVER.—The distribution on account of the levy and any deposit under paragraph (1) with respect to such distribution shall be treated for purposes of this title as if such distribution and deposit were part of a rollover described in section 408(d)(3)(A)(i); except that—

"(A) interest paid under subsection (c) shall be treated as part of such distribution and as not includible in gross income,

"(B) the 60-day requirement in such section shall be treated as met if the deposit is made not later than the 60th day after the day on which the individual receives an amount under paragraph (1) from the Secretary, and

"(C) such deposit shall not be taken into account under section 408(d)(3)(B).

"(3) REFUND, ETC., OF INCOME TAX ON LEVY.—If any amount is includible in gross income for a taxable year by reason of a levy

referred to in paragraph (1) and any portion of such amount is treated as a rollover under paragraph (2), any tax imposed by chapter 1 on such portion shall not be assessed, and if assessed shall be abated, and if collected shall be credited or refunded as an overpayment made on the due date for filing the return of tax for such taxable year.

"(4) INTEREST.—Notwithstanding subsection (d), interest shall be allowed under subsection (c) in a case in which the Secretary makes a determination described in subsection (d)(2)(A) with respect to a levy upon an individual retirement plan."

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to amounts paid under subsections (b), (c), and (d)(2)(A) of section 6343 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 after December 31, 2003.

SEC. 314. SEVEN-DAY THRESHOLD ON TOLLING OF STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS DURING TAX REVIEW.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 7811(d)(1) (relating to suspension of running of period of limitation) is amended by inserting after "application," the following: "but only if the date of such decision is at least 7 days after the date of the taxpayer's application".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to applications filed after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 315. STUDY OF LIENS AND LEVIES.

The Secretary of the Treasury, or the Secretary's delegate, shall conduct a study of the practices of the Internal Revenue Service concerning liens and levies. The study shall examine—

(1) the declining use of liens and levies by the Internal Revenue Service, and

(2) the practicality of recording liens and levying against property in cases in which the cost of such actions exceeds the amount to be realized from such property.

Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit such study to the Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Finance of the Senate.

Subtitle C—Tax Administration Reforms

SEC. 331. REVISIONS RELATING TO TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT OF INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE EMPLOYEES FOR MISCONDUCT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter A of chapter 80 (relating to application of internal revenue laws) is amended by inserting after section 7804 the following new section:

"SEC. 7804A. DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS FOR MISCONDUCT.

"(a) DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsection (c), the Commissioner shall take an action in accordance with the guidelines established under paragraph (2) against any employee of the Internal Revenue Service if there is a final administrative or judicial determination that such employee committed any act or omission described under subsection (b) in the performance of the employee's official duties or where a nexus to the employee's position exists.

"(2) GUIDELINES.—The Commissioner shall issue guidelines for determining the appropriate level of discipline, up to and including termination of employment, for committing any act or omission described under subsection (b).

"(b) ACTS OR OMISSIONS.—The acts or omissions described under this subsection are—

"(1) willful failure to obtain the required approval signatures on documents authorizing the seizure of a taxpayer's home, personal belongings, or business assets;

"(2) willfully providing a false statement under oath with respect to a material matter

involving a taxpayer or taxpayer representative;

"(3) with respect to a taxpayer or taxpayer representative, the willful violation of—

"(A) any right under the Constitution of the United States;

"(B) any civil right established under—

"(i) title VI or VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964;

"(ii) title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972;

"(iii) the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967;

"(iv) the Age Discrimination Act of 1975;

"(v) section 501 or 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; or

"(vi) title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990; or

"(C) the Internal Revenue Service policy on unauthorized inspection of returns or return information;

"(4) willfully falsifying or destroying documents to conceal mistakes made by any employee with respect to a matter involving a taxpayer or taxpayer representative;

"(5) assault or battery on a taxpayer or taxpayer representative, but only if there is a criminal conviction, or a final adverse judgment by a court in a civil case, with respect to the assault or battery;

"(6) willful violations of this title, Department of the Treasury regulations, or policies of the Internal Revenue Service (including the Internal Revenue Manual) for the purpose of retaliating against, or harassing, a taxpayer or taxpayer representative;

"(7) willful misuse of the provisions of section 6103 for the purpose of concealing information from a congressional inquiry;

"(8) willful failure to file any return of tax required under this title on or before the date prescribed therefor (including any extensions) when a tax is due and owing, unless such failure is due to reasonable cause and not due to willful neglect;

"(9) willful understatement of Federal tax liability, unless such understatement is due to reasonable cause and not due to willful neglect; and

"(10) threatening to audit a taxpayer, or to take other action under this title, for the purpose of extracting personal gain or benefit.

"(c) DETERMINATIONS OF COMMISSIONER.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commissioner may take a personnel action other than a disciplinary action provided for in the guidelines under subsection (a)(2) for an act or omission described under subsection (b).

"(2) DISCRETION.—The exercise of authority under paragraph (1) shall be at the sole discretion of the Commissioner and may not be delegated to any other officer. The Commissioner, in his sole discretion, may establish a procedure to determine if an individual should be referred to the Commissioner for a determination by the Commissioner under paragraph (1).

"(3) NO APPEAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any determination of the Commissioner under this subsection may not be reviewed in any administrative or judicial proceeding. A finding that an act or omission described under subsection (b) occurred may be reviewed.

"(d) DEFINITION.—For the purposes of the provisions described in clauses (i), (ii), and (iv) of subsection (b)(3)(B), references to a program or activity regarding Federal financial assistance or an education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance shall include any program or activity conducted by the Internal Revenue Service for a taxpayer.

"(e) ANNUAL REPORT.—The Commissioner shall submit to Congress annually a report on disciplinary actions under this section."

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 80 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 7804 the following new item:

“Sec. 7804A. Disciplinary actions for misconduct.”.

(c) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED SECTION.—Section 1203 of the Internal Revenue Service Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998 (Public Law 105-206; 112 Stat. 720) is repealed.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 332. CONFIRMATION OF AUTHORITY OF TAX COURT TO APPLY DOCTRINE OF EQUITABLE RECOUPMENT.

(a) CONFIRMATION OF AUTHORITY OF TAX COURT TO APPLY DOCTRINE OF EQUITABLE RECOUPMENT.—Subsection (b) of section 6214 (relating to jurisdiction over other years and quarters) is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: “Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, the Tax Court may apply the doctrine of equitable recoupment to the same extent that it is available in civil tax cases before the district courts of the United States and the United States Court of Federal Claims.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to any action or proceeding in the Tax Court with respect to which a decision has not become final (as determined under section 7481 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) as of the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 333. JURISDICTION OF TAX COURT OVER COLLECTION DUE PROCESS CASES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 6330(d)(1) (relating to judicial review of determination) is amended to read as follows:

“(1) JUDICIAL REVIEW OF DETERMINATION.—The person may, within 30 days of a determination under this section, appeal such determination to the Tax Court (and the Tax Court shall have jurisdiction with respect to such matter).”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply to judicial appeals filed after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 334. OFFICE OF CHIEF COUNSEL REVIEW OF OFFERS IN COMPROMISE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 7122(b) (relating to record) is amended by striking “Whenever a compromise” and all that follows through “his delegate” and inserting “If the Secretary determines that an opinion of the General Counsel for the Department of the Treasury, or the Counsel’s delegate, is required with respect to a compromise, there shall be placed on file in the office of the Secretary such opinion”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 7122(b) is amended by striking the second and third sentences.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to offers-in-compromise submitted or pending on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 335. ACCESS OF NATIONAL TAXPAYER ADVOCATE TO INDEPENDENT LEGAL COUNSEL.

Clause (i) of section 7803(c)(2)(D) (relating to personnel actions) is amended by striking “and” at the end of subclause (I), by striking the period at the end of subclause (II) and inserting “, and”, and by adding at the end the following new subclause:

“(III) appoint a counsel in the Office of the Taxpayer Advocate to report solely to the National Taxpayer Advocate.”.

SEC. 336. PAYMENT OF MOTOR FUEL EXCISE TAX REFUNDS BY DIRECT DEPOSIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter II of chapter 33 of title 31, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§ 3337. Payment of motor fuel excise tax refunds by direct deposit

“The Secretary of the Treasury shall make payments under sections 6420, 6421, and 6427 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 by electronic funds transfer (as defined in section 3332(j)(1)) if the person who is entitled to the payment—

“(1) elects to receive the payment by electronic funds transfer; and

“(2) satisfies the requirements of section 3332(g) with respect to such payment at such time and in such manner as the Secretary may require.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for subchapter II of chapter 33 of title 31, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“3337. Payment of motor fuel excise tax refunds by direct deposit.”.

SEC. 337. FAMILY BUSINESS TAX SIMPLIFICATION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 761 (defining terms for purposes of partnerships) is amended by redesignating subsection (f) as subsection (g) and by inserting after subsection (e) the following new subsection:

“(f) QUALIFIED JOINT VENTURE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of a qualified joint venture conducted by a husband and wife who file a joint return for the taxable year, for purposes of this title—

“(A) such joint venture shall not be treated as a partnership.

“(B) all items of income, gain, loss, deduction, and credit shall be divided between the spouses in accordance with their respective interests in the venture, and

“(C) each spouse shall take into account such spouse’s respective share of such items as if they were attributable to a trade or business conducted by such spouse as a sole proprietor.

“(2) QUALIFIED JOINT VENTURE.—For purposes of paragraph (1), the term ‘qualified joint venture’ means any joint venture involving the conduct of a trade or business if—

“(A) the only members of such joint venture are a husband and wife,

“(B) both spouses materially participate (within the meaning of section 469(h) without regard to paragraph (5) thereof) in such trade or business, and

“(C) both spouses elect the application of this subsection.”.

(b) NET EARNINGS FROM SELF-EMPLOYMENT.—

(1) Subsection (a) of section 1402 (defining net earnings from self-employment) is amended by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (14), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (15) and inserting “; and”, and by inserting after paragraph (15) the following new paragraph:

“(16) notwithstanding the preceding provisions of this subsection, each spouse’s share of income or loss from a qualified joint venture shall be taken into account as provided in section 761(f) in determining net earnings from self-employment of such spouse.”.

(2) Subsection (a) of section 211 of the Social Security Act (defining net earnings from self-employment) is amended by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (14), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (15) and inserting “; and”, and by inserting after paragraph (15) the following new paragraph:

“(16) Notwithstanding the preceding provisions of this subsection, each spouse’s share of income or loss from a qualified joint venture shall be taken into account as provided in section 761(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 in determining net earnings from self-employment of such spouse.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2002.

SEC. 338. SUSPENSION OF TAX-EXEMPT STATUS OF TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 501 (relating to exemption from tax on corporations, certain trusts, etc.) is amended by redesignating subsection (p) as subsection (q) and by inserting after subsection (o) the following new subsection:

“(p) SUSPENSION OF TAX-EXEMPT STATUS OF TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The exemption from tax under subsection (a) with respect to any organization described in paragraph (2), and the eligibility of any organization described in paragraph (2) to apply for recognition of exemption under subsection (a), shall be suspended during the period described in paragraph (3).

“(2) TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS.—An organization is described in this paragraph if such organization is designated or otherwise individually identified—

“(A) under section 212(a)(3)(B)(vi)(II) or 219 of the Immigration and Nationality Act as a terrorist organization or foreign terrorist organization,

“(B) in or pursuant to an Executive order which is related to terrorism and issued under the authority of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act or section 5 of the United Nations Participation Act of 1945 for the purpose of imposing on such organization an economic or other sanction, or

“(C) in or pursuant to an Executive order issued under the authority of any Federal law if—

“(i) the organization is designated or otherwise individually identified in or pursuant to such Executive order as supporting or engaging in terrorist activity (as defined in section 212(a)(3)(B) of the Immigration and Nationality Act) or supporting terrorism (as defined in section 140(d)(2) of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1988 and 1989); and

“(ii) such Executive order refers to this subsection.

“(3) PERIOD OF SUSPENSION.—With respect to any organization described in paragraph (2), the period of suspension—

“(A) begins on the later of—

“(i) the date of the first publication of a designation or identification described in paragraph (2) with respect to such organization, or

“(ii) the date of the enactment of this subsection, and

“(B) ends on the first date that all designations and identifications described in paragraph (2) with respect to such organization are rescinded pursuant to the law or Executive order under which such designation or identification was made.

“(4) DENIAL OF DEDUCTION.—No deduction shall be allowed under section 170, 545(b)(2), 556(b)(2), 642(c), 2055, 2106(a)(2), or 2522 for any contribution to an organization described in paragraph (2) during the period described in paragraph (3).

“(5) DENIAL OF ADMINISTRATIVE OR JUDICIAL CHALLENGE OF SUSPENSION OR DENIAL OF DEDUCTION.—Notwithstanding section 7428 or any other provision of law, no organization or other person may challenge a suspension under paragraph (1), a designation or identification described in paragraph (2), the period of suspension described in paragraph (3), or a denial of a deduction under paragraph (4) in any administrative or judicial proceeding relating to the Federal tax liability of such organization or other person.

“(6) ERRONEOUS DESIGNATION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—If—

“(i) the tax exemption of any organization described in paragraph (2) is suspended under paragraph (1),

“(ii) each designation and identification described in paragraph (2) which has been

made with respect to such organization is determined to be erroneous pursuant to the law or Executive order under which such designation or identification was made, and

“(iii) the erroneous designations and identifications result in an overpayment of income tax for any taxable year by such organization,

credit or refund (with interest) with respect to such overpayment shall be made.

“(B) WAIVER OF LIMITATIONS.—If the credit or refund of any overpayment of tax described in subparagraph (A)(iii) is prevented at any time by the operation of any law or rule of law (including *res judicata*), such credit or refund may nevertheless be allowed or made if the claim therefor is filed before the close of the 1-year period beginning on the date of the last determination described in subparagraph (A)(ii).

“(7) NOTICE OF SUSPENSIONS.—If the tax exemption of any organization is suspended under this subsection, the Internal Revenue Service shall update the listings of tax-exempt organizations and shall publish appropriate notice to taxpayers of such suspension and of the fact that contributions to such organization are not deductible during the period of such suspension.”

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to designations made before, on, or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 339. TAX REFUND ANTICIPATION LOANS.

The Secretary of the Treasury may not provide any direct deposit indicator with respect to a taxpayer to any tax return preparer, financial institution, or other person that charges taxpayers interest rates (including fees) on refund anticipation loans in excess of the consumer loan usury rate limit of the State in which the taxpayer is domiciled.

SEC. 340. FAIRNESS IN TAX AUDIT COVERAGE.

(a) MANDATORY AUDITS OF HIGH RISK TAXPAYERS.—The Secretary of the Treasury shall conduct audits of all taxpayers whom the Secretary determines are likely to have—

(1) an unpaid Federal income tax liability of more than \$1,000,000, or

(2) to have unreported income or structured transactions which are considered by the Secretary to be high risk.

(b) RATE OF AUDITS.—The Secretary of the Treasury shall conduct audits of high income taxpayers likely to owe taxes at a rate which is not less than the rate at which the Secretary conducts audits of low income taxpayers likely to owe taxes.

Subtitle D—Confidentiality and Disclosure

SEC. 341. COLLECTION ACTIVITIES WITH RESPECT TO JOINT RETURN DISCLOSEABLE TO EITHER SPOUSE BASED ON ORAL REQUEST.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (8) of section 6103(e) (relating to disclosure of collection activities with respect to joint return) is amended by striking “in writing” the first place it appears.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to requests made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 342. TAXPAYER REPRESENTATIVES NOT SUBJECT TO EXAMINATION ON SOLE BASIS OF REPRESENTATION OF TAXPAYERS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 6103(h) (relating to disclosure to certain Federal officers and employees for purposes of tax administration, etc.) is amended—

(1) by striking “Returns” and inserting the following:

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Returns”, and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(B) TAXPAYER REPRESENTATIVES.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), the return of the representative of a taxpayer whose return is being examined by an officer or employee of the Department of the Treasury shall not be open to inspection by such officer or employee on the sole basis of the representative’s relationship to the taxpayer unless a supervisor of such officer or employee has approved the inspection of the return of such representative on a basis other than by reason of such relationship.”

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall take effect on the date which is 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 343. DISCLOSURE IN JUDICIAL OR ADMINISTRATIVE TAX PROCEEDINGS OF RETURN AND RETURN INFORMATION OF PERSONS WHO ARE NOT PARTY TO SUCH PROCEEDINGS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (4) of section 6103(h) (relating to disclosure to certain Federal officers and employees for purposes of tax administration, etc.) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(B) DISCLOSURE IN JUDICIAL OR ADMINISTRATIVE TAX PROCEEDINGS OF RETURN AND RETURN INFORMATION OF PERSONS NOT PARTY TO SUCH PROCEEDINGS.—

“(i) NOTICE.—Return or return information of any person who is not a party to a judicial or administrative proceeding described in this paragraph shall not be disclosed under clause (ii) or (iii) of subparagraph (A) until after the Secretary makes a reasonable effort to give notice to such person and an opportunity for such person to request the deletion of matter from such return or return information, including any of the items referred to in paragraphs (1) through (7) of section 6110(c). Such notice shall include a statement of the issue or issues the resolution of which is the reason such return or return information is sought. In the case of S corporations, partnerships, estates, and trusts, such notice shall be made at the entity level.

“(ii) DISCLOSURE LIMITED TO PERTINENT PORTION.—The only portion of a return or return information described in clause (i) which may be disclosed under subparagraph (A) is that portion of such return or return information that directly relates to the resolution of an issue in such proceeding.

“(iii) EXCEPTIONS.—Clause (i) shall not apply—

“(I) to any civil action under section 7407, 7408, or 7409,

“(II) to any ex parte proceeding for obtaining a search warrant, order for entry on premises or safe deposit boxes, or similar ex parte proceeding,

“(III) to disclosure of third party return information by indictment or criminal information, or

“(IV) if the Attorney General or the Attorney General’s delegate determines that the application of such clause would seriously impair a criminal tax investigation or proceeding.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Paragraph (4) of section 6103(h) is amended by—

(1) by striking “PROCEEDINGS.—A return” and inserting “PROCEEDINGS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), a return”;

(2) by redesignating subparagraphs (A), (B), (C), and (D) as clauses (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv), respectively; and

(3) in the matter following clause (iv) (as so redesignated), by striking “subparagraph (A), (B), or (C)” and inserting “clause (i), (ii), or (iii)” and by moving such matter 2 ems to the right.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to pro-

ceedings commenced after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 344. PROHIBITION OF DISCLOSURE OF TAXPAYER IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION WITH RESPECT TO DISCLOSURE OF ACCEPTED OFFERS-IN-COMPROMISE.

(a) GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 6103(k) (relating to disclosure of certain returns and return information for tax administrative purposes) is amended by inserting “(other than the taxpayer’s address and TIN)” after “Return information”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to disclosures made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 345. COMPLIANCE BY CONTRACTORS WITH CONFIDENTIALITY SAFEGUARDS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 6103(p) (relating to State law requirements) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(9) DISCLOSURE TO CONTRACTORS AND OTHER AGENTS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, no return or return information shall be disclosed to any contractor or other agent of a Federal, State, or local agency unless such agency, to the satisfaction of the Secretary—

“(A) has requirements in effect which require each such contractor or other agent which would have access to returns or return information to provide safeguards (within the meaning of paragraph (4)) to protect the confidentiality of such returns or return information,

“(B) agrees to conduct an annual, on-site review (mid-point review in the case of contracts of less than 1 year in duration) of each such contractor or other agent to determine compliance with such requirements,

“(C) submits the findings of the most recent review conducted under subparagraph (B) to the Secretary as part of the report required by paragraph (4)(E), and

“(D) certifies to the Secretary for the most recent annual period that each such contractor or other agent is in compliance with all such requirements.

The certification required by subparagraph (D) shall include the name and address of each contractor and other agent, a description of the contract of the contractor or other agent with the agency, and the duration of such contract.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subparagraph (B) of section 6103(p)(8) is amended by inserting “or paragraph (9)” after “subparagraph (A)”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to disclosures made after December 31, 2003.

(2) CERTIFICATIONS.—The first certification under section 6103(p)(9)(D) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as added by subsection (a), shall be made with respect to calendar year 2004.

SEC. 346. HIGHER STANDARDS FOR REQUESTS FOR AND CONSENTS TO DISCLOSURE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (c) of section 6103 (relating to disclosure of returns and return information to designee of taxpayer) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(2) REQUIREMENTS FOR VALID REQUESTS AND CONSENTS.—A request for or consent to disclosure under paragraph (1) shall only be valid for purposes of this section, sections 7213, 7213A, and 7431 if—

“(A) at the time of execution, such request or consent designates a recipient of such disclosure and is dated, and

“(B) at the time such request or consent is submitted to the Secretary, the submitter of

such request or consent certifies, under penalty of perjury, that such request or consent complied with subparagraph (A).

“(3) RESTRICTIONS ON PERSONS OBTAINING INFORMATION.—Any person shall, as a condition for receiving return or return information under paragraph (1)—

“(A) ensure that such return and return information is kept confidential,

“(B) use such return and return information only for the purpose for which it was requested, and

“(C) not disclose such return and return information except to accomplish the purpose for which it was requested, unless a separate consent from the taxpayer is obtained.

“(4) REQUIREMENTS FOR FORM PRESCRIBED BY SECRETARY.—For purposes of this subsection, the Secretary shall prescribe a form for requests and consents which shall—

“(A) contain a warning, prominently displayed, informing the taxpayer that the form should not be signed unless it is completed,

“(B) state that if the taxpayer believes there is an attempt to coerce him to sign an incomplete or blank form, the taxpayer should report the matter to the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration, and

“(C) contain the address and telephone number of the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration.”.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than 18 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration shall submit a report to the Congress on compliance with the designation and certification requirements applicable to requests for or consent to disclosure of returns and return information under section 6103(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended by subsection (a). Such report shall—

(1) evaluate (on the basis of random sampling) whether—

(A) the amendment made by subsection (a) is achieving the purposes of this section;

(B) requesters and submitters for such disclosure are continuing to evade the purposes of this section and, if so, how; and

(C) the sanctions for violations of such requirements are adequate; and

(2) include such recommendations that the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration considers necessary or appropriate to better achieve the purposes of this section.

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 6103(c) is amended by striking “TAXPAYER.—The Secretary” and inserting “TAXPAYER.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary”.

(2) Section 7213(a)(1) is amended by striking “section 6103(n)” and inserting “subsections (c) and (n) of section 6103”.

(3) Section 7213A(a)(1)(B) is amended by striking “subsection (l)(18) or (n) of section 6103” and inserting “subsection (c), (l)(18), or (n) of section 6103”.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to requests and consents made after 3 months after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 347. NOTICE TO TAXPAYER CONCERNING ADMINISTRATIVE DETERMINATION OF BROWSING; ANNUAL REPORT.

(a) NOTICE TO TAXPAYER.—Subsection (e) of section 7431 (relating to notification of unlawful inspection and disclosure) is amended by adding at the end the following: “The Secretary shall also notify such taxpayer if the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration substantiates that such taxpayer’s return or return information was inspected or disclosed in violation of any of the provisions specified in paragraph (1), (2), or (3).”.

(b) REPORTS.—Subsection (p) of section 6103 (relating to procedure and recordkeeping), is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(10) REPORT ON UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE AND INSPECTION.—As part of the report required by paragraph (3)(C) for each calendar year, the Secretary shall furnish information regarding the unauthorized disclosure and inspection of returns and return information, including the number, status, and results of—

“(A) administrative investigations,

“(B) civil lawsuits brought under section 7431 (including the amounts for which such lawsuits were settled and the amounts of damages awarded), and

“(C) criminal prosecutions.”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) NOTICE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply to determinations made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) REPORTS.—The amendment made by subsection (b) shall apply to calendar years ending after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 348. EXPANDED DISCLOSURE IN EMERGENCY CIRCUMSTANCES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 6103(i)(3)(B) (relating to danger of death or physical injury) is amended by striking “or State” and inserting “, State, or local”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 349. DISCLOSURE OF TAXPAYER IDENTITY FOR TAX REFUND PURPOSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 6103(m) (relating to disclosure of taxpayer identity information) is amended by striking “and other media” and by inserting “, other media, and through any other means of mass communication,”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 350. DISCLOSURE TO STATE OFFICIALS OF PROPOSED ACTIONS RELATED TO SECTION 501(c)(3) ORGANIZATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (c) of section 6104 is amended by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following new paragraphs:

“(2) DISCLOSURE OF PROPOSED ACTIONS.—

“(A) SPECIFIC NOTIFICATIONS.—In the case of an organization to which paragraph (1) applies, the Secretary may disclose to the appropriate State officer—

“(i) a notice of proposed refusal to recognize such organization as an organization described in section 501(c)(3) or a notice of proposed revocation of such organization’s recognition as an organization exempt from taxation,

“(ii) the issuance of a letter of proposed deficiency of tax imposed under section 507 or chapter 41 or 42, and

“(iii) the names, addresses, and taxpayer identification numbers of organizations that have applied for recognition as organizations described in section 501(c)(3).

“(B) ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES.—Returns and return information of organizations with respect to which information is disclosed under subparagraph (A) may be made available for inspection by or disclosed to an appropriate State officer.

“(C) PROCEDURES FOR DISCLOSURE.—Information may be inspected or disclosed under subparagraph (A) or (B) only—

“(i) upon written request by an appropriate State officer, and

“(ii) for the purpose of, and only to the extent necessary in, the administration of State laws regulating such organizations.

Such information may only be inspected by or disclosed to a person other than the appropriate State officer if such person is an officer or employee of the State and is designated by the appropriate State officer to receive the returns or return information

under this paragraph on behalf of the appropriate State officer.

“(D) DISCLOSURES OTHER THAN BY REQUEST.—The Secretary may make available for inspection or disclose returns and return information of an organization to which paragraph (1) applies to an appropriate State officer if the Secretary determines that such inspection or disclosure may facilitate the resolution of State or Federal issues relating to the tax-exempt status of such organization.

“(3) USE IN ADMINISTRATIVE AND JUDICIAL CIVIL PROCEEDINGS.—Returns and return information disclosed pursuant to this subsection may be disclosed in administrative and judicial civil proceedings pertaining to the enforcement of State laws regulating such organizations in a manner prescribed by the Secretary similar to that for tax administration proceedings under section 6103(h)(4).

“(4) NO DISCLOSURE IF IMPAIRMENT.—Returns and return information shall not be disclosed under this subsection, or in any proceeding described in paragraph (3), to the extent that the Secretary determines that such disclosure would seriously impair Federal tax administration.

“(5) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this subsection—

“(A) RETURN AND RETURN INFORMATION.—The terms ‘return’ and ‘return information’ have the respective meanings given to such terms by section 6103(b).

“(B) APPROPRIATE STATE OFFICER.—The term ‘appropriate State officer’ means—

“(i) the State attorney general, or

“(ii) any other State official charged with overseeing organizations of the type described in section 501(c)(3).”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Subparagraph (A) of section 6103(p)(3) is amended by inserting “and section 6104(c)” after “section” in the first sentence.

(2) Paragraph (4) of section 6103(p) is amended—

(A) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by inserting “, or any appropriate State officer (as defined in section 6104(c)),” before “or any other person”.

(B) in subparagraph (F)(i), by inserting “or any appropriate State officer (as defined in section 6104(c)),” before “or any other person”, and

(C) in the matter following subparagraph (F), by inserting “, an appropriate State officer (as defined in section 6104(c)),” after “including an agency” each place it appears.

(3) Paragraph (2) of section 7213(a) is amended by inserting “or under section 6104(c)” after “6103”.

(4) Paragraph (2) of section 7213A(a) is amended by inserting “or 6104(c)” after “6103”.

(5) Paragraph (2) of section 7431(a) is amended by inserting “(including any disclosure in violation of section 6104(c))” after “6103”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act but shall not apply to requests made before such date.

SEC. 351. CONFIDENTIALITY OF TAXPAYER COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE OFFICE OF THE TAXPAYER ADVOCATE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (c) of section 7803 is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) CONFIDENTIALITY OF TAXPAYER INFORMATION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—To the extent authorized by the National Taxpayer Advocate or pursuant to guidance issued under subparagraph (B), any officer or employee of the Office of the Taxpayer Advocate may withhold from the Internal Revenue Service and the

Department of Justice any information provided by, or regarding contact with, any taxpayer.

“(B) ISSUANCE OF GUIDANCE.—In consultation with the Chief Counsel for the Internal Revenue Service and subject to the approval of the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, the National Taxpayer Advocate may issue guidance regarding the circumstances (including with respect to litigation) under which, and the persons to whom, employees of the Office of the Taxpayer Advocate shall not disclose information obtained from a taxpayer. To the extent to which any provision of the Internal Revenue Manual would require greater disclosure by employees of the Office of the Taxpayer Advocate than the disclosure required under such guidance, such provision shall not apply.

“(C) EMPLOYEE PROTECTION.—Section 7214(a)(8) shall not apply to any failure to report knowledge or information if—

“(i) such failure to report is authorized under subparagraph (A), and

“(ii) such knowledge or information is not of fraud committed by a person against the United States under any revenue law.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subparagraph (A) of section 7803(c)(4) is amended by inserting “and” at the end of clause (ii), by striking “; and” at the end of clause (iii) and inserting a period, and by striking clause (iv).

Subtitle E—Miscellaneous

SEC. 361. CLARIFICATION OF DEFINITION OF CHURCH TAX INQUIRY.

Subsection (i) of section 7611 (relating to section not to apply to criminal investigations, etc.) is amended by striking “or” at the end of paragraph (4), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (5) and inserting “, or”, and by inserting after paragraph (5) the following:

“(6) information provided by the Secretary related to the standards for exemption from tax under this title and the requirements under this title relating to unrelated business taxable income.”

SEC. 362. EXPANSION OF DECLARATORY JUDGMENT REMEDY TO TAX-EXEMPT ORGANIZATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 7428(a) (relating to creation of remedy) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B) by inserting after “509(a)” the following: “or as a private operating foundation (as defined in section 4942(j)(3))”; and

(2) by amending subparagraph (C) to read as follows:

“(C) with respect to the initial qualification or continuing qualification of an organization as an organization described in subsection (c) (other than paragraph (3)) or (d) of section 501 which is exempt from tax under section 501(a), or”.

(b) COURT JURISDICTION.—Subsection (a) of section 7428 is amended in the material following paragraph (2) by striking “United States Tax Court, the United States Claims Court, or the district court of the United States for the District of Columbia” and inserting the following: “United States Tax Court (in the case of any such determination or failure) or the United States Claims Court or the district court of the United States for the District of Columbia (in the case of a determination or failure with respect to an issue referred to in subparagraph (A) or (B) of paragraph (1)).”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to pleadings filed with respect to determinations (or requests for determinations) made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 363. EMPLOYEE MISCONDUCT REPORT TO INCLUDE SUMMARY OF COMPLAINTS BY CATEGORY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (ii) of section 7803(d)(2)(A) is amended by inserting before the semicolon at the end the following: “, including a summary (by category) of the 10 most common complaints made and the number of such common complaints”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to reporting periods ending after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 364. ANNUAL REPORT ON AWARDS OF COSTS AND CERTAIN FEES IN ADMINISTRATIVE AND COURT PROCEEDINGS.

Not later than 3 months after the close of each Federal fiscal year after fiscal year 2003, the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration shall submit a report to Congress which specifies for such year—

(1) the number of payments made by the United States pursuant to section 7430 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (relating to awarding of costs and certain fees);

(2) the amount of each such payment;

(3) an analysis of any administrative issue giving rise to such payments; and

(4) changes (if any) which will be implemented as a result of such analysis and other changes (if any) recommended by the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration as a result of such analysis.

SEC. 365. ANNUAL REPORT ON ABATEMENT OF PENALTIES.

Not later than 6 months after the close of each Federal fiscal year after fiscal year 2003, the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration shall submit a report to Congress on abatements of penalties under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 during such year, including information on the reasons and criteria for such abatements.

SEC. 366. BETTER MEANS OF COMMUNICATING WITH TAXPAYERS.

Not later than 18 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration shall submit a report to Congress evaluating whether technological advances, such as e-mail and facsimile transmission, permit the use of alternative means for the Internal Revenue Service to communicate with taxpayers.

SEC. 367. EXPLANATION OF STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS AND CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE TO FILE.

The Secretary of the Treasury or the Secretary's delegate shall, as soon as practicable but not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, revise the statement required by section 6227 of the Omnibus Taxpayer Bill of Rights (Internal Revenue Service Publication No. 1), and any instructions booklet accompanying a general income tax return form for taxable years beginning after 2002 (including forms 1040, 1040A, 1040EZ, and any similar or successor forms relating thereto), to provide for an explanation of—

(1) the limitations imposed by section 6511 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 on credits and refunds; and

(2) the consequences under such section 6511 of the failure to file a return of tax.

SEC. 368. AMENDMENT TO TREASURY AUCTION REFORMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Clause (i) of section 202(c)(4)(B) of the Government Securities Act Amendments of 1993 (31 U.S.C. 3121 note) is amended by inserting before the semicolon “(or, if earlier, at the time the Secretary releases the minutes of the meeting in accordance with paragraph (2))”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply to meetings held after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 369. ENROLLED AGENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 77 (relating to miscellaneous provisions) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 7528. ENROLLED AGENTS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may prescribe such regulations as may be necessary to regulate the conduct of enrolled agents in regards to their practice before the Internal Revenue Service.

“(b) USE OF CREDENTIALS.—Any enrolled agents properly licensed to practice as required under rules promulgated under section (a) herein shall be allowed to use the credentials or designation as ‘enrolled agent’, ‘EA’, or ‘E.A.’.”

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 77 is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“Sec. 7528. Enrolled agents.”

(c) PRIOR REGULATIONS.—Nothing in the amendments made by this section shall be construed to have any effect on part 10 of title 31, Code of Federal Regulations, or any other Federal rule or regulation issued before the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 370. FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SERVICE FEES.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Financial Management Service may charge the Internal Revenue Service, and the Internal Revenue Service may pay the Financial Management Service, a fee sufficient to cover the full cost of implementing a continuous levy program under subsection (h) of section 6331 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. Any such fee shall be based on actual levies made and shall be collected by the Financial Management Service by the retention of a portion of amounts collected by levy pursuant to that subsection. Amounts received by the Financial Management Service as fees under that subsection shall be deposited into the account of the Department of the Treasury under section 3711(g)(7) of title 31, United States Code, and shall be collected and accounted for in accordance with the provisions of that section. The amount credited against the taxpayer's liability on account of the continuous levy shall be the amount levied, without reduction for the amount paid to the Financial Management Service as a fee.

SEC. 371. EXTENSION OF INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE USER FEES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 77 (relating to miscellaneous provisions) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 7529. INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE USER FEES.

“(a) GENERAL RULE.—The Secretary shall establish a program requiring the payment of user fees for—

“(1) requests to the Internal Revenue Service for ruling letters, opinion letters, and determination letters, and

“(2) other similar requests.

“(b) PROGRAM CRITERIA.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The fees charged under the program required by subsection (a)—

“(A) shall vary according to categories (or subcategories) established by the Secretary,

“(B) shall be determined after taking into account the average time for (and difficulty of) complying with requests in each category (and subcategory), and

“(C) shall be payable in advance.

“(2) EXEMPTIONS, ETC.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall provide for such exemptions (and reduced fees) under such program as the Secretary determines to be appropriate.

“(B) EXEMPTION FOR CERTAIN REQUESTS REGARDING PENSION PLANS.—The Secretary shall not require payment of user fees under such program for requests for determination

letters with respect to the qualified status of a pension benefit plan maintained solely by 1 or more eligible employers or any trust which is part of the plan. The preceding sentence shall not apply to any request—

“(i) made after the later of—
“(I) the fifth plan year the pension benefit plan is in existence, or
“(II) the end of any remedial amendment period with respect to the plan beginning within the first 5 plan years, or
“(ii) made by the sponsor of any prototype or similar plan which the sponsor intends to market to participating employers.

“(C) DEFINITIONS AND SPECIAL RULES.—For purposes of subparagraph (B)—

“(i) PENSION BENEFIT PLAN.—The term ‘pension benefit plan’ means a pension, profit-sharing, stock bonus, annuity, or employee stock ownership plan.

“(ii) ELIGIBLE EMPLOYER.—The term ‘eligible employer’ means an eligible employer (as defined in section 408(p)(2)(C)(i)(I)) which has at least 1 employee who is not a highly compensated employee (as defined in section 414(q)) and is participating in the plan. The determination of whether an employer is an eligible employer under subparagraph (B) shall be made as of the date of the request described in such subparagraph.

“(iii) DETERMINATION OF AVERAGE FEES CHARGED.—For purposes of any determination of average fees charged, any request to which subparagraph (B) applies shall not be taken into account.

“(3) AVERAGE FEE REQUIREMENT.—The average fee charged under the program required by subsection (a) shall not be less than the amount determined under the following table:

Category	Average Fee
Employee plan ruling and opinion ..	\$250
Exempt organization ruling	\$350
Employee plan determination	\$300
Exempt organization determination.	\$275
Chief counsel ruling	\$200.

“(c) TERMINATION.—No fee shall be imposed under this section with respect to requests made after September 30, 2013.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—
(1) The table of sections for chapter 77 is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“Sec. 7529. Internal Revenue Service user fees.”

(2) Section 10511 of the Revenue Act of 1987 is repealed.

(3) Section 620 of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 is repealed.

(c) LIMITATIONS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any fees collected pursuant to section 7527 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as added by subsection (a), shall not be expended by the Internal Revenue Service unless provided by an appropriations Act.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to requests made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

Subtitle F—Low-Income Taxpayer Clinics

SEC. 381. LOW-INCOME TAXPAYER CLINICS.

(a) LIMITATION ON AMOUNT OF GRANTS.—Paragraph (1) of section 7526(c) (relating to special rules and limitations) is amended by striking “\$6,000,000 per year” and inserting “\$9,000,000 for 2004, \$12,000,000 for 2005, and \$15,000,000 for each year thereafter”.

(b) PROMOTION OF CLINICS.—Section 7526(c) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) PROMOTION OF CLINICS.—The Secretary is authorized to promote the benefits of and

encourage the use of low-income taxpayer clinics through the use of mass communications, referrals, and other means.”

(c) USE OF GRANTS FOR OVERHEAD EXPENSES PROHIBITED.—Section 7526(c), as amended by subsection (b), is further amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(7) USE OF GRANTS FOR OVERHEAD EXPENSES PROHIBITED.—No grant made under this section may be used for the general overhead expenses of any institution sponsoring a qualified low-income taxpayer clinic.”

(d) ELIGIBLE CLINICS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (2) of section 7526(b) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) ELIGIBLE CLINIC.—The term ‘eligible clinic’ means—

“(A) any clinical program at an accredited law, business, or accounting school in which students represent low-income taxpayers in controversies arising under this title; and
“(B) any organization described in section 501(c) and exempt from tax under section 501(a) which satisfies the requirements of paragraph (1) through representation of taxpayers or referral of taxpayers to qualified representatives.”

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subparagraph (A) of section 7526(b)(1) is amended by striking “means a clinic” and inserting “means an eligible clinic”.

SEC. 382. MATCHING GRANTS TO LOW INCOME RETURN PREPARATION CLINICS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 77 (relating to miscellaneous provisions) is amended by inserting after section 7526 the following new section:

“SEC. 7526A. LOW INCOME RETURN PREPARATION CLINICS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may, subject to the availability of appropriated funds, make grants to provide matching funds for the development, expansion, or continuation of qualified return preparation clinics.

“(b) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) QUALIFIED RETURN PREPARATION CLINIC.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘qualified return preparation clinic’ means an eligible clinic which—

“(i) does not charge more than a nominal fee for its services (except for reimbursement of actual costs incurred), and
“(ii) operates programs which assist low-income taxpayers in preparing and filing their Federal income tax returns, including schedules reporting sole proprietorship or farm income.

“(B) ASSISTANCE TO LOW-INCOME TAXPAYERS.—A clinic is treated as assisting low-income taxpayers under subparagraph (A)(ii) if at least 90 percent of the taxpayers assisted by the clinic have incomes which do not exceed 250 percent of the poverty level, as determined in accordance with criteria established by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.

“(2) ELIGIBLE CLINIC.—The term ‘eligible clinic’ includes—

“(A) a clinical program at an eligible educational institution (as defined in section 529(e)(5)) which satisfies the requirements of paragraph (1) through student assistance of taxpayers in return preparation and filing, and
“(B) an organization described in section 501(c) and exempt from tax under section 501(a) which satisfies the requirements of paragraph (1).

“(c) SPECIAL RULES AND LIMITATIONS.—

“(1) AGGREGATE LIMITATION.—Unless otherwise provided by specific appropriation, the Secretary shall not allocate more than

\$10,000,000 per year (exclusive of costs of administering the program) to grants under this section.

“(2) OTHER APPLICABLE RULES.—Rules similar to the rules under paragraphs (2) through (7) of section 7526(c) shall apply with respect to the awarding of grants to qualified return preparation clinics.”

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 77 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 7526 the following new item:

“Sec. 7526A. Low income return preparation clinics.”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to grants made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

TITLE IV—CHILD TAX CREDIT

SEC. 401. ACCELERATION OF INCREASE IN REFUNDABILITY OF THE CHILD TAX CREDIT.

(a) ACCELERATION OF REFUNDABILITY.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 24(d)(1)(B)(i) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (relating to portion of credit refundable) is amended by striking “(10 percent in the case of taxable years beginning before January 1, 2005)”.

(2) ADVANCE PAYMENT.—Subsection (b) of section 6429 of such Code (relating to advance payment of portion of increased child credit for 2003) is amended by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (2), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (3) and inserting “, and”, and by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) section 24(d)(1)(B)(i) applied without regard to the first parenthetical therein.”

(3) EARNED INCOME INCLUDES COMBAT PAY.—Section 24(d)(1) of such Code is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: “For purposes of subparagraph (B), any amount excluded from gross income by reason of section 112 shall be treated as earned income which is taken into account in computing taxable income for the taxable year.”

(b) EFFECTIVE DATES.—
(1) SUBSECTIONS (a)(1) AND (a)(3).—The amendments made by subsections (a)(1) and (a)(3) shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2002.

(2) SUBSECTION (a)(2).—The amendments made by subsection (a)(2) shall take effect as if included in the amendments made by section 101(b) of the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003.

SEC. 402. REDUCTION IN MARRIAGE PENALTY IN CHILD TAX CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 24(b)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (defining threshold amount) is amended—

(1) by inserting “(\$115,000 for taxable years beginning in 2008 or 2009, and \$150,000 for taxable years beginning in 2010)” after “\$110,000”, and

(2) by striking “\$55,000” in subparagraph (C) and inserting “½ of the amount in effect under subparagraph (A)”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2002.

SEC. 403. APPLICATION OF EGTRRA SUNSET TO THIS SECTION.

Each amendment made by this title shall be subject to title IX of the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 to the same extent and in the same manner as the provision of such Act to which such amendment relates.

TITLE V—UNIFORM DEFINITION OF CHILD

SEC. 501. UNIFORM DEFINITION OF CHILD, ETC.

Section 152 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended to read as follows:

SEC. 152. DEPENDENT DEFINED.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of this subtitle, the term ‘dependent’ means—

- “(1) a qualifying child, or
- “(2) a qualifying relative.

“(b) EXCEPTIONS.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) DEPENDENTS INELIGIBLE.—If an individual is a dependent of a taxpayer for any taxable year of such taxpayer beginning in a calendar year, such individual shall be treated as having no dependents for any taxable year of such individual beginning in such calendar year.

“(2) MARRIED DEPENDENTS.—An individual shall not be treated as a dependent of a taxpayer under subsection (a) if such individual has made a joint return with the individual's spouse under section 6013 for the taxable year beginning in the calendar year in which the taxable year of the taxpayer begins.

“(3) CITIZENS OR NATIONALS OF OTHER COUNTRIES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘dependent’ does not include an individual who is not a citizen or national of the United States unless such individual is a resident of the United States or a country contiguous to the United States.

“(B) EXCEPTION FOR ADOPTED CHILD.—Subparagraph (A) shall not exclude any child of a taxpayer (within the meaning of subsection (f)(1)(B)) from the definition of ‘dependent’ if—

“(i) for the taxable year of the taxpayer, the child's principal place of abode is the home of the taxpayer, and

“(ii) the taxpayer is a citizen or national of the United States.

“(c) QUALIFYING CHILD.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘qualifying child’ means, with respect to any taxpayer for any taxable year, an individual—

“(A) who bears a relationship to the taxpayer described in paragraph (2),

“(B) who has the same principal place of abode as the taxpayer for more than one-half of such taxable year,

“(C) who meets the age requirements of paragraph (3), and

“(D) who has not provided over one-half of such individual's own support for the calendar year in which the taxable year of the taxpayer begins.

“(2) RELATIONSHIP TEST.—For purposes of paragraph (1)(A), an individual bears a relationship to the taxpayer described in this paragraph if such individual is—

“(A) a child of the taxpayer or a descendant of such a child, or

“(B) a brother, sister, stepbrother, or step-sister of the taxpayer or a descendant of any such relative.

“(3) AGE REQUIREMENTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of paragraph (1)(C), an individual meets the requirements of this paragraph if such individual—

“(i) has not attained the age of 19 as of the close of the calendar year in which the taxable year of the taxpayer begins, or

“(ii) is a student who has not attained the age of 24 as of the close of such calendar year.

“(B) SPECIAL RULE FOR DISABLED.—In the case of an individual who is permanently and totally disabled (as defined in section 22(e)(3)) at any time during such calendar year, the requirements of subparagraph (A) shall be treated as met with respect to such individual.

“(4) SPECIAL RULE RELATING TO 2 OR MORE CLAIMING QUALIFYING CHILD.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B) and subsection (e), if (but for this paragraph) an individual may be and is claimed as a qualifying child by 2 or more taxpayers for a taxable year beginning in the

same calendar year, such individual shall be treated as the qualifying child of the taxpayer who is—

“(i) a parent of the individual, or

“(ii) if clause (i) does not apply, the taxpayer with the highest adjusted gross income for such taxable year.

“(B) MORE THAN 1 PARENT CLAIMING QUALIFYING CHILD.—If the parents claiming any qualifying child do not file a joint return together, such child shall be treated as the qualifying child of—

“(i) the parent with whom the child resided for the longest period of time during the taxable year, or

“(ii) if the child resides with both parents for the same amount of time during such taxable year, the parent with the highest adjusted gross income.

“(d) QUALIFYING RELATIVE.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘qualifying relative’ means, with respect to any taxpayer for any taxable year, an individual—

“(A) who bears a relationship to the taxpayer described in paragraph (2),

“(B) whose gross income for the calendar year in which such taxable year begins is less than the exemption amount (as defined in section 151(d)),

“(C) with respect to whom the taxpayer provides over one-half of the individual's support for the calendar year in which such taxable year begins, and

“(D) who is not a qualifying child of such taxpayer or of any other taxpayer for any taxable year beginning in the calendar year in which such taxable year begins.

“(2) RELATIONSHIP.—For purposes of paragraph (1)(A), an individual bears a relationship to the taxpayer described in this paragraph if the individual is any of the following with respect to the taxpayer:

“(A) A child or a descendant of a child.

“(B) A brother, sister, stepbrother, or step-sister.

“(C) The father or mother, or an ancestor of either.

“(D) A stepfather or stepmother.

“(E) A son or daughter of a brother or sister of the taxpayer.

“(F) A brother or sister of the father or mother of the taxpayer.

“(G) A son-in-law, daughter-in-law, father-in-law, mother-in-law, brother-in-law, or sister-in-law.

“(H) An individual (other than an individual who at any time during the taxable year was the spouse, determined without regard to section 7703, of the taxpayer) who, for the taxable year of the taxpayer, has as such individual's principal place of abode the home of the taxpayer and is a member of the taxpayer's household.

“(3) SPECIAL RULE RELATING TO MULTIPLE SUPPORT AGREEMENTS.—For purposes of paragraph (1)(C), over one-half of the support of an individual for a calendar year shall be treated as received from the taxpayer if—

“(A) no one person contributed over one-half of such support,

“(B) over one-half of such support was received from 2 or more persons each of whom, but for the fact that any such person alone did not contribute over one-half of such support, would have been entitled to claim such individual as a dependent for a taxable year beginning in such calendar year,

“(C) the taxpayer contributed over 10 percent of such support, and

“(D) each person described in subparagraph (B) (other than the taxpayer) who contributed over 10 percent of such support files a written declaration (in such manner and form as the Secretary may by regulations prescribe) that such person will not claim such individual as a dependent for any taxable year beginning in such calendar year.

“(4) SPECIAL RULE RELATING TO INCOME OF HANDICAPPED DEPENDENTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of paragraph (1)(B), the gross income of an individual who is permanently and totally disabled (as defined in section 22(e)(3)) at any time during the taxable year shall not include income attributable to services performed by the individual at a sheltered workshop if—

“(i) the availability of medical care at such workshop is the principal reason for the individual's presence there, and

“(ii) the income arises solely from activities at such workshop which are incident to such medical care.

“(B) SHELTERED WORKSHOP DEFINED.—For purposes of subparagraph (A), the term ‘sheltered workshop’ means a school—

“(i) which provides special instruction or training designed to alleviate the disability of the individual, and

“(ii) which is operated by an organization described in section 501(c)(3) and exempt from tax under section 501(a), or by a State, a possession of the United States, any political subdivision of any of the foregoing, the United States, or the District of Columbia.

“(5) SPECIAL SUPPORT TEST IN CASE OF STUDENTS.—For purposes of paragraph (1)(C), in the case of an individual who is—

“(A) a child of the taxpayer, and

“(B) a student,

amounts received as scholarships for study at an educational organization described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(ii) shall not be taken into account in determining whether such individual received more than one-half of such individual's support from the taxpayer.

“(6) SPECIAL RULES FOR SUPPORT.—For purposes of this subsection—

“(A) payments to a spouse which are includable in the gross income of such spouse under section 71 or 682 shall not be treated as a payment by the payor spouse for the support of any dependent,

“(B) amounts expended for the support of a child or children shall be treated as received from the noncustodial parent (as defined in subsection (e)(3)(B)) to the extent that such parent provided amounts for such support, and

“(C) in the case of the remarriage of a parent, support of a child received from the parent's spouse shall be treated as received from the parent.

“(e) SPECIAL RULE FOR DIVORCED PARENTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding subsection (c)(4) or (d)(1)(C), if—

“(A) a child receives over one-half of the child's support during the calendar year from the child's parents—

“(i) who are divorced or legally separated under a decree of divorce or separate maintenance,

“(ii) who are separated under a written separation agreement, or

“(iii) who live apart at all times during the last 6 months of the calendar year, and

“(B) such child is in the custody of 1 or both of the child's parents for more than ½ of the calendar year,

such child shall be treated as being the qualifying child or qualifying relative of the noncustodial parent for a calendar year if the requirements described in paragraph (2) are met.

“(2) REQUIREMENTS.—For purposes of paragraph (1), the requirements described in this paragraph are met if—

“(A) a decree of divorce or separate maintenance or written separation agreement between the parents applicable to the taxable year beginning in such calendar year provides that—

“(i) the noncustodial parent shall be entitled to any deduction allowable under section 151 for such child, or

“(ii) the custodial parent will sign a written declaration (in such manner and form as the Secretary may prescribe) that such parent will not claim such child as a dependent for such taxable year, and

“(B) in the case of such an agreement executed before January 1, 1985, the noncustodial parent provides at least \$600 for the support of such child during such calendar year.

“(3) CUSTODIAL PARENT AND NONCUSTODIAL PARENT.—For purposes of this subsection—

“(A) CUSTODIAL PARENT.—The term ‘custodial parent’ means the parent with whom a child shared the same principal place of abode for the greater portion of the calendar year.

“(B) NONCUSTODIAL PARENT.—The term ‘noncustodial parent’ means the parent who is not the custodial parent.

“(4) EXCEPTION FOR MULTIPLE-SUPPORT AGREEMENTS.—This subsection shall not apply in any case where over one-half of the support of the child is treated as having been received from a taxpayer under the provision of subsection (d)(3).

“(f) OTHER DEFINITIONS AND RULES.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) CHILD DEFINED.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘child’ means an individual who is—

“(i) a son, daughter, stepson, or stepdaughter of the taxpayer, or

“(ii) an eligible foster child of the taxpayer.

“(B) ADOPTED CHILD.—In determining whether any of the relationships specified in subparagraph (A)(i) or paragraph (4) exists, a legally adopted individual of the taxpayer, or an individual who is placed with the taxpayer by an authorized placement agency for adoption by the taxpayer, shall be treated as a child of such individual by blood.

“(C) ELIGIBLE FOSTER CHILD.—For purposes of subparagraph (A)(ii), the term ‘eligible foster child’ means an individual who is placed with the taxpayer by an authorized placement agency or by judgment, decree, or other order of any court of competent jurisdiction.

“(2) STUDENT DEFINED.—The term ‘student’ means an individual who during each of 5 calendar months during the calendar year in which the taxable year of the taxpayer begins—

“(A) is a full-time student at an educational organization described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(ii), or

“(B) is pursuing a full-time course of institutional on-farm training under the supervision of an accredited agent of an educational organization described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(ii) or of a State or political subdivision of a State.

“(3) PLACE OF ABODE.—An individual shall not be treated as having the same principal place of abode of the taxpayer if at any time during the taxable year of the taxpayer the relationship between the individual and the taxpayer is in violation of local law.

“(4) BROTHER AND SISTER.—The terms ‘brother’ and ‘sister’ include a brother or sister by the half blood.

“(5) TREATMENT OF MISSING CHILDREN.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Solely for the purposes referred to in subparagraph (B), a child of the taxpayer—

“(i) who is presumed by law enforcement authorities to have been kidnapped by someone who is not a member of the family of such child or the taxpayer, and

“(ii) who had, for the taxable year in which the kidnapping occurred, the same principal place of abode as the taxpayer for more than one-half of the portion of such year before the date of the kidnapping,

shall be treated as meeting the requirement of subsection (c)(1)(B) with respect to a taxpayer for all taxable years ending during the period that the individual is kidnapped.

“(B) PURPOSES.—Subparagraph (A) shall apply solely for purposes of determining—

“(i) the deduction under section 151(c),

“(ii) the credit under section 24 (relating to child tax credit),

“(iii) whether an individual is a surviving spouse or a head of a household (as such terms are defined in section 2), and

“(iv) the earned income credit under section 32.

“(C) COMPARABLE TREATMENT OF CERTAIN QUALIFYING RELATIVES.—For purposes of this section, a child of the taxpayer—

“(i) who is presumed by law enforcement authorities to have been kidnapped by someone who is not a member of the family of such child or the taxpayer, and

“(ii) who was (without regard to this paragraph) a qualifying relative of the taxpayer for the portion of the taxable year before the date of the kidnapping,

shall be treated as a qualifying relative of the taxpayer for all taxable years ending during the period that the child is kidnapped.

“(D) TERMINATION OF TREATMENT.—Subparagraphs (A) and (C) shall cease to apply as of the first taxable year of the taxpayer beginning after the calendar year in which there is a determination that the child is dead (or, if earlier, in which the child would have attained age 18).

“(6) CROSS REFERENCES.—

“**For provision treating child as dependent of both parents for purposes of certain provisions, see sections 105(b), 132(h)(2)(B), and 213(d)(5).**”

SEC. 502. MODIFICATIONS OF DEFINITION OF HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD.

(a) HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD.—Clause (i) of section 2(b)(1)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended to read as follows:

“(i) a qualifying child of the individual (as defined in section 152(c), determined without regard to section 152(e)), but not if such child—

“(I) is married at the close of the taxpayer’s taxable year, and

“(II) is not a dependent of such individual by reason of section 152(b)(2) or 152(b)(3), or both, or”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 2(b)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by striking subparagraph (A) and by redesignating subparagraphs (B), (C), and (D) as subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C), respectively.

(2) Clauses (i) and (ii) of section 2(b)(3)(B) of such Code are amended to read as follows:

“(i) subparagraph (H) of section 152(d)(2), or

“(ii) paragraph (3) of section 152(d).”

SEC. 503. MODIFICATIONS OF DEPENDENT CARE CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 21(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by striking “In the case of an individual who maintains a household which includes as a member one or more qualifying individuals (as defined in subsection (b)(1))” and inserting “In the case of an individual for which there are 1 or more qualifying individuals (as defined in subsection (b)(1)) with respect to such individual”.

(b) QUALIFYING INDIVIDUAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 21(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended to read as follows:

“(1) QUALIFYING INDIVIDUAL.—The term ‘qualifying individual’ means—

“(A) a dependent of the taxpayer (as defined in section 152(a)(1)) who has not attained age 13,

“(B) a dependent of the taxpayer who is physically or mentally incapable of caring

for himself or herself and who has the same principal place of abode as the taxpayer for more than one-half of such taxable year, or

“(C) the spouse of the taxpayer, if the spouse is physically or mentally incapable of caring for himself or herself and who has the same principal place of abode as the taxpayer for more than one-half of such taxable year.”

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Paragraph (1) of section 21(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended to read as follows:

“(1) PLACE OF ABODE.—An individual shall not be treated as having the same principal place of abode of the taxpayer if at any time during the taxable year of the taxpayer the relationship between the individual and the taxpayer is in violation of local law.”

SEC. 504. MODIFICATIONS OF CHILD TAX CREDIT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 24(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended to read as follows:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘qualifying child’ means a qualifying child of the taxpayer (as defined in section 152(c)) who has not attained age 17.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 24(c)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by striking “the first sentence of section 152(b)(3)” and inserting “subparagraph (A) of section 152(b)(3)”.

SEC. 505. MODIFICATIONS OF EARNED INCOME CREDIT.

(a) QUALIFYING CHILD.—Paragraph (3) of section 32(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended to read as follows:

“(3) QUALIFYING CHILD.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘qualifying child’ means a qualifying child of the taxpayer (as defined in section 152(c), determined without regard to paragraph (1)(D) thereof and section 152(e)).

“(B) MARRIED INDIVIDUAL.—The term ‘qualifying child’ shall not include an individual who is married as of the close of the taxpayer’s taxable year unless the taxpayer is entitled to a deduction under section 151 for such taxable year with respect to such individual (or would be so entitled but for section 152(e)).

“(C) PLACE OF ABODE.—For purposes of subparagraph (A), the requirements of section 152(c)(1)(B) shall be met only if the principal place of abode is in the United States.

“(D) IDENTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—A qualifying child shall not be taken into account under subsection (b) unless the taxpayer includes the name, age, and TIN of the qualifying child on the return of tax for the taxable year.

“(ii) OTHER METHODS.—The Secretary may prescribe other methods for providing the information described in clause (i).”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 32(c)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by striking subparagraph (C) and by redesignating subparagraphs (D), (E), (F), and (G) as subparagraphs (C), (D), (E), and (F), respectively.

(2) Section 32(c)(4) of such Code is amended by striking “(3)(E)” and inserting “(3)(C)”.

(3) Section 32(m) of such Code is amended by striking “subsections (c)(1)(F)” and inserting “subsections (c)(1)(E)”.

SEC. 506. MODIFICATIONS OF DEDUCTION FOR PERSONAL EXEMPTION FOR DEPENDENTS.

Subsection (c) of section 151 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended to read as follows:

“(c) ADDITIONAL EXEMPTION FOR DEPENDENTS.—An exemption of the exemption amount for each individual who is a dependent (as defined in section 152) of the taxpayer for the taxable year.”

SEC. 507. TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.

(1) Section 2(a)(1)(B)(i) of such Code is amended by inserting “, determined without

regard to subsections (b)(1), (b)(2), and (d)(1)(B) thereof" after "section 152".

(2) Section 21(e)(5) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended—

(A) by striking "paragraph (2) or (4) of" in subparagraph (A), and

(B) by striking "within the meaning of section 152(e)(1)" and inserting "as defined in section 152(e)(3)(A)".

(3) Section 21(e)(6)(B) of such Code is amended by striking "section 151(c)(3)" and inserting "section 152(f)(1)".

(4) Section 25B(c)(2)(B) of such Code is amended by striking "151(c)(4)" and inserting "152(f)(2)".

(5)(A) Subparagraphs (A) and (B) of section 51(i)(1) of such Code are each amended by striking "paragraphs (1) through (8) of section 152(a)" both places it appears and inserting "subparagraphs (A) through (G) of section 152(d)(2)".

(B) Section 51(i)(1)(C) of such Code is amended by striking "152(a)(9)" and inserting "152(d)(2)(H)".

(6) Section 72(t)(2)(D)(i)(III) of such Code is amended by inserting ", determined without regard to subsections (b)(1), (b)(2), and (d)(1)(B) thereof" after "section 152".

(7) Section 72(t)(7)(A)(iii) of such Code is amended by striking "151(c)(3)" and inserting "152(f)(1)".

(8) Section 42(i)(3)(D)(ii)(I) of such Code is amended by inserting ", determined without regard to subsections (b)(1), (b)(2), and (d)(1)(B) thereof" after "section 152".

(9) Subsections (b) and (c)(1) of section 105 of such Code are amended by inserting ", determined without regard to subsections (b)(1), (b)(2), and (d)(1)(B) thereof" after "section 152".

(10) Section 120(d)(4) of such Code is amended by inserting "(determined without regard to subsections (b)(1), (b)(2), and (d)(1)(B) thereof)" after "section 152".

(11) Section 125(e)(1)(D) of such Code is amended by inserting ", determined without regard to subsections (b)(1), (b)(2), and (d)(1)(B) thereof" after "section 152".

(12) Section 129(c)(2) of such Code is amended by striking "151(c)(3)" and inserting "152(f)(1)".

(13) The first sentence of section 132(h)(2)(B) of such Code is amended by striking "151(c)(3)" and inserting "152(f)(1)".

(14) Section 153 of such Code is amended by striking paragraph (1) and by redesignating paragraphs (2), (3), and (4) as paragraphs (1), (2), and (3), respectively.

(15) Section 170(g)(1) of such Code is amended by inserting "(determined without regard to subsections (b)(1), (b)(2), and (d)(1)(B) thereof)" after "section 152".

(16) Section 170(g)(3) of such Code is amended by striking "paragraphs (1) through (8) of section 152(a)" and inserting "subparagraphs (A) through (G) of section 152(d)(2)".

(17) Section 213(a) of such Code is amended by inserting ", determined without regard to subsections (b)(1), (b)(2), and (d)(1)(B) thereof" after "section 152".

(18) The second sentence of section 213(d)(11) of such Code is amended by striking "paragraphs (1) through (8) of section 152(a)" and inserting "subparagraphs (A) through (G) of section 152(d)(2)".

(19) Section 220(d)(2)(A) of such Code is amended by inserting ", determined without regard to subsections (b)(1), (b)(2), and (d)(1)(B) thereof" after "section 152".

(20) Section 221(d)(4) of such Code is amended by inserting "(determined without regard to subsections (b)(1), (b)(2), and (d)(1)(B) thereof)" after "section 152".

(21) Section 529(e)(2)(B) of such Code is amended by striking "paragraphs (1) through (8) of section 152(a)" and inserting "subparagraphs (A) through (G) of section 152(d)(2)".

(22) Section 2032A(c)(7)(D) of such Code is amended by striking "section 151(c)(4)" and inserting "section 152(f)(2)".

(23) Section 2057(d)(2)(B) of such Code is amended by inserting ", determined without regard to subsections (b)(1), (b)(2), and (d)(1)(B) thereof" after "section 152".

(24) Section 7701(a)(17) of such Code is amended by striking "152(b)(4), 682," and inserting "682".

(25) Section 7702B(f)(2)(C)(iii) of such Code is amended by striking "paragraphs (1) through (8) of section 152(a)" and inserting "subparagraphs (A) through (G) of section 152(d)(2)".

(26) Section 7703(b)(1) of such Code is amended—

(A) by striking "151(c)(3)" and inserting "152(f)(1)", and

(B) by striking "paragraph (2) or (4) of".

SEC. 508. EFFECTIVE DATE.

The amendments made by this title shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2003.

TITLE VI—IMPROVING TAX EQUITY FOR MILITARY PERSONNEL

SEC. 601. EXCLUSION OF GAIN FROM SALE OF A PRINCIPAL RESIDENCE BY A MEMBER OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES OR THE FOREIGN SERVICE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (d) of section 121 (relating to exclusion of gain from sale of principal residence) is amended by redesignating paragraph (9) as paragraph (10) and by inserting after paragraph (8) the following new paragraph:

"(9) MEMBERS OF UNIFORMED SERVICES AND FOREIGN SERVICE.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—At the election of an individual with respect to a property, the running of the 5-year period described in subsections (a) and (c)(1)(B) and paragraph (7) of this subsection with respect to such property shall be suspended during any period that such individual or such individual's spouse is serving on qualified official extended duty as a member of the uniformed services or of the Foreign Service of the United States.

"(B) MAXIMUM PERIOD OF SUSPENSION.—The 5-year period described in subsection (a) shall not be extended more than 10 years by reason of subparagraph (A).

"(C) QUALIFIED OFFICIAL EXTENDED DUTY.—For purposes of this paragraph—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—The term 'qualified official extended duty' means any extended duty while serving at a duty station which is at least 50 miles from such property or while residing under Government orders in Government quarters.

"(ii) UNIFORMED SERVICES.—The term 'uniformed services' has the meaning given such term by section 101(a)(5) of title 10, United States Code, as in effect on the date of the enactment of this paragraph.

"(iii) FOREIGN SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES.—The term 'member of the Foreign Service of the United States' has the meaning given the term 'member of the Service' by paragraph (1), (2), (3), (4), or (5) of section 103 of the Foreign Service Act of 1980, as in effect on the date of the enactment of this paragraph.

"(iv) EXTENDED DUTY.—The term 'extended duty' means any period of active duty pursuant to a call or order to such duty for a period in excess of 90 days or for an indefinite period.

"(D) SPECIAL RULES RELATING TO ELECTION.—

"(i) ELECTION LIMITED TO 1 PROPERTY AT A TIME.—An election under subparagraph (A) with respect to any property may not be made if such an election is in effect with respect to any other property.

"(ii) REVOCATION OF ELECTION.—An election under subparagraph (A) may be revoked at any time."

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE; SPECIAL RULE.—

(1) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect as if included in the amendments made by section 312 of the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997.

(2) WAIVER OF LIMITATIONS.—If refund or credit of any overpayment of tax resulting from the amendments made by this section is prevented at any time before the close of the 1-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act by the operation of any law or rule of law (including res judicata), such refund or credit may nevertheless be made or allowed if claim therefor is filed before the close of such period.

SEC. 602. EXCLUSION FROM GROSS INCOME OF CERTAIN DEATH GRATUITY PAYMENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (b)(3) of section 134 (relating to certain military benefits) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

"(C) EXCEPTION FOR DEATH GRATUITY ADJUSTMENTS MADE BY LAW.—Subparagraph (A) shall not apply to any adjustment to the amount of death gratuity payable under chapter 75 of title 10, United States Code, which is pursuant to a provision of law enacted after September 9, 1986."

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subparagraph (A) of section 134(b)(3) is amended by striking "subparagraph (B)" and inserting "subparagraphs (B) and (C)".

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to deaths occurring after September 10, 2001.

SEC. 603. EXCLUSION FOR AMOUNTS RECEIVED UNDER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 132(a) (relating to the exclusion from gross income of certain fringe benefits) is amended by striking "or" at the end of paragraph (6), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (7) and inserting ", or", and by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(8) qualified military base realignment and closure fringe."

(b) QUALIFIED MILITARY BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE FRINGE.—Section 132 is amended by redesignating subsection (n) as subsection (o) and by inserting after subsection (m) the following new subsection:

"(n) QUALIFIED MILITARY BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE FRINGE.—For purposes of this section—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—The term 'qualified military base realignment and closure fringe' means 1 or more payments under the authority of section 1013 of the Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 3374) (as in effect on the date of the enactment of this subsection) to offset the adverse effects on housing values as a result of a military base realignment or closure.

"(2) LIMITATION.—With respect to any property, such term shall not include any payment referred to in paragraph (1) to the extent that the sum of all of such payments related to such property exceeds the maximum amount described in clause (1) of subsection (c) of such section (as in effect on such date)."

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to payments made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 604. EXPANSION OF COMBAT ZONE FILING RULES TO CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 7508(a) (relating to time for performing certain acts postponed by reason of service in combat zone) is amended—

(1) by inserting “, or when deployed outside the United States away from the individual’s permanent duty station while participating in an operation designated by the Secretary of Defense as a contingency operation (as defined in section 101(a)(13) of title 10, United States Code) or which became such a contingency operation by operation of law” after “section 112”.

(2) by inserting in the first sentence “or at any time during the period of such contingency operation” after “for purposes of such section”.

(3) by inserting “or operation” after “such an area”, and

(4) by inserting “or operation” after “such area”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 7508(d) is amended by inserting “or contingency operation” after “area”.

(2) The heading for section 7508 is amended by inserting “or contingency operation” after “combat zone”.

(3) The item relating to section 7508 in the table of sections for chapter 77 is amended by inserting “or contingency operation” after “combat zone”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to any period for performing an act which has not expired before the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 605. MODIFICATION OF MEMBERSHIP REQUIREMENT FOR EXEMPTION FROM TAX FOR CERTAIN VETERANS’ ORGANIZATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (B) of section 501(c)(19) (relating to list of exempt organizations) is amended by striking “or widowers” and inserting “, widowers, ancestors, or lineal descendants”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 606. CLARIFICATION OF THE TREATMENT OF CERTAIN DEPENDENT CARE ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 134(b) (defining qualified military benefit) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) CLARIFICATION OF CERTAIN BENEFITS.—For purposes of paragraph (1), such term includes any dependent care assistance program (as in effect on the date of the enactment of this paragraph) for any individual described in paragraph (1)(A).”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 134(b)(3)(A), as amended by section 602, is amended by inserting “and paragraph (4)” after “subparagraphs (B) and (C)”.

(2) Section 3121(a)(18) is amended by striking “or 129” and inserting “, 129, or 134(b)(4)”.

(3) Section 3306(b)(13) is amended by striking “or 129” and inserting “, 129, or 134(b)(4)”.

(4) Section 3401(a)(18) is amended by striking “or 129” and inserting “, 129, or 134(b)(4)”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2002.

(d) NO INFERENCE.—No inference may be drawn from the amendments made by this section with respect to the tax treatment of any amounts under the program described in section 134(b)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as added by this section) for any taxable year beginning before January 1, 2003.

SEC. 607. CLARIFICATION RELATING TO EXCEPTION FROM ADDITIONAL TAX ON CERTAIN DISTRIBUTIONS FROM QUALIFIED TUITION PROGRAMS, ETC. ON ACCOUNT OF ATTENDANCE AT MILITARY ACADEMY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subparagraph (B) of section 530(d)(4) (relating to exceptions from ad-

ditional tax for distributions not used for educational purposes) is amended by striking “or” at the end of clause (iii), by redesignating clause (iv) as clause (v), and by inserting after clause (iii) the following new clause:

“(iv) made on account of the attendance of the designated beneficiary at the United States Military Academy, the United States Naval Academy, the United States Air Force Academy, the United States Coast Guard Academy, or the United States Merchant Marine Academy, to the extent that the amount of the payment or distribution does not exceed the costs of advanced education (as defined by section 2005(e)(3) of title 10, United States Code, as in effect on the date of the enactment of this section) attributable to such attendance, or”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2002.

SEC. 608. SUSPENSION OF TAX-EXEMPT STATUS OF TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 501 (relating to exemption from tax on corporations, certain trusts, etc.) is amended by redesignating subsection (p) as subsection (q) and by inserting after subsection (o) the following new subsection:

“(p) SUSPENSION OF TAX-EXEMPT STATUS OF TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The exemption from tax under subsection (a) with respect to any organization described in paragraph (2), and the eligibility of any organization described in paragraph (2) to apply for recognition of exemption under subsection (a), shall be suspended during the period described in paragraph (3).

“(2) TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS.—An organization is described in this paragraph if such organization is designated or otherwise individually identified—

“(A) under section 212(a)(3)(B)(vi)(II) or 219 of the Immigration and Nationality Act as a terrorist organization or foreign terrorist organization,

“(B) in or pursuant to an Executive order which is related to terrorism and issued under the authority of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act or section 5 of the United Nations Participation Act of 1945 for the purpose of imposing on such organization an economic or other sanction, or

“(C) in or pursuant to an Executive order issued under the authority of any Federal law if—

“(i) the organization is designated or otherwise individually identified in or pursuant to such Executive order as supporting or engaging in terrorist activity (as defined in section 212(a)(3)(B) of the Immigration and Nationality Act) or supporting terrorism (as defined in section 140(d)(2) of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1988 and 1989); and

“(ii) such Executive order refers to this subsection.

“(3) PERIOD OF SUSPENSION.—With respect to any organization described in paragraph (2), the period of suspension—

“(A) begins on the later of—

“(i) the date of the first publication of a designation or identification described in paragraph (2) with respect to such organization, or

“(ii) the date of the enactment of this subsection, and

“(B) ends on the first date that all designations and identifications described in paragraph (2) with respect to such organization are rescinded pursuant to the law or Executive order under which such designation or identification was made.

“(4) DENIAL OF DEDUCTION.—No deduction shall be allowed under any provision of this title, including sections 170, 545(b)(2),

556(b)(2), 642(c), 2055, 2106(a)(2), and 2522, with respect to any contribution to an organization described in paragraph (2) during the period described in paragraph (3).

“(5) DENIAL OF ADMINISTRATIVE OR JUDICIAL CHALLENGE OF SUSPENSION OR DENIAL OF DEDUCTION.—Notwithstanding section 7428 or any other provision of law, no organization or other person may challenge a suspension under paragraph (1), a designation or identification described in paragraph (2), the period of suspension described in paragraph (3), or a denial of a deduction under paragraph (4) in any administrative or judicial proceeding relating to the Federal tax liability of such organization or other person.

“(6) ERRONEOUS DESIGNATION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—If—

“(i) the tax exemption of any organization described in paragraph (2) is suspended under paragraph (1),

“(ii) each designation and identification described in paragraph (2) which has been made with respect to such organization is determined to be erroneous pursuant to the law or Executive order under which such designation or identification was made, and

“(iii) the erroneous designations and identifications result in an overpayment of income tax for any taxable year by such organization,

credit or refund (with interest) with respect to such overpayment shall be made.

“(B) WAIVER OF LIMITATIONS.—If the credit or refund of any overpayment of tax described in subparagraph (A)(iii) is prevented at any time by the operation of any law or rule of law (including res judicata), such credit or refund may nevertheless be allowed or made if the claim therefor is filed before the close of the 1-year period beginning on the date of the last determination described in subparagraph (A)(ii).

“(7) NOTICE OF SUSPENSIONS.—If the tax exemption of any organization is suspended under this subsection, the Internal Revenue Service shall update the listings of tax-exempt organizations and shall publish appropriate notice to taxpayers of such suspension and of the fact that contributions to such organization are not deductible during the period of such suspension.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to designations made before, on, or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 609. ABOVE-THE-LINE DEDUCTION FOR OVERNIGHT TRAVEL EXPENSES OF NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE MEMBERS.

(a) DEDUCTION ALLOWED.—Section 162 (relating to certain trade or business expenses) is amended by redesignating subsection (p) as subsection (q) and inserting after subsection (o) the following new subsection:

“(p) TREATMENT OF EXPENSES OF MEMBERS OF RESERVE COMPONENT OF ARMED FORCES OF THE UNITED STATES.—For purposes of subsection (a)(2), in the case of an individual who performs services as a member of a reserve component of the Armed Forces of the United States at any time during the taxable year, such individual shall be deemed to be away from home in the pursuit of a trade or business for any period during which such individual is away from home in connection with such service.”.

(b) DEDUCTION ALLOWED WHETHER OR NOT TAXPAYER ELECTS TO ITEMIZE.—Section 62(a)(2) (relating to certain trade and business deductions of employees) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(E) CERTAIN EXPENSES OF MEMBERS OF RESERVE COMPONENTS OF THE ARMED FORCES OF THE UNITED STATES.—The deductions allowed by section 162 which consist of expenses, determined at a rate not in excess of the rates

for travel expenses (including per diem in lieu of subsistence) authorized for employees of agencies under subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code, paid or incurred by the taxpayer in connection with the performance of services by such taxpayer as a member of a reserve component of the Armed Forces of the United States for any period during which such individual is more than 100 miles away from home in connection with such services."

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts paid or incurred in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2002.

SEC. 610. TAX RELIEF AND ASSISTANCE FOR FAMILIES OF SPACE SHUTTLE COLUMBIA HEROES.

(a) **INCOME TAX RELIEF.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (d) of section 692 (relating to income taxes of members of Armed Forces and victims of certain terrorist attacks on death) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(5) **RELIEF WITH RESPECT TO ASTRONAUTS.**—The provisions of this subsection shall apply to any astronaut whose death occurs in the line of duty, except that paragraph (3)(B) shall be applied by using the date of the death of the astronaut rather than September 11, 2001."

(2) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—

(A) Section 5(b)(1) is amended by inserting ", astronauts," after "forces".

(B) Section 6013(f)(2)(B) is amended by inserting ", astronauts," after "Forces".

(3) **CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.**—

(A) The heading of section 692 is amended by inserting ", ASTRONAUTS," after "FORCES".

(B) The item relating to section 692 in the table of sections for part II of subchapter J of chapter 1 is amended by inserting ", astronauts," after "Forces".

(4) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply with respect to any astronaut whose death occurs after December 31, 2002.

(b) **DEATH BENEFIT RELIEF.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (i) of section 101 (relating to certain death benefits) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(4) **RELIEF WITH RESPECT TO ASTRONAUTS.**—The provisions of this subsection shall apply to any astronaut whose death occurs in the line of duty."

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The heading for subsection (i) of section 101 is amended by inserting "OR ASTRONAUTS" after "VICTIMS".

(3) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to amounts paid after December 31, 2002, with respect to deaths occurring after such date.

(c) **ESTATE TAX RELIEF.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 2201(b) (defining qualified decedent) is amended by striking "and" at the end of paragraph (1)(B), by striking the period at the end of paragraph (2) and inserting ", and", and by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(3) any astronaut whose death occurs in the line of duty."

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.**—

(A) The heading of section 2201 is amended by inserting ", DEATHS OF ASTRONAUTS," after "FORCES".

(B) The item relating to section 2201 in the table of sections for subchapter C of chapter 11 is amended by inserting ", deaths of astronauts," after "Forces".

(3) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply to estates of decedents dying after December 31, 2002.

TITLE VII—OTHER PROVISIONS

SEC. 701. REVISION OF TAX RULES ON EXPATRIATION.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subpart A of part II of subchapter N of chapter 1 is amended by inserting after section 877 the following new section:

"SEC. 877A. TAX RESPONSIBILITIES OF EXPATRIATION.

"(a) **GENERAL RULES.**—For purposes of this subtitle—

"(1) **MARK TO MARKET.**—Except as provided in subsections (d) and (f), all property of a covered expatriate to whom this section applies shall be treated as sold on the day before the expatriation date for its fair market value.

"(2) **RECOGNITION OF GAIN OR LOSS.**—In the case of any sale under paragraph (1)—

"(A) notwithstanding any other provision of this title, any gain arising from such sale shall be taken into account for the taxable year of the sale, and

"(B) any loss arising from such sale shall be taken into account for the taxable year of the sale to the extent otherwise provided by this title, except that section 1091 shall not apply to any such loss.

Proper adjustment shall be made in the amount of any gain or loss subsequently realized for gain or loss taken into account under the preceding sentence.

"(3) **EXCLUSION FOR CERTAIN GAIN.**—

"(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The amount which, but for this paragraph, would be includible in the gross income of any individual by reason of this section shall be reduced (but not below zero) by \$600,000. For purposes of this paragraph, allocable expatriation gain taken into account under subsection (f)(2) shall be treated in the same manner as an amount required to be includible in gross income.

"(B) **COST-OF-LIVING ADJUSTMENT.**—

"(i) **IN GENERAL.**—In the case of an expatriation date occurring in any calendar year after 2003, the \$600,000 amount under subparagraph (A) shall be increased by an amount equal to—

"(I) such dollar amount, multiplied by

"(II) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for such calendar year, determined by substituting 'calendar year 2002' for 'calendar year 1992' in subparagraph (B) thereof.

"(ii) **ROUNDING RULES.**—If any amount after adjustment under clause (i) is not a multiple of \$1,000, such amount shall be rounded to the next lower multiple of \$1,000.

"(4) **ELECTION TO CONTINUE TO BE TAXED AS UNITED STATES CITIZEN.**—

"(A) **IN GENERAL.**—If a covered expatriate elects the application of this paragraph—

"(i) this section (other than this paragraph and subsection (i)) shall not apply to the expatriate, but

"(ii) in the case of property to which this section would apply but for such election, the expatriate shall be subject to tax under this title in the same manner as if the individual were a United States citizen.

"(B) **REQUIREMENTS.**—Subparagraph (A) shall not apply to an individual unless the individual—

"(i) provides security for payment of tax in such form and manner, and in such amount, as the Secretary may require,

"(ii) consents to the waiver of any right of the individual under any treaty of the United States which would preclude assessment or collection of any tax which may be imposed by reason of this paragraph, and

"(iii) complies with such other requirements as the Secretary may prescribe.

"(C) **ELECTION.**—An election under subparagraph (A) shall apply to all property to which this section would apply but for the election and, once made, shall be irrev-

ocable. Such election shall also apply to property the basis of which is determined in whole or in part by reference to the property with respect to which the election was made.

"(b) **ELECTION TO DEFER TAX.**—

"(1) **IN GENERAL.**—If the taxpayer elects the application of this subsection with respect to any property treated as sold by reason of subsection (a), the payment of the additional tax attributable to such property shall be postponed until the due date of the return for the taxable year in which such property is disposed of (or, in the case of property disposed of in a transaction in which gain is not recognized in whole or in part, until such other date as the Secretary may prescribe).

"(2) **DETERMINATION OF TAX WITH RESPECT TO PROPERTY.**—For purposes of paragraph (1), the additional tax attributable to any property is an amount which bears the same ratio to the additional tax imposed by this chapter for the taxable year solely by reason of subsection (a) as the gain taken into account under subsection (a) with respect to such property bears to the total gain taken into account under subsection (a) with respect to all property to which subsection (a) applies.

"(3) **TERMINATION OF POSTPONEMENT.**—No tax may be postponed under this subsection later than the due date for the return of tax imposed by this chapter for the taxable year which includes the date of death of the expatriate (or, if earlier, the time that the security provided with respect to the property fails to meet the requirements of paragraph (4), unless the taxpayer corrects such failure within the time specified by the Secretary).

"(4) **SECURITY.**—

"(A) **IN GENERAL.**—No election may be made under paragraph (1) with respect to any property unless adequate security is provided to the Secretary with respect to such property.

"(B) **ADEQUATE SECURITY.**—For purposes of subparagraph (A), security with respect to any property shall be treated as adequate security if—

"(i) it is a bond in an amount equal to the deferred tax amount under paragraph (2) for the property, or

"(ii) the taxpayer otherwise establishes to the satisfaction of the Secretary that the security is adequate.

"(5) **WAIVER OF CERTAIN RIGHTS.**—No election may be made under paragraph (1) unless the taxpayer consents to the waiver of any right under any treaty of the United States which would preclude assessment or collection of any tax imposed by reason of this section.

"(6) **ELECTIONS.**—An election under paragraph (1) shall only apply to property described in the election and, once made, is irrevocable. An election may be made under paragraph (1) with respect to an interest in a trust with respect to which gain is required to be recognized under subsection (f)(1).

"(7) **INTEREST.**—For purposes of section 6601—

"(A) the last date for the payment of tax shall be determined without regard to the election under this subsection, and

"(B) section 6621(a)(2) shall be applied by substituting '5 percentage points' for '3 percentage points' in subparagraph (B) thereof.

"(c) **COVERED EXPATRIATE.**—For purposes of this section—

"(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the term 'covered expatriate' means an expatriate.

"(2) **EXCEPTIONS.**—An individual shall not be treated as a covered expatriate if—

"(A) the individual—

"(i) became at birth a citizen of the United States and a citizen of another country and, as of the expatriation date, continues to be a

citizen of, and is taxed as a resident of, such other country, and

“(ii) has not been a resident of the United States (as defined in section 7701(b)(1)(A)(ii)) during the 5 taxable years ending with the taxable year during which the expatriation date occurs, or

“(B)(i) the individual’s relinquishment of United States citizenship occurs before such individual attains age 18½, and

“(ii) the individual has been a resident of the United States (as so defined) for not more than 5 taxable years before the date of relinquishment.

“(d) EXEMPT PROPERTY; SPECIAL RULES FOR PENSION PLANS.—

“(1) EXEMPT PROPERTY.—This section shall not apply to the following:

“(A) UNITED STATES REAL PROPERTY INTERESTS.—Any United States real property interest (as defined in section 897(c)(1)), other than stock of a United States real property holding corporation which does not, on the day before the expatriation date, meet the requirements of section 897(c)(2).

“(B) SPECIFIED PROPERTY.—Any property or interest in property not described in subparagraph (A) which the Secretary specifies in regulations.

“(2) SPECIAL RULES FOR CERTAIN RETIREMENT PLANS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—If a covered expatriate holds on the day before the expatriation date any interest in a retirement plan to which this paragraph applies—

“(i) such interest shall not be treated as sold for purposes of subsection (a)(1), but

“(ii) an amount equal to the present value of the expatriate’s nonforfeitable accrued benefit shall be treated as having been received by such individual on such date as a distribution under the plan.

“(B) TREATMENT OF SUBSEQUENT DISTRIBUTIONS.—In the case of any distribution on or after the expatriation date to or on behalf of the covered expatriate from a plan from which the expatriate was treated as receiving a distribution under subparagraph (A), the amount otherwise includible in gross income by reason of the subsequent distribution shall be reduced by the excess of the amount includible in gross income under subparagraph (A) over any portion of such amount to which this subparagraph previously applied.

“(C) TREATMENT OF SUBSEQUENT DISTRIBUTIONS BY PLAN.—For purposes of this title, a retirement plan to which this paragraph applies, and any person acting on the plan’s behalf, shall treat any subsequent distribution described in subparagraph (B) in the same manner as such distribution would be treated without regard to this paragraph.

“(D) APPLICABLE PLANS.—This paragraph shall apply to—

“(i) any qualified retirement plan (as defined in section 4974(c)),

“(ii) an eligible deferred compensation plan (as defined in section 457(b)) of an eligible employer described in section 457(e)(1)(A), and

“(iii) to the extent provided in regulations, any foreign pension plan or similar retirement arrangements or programs.

“(e) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section—

“(1) EXPATRIATE.—The term ‘expatriate’ means—

“(A) any United States citizen who relinquishes citizenship, and

“(B) any long-term resident of the United States who—

“(i) ceases to be a lawful permanent resident of the United States (within the meaning of section 7701(b)(6)), or

“(ii) commences to be treated as a resident of a foreign country under the provisions of a tax treaty between the United States and

the foreign country and who does not waive the benefits of such treaty applicable to residents of the foreign country.

“(2) EXPATRIATION DATE.—The term ‘expatriation date’ means—

“(A) the date an individual relinquishes United States citizenship, or

“(B) in the case of a long-term resident of the United States, the date of the event described in clause (i) or (ii) of paragraph (1)(B).

“(3) RELINQUISHMENT OF CITIZENSHIP.—A citizen shall be treated as relinquishing United States citizenship on the earliest of—

“(A) the date the individual renounces such individual’s United States nationality before a diplomatic or consular officer of the United States pursuant to paragraph (5) of section 349(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1481(a)(5)),

“(B) the date the individual furnishes to the United States Department of State a signed statement of voluntary relinquishment of United States nationality confirming the performance of an act of expatriation specified in paragraph (1), (2), (3), or (4) of section 349(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1481(a)(1)-(4)),

“(C) the date the United States Department of State issues to the individual a certificate of loss of nationality, or

“(D) the date a court of the United States cancels a naturalized citizen’s certificate of naturalization.

Subparagraph (A) or (B) shall not apply to any individual unless the renunciation or voluntary relinquishment is subsequently approved by the issuance to the individual of a certificate of loss of nationality by the United States Department of State.

“(4) LONG-TERM RESIDENT.—The term ‘long-term resident’ has the meaning given to such term by section 877(e)(2).

“(f) SPECIAL RULES APPLICABLE TO BENEFICIARIES’ INTERESTS IN TRUST.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), if an individual is determined under paragraph (3) to hold an interest in a trust on the day before the expatriation date—

“(A) the individual shall not be treated as having sold such interest,

“(B) such interest shall be treated as a separate share in the trust, and

“(C)(i) such separate share shall be treated as a separate trust consisting of the assets allocable to such share,

“(ii) the separate trust shall be treated as having sold its assets on the day before the expatriation date for their fair market value and as having distributed all of its assets to the individual as of such time, and

“(iii) the individual shall be treated as having recontributed the assets to the separate trust.

Subsection (a)(2) shall apply to any income, gain, or loss of the individual arising from a distribution described in subparagraph (C)(ii). In determining the amount of such distribution, proper adjustments shall be made for liabilities of the trust allocable to an individual’s share in the trust.

“(2) SPECIAL RULES FOR INTERESTS IN QUALIFIED TRUSTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—If the trust interest described in paragraph (1) is an interest in a qualified trust—

“(i) paragraph (1) and subsection (a) shall not apply, and

“(ii) in addition to any other tax imposed by this title, there is hereby imposed on each distribution with respect to such interest a tax in the amount determined under subparagraph (B).

“(B) AMOUNT OF TAX.—The amount of tax under subparagraph (A)(ii) shall be equal to the lesser of—

“(i) the highest rate of tax imposed by section 1(e) for the taxable year which includes the day before the expatriation date, multiplied by the amount of the distribution, or

“(ii) the balance in the deferred tax account immediately before the distribution determined without regard to any increases under subparagraph (C)(ii) after the 30th day preceding the distribution.

“(C) DEFERRED TAX ACCOUNT.—For purposes of subparagraph (B)(ii)—

“(i) OPENING BALANCE.—The opening balance in a deferred tax account with respect to any trust interest is an amount equal to the tax which would have been imposed on the allocable expatriation gain with respect to the trust interest if such gain had been included in gross income under subsection (a).

“(ii) INCREASE FOR INTEREST.—The balance in the deferred tax account shall be increased by the amount of interest determined (on the balance in the account at the time the interest accrues), for periods after the 90th day after the expatriation date, by using the rates and method applicable under section 6621 for underpayments of tax for such periods, except that section 6621(a)(2) shall be applied by substituting ‘5 percentage points’ for ‘3 percentage points’ in subparagraph (B) thereof.

“(iii) DECREASE FOR TAXES PREVIOUSLY PAID.—The balance in the tax deferred account shall be reduced—

“(I) by the amount of taxes imposed by subparagraph (A) on any distribution to the person holding the trust interest, and

“(II) in the case of a person holding a nonvested interest, to the extent provided in regulations, by the amount of taxes imposed by subparagraph (A) on distributions from the trust with respect to nonvested interests not held by such person.

“(D) ALLOCABLE EXPATRIATION GAIN.—For purposes of this paragraph, the allocable expatriation gain with respect to any beneficiary’s interest in a trust is the amount of gain which would be allocable to such beneficiary’s vested and nonvested interests in the trust if the beneficiary held directly all assets allocable to such interests.

“(E) TAX DEDUCTED AND WITHHELD.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—The tax imposed by subparagraph (A)(ii) shall be deducted and withheld by the trustees from the distribution to which it relates.

“(ii) EXCEPTION WHERE FAILURE TO WAIVE TREATY RIGHTS.—If an amount may not be deducted and withheld under clause (i) by reason of the distributee failing to waive any treaty right with respect to such distribution—

“(I) the tax imposed by subparagraph (A)(ii) shall be imposed on the trust and each trustee shall be personally liable for the amount of such tax, and

“(II) any other beneficiary of the trust shall be entitled to recover from the distributee the amount of such tax imposed on the other beneficiary.

“(F) DISPOSITION.—If a trust ceases to be a qualified trust at any time, a covered expatriate disposes of an interest in a qualified trust, or a covered expatriate holding an interest in a qualified trust dies, then, in lieu of the tax imposed by subparagraph (A)(ii), there is hereby imposed a tax equal to the lesser of—

“(i) the tax determined under paragraph (1) as if the day before the expatriation date were the date of such cessation, disposition, or death, whichever is applicable, or

“(ii) the balance in the tax deferred account immediately before such date.

Such tax shall be imposed on the trust and each trustee shall be personally liable for the

amount of such tax and any other beneficiary of the trust shall be entitled to recover from the covered expatriate or the estate the amount of such tax imposed on the other beneficiary.

“(G) DEFINITIONS AND SPECIAL RULES.—For purposes of this paragraph—

“(i) QUALIFIED TRUST.—The term ‘qualified trust’ means a trust which is described in section 7701(a)(30)(E).

“(ii) VESTED INTEREST.—The term ‘vested interest’ means any interest which, as of the day before the expatriation date, is vested in the beneficiary.

“(iii) NONVESTED INTEREST.—The term ‘nonvested interest’ means, with respect to any beneficiary, any interest in a trust which is not a vested interest. Such interest shall be determined by assuming the maximum exercise of discretion in favor of the beneficiary and the occurrence of all contingencies in favor of the beneficiary.

“(iv) ADJUSTMENTS.—The Secretary may provide for such adjustments to the bases of assets in a trust or a deferred tax account, and the timing of such adjustments, in order to ensure that gain is taxed only once.

“(v) COORDINATION WITH RETIREMENT PLAN RULES.—This subsection shall not apply to an interest in a trust which is part of a retirement plan to which subsection (d)(2) applies.

“(3) DETERMINATION OF BENEFICIARIES’ INTEREST IN TRUST.—

“(A) DETERMINATIONS UNDER PARAGRAPH (1).—For purposes of paragraph (1), a beneficiary’s interest in a trust shall be based upon all relevant facts and circumstances, including the terms of the trust instrument and any letter of wishes or similar document, historical patterns of trust distributions, and the existence of and functions performed by a trust protector or any similar adviser.

“(B) OTHER DETERMINATIONS.—For purposes of this section—

“(i) CONSTRUCTIVE OWNERSHIP.—If a beneficiary of a trust is a corporation, partnership, trust, or estate, the shareholders, partners, or beneficiaries shall be deemed to be the trust beneficiaries for purposes of this section.

“(ii) TAXPAYER RETURN POSITION.—A taxpayer shall clearly indicate on its income tax return—

“(I) the methodology used to determine that taxpayer’s trust interest under this section, and

“(II) if the taxpayer knows (or has reason to know) that any other beneficiary of such trust is using a different methodology to determine such beneficiary’s trust interest under this section.

“(g) TERMINATION OF DEFERRALS, ETC.—In the case of any covered expatriate, notwithstanding any other provision of this title—

“(1) any period during which recognition of income or gain is deferred shall terminate on the day before the expatriation date, and

“(2) any extension of time for payment of tax shall cease to apply on the day before the expatriation date and the unpaid portion of such tax shall be due and payable at the time and in the manner prescribed by the Secretary.

“(h) IMPOSITION OF TENTATIVE TAX.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—If an individual is required to include any amount in gross income under subsection (a) for any taxable year, there is hereby imposed, immediately before the expatriation date, a tax in an amount equal to the amount of tax which would be imposed if the taxable year were a short taxable year ending on the expatriation date.

“(2) DUE DATE.—The due date for any tax imposed by paragraph (1) shall be the 90th day after the expatriation date.

“(3) TREATMENT OF TAX.—Any tax paid under paragraph (1) shall be treated as a payment of the tax imposed by this chapter for the taxable year to which subsection (a) applies.

“(4) DEFERRAL OF TAX.—The provisions of subsection (b) shall apply to the tax imposed by this subsection to the extent attributable to gain includible in gross income by reason of this section.

“(i) SPECIAL LIENS FOR DEFERRED TAX AMOUNTS.—

“(1) IMPOSITION OF LIEN.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—If a covered expatriate makes an election under subsection (a)(4) or (b) which results in the deferral of any tax imposed by reason of subsection (a), the deferred amount (including any interest, additional amount, addition to tax, assessable penalty, and costs attributable to the deferred amount) shall be a lien in favor of the United States on all property of the expatriate located in the United States (without regard to whether this section applies to the property).

“(B) DEFERRED AMOUNT.—For purposes of this subsection, the deferred amount is the amount of the increase in the covered expatriate’s income tax which, but for the election under subsection (a)(4) or (b), would have occurred by reason of this section for the taxable year including the expatriation date.

“(2) PERIOD OF LIEN.—The lien imposed by this subsection shall arise on the expatriation date and continue until—

“(A) the liability for tax by reason of this section is satisfied or has become unenforceable by reason of lapse of time, or

“(B) it is established to the satisfaction of the Secretary that no further tax liability may arise by reason of this section.

“(3) CERTAIN RULES APPLY.—The rules set forth in paragraphs (1), (3), and (4) of section 6324A(d) shall apply with respect to the lien imposed by this subsection as if it were a lien imposed by section 6324A.

“(j) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary shall prescribe such regulations as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the purposes of this section.”

(b) INCLUSION IN INCOME OF GIFTS AND BEQUESTS RECEIVED BY UNITED STATES CITIZENS AND RESIDENTS FROM EXPATRIATES.—Section 102 (relating to gifts, etc. not included in gross income) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) GIFTS AND INHERITANCES FROM COVERED EXPATRIATES.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (a) shall not exclude from gross income the value of any property acquired by gift, bequest, devise, or inheritance from a covered expatriate after the expatriation date. For purposes of this subsection, any term used in this subsection which is also used in section 877A shall have the same meaning as when used in section 877A.

“(2) EXCEPTIONS FOR TRANSFERS OTHERWISE SUBJECT TO ESTATE OR GIFT TAX.—Paragraph (1) shall not apply to any property if either—

“(A) the gift, bequest, devise, or inheritance is—

“(i) shown on a timely filed return of tax imposed by chapter 12 as a taxable gift by the covered expatriate, or

“(ii) included in the gross estate of the covered expatriate for purposes of chapter 11 and shown on a timely filed return of tax imposed by chapter 11 of the estate of the covered expatriate, or

“(B) no such return was timely filed but no such return would have been required to be filed even if the covered expatriate were a citizen or long-term resident of the United States.”

(c) DEFINITION OF TERMINATION OF UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP.—Section 7701(a) is

amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(48) TERMINATION OF UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—An individual shall not cease to be treated as a United States citizen before the date on which the individual’s citizenship is treated as relinquished under section 877A(e)(3).

“(B) DUAL CITIZENS.—Under regulations prescribed by the Secretary, subparagraph (A) shall not apply to an individual who became at birth a citizen of the United States and a citizen of another country.”

(d) INELIGIBILITY FOR VISA OR ADMISSION TO UNITED STATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 212(a)(10)(E) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1182(a)(10)(E)) is amended to read as follows:

“(E) FORMER CITIZENS NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH EXPATRIATION REVENUE PROVISIONS.—Any alien who is a former citizen of the United States who relinquishes United States citizenship (within the meaning of section 877A(e)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) and who is not in compliance with section 877A of such Code (relating to expatriation).”

(2) AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Section 6103(l) (relating to disclosure of returns and return information for purposes other than tax administration) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(19) DISCLOSURE TO DENY VISA OR ADMISSION TO CERTAIN EXPATRIATES.—Upon written request of the Attorney General or the Attorney General’s delegate, the Secretary shall disclose whether an individual is in compliance with section 877A (and if not in compliance, any items of noncompliance) to officers and employees of the Federal agency responsible for administering section 212(a)(10)(E) of the Immigration and Nationality Act solely for the purpose of, and to the extent necessary in, administering such section 212(a)(10)(E).”

(B) SAFEGUARDS.—

(i) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—Paragraph (4) of section 6103(p) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended by section 202(b)(2)(B) of the Trade Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-210; 116 Stat. 961), is amended by striking “or (17)” after “any other person described in subsection (l)(16)” each place it appears and inserting “or (18)”.

(ii) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 6103(p)(4) (relating to safeguards), as amended by clause (i), is amended by striking “or (18)” after “any other person described in subsection (l)(16)” each place it appears and inserting “(18), or (19)”.

(3) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the amendments made by this subsection shall apply to individuals who relinquish United States citizenship on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(B) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—The amendments made by paragraph (2)(B)(i) shall take effect as if included in the amendments made by section 202(b)(2)(B) of the Trade Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-210; 116 Stat. 961).

(e) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 877 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g) APPLICATION.—This section shall not apply to an expatriate (as defined in section 877A(e)) whose expatriation date (as so defined) occurs on or after February 5, 2003.”

(2) Section 2107 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(f) APPLICATION.—This section shall not apply to any expatriate subject to section 877A.”

(3) Section 2501(a)(3) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(F) APPLICATION.—This paragraph shall not apply to any expatriate subject to section 877A.”.

(4)(A) Paragraph (1) of section 6039G(d) is amended by inserting “or 877A” after “section 877”.

(B) The second sentence of section 6039G(e) is amended by inserting “or who relinquishes United States citizenship (within the meaning of section 877A(e)(3))” after “877(a)”.

(C) Section 6039G(f) is amended by inserting “or 877A(e)(2)(B)” after “877(e)(1)”.

(f) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for subpart A of part II of subchapter N of chapter 1 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 877 the following new item:

“Sec. 877A. Tax responsibilities of expatriation.”.

(g) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in this subsection, the amendments made by this section shall apply to expatriates (within the meaning of section 877A(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as added by this section) whose expatriation date (as so defined) occurs on or after February 5, 2003.

(2) GIFTS AND BEQUESTS.—Section 102(d) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (as added by subsection (b)) shall apply to gifts and bequests received on or after February 5, 2003, from an individual or the estate of an individual whose expatriation date (as so defined) occurs after such date.

(3) DUE DATE FOR TENTATIVE TAX.—The due date under section 877A(h)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as added by this section, shall in no event occur before the 90th day after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 702. EXTENSION OF CUSTOMS USER FEES.

Section 13031(j)(3) of the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 (19 U.S.C. 58c(j)(3)) is amended by striking “September 30, 2003” and inserting “March 31, 2010”.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 282, the gentleman from Washington (Mr. MCDERMOTT) and a Member opposed each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington (Mr. MCDERMOTT).

Mr. MCDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, the amendment that is at the desk on H.R. 1528 is a fairly comprehensive amendment to the bill which we discussed yesterday. The first thing is that my amendment would delete the controversial provisions contained in the underlying bill which would eliminate consumer protections that this Congress provided less than 1 year ago when it enacted the Trade Promotion Act. I think that there are many Members who voted for the fast track bill with the belief that this was in it and now less than a year later we are back taking it out.

□ 1145

I think that is an important part of this amendment.

The second thing is this amendment would provide the recently increased family credit for 12 million children and 6 million families. We passed it out of here and it has gone to an uncertain future in a conference committee. I read there is some debate among the

Members of the conference committee about who is going to chair it. We could put this issue to rest with this amendment today.

The third part of the amendment is to stop the delay of tax benefits for our military and relief to families of the astronauts killed in the Columbia disaster. I think that this is one of those issues where we all agree, it has been sitting there and somehow it does not get done, and I think it is time for us to move on.

Fourth, the amendment will prohibit the Internal Revenue Service from implementing a pre-certification program for Earned Income Tax Credit recipients. I think this is a needed and important change in the IRS. It is the only place that we have such a thing where we make people send in their money reports before they even get the benefit, rather than letting them make application for it and then figuring out if there is some question.

Fifth, my amendment would also contain provisions addressing the abusive corporate tax shelters which we have talked about in the past.

Finally, this adds taxpayer protections designed to assist low and middle-class taxpayers in complying with the tax law.

It is a fairly comprehensive amendment, but I think it is a good one, and it does a number of things which we ought to do when we are passing this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. PORTMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the substitute.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. QUINN). The gentleman from Ohio is recognized for 30 minutes.

Mr. PORTMAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in part to remind us as to where we are in this process. Yesterday we talked about the underlying legislation, which is a very good combination of taxpayer protections and health care protections for workers. I think it would be helpful to start by reviewing that, only because I think by adding this substitute, we would jeopardize so many of those good provisions.

Yesterday, we talked a little about the importance of moving quickly on those provisions. After all, these are the result of over 2 years of work by the Taxpayer Advocate, by the Internal Revenue Service, by the Treasury Department itself, and by the Committee on Ways and Means, based on oversight hearings, to basically strengthen and protect the rights of average, honest taxpayers.

Let me give you an example of some of the things in the underlying legislation. It prohibits IRS employees from unauthorized browsing of tax returns. We do have a series of prohibitions in the Code. This is not one of them. It would now make browsing of your tax return or mine part of those prohibitions. This is very important, and,

again, it is based on good testimony we have had from the IRS and some obvious problems that have resulted from unauthorized browsing.

It also simplifies tax filing in a number of ways. One I really like is it helps the mom-and-pop businesses of America. It says that now-married spouses would be allowed to file a sole proprietor return who are in business, which is a Schedule C, instead of a partnership return.

This is far simpler. It allows for spouses to account separately for their respective self-employment income from the business. It allows family businesses to take full advantage, therefore, of Social Security and Medicare, and, at the same time, greatly simplify tax filing.

Again, this comes out of hard work by people at the Joint Tax Committee, at the Treasury Department and elsewhere, to try to figure out ways to simplify our current system.

It also, very importantly, extends the filing deadline for E-filers to April 30. This one is not only added to, therefore making it more difficult to enact, but it is actually substituted, it is replaced, it is eliminated in the substitute.

Let me just talk about that for a second. It says if you are willing to be an E-filer, you have until April 30. Why is this so important? It is important because we need to add another incentive to encourage people to electronically file.

Electronic filing is in the interests of taxpayers, and it is in the interests of the IRS. This is something over the last 6 years as we have reviewed the IRS through a commission, and then through the legislative process, we had a total consensus on, that it is absolutely critical that we encourage electronic filing.

We have gone from 15 percent to about 41 percent, but the Congressionally set goal of 80 percent electronic filing is not going to make it unless we provide some new incentives. This is one well worth undertaking.

Why? Right now there is about a 22 percent error rate, Mr. Speaker, if you can believe it, when you file your tax return by paper. Twenty-two percent of the time there is an error. That is unacceptable to any of us. Eleven percent of that error, half of it, is caused by the IRS, largely transposing numbers, where they take a paper return and transpose the numbers from paper on to a computer.

That does not happen with electronic filing, obviously, because you are electronically filing straight into the computer.

Second, the other 11 percent, about half, is caused by the taxpayer.

Electronic filing, the error rate is far less than 1 percent. This obviously saves the IRS a lot of money and is very good for the tax system, because you are going to have fewer people who will be filing by paper and, therefore, fewer IRS employees are necessary and

great efficiencies are put in place at less than half the cost to the IRS.

But, more important to me, is it helps the taxpayers dramatically. Think of the downstream costs when there is a error, when you get that letter from the IRS saying we have got an error in your return. You think you did it right, it turns out you did it right, but because of the error, you then get into sometimes a long, protracted back and forth with the IRS. Sometimes it becomes quite controversial and adds up with interest and penalties and so on.

So electronic filing has to be encouraged, and I am concerned that the substitute takes this out altogether. By the way, this program we just put in place for 3 years, so we try it as a pilot. Any other ideas we would welcome. At our bipartisan hearings, we had a lot of discussion about this, and we talked about a lot of ideas. This is one where we seemed to have reached a consensus.

The underlying bill also allows taxpayers who otherwise pay nothing to be able to settle their debts with the IRS over a period of time without being forced to pay the entire amount. Again, this comes from a careful vetting with the Joint Tax Committee and the IRS. It is a partial pay installment plan which will help us get through a lot of the existing controversies out there with the IRS. It is a common sense solution to some big collection problems that the IRS is now facing, so they can devote more of their resources toward enforcement and toward collection, and not so much resources in trying to resolve some of these very tough accounts.

It also allows the IRS to waive what are now unfair penalties for honest taxpayers who make innocent mistakes. For example, if a taxpayer mails his return in on April 15, as he or she should, with a check, and the check is for the right amount, the balance due, but he mistakenly puts on only \$1.40 in postage rather than \$1.50 in postage, instead of being assessed a failure to file penalty, which can add up to thousands of dollars, under this legislation the IRS could waive those penalties for taxpayers, those who have a good history of compliance. It is a common sense provision that will help taxpayers. Again, it is long overdue and is supported by the IRS.

We also importantly increase the funding for low income taxpayer clinics. This is something we started back in the reforms of 1998. They have worked.

These low income taxpayer clinics help with regard to individuals who have a controversy with the IRS. We increase the authorization in this legislation to \$9 million for 2004, \$12 million for 2005 and \$15 million for 2006 and subsequent years.

We also provide for additional help here to help individuals for whom English is a second language to be able to deal better with the IRS. I like these

taxpayer clinics, they are working well, and again, this is something that would be jeopardized in the underlying legislation by loading it up with much more controversial items that have not been vetted.

Finally, the gentleman from Washington mentioned the health care credit waiver. The problem with not having this in place is that 12,000 families are not going to be able to get health care, and that is based on the Joint Tax estimate.

All we are saying is we had provisions in place in the Trade Act to allow these people to access health care with a 65 percent refundable credit, but, unfortunately, probably up to 21 States, maybe not that many, but some States, up to 21 States, are not going to have provisions in place to allow them to access that, because we require there be State plans, we require there be certain provisions in these plans, and not all of these States have gone to those provisions yet.

We want simply an 18-month bridge to be sure these 12,000 families can get their health care. That seems to me to be a reasonable solution. In the Committee on Ways and Means, we had a lot of discussion about this. I think the Committee on Ways and Means majority and majority staff worked in a responsible way to try to address those concerns. We changed the legislation between the time it was reported out of committee and now in a few significant ways, including making it only 18 months, making it truly a bridge, including limiting the provisions to just two, guaranteed issue and preexisting conditions, and I think this is an improvement in the legislation.

We also said it would not apply to those States where they did have a compliant plan. So it really narrowed it and limited it in response to specific concerns raised by my colleagues on the other side of the aisle, and I think that should be taken into account as we look at this legislation today, because we did go to the extra mile to try to meet those concerns.

The bottom line for me, Mr. Speaker, is that this is great legislation, the underlying legislation. The substitute adds, as I count it, another 160 pages to this legislation, which is only 75 pages in the underlying bill, maybe more than that, because it cuts out some of the 75 pages. By adding all these new provisions, most of which have really not been vetted, we are really again jeopardizing the good legislation that is in here.

I am going to later talk about some of the provisions that are in the substitute that actually trouble me. It is not just new provisions that have not been vetted, but some are bad policy, in my view, particularly with regard to the earned income tax the gentleman talked about.

We now have a 30 percent error rate, we are told by GAO. It was 25 percent the last time I looked. Now they say it is 30 percent. Even 25 percent, that is

wholly unacceptable. I think that is agreed to, I would hope, on both sides of the aisle. A 25, 30 percent error rate, we are talking about \$10 billion a year is mispaid under the EITC. Now, if we had a 25 or 30 percent error rate, even a 10 percent error rate in a social welfare program, we would be up in arms, as would the States. It is outrageous. There is no program that has that kind of error rate. Yet we are putting up with a 25 percent or 30 percent, we are told 30 percent by GAO, error rate in the Earned Income Tax Credit, and at a minimum, I think the IRS should be given the flexibility to be able to work towards some kind of a system where you are certifying whether people actually qualify for the credit or not.

I would love to hear the ideas from the other side of the aisle as to what they would do about this. I think this is one where if continue to ignore it, continue to say no, we are going to tie the IRS's hands, even when they show flexibility as to how they are going to deal with it, what is going to happen? You are going to lose tremendous support for the EITC.

I can tell you my constituents back home, who are Federal income taxpayers who support the EITC through their Federal taxes they send to Washington, even if they think the EITC is generally a good idea, they are not going to think that if they believe that 30 percent of that money is being misspent.

Some of it is fraud, some of it is because it is too complicated. But at a minimum, we should give the IRS the tools to be able to go and reduce that error rate. Otherwise we have to figure out another way to support people who are working who want to be able offset their payroll taxes and other taxes, because some people who get the EITC have their entire income tax offset, their entire payroll tax offset, and they are still collecting EITC.

We need to be sure that program is working and working well if we are going to have it continue to be strongly supported by the folks who do pay income taxes, and others, who look at this and say this is unacceptable. So I would hope that that provision would not be included in a substitute.

Mr. Speaker, I will talk about more of the other provisions as we proceed with the debate.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. McDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. LEVIN).

(Mr. LEVIN asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LEVIN. Mr. Speaker, I want to talk about the health provision. It is unfortunate that the Republican majority insists on inserting this provision in this bill. The TAA provisions were carefully crafted. Many relied on them for their vote. And now the majority is taking a step away from them.

In the legislation there were protections for beneficiaries, four of them, if

they could not get COBRA, a requirement that States develop these plans with these four protections.

Now, essentially what they are saying is that provision can be changed and individuals can buy insurance individually without those protections. This is going to undermine the negotiations that are continuing now for the completion of State plans. The younger, more healthy people will buy this insurance without the protections. It will reduce the incentive of insurance companies to work this out with States.

But then it was said yesterday that State legislatures do not meet every year, that some only meet every 2 years, so that is an inhibition on working this out. It does not take State legislative action to work out these plans. As has been true in a number of States, it can be done without action by the State legislature.

This a voluntary plan, and what is going to happen if this amendment is allowed, and I do not think it could pass the Senate, is that there will be selection by the younger and more healthy, leaving the insurance availability to older workers that will be too expensive, or there will be no availability whatsoever.

□ 1200

So this is a change that matters. This is another example of an erosion of a safety net that was worked out carefully between the two parties.

Now, look, the gentleman from North Dakota (Mr. POMEROY) said to people on your side, we will sit down and talk about finding a resolution to this, and a few of us suggested we would join. The answer was, well, we will only talk to the gentleman from North Dakota (Mr. POMEROY). We will not let your staff in any meeting. I know that directly. And then there was no discussion with the gentleman from North Dakota (Mr. POMEROY).

So essentially, what you did was to go into some room and make a decision that you were going to change a TAA provision for people who were laid off. This is trade adjustment assistance for people who are unemployed because of the impact of trade.

So if you really cared enough, you would sit down and work this out. Instead, you inserted it in a bill that has IRS provisions, and the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. PORTMAN) talks about how laudable they are. Well, they are laudable provisions, so why put an anchor around them, and why pull back from something that you yourselves negotiated with people on this side to provide health protection for people laid off through no fault of their own?

So this is enough of a flaw, in my judgment, for people to vote against this bill. This is turning your back on what you agreed to, without even being willing to sit down and try to work it out with the minority. This is turning your backs on thousands of people who need health coverage, and I urge that

we take the steps to take this out of the bill and not wait for the Senate to do it. Support the substitute that has been offered by the gentleman from New York (Mr. RANGEL) and now being managed by the gentleman from Washington (Mr. MCDERMOTT).

Mr. PORTMAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as she may consume to the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Mrs. JOHNSON), the Chair of the Subcommittee on Health.

Mrs. JOHNSON of Connecticut. Mr. Speaker, I thank my colleague for yielding me this time.

This bill is not turning our back; it is facing reality. To pass the substitute would be turning your back on 12,000 people who live in States that do not yet have compliant programs and, therefore, will not be able to get the 65 percent subsidy of premiums that we offer now to people who are uninsured by reasons of trade competition.

This is a temporary waiver just to give States more time to get compliant plans in place. It only runs through December of 2004. That is only basically a little over a year from the time they were supposed to have their plans up and running. It does not supersede State law relating to consumer insurance protections. So anything a State thought was important for consumer protection and health plans is there. It is there for whatever plans are developed for these 12,000 people; it is there for everyone else in the State. We do not override State protections.

We are providing a temporary waiver so that for the very first time in our country, a certain group of people who are unemployed will have tremendous help in buying health insurance during that period of unemployment. It is disgraceful that we were not able to do this for all of the unemployed, but that will be the next step, and then all of the uninsured. But this is an extremely important initiative, because it sets up the structure through which we can deliver a two-thirds subsidy of premium to the uninsured in America.

There has long been, historically, bipartisan support for that kind of initiative to enable people who are uninsured or who do not make enough to pay for insurance or who are unemployed, to be able to have the personal security of health insurance, going way back to the debate stimulated by President Clinton's proposal. The bipartisan alternative that actually had a majority of the support in this House, our former colleague Roy Rowland and our former colleague and minority leader Bob Michel introduced a bipartisan initiative, and key to that was the delivery of these direct subsidies for the purchase of premiums.

Now, later on, once we get the system set up, we can think about whether some people need a higher subsidy than other people relative to income, but setting this system up is imperative. And in the 21 States that have not yet been able to set up a compliant program, if you are unemployed as a

result of trade dislocation, you have a right to this; but you can only exercise it if you have COBRA, which most of the unemployed people in small businesses do not have by definition, or if your spouse works for a company that has family coverage.

Now, to say to the other unemployed people that have a right under Federal law that you cannot exercise that right because your State has not been able to work through the issues of developing a compliant program is simply wrong. So this waiver only allows a simpler process for those compliant plans to develop; it makes it simpler for a little over a year while they develop the more complex, but fully compliant program.

So talk about turning your back. All we are trying to do here is face reality so we will not turn our back on the 12,000 people to whom we granted deep premium assistance so they can buy insurance during a period of unemployment, so that they can realize that benefit under the law. And if we do not pass this amendment, then they will not have access to the very benefits that we gave them. That would be outrageous.

Our job is to assure that the needs of the people are met; and when there is a glitch, to develop a way around that glitch and, in this case, it is a temporary waiver so that ultimately everybody will have the access we guaranteed them, the subsidies we guaranteed them to compliant plans. It is a small adjustment. It is facing reality. If we do not face reality, we turn our backs on these 12,000 Americans, unemployed as a result of trade dislocation.

Mr. MCDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

The gentlewoman from Connecticut calls it a small adjustment. I would call it a gutting of the program. If you allow an insurance company to screen people out on the basis of preexisting conditions, which is what this amendment does, of course it will be simpler. They just look down your history. If you are over 50 years old, you will never get access to this. And the people who are losing their jobs here are not 20 years old. They are people who are in steel industries and other industries where the existence of a preexisting condition is very common.

So to say that the insurance company does not have to have that consumer protection, there is no guaranteed issue and they can use preexisting conditions is simply to give the insurance industry the ability to cherry pick the young and leave the others by the side of the road.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. NEAL).

Mr. NEAL of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me this time.

The American people are hearing the phrase "mission accomplished" a lot

these days. However, they are not hearing it much from this Republican Congress. Today we debate a bill which could have passed with more than 400 votes on taxpayer rights. And then we could have proclaimed, mission accomplished.

However, for some unknown reason, this bill now says the consumers need to waive basic protections in order to get health insurance. That means that these employees who have lost their health insurance and lost their jobs must now accept insurance, but only if they waive coverage for preexisting conditions. Worsening basic health protections, for this Congress, once again: mission accomplished.

There are many things in this underlying bill that I supported before this killer provision was added. One of my constituents has even been a victim of these nonsensical IRS problems. Her retirement account was wrongfully levied by the IRS, but now the IRS cannot return it. It defies logic, could and should be fixed today. However, now that this basic IRS bill has been hobbled by an anticonsumer provision, unfortunately, we cannot say "mission accomplished."

The substitute we are considering today would provide for all of these basic taxpayer rights without harming consumer health protections. Further, the substitute includes the Senate-passed child tax credit, which millions of lower-income families are counting on. The substitute also includes the Armed Forces Tax Fairness Act, yet another bill that this House leadership has been sitting on.

If we pass this substitute today, then we can leave and honestly tell the American people, "mission accomplished." Relief for working families: mission accomplished. We could tell those fighting soldiers and their families: mission accomplished.

Support the substitute and vote down the short-sighted Republican bill.

Mr. McDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. BECERRA).

Mr. BECERRA. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me this time.

The original purpose behind H.R. 1528 was good. When we take a look at the title, the Taxpayer Protection and IRS Accountability Act of 2003 and we take a look at the provisions that relate to protections for our taxpayers and accountability for the IRS, it is good. In fact, it was bipartisan. There was full agreement on both sides of the aisle that these were measures that would help American taxpayers file their returns, do it right, and get back the money they deserve.

But what has happened to the bill, now that it is on the floor, is that it is no longer just a bill about taxpayer protections and IRS accountability. Somehow, in a bill that is supposed to relate to taxpayer protection and IRS accountability, there is a provision that has been put in here that has

nothing to do with any of those things, and that is what Members on this side of the aisle keep talking about; a provision that deals with health care. Not just any kind of health care; it is health care for working Americans who have lost their jobs as a result of trade adjustments that have occurred that have made them lose their jobs, in other words, companies that have left America to go elsewhere to do their production and American workers who are now out of work. Out of work means likely out of health care. Out of health care is something that no American wants to be without.

So what we did a year ago was pass legislation that said, okay, for those folks under the Trade Adjustment Assistance Act, we are going to make some provisions to provide some help to those Americans who lost their jobs. It is also an addition for some people who are now retired on pensions.

The provision in this bill takes that out. It denies protections, consumer protections that we are providing to unemployed workers and pensioners. Why? Apparently, to make it easier for certain States. Why are you making it easier for certain States to exclude American workers who lost their jobs because American companies went abroad?

This is a bill that could pass with 435 votes if it dealt with the taxpayer protections and IRS accountability, period. But instead, here we go, a provision has been added, not through a voting committee, not through a voting of the full House of Representatives, but rather in the dark of night. All of those folks who are watching on C-SPAN today are saying, why do they not want to vote for this bill? It is about protecting us as taxpayers. Because the folks watching C-SPAN will never see the provision that was added to this bill that has nothing to do with taxpayer protection and that most folks on that side of the aisle will not talk about, because they only want to talk about the Taxpayer Protection Act, not about the fact that we are denying thousands of American workers who lost their jobs, through no fault of their own, and now they are going to be out of the health care that we told them a year ago that we could get them.

And why? Because some States are saying they cannot come up with a program to deal with it. Most of the States have done it or are well on their way for providing a program that is necessary for those folks to qualify. A few States are lagging behind, and what we are doing is because there are a few States that say they cannot do it, we are going to deny it to everyone. That is why the substitute should get the vote and the full support of all Members of the House.

□ 1215

Mr. McDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Ms. DELAURO).

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of the Rangel substitute. For nearly a month now, 6.5 million families, 12 million children have been shut out of a tax credit that they deserve. I am talking about how this majority secretly eliminated the child tax credits for families who earn between \$10,500 and \$26,625 from the tax bill that passed this House last month. People who work, people who pay taxes, sales tax, property tax, excise tax, payroll taxes, 8 percent of their income.

Instead of simply restoring that provision, the majority in the House of Representatives cynically passed a \$82 billion bill for a \$3.5 billion fix. Do you know why? It is because they know the legislation will never pass the other body.

To the Republican majority, these families are just another bargaining chip in their endless quest to cut taxes for the most privileged Americans. The majority's leader and the chairman of the Committee on Ways and Means have said that helping these families is not their priority, that they are not sure whether or not we will even begin the conversation between the House of Representatives and the other body to begin to work things out.

But there should be no greater priority of this House than helping the families of 6.5 million families, 12 million children. They are hard working. They are tax paying. They are waiting for the relief that was promised to them. They also include 200,000 military families, men and women who are fighting a war, losing their lives in Iraq. We are now losing almost a GI a day in the war in Iraq and yes, it is their families, their children will not see this tax credit that they were promised.

Quite simply, we must pass this substitute. It includes language from the other body's bill that would ensure that these 6.5 million families, 12 million children receive tax relief just like the 25 million other families who are going to benefit from the child tax credit. It also requires that the IRS halt work on an unfair action that they will deny the earned income tax credit that millions of families who have rightfully earned.

The Republican majority has no problem with wealthy individuals or companies who paid no taxes. Enron paid no taxes the last 4 out of 5 years. They have no problems with those companies that go overseas only for the purpose of not paying their financial obligations and their taxes to the U.S. government, and they have no problem with this. And yet those military families, those individuals who may lose their life, cannot get \$400 in a tax credit, in fact, that they were promised.

What is wrong? This does not reflect the values of the United Nations of America. What underlies their thinking when they make these decisions? It is not what the great American tradition is all about.

I urge my colleagues to support the Rangel substitute. It protects tax-paying families who work hard. They play by the rules. They have earned this tax relief. Restoring it to them is the right thing to do. It is the fair thing to do.

Mr. PORTMAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I find the gentlewoman's comments a little puzzling listening because on the one hand, my colleagues are arguing it was wrong to put the important health care credit into the IRS reforms which are so important and so widely viewed as popular and the appropriate thing to do, and then the gentlewoman is saying but let us add something else to this mix, another 160 pages of controversial, and for a large part of them, untested, proposals. None of these substitute proposals to my knowledge have been reported out of the Senate Finance Committee. They have not even dealt with inversions, for instance. We have legislation sitting over there in the energy bill for weeks and so the gentlewoman says, well, we need to add child credit to this to get it done.

If you want the child credit issue to be resolved, and our side on the aisle, agree it ought to be resolved. In fact, we came up with a good balanced proposal to provide relief who do not have any income tax liability, have no federal income tax liability, to increase an existing 10 percent refundable credit for the child care that is going to the same families now. We said it ought to be taken to 15 percent immediately rather than waiting until 2005, when it is going to happen anyway.

We said, if you are going to make that permanent, the 15 percent on the refundable side, again, for people who do not have Federal income tax liability, and many of whom do not have payroll tax liability, then at the least, we ought to be sure that those people who do have Federal income tax liability have their \$1,000 credit which we have now provided them until 2005, to continue as well, at least until 2010.

The President wanted to continue it until 2013. We said, as a balance, let us go ahead with the child credit for the refundable part and let us go ahead with making sure that those who do pay income taxes also get some benefit after 2005 as we would be doing for those who do not have income tax liabilities.

We think that is a fair and balanced proposal. That has just been sent over to the Senate and it is being worked out between the House and the Senate. Conferees are being named. We are trying to work through this process to try to get to a solution to resolve the child credit issue. And yet the gentlewoman says, this will make more sense to get it resolved to add it to these extremely controversial, as we will talk about in a moment, and untested proposals that have not even been reported out of the Senate Finance Committee, much less subject to hearings, and none have been reported out of the Committee on

Ways and Means. I do not know how that helps us get on to child credit.

Let me talk about some of the other provisions the gentlewoman talked about.

The next provision was the inversion provision. Well, as the gentleman from Massachusetts, who spoke about inversion knows, we also passed an inversion provision on this floor and we included it in legislation that is sitting in the Senate, which provides specifically for a 2-year moratorium on inversions. We think that is the right way to go. There is some bipartisan support for that. The gentleman, instead is saying, let us go ahead and load up this bill with something more controversial that provides for a retroactive provision under inversion. So it would actually undue transaction which were entered into lawfully 30 or 40 years ago and you are now going back and penalizing.

We have dealt with the inversion issue. We have done it in a bipartisan way. It had some bipartisan support. And here we come up with this new idea again which would actually be retroactive on perfectly legal transactions. We do not think that is the right way to go. Instead, we think we ought to be having a moratorium in place and looking at the underlying causes as to why companies leave the United States. We are doing that very aggressively. Maybe too aggressively for some on both sides of the aisle. But in the fixed ETI bill, which deals with particularly the Europeans, but more generally our competitive position as Americans, it takes very aggressive action and it is going through the process of hearings now and will be before this Congress, I believe, in the next month, which says let us deal with the underlying causes. Why do companies leave? We do not want foreign corporations to come buy our companies.

I personally believe that would be the result of the inversion provision that is in this substitute. Rather, let us deal with these underlying causes. Let us make it better for companies to stay here, employ American workers, stay headquartered in this country.

Finally, there has been a lot of discussion about the refundable tax credit that is in the underlying bill and why that is not a good idea. Again, it deals with the very simple issue of 12,000 families cannot get health care unless we do this. We want to provide health care. Do a bridge program. We dealt with three concerns that were raised in the Committee on Ways and Means by the other side of the aisle. Those issues have been addressed. It is still not acceptable to some of my colleagues. I understand that.

But in terms of the legislation, the gentleman from Michigan earlier said that it allows people to go to the individual market and that is wrong. It does not. That is the point. It continues to require they go to the State options. That is what the Democrats in the Senate insisted on back in 2002.

That is what we are sticking to. If that were not the case, if we were allowing people to go to the individual market, we would not have a problem here, would we?

The problem is that up to 21 States have not changed their State plans adequately to allow people who have been displaced because of trade to be able to access health care. So we are saying during a bridge while those State gets up to speed and make their programs compliant, we ought to allow them to have access to health care. The State options, again, was not something that we particularly felt was the best policy, but it was something that was insisted upon. Now let us make it work. That is all we are saying.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, how much time remains on both sides?

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. QUINN). The gentleman from Washington (Mr. MCDERMOTT) has 14½ minutes remaining. The gentleman from Ohio (Mr. PORTMAN) has 9½ minutes remaining.

Mr. MCDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I yield 30 seconds to the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Ms. DELAURO).

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I will make two quick points. One, I think my colleagues on the other side of the aisle and so described by Senate aides, Republican Senate aides and personnel who have said that, in fact, they passed this bill in the House because they knew it was never going to go anywhere in the Senate about addressing the child tax issue. That is 12 million children that were promised and 6.5 million families.

The second issue so that everyone understands, the fact of the matter is that we have not closed the loophole on those corporations that go overseas for the ostensible purpose for paying no taxes to the Federal government. They set up a shell corporation, and then they even have the audacity to come back and try to contract with the Federal Government on homeland security.

They do not pay their taxes. We do not let anyone else get away with that. Let us do something about the child tax credit.

Mr. MCDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. SOLIS).

(Ms. SOLIS asked and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. SOLIS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me time.

Mr. Speaker, I rise also to express my strong support for the Rangel substitute and to thank the ranking member for his continual struggle for equitable and just tax laws.

Just tax laws are fiscally responsible and fairly allocated. Nowhere is this injustice of the Republican leadership better illustrated than in the shrewd treatment of the child tax credit. To

ensure at all costs that the rich campaign donors will get the maximum tax credits, Republicans cut out 200,000 military families that they just sent to war, these men and women that are serving abroad. They cut out working families. They cut out single working mothers. They cut out hard working people from all over the world who come to America to seek a better life and play by the rules and pay taxes.

I looked at my district in Los Angeles, San Gabriel Valley and East Los Angeles, and saw that one out of four families would get no tax relief. In fact, in my own district, I do not even have one single millionaire. So there you go. People pay in but they do not get anything out. And I saw that instead they would be saddled with the huge debts of tax. For years to come their children have to bear this. They would lose essential health care services.

And today in our Committee on Energy and Commerce, we are debating the demise, the demise of Medicare, services that are so vital and important to the health of our senior citizens. With less money for infrastructure and environmental protections and Social Security, that is what the Republicans want to talk about.

And I am happy that along with my Democratic colleagues, we cried out the last few weeks against this injustice and the country listened to us. In fact, the other body and the President responded by agreeing to restore the child tax credit. But these folks on the other side, they do not want to listen. They think that somehow nobody is paying attention. They use the child tax credit to try to make a \$400 billion deficit even bigger. There you go. They take, they take, they take, but they do not give back.

I implore my colleagues to please, across the aisle, please support the Rangel substitute.

Mr. PORTMAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3½ minutes to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. WELLER), my colleague on the Committee on Ways and Means.

(Mr. WELLER asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WELLER. Mr. Speaker, let us take a few minutes here and actually focus on the legislation before us today because those who represent 21 States may want to pay very close attention to the legislative proposal that the Democratic side is offering as a substitute to that which is before us today. Because if you vote for the Democrat substitute, workers who have been dislocated, workers who have lost their jobs as a result of trade action or are eligible for trade adjustment assistance or are benefitting from the PBGC programs to help those who are dislocated, if you vote for the Democratic substitute, these dislocated workers in your State will be short-changed because they will be denied help when it comes to obtaining health care coverage for themselves and their families.

Let me note these States, and I urge my colleagues to listen very carefully, because if you come from one of these 21 States and you vote for the Democrat substitute, it is workers in your own State who will be hurt by the Democrat substitute: The States of Alabama, Arizona, Delaware, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Iowa, Kentucky, Mississippi, Missouri, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Utah, Washington State, Wisconsin and Wyoming.

□ 1230

Again, my colleagues, if you represent one of these 21 States and you vote for the Democrat substitute, it is workers in your State who get hurt because the Democrat substitute takes away the help that we have in this legislation to help workers who are dislocated and desperately need health care coverage for themselves and their families.

Now, the Democrats have used a lot of rhetoric to distract all of us from the real intent of their legislation, which is to remove this help for these dislocated workers. Let me tell you why it is so important. In last year's trade act legislation, we provided a groundbreaking refundable 65 percent tax credit for health insurance purchased by those eligible Trade Adjustment Assistance and PBGC beneficiaries. The credit can be used to buy coverage through COBRA, one's spouse's coverage, or under very limited circumstances, the individual market. If these choices are not available, the insurance must be purchased through state-based options, including risk pools, State employee programs, and State contracts with private insurance that must guarantee issuance of insurance without preexisting condition limits.

What we have discovered is that States are not uniformly moving ahead to develop compliant programs. Twenty-nine States have made initiatives. I am proud to say my State of Illinois, in a bipartisan effort, has worked to protect their workers. That is why this legislation is so important today. Because, again, if you are from the 21 States where your legislature and your Governor have not put a program in place to help these workers, they are cut out; and their opportunity to get health care coverage is taken away if you support the Democrat substitute. That is what this is all about.

Vote "no" on the Democrat substitute to take away help for dislocated workers that need health care and vote "yes" on final passage to help these workers that need help.

Mr. MCDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. LEVIN).

(Mr. LEVIN asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LEVIN. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman from Illinois has repeated a claim that was made earlier by the

gentlewoman from Connecticut, and it simply is wrong. Under the legislation that was passed here, the States are mandated to provide this coverage. Most of the States are providing it or are negotiating agreements with insurance carriers. There are only a small number of States with a much smaller number of employees who are constituents or residents who have not done this yet. They can provide this insurance, for example, by modifying their risk pools rules. It does not take legislation. It does not take an act by the Governor and by the State legislature. They can take this action.

Now, look, we offered to sit down with the majority and work this out. For example, there could have been an alternative that if any State did not live up to the mandate, there could be insurance through the Federal plan. That was just one idea. But the majority refused to sit down with us to work this out. And what this is is backtracking. What this is is a foot in the door away from State plans, in addition to other plans that could be bought through COBRA and to allow individuals to buy individual insurance without the protections that are guaranteed in the legislation.

So what is going to happen is there will be cherrypicking and a lot of employees are going to be left with only more expensive insurance to buy. That is the basic principle here. The basic principle. There is a State mandate. The States are fully capable of carrying them out, and the majority is using the fact that a few States or some States have not yet acted to essentially create this vacuum. That is what the majority is utilizing to change the kind of insurance that is going to be purchased by a number of the more healthy people covered by TAA, leaving everybody else in a worse situation.

So, look, there is a State mandate here. The States can carry this out. And if you think not, and we offered to get a quick study of this, sit down with us and try to figure out an answer to a problem that I think does not really exist. You do not like these approaches that are based on State plans, on governmental plans. You prefer individual insurance where people can be cherrypicked by insurance companies. That is not the policy embedded in the TAA that was passed here. We should not turn our backs on what was passed here just a few months ago.

Mr. PORTMAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. FOLEY), my colleague on the Committee on Ways and Means.

Mr. FOLEY. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Ohio for yielding me this time and for his leadership on this issue. I want to respond briefly relative to the mandate which is constantly mentioned throughout the debate. We do not mandate that the States adopt. In fact, the Treasury has been working with the States to try and find ways for compliance.

Obviously, in some States it requires legislative consent, and many of the legislators have returned home to their districts. Some are working with private providers, Blue Cross/Blue Shield and others, getting a waiver for them to make the changes to comply. So I think we have to make certain as we discuss this issue it does not sound like a forced issue on the States. We are working cooperatively with those States.

Mr. Speaker, the amendment of the gentleman from Washington would in fact delete the health care provisions contained in the bill before the House. These provisions are extremely important and reflect a good-faith effort to make sure the previously adopted 65 percent tax credit for health insurance purchased by eligible TAA and PBGC beneficiaries is able to be used by all qualified individuals.

What will the effect of the Democratic amendment be? It will virtually deny tens of thousands of laid-off workers any chance of getting the 65 percent tax credit for payments they made for health care. It will mean in about 21 States, which was mentioned by my colleague, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. WELLER), in 21 States there would be no qualified plan and, consequently, no tax credit for laid-off workers. So their amendment is, in our view, antiworker and antihealth care.

Let me restate the effect of removing from the bill the health care provision. The waiver provision will mean substantial numbers of additional policies will be in place for workers and their families while States continue, again let me underscore, States continue to work on developing compliant program options. Not mandates, develop compliant program options.

According to the Joint Committee on Taxation, an additional 12,000 individuals will exercise the waiver option in 2004 and utilize the tax credit to obtain health insurance for themselves and their families that would not be available under present law. A lot of families would be covered under this option.

The choice here is clear: if we do not provide TAA and PBGC beneficiaries with an option they control in States which do not offer compliant policy, these people will simply be unable to take advantage of health insurance tax credits. We intend in our bill to provide a benefit to these eligible individuals when we pass the trade act.

Let me inform my colleagues that we changed and improved the provisions that are now in the committee bill. First, the waiver will apply only to preexisting conditions and guaranteed-issue protections. It is narrowly tailored to remove obstacles to an individual's access to a qualified option. Second, the waiver will only apply in States that do not have a qualified option. Thus, the provision would benefit those who have no other opportunity to obtain health care coverage. And third, the waiver period is shorter. The waiver is a temporary provision designed to

provide immediate access to health care tax credits. It is only available until December 31, 2004, which will allow States time to establish a qualified insurance plan.

Mr. McDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Listening to the other side, Mr. Speaker, I do not quite know where to start. It is not very often that the public gets a clear view of the naked desire of the Republican Party to not do something while appearing to do it. These taxpayer provisions to protect taxpayers could have passed 12 months ago; but at that time, a year ago, they stuck in a poison pill amendment, and it died in the Senate.

Now, if they had only done it once, no one would have seen what was going on there. They passed the taxpayer bill, they put this amendment in, and they knew it would never come back; and that was the end of it. But they did not learn from that. They had the people fooled that they cared about taxpayers. But now they have come back a second time, and they do the same thing over again. They could have put a bill out here that everybody would have passed, that would have had 435 votes for it; but they had to put another poison pill in.

They know this is not going to get through the Senate because, first of all, it was part of the fast track bill and votes were obtained from people on both sides of the aisle around the belief that they were going to look after workers' rights in trade negotiations. One of the things that happens is people lose their health care benefits when they lose their job because of trade. So we took care of that. And now my Republican colleagues come in here, and what is really amazing is they believe in devolution; that everything should be put down to the States; and what they are basically saying is that we are rewarding the States that have not done anything.

Most States have acted under the bill and provided programs. They have followed all the rules. But we do have some laggards. Maybe my colleagues want to read that list again. Those laggards, those slothful ones, whatever they are, that do not care about their people, or whatever it is, they have not acted; and yet my colleagues are saying, okay, okay, we understand you really do care, so we are going to get rid of all the rules. What kind of incentive, what kind of message is that to send to the States? Hang back, do not do it, and we will change it to fit you; right?

Now, that is no message to send. And the real message here is, and I do not know anybody who wants to see this, this bill occurred because the Republicans would not allow them to use COBRA or Medicaid. When these negotiations were going on, we wanted to put these people into Medicaid, give them coverage there, or allow them to extend their COBRA. But my Repub-

lican colleagues said oh, no, no, no, no, we have a new plan. We believe that tax credits are the answer. So we will give them 65 percent of the premium tax credit, and they will be able to go out and buy. And lo and behold it did not work.

This is kind of the reverse of that movie called "Field of Dreams": If you build it, they will come. Well, the Republicans said if we build this tax credit around health insurance, they will come; and they have not come. So now they are saying, well, we are going to tweak it a little bit here and take away the consumer protections. And I think that is not fair. It makes it pretty hard to deal with the other side when one year they are saying they are going to do one thing, and in less than a year they are back here taking it out. What can we believe from them? Did my colleagues not think it was a good idea last time, so they just let it go through in order to get fast track, because they knew they could come back and repeal it? What was going on?

I think my Republican colleagues ought to ask themselves what kind of a message it sends from their side to us when they want us to work on a bipartisan basis. We do not work very often on a bipartisan basis; but when we do, on the fast track bill, the Republicans undercut it the next time they stand up. In my view, that is not the way this body should operate.

Now, what are some of the other things that are in here that we took out? We took out some things that the Republicans had in their taxpayer bill. We took out the ability to have tax-free interest on overpayments. If we look at the scoring of this bill, if we look at what the CBO said, they said they think a billion dollars is going to be paid in overpayments. Now, why would anybody overpay their taxes? Well, if this bill passes, they would get tax-free interest because the government has to pay interest on overpayments that are given back. It has always been taxable, but now it would not be. The CBO's estimate is that a billion dollars is going to be put into tax-free bonds, basically, in the IRS.

Now, my view is that is not necessary. And the other thing is, my colleagues talk about wanting to revise the Tax Code, yet they come out here with a bill that is going to complicate it some more. They are going to give some people 2 more weeks. For what?

□ 1245

For 2 years they are going to give people who file electronically two more weeks. I asked the staff, where did this come from? Who asked for this?

Mr. Speaker, no accountants that I know want two different dates. It turns out this is a provision that the last Treasury Secretary kind of thought was a great idea. Guys, he is gone. Let this idea go away. It is a bad idea. We do not need any more confusion in tax filing than we already have today.

Finally, this issue of children. I do not know why they continue to tar

themselves with their own brush. They say they care about kids, and then they pass a bill through here that does not give the benefit to the poorest of the kids, not the poorest, the ones just above the poorest. Their folks make between \$15,000 and \$28,000, and they say to them, you do not get this money, this child tax credit. But they are willing to give it to people making \$80,000, \$90,000 all of the way up to \$150,000. I do not know why Republicans would want to have that image.

I stand over here and think, why would they be doing this? All I can think of is they thought it was an engine that would be able to drag some things through Congress which they could not get any other way. It makes no sense at all. If they really cared about these kids, they would pass this bill and with this amendment on it, and it would go into law immediately.

I know the other side does not like the provision about companies that run away, but we are over there rebuilding Iraq, and some of the very companies that left the country and have established another office someplace else, the Cayman Islands or Bermuda or wherever, have the gall to come back here and bid on contracts to rebuild Iraq. They are willing to pay no taxes in this country, and then take American taxpayer money and make profit off it in Iraq. It is unbelievable that the other side of the aisle would set up a system like that unless they had friends in the oil industry or concrete-laying or dam-building or airport-rebuilding. All those issues are in this bill, and I say we should adopt this amendment if we want to protect the taxpayers. This amendment in the nature of a substitute would get through the Senate.

Mr. PORTMAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, I think we have seen why this effort today is more politics than it is practical. We are now talking about Iraq. We have loaded this bill up with Iraq, and somehow that is going to get through the Senate. The reality is we have about 160 pages of new provisions here that have not been through the Committee on Ways and Means process, have not been reported out of the Senate Finance Committee; and they are, therefore, going to drag down all of the other good legislation in the underlying bill. We are talking about the substitute for good legislation.

The gentleman from Washington has talked about the child credit. Here is the reality. If we really want the child credit to get resolved, to be sure we were giving fair and balanced relief to families with kids, Members would not tack it onto this, raising every issue from inversions to Iraq. Members would instead want to make that a streamlined process, as we did here in the House recently where we said we ought to be able to provide people who do not have Federal income tax liability with a little help, more help than

we are already giving them because all those families already get help, thanks to Republicans, because in 2001 we passed tax legislation that for the first time ever, unlike what the Democrats did for the previous 40-plus years when they controlled this place, we provided tax credits that were refundable to people who do not pay Federal income taxes.

The Democrats are saying now we ought to increase that refundability, which is scheduled to happen anyway in 2005, and instead what we ought to do, we ought not provide relief to people who do pay income taxes. That is absurd. We ought to do both. We are willing to increase it to 15 percent, but for the Democrats to say but if you pay income taxes, you do not get the \$1,000 credit, that makes no sense at all. That is what they want to do.

Anyhow, that issue should not be on this bill because this bill has now become so complicated with this Democrat substitute that it would, if the Democrat substitute passed, not be able to make it through the Senate. The underlying legislation here is the result of years of work by people who are concerned about ordinary taxpayers and how to make our tax system work better. That is what it is. It is great legislation.

The provision the gentleman criticized earlier is from the bipartisan, bicameral joint tax committee. There are anti-abuse provisions in it. He misreads the provision or he thinks it is not good law because he thinks taxpayers ought to be saddled with more liability than they should be.

Let me talk about some of the great provisions that are in here that would not happen if this substitute goes through because we are not going to get this bill through if the substitute is part of it. We would not have an end to this first time penalty. Right now, even the most conscientious taxpayers who put a \$1.40 stamp on their tax return envelope rather than \$1.50, those people now end up having a penalty against them for minor errors, and we would not be able to fix that if the substitute goes through.

Second, there would be no relief on the estimated tax penalty. We would still have people who are charged interest and have to pay tax, additional interest and penalties just for how they quarterly file their taxes. There would be no simplified filing for family businesses. There would be no prohibition and increased penalties for unauthorized browsing. How could Members be against that? Do Members think the IRS employees ought to be able to browse?

And with regard to the so-called 10 deadly sins, we help the IRS and its employees to improve morale by reforming that and doing what the IRS commissioners strongly believe we ought to do, give them some flexibility.

Mr. Speaker, the bottom line is we ought not to take these good provi-

sions down because of a health care credit. All it does is provide 12,000 families with the ability to access health care, that and the good IRS provisions ought to go. The substitute ought to be voted down. I urge my colleagues to vote no on the substitute and yes on the underlying bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. QUINN). All time for debate has expired.

Pursuant to House Resolution 282, the previous question is ordered on the bill and on the amendment in the nature of a substitute offered by the gentleman from Washington (Mr. MCDERMOTT).

The question is on the amendment in the nature of a substitute offered by the gentleman from Washington (Mr. MCDERMOTT).

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. MCDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 196, nays 226, not voting 12, as follows:

[Roll No. 291]

YEAS—196

Abercrombie	Engel	Lowey
Ackerman	Eshoo	Lucas (KY)
Alexander	Etheridge	Lynch
Allen	Evans	Majette
Andrews	Farr	Maloney
Baca	Fattah	Markey
Baird	Filner	Marshall
Baldwin	Ford	Matheson
Ballance	Frank (MA)	Matsui
Becerra	Frost	McCarthy (MO)
Bell	Gonzalez	McCarthy (NY)
Berkley	Gordon	McCollum
Berman	Green (TX)	McDermott
Berry	Grijalva	McGovern
Bishop (GA)	Gutierrez	McIntyre
Bishop (NY)	Harman	McNulty
Blumenauer	Hill	Meehan
Boswell	Hinchey	Meek (FL)
Boucher	Hinojosa	Meeks (NY)
Boyd	Hoeffel	Menendez
Brady (PA)	Holden	Michaud
Brown (OH)	Holt	Millender
Brown, Corrine	Honda	McDonald
Capps	Hoolley (OR)	Miller (NC)
Capuano	Hoyer	Miller, George
Cardin	Inslee	Mollohan
Cardoza	Israel	Moore
Carson (OK)	Jackson (IL)	Moran (VA)
Case	Jackson-Lee	Murtha
Clay	(TX)	Nadler
Clyburn	Jefferson	Napolitano
Cooper	John	Neal (MA)
Cramer	Johnson, E. B.	Oberstar
Crowley	Jones (OH)	Obey
Cummings	Kanjorski	Olver
Davis (AL)	Kaptur	Ortiz
Davis (CA)	Kennedy (RI)	Owens
Davis (FL)	Kildee	Pallone
Davis (IL)	Kilpatrick	Pascrell
Davis (TN)	Kind	Pastor
DeFazio	Kucinich	Payne
DeGette	Lampson	Pelosi
DeLauro	Langevin	Peterson (MN)
Deutsch	Lantos	Pomeroy
Dicks	Larsen (WA)	Price (NC)
Dingell	Larson (CT)	Rahall
Doggett	Lee	Rangel
Dooley (CA)	Levin	Reyes
Doyle	Lewis (GA)	Rodriguez
Edwards	Lipinski	Ross
Emanuel	Lofgren	Rothman

Roybal-Allard
Ruppersberger
Rush
Ryan (OH)
Sabo
Sanchez, Linda
T.
Sanchez, Loretta
Sanders
Sandlin
Schakowsky
Schiff
Scott (GA)
Scott (VA)
Serrano
Sherman

Skelton
Slaughter
Snyder
Solis
Spratt
Stark
Stenholm
Strickland
Stupak
Tanner
Tauscher
Taylor (MS)
Thompson (CA)
Tierney
Towns
Turner (TX)

Udall (CO)
Udall (NM)
Van Hollen
Velazquez
Visclosky
Waters
Watson
Watt
Waxman
Weiner
Wexler
Woolsey
Wu
Wynn

Hastings (FL)
Kleczka
Miller (MI)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (WA)
Thompson (MS)

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. EMERSON) (during the vote). Members are advised that 2 minutes remain in this vote.

□ 1312

Messrs. BLUNT, EVERETT, OTTER and Mrs. CUBIN changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Ms. KAPTUR, Mr. HONDA and Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT OFFERED BY MR. VISCLOSKY

Mr. VISCLOSKY. Madam Speaker, I offer a motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mr. VISCLOSKY. Madam Speaker, I am in its present form.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. VISCLOSKY moves to recommit the bill H.R. 1528 to the Committee on Ways and Means with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith with the following amendments:

Strike section 309 of the bill and insert the following new section (and amend the table of contents accordingly):

SEC. 309. HEALTH CARE TAX CREDIT ENHANCEMENT.

(a) DECREASE IN AGE ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENT.—Subparagraph (A) of section 35(c)(4) (defining eligible PBGC pension recipient) is amended by striking "age 55" and inserting "age 50".

(b) REPEAL OF 3-MONTH REQUIREMENT OF EXISTING COVERAGE.—Clause (i) of section 35(e)(2)(B) (defining qualifying individual) is amended by striking "9801(c)" and inserting "9801(c) (prior to the employment separation necessary to attain the status of an eligible individual)".

(c) ELIGIBILITY OF SPOUSE OF CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS ENTITLED TO MEDICARE.—Subsection (b) of section 35 (defining eligible coverage month) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(3) SPECIAL RULE FOR SPOUSE OF INDIVIDUAL ENTITLED TO MEDICARE.—Any month which would be an eligible coverage month with respect to a taxpayer (determined without regard to subsection (f)(2)(A)) shall be an eligible coverage month for any spouse of such taxpayer."

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to months beginning after September 30, 2003.

Mr. VISCLOSKY (during the reading). Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the motion to recommit be considered as read and printed in the RECORD.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Indiana?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Indiana is recognized for 5 minutes in support of his motion.

□ 1315

Mr. VISCLOSKY. Madam Speaker, I thank my colleagues for their attention. H.R. 1528, from my perspective, and in its current form, does not adequately address the needs of tens of thousands of workers who have lost their health benefits. I believe that section 309 would, in fact, hurt retirees by rolling back consumer protections currently in place. I do think it is unacceptable to now constrict the number of individuals eligible for health care tax credits.

The motion to recommit is based on title I of H.R. 1999, which has 111 bipartisan co-sponsors; and I believe title I represents a positive proactive solution to the health care problems retirees and other workers who have lost their jobs face. The motion to recommit builds upon the progress we made in the Trade Promotion Authority in this area. It does not create a new health area tax credit. It does not create a new Federal program; but rather, it removes obstacles in the current program to include more individuals, individual U.S. citizens who need assistance. The motion lowers the eligibility age from the current age of 55 to 50. The motion to recommit also allows spouses to receive the tax credit if they would otherwise be eligible and the recipient is over 64 years of age and receiving Medicare. Currently spouses of eligible individuals can receive the health care tax credit only while the eligible individual is between the ages of 55 and 64.

And, finally, it allows the last 3 months of health care before TAA qualification or the PBGC takeover to count as a 3-month preexisting coverage requirement. Currently an eligible individual must pay full price for health care for 3 months before receiving the health care tax credit.

This measure will help retirees from a wide range of industry, including textiles, airline mechanics, and other manufacturing firms whose pensions, including 2,800 firms, have been taken over by the PBGC.

While many industry employees who have lost their jobs will be benefited, the industry I am most familiar with is the United States steel industry. Since 1998, 208,000 steelworkers have lost their health insurance; 51,000 of them are ineligible for Medicare. Many of these individuals are simply unable to afford health insurance at full cost, leaving them without modest health care coverage.

This is not free coverage. I just want to ensure that retirees that were hurt by unfair trade or other circumstances beyond their control economically get back just a little bit of what they used to have that was taken away from them.

I testified before the Committee on Rules 2 days ago on this measure wanting to offer an amendment, and one

NAYS—226

Aderholt	Gibbons	Osborne
Akin	Gilchrest	Ose
Bachus	Gillmor	Otter
Baker	Gingrey	Oxley
Ballenger	Goode	Paul
Barrett (SC)	Goodlatte	Pearce
Bartlett (MD)	Goss	Pence
Barton (TX)	Granger	Peterson (PA)
Bass	Graves	Petri
Beauprez	Green (WI)	Pickering
Bereuter	Greenwood	Pitts
Biggett	Gutknecht	Platts
Billrakis	Hall	Pombo
Bishop (UT)	Harris	Porter
Blackburn	Hart	Portman
Blunt	Hastings (WA)	Pryce (OH)
Boehkert	Hayes	Putnam
Boehner	Hayworth	Quinn
Bonilla	Hefley	Radanovich
Bonner	Hensarling	Ramstad
Bono	Herger	Regula
Boozman	Hobson	Rehberg
Bradley (NH)	Hoekstra	Renzi
Brady (TX)	Hostettler	Reynolds
Brown (SC)	Houghton	Rogers (AL)
Brown-Waite,	Hulshof	Rogers (KY)
Ginny	Hunter	Rogers (MI)
Burgess	Hyde	Rohrabacher
Burns	Isakson	Ros-Lehtinen
Burr	Issa	Royce
Burton (IN)	Istook	Ryan (WI)
Buyer	Janklow	Ryun (KS)
Calvert	Jenkins	Saxton
Camp	Johnson (CT)	Schrock
Cantor	Johnson (IL)	Sensenbrenner
Capito	Johnson, Sam	Sessions
Carter	Jones (NC)	Shadegg
Castle	Keller	Shaw
Chabot	Kelly	Shays
Chocola	Kennedy (MN)	Sherwood
Coble	King (IA)	Shimkus
Cole	King (NY)	Shuster
Collins	Kingston	Simmons
Cox	Kirk	Simpson
Crane	Kline	Smith (MI)
Crenshaw	Knollenberg	Smith (TX)
Cubin	Kolbe	Souder
Culberson	LaHood	Stearns
Cunningham	Latham	Sullivan
Davis, Jo Ann	LaTourette	Sweeney
Davis, Tom	Leach	Tancredo
Deal (GA)	Lewis (CA)	Tauzin
DeLay	Lewis (KY)	Taylor (NC)
DeMint	Linder	Terry
Diaz-Balart, L.	LoBiondo	Thomas
Diaz-Balart, M.	Lucas (OK)	Thornberry
Doolittle	Manzullo	Tiahrt
Dreier	McCotter	Tiberi
Duncan	McCrery	Toomey
Dunn	McHugh	Turner (OH)
Ehlers	McInnis	Upton
Emerson	McKeon	Vitter
English	Mica	Walden (OR)
Everett	Miller (FL)	Walsh
Feeney	Miller, Gary	Wamp
Ferguson	Moran (KS)	Weldon (FL)
Flake	Murphy	Weldon (PA)
Fletcher	Musgrave	Weller
Foley	Myrick	Whitfield
Forbes	Nethercutt	Wicker
Fossella	Neugebauer	Wilson (NM)
Franks (AZ)	Ney	Wilson (SC)
Frelinghuysen	Northup	Wolf
Gallely	Norwood	Young (AK)
Garrett (NJ)	Nunes	Young (FL)
Gerlach	Nussle	

NOT VOTING—12

Cannon	Conyers	Delahunt
Carson (IN)	Costello	Gephardt

question asked of me is, is there a cost? And I would respond to that question by saying there is a cost. There is a cost in doing nothing. In yesterday's Post Tribune from Gary Indiana, there was a headline that said more than 10,000 Bethlehem and LTD retirees find themselves without health insurance.

Let me talk about one lucky individual, a gentleman who retired from Bethlehem Steel within the last year who had to make a decision about whether or not he would keep his health care from Bethlehem Steel or secure it through a public job that he had in Porter County, Indiana. Larry Sheets made the decision to take the insurance with a public entity in Porter County, Indiana. At the time, I thought he was wrong because of the health care provided by the company. After Mr. Sheets made his decision and after Bethlehem Steel had their health care canceled, he developed leukemia and within the last several weeks was released from Northwestern Hospital. He is alive today because he had health insurance. If he had decided the other way, to keep his health care from Bethlehem Steel, he would not have had any health care when he developed leukemia, and he would not be back from the hospital today. He would be dead.

There is a cost in doing nothing. We have a government to help people who through no fault of their own have developed a problem, and I would hope that we still retain in this Chamber and in this country a heart that is generous and willing to help our citizens when they need it.

Mr. MCCRERY. Madam Speaker, I rise in opposition to the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. EMERSON). The gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. MCCRERY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. MCCRERY. Madam Speaker, the motion before us would basically make a bad situation worse, much worse. For those unemployed workers who do not have access to COBRA benefits, they depend upon the States to confect with insurance companies or through a State employee plan or through a high-risk pool a plan of insurance that comports with the provisions of the trade bill we adopted in the last Congress. The problem for some unemployed workers now is that their States have not yet perfected those plans; so if they do not have COBRA availability, they have nothing on which they could use their 65 percent health insurance tax credit. Nothing. It is not available to them.

Right now we think by August about 30 States will have implemented a plan of insurance which will be available to unemployed workers that do not have COBRA. If this motion to recommit were to be adopted, made law, we would have zero States, not 30, zero States that would have insurance plans in place for those unemployed workers. Actually, we might have two. We might have two States. We are not

sure. Maybe two out of 50 would have in place a plan that would be available for the tax credit for these unemployed workers.

So I would urge this House to not make a bad situation worse. I would urge the House to adopt the underlying bill with the provision in it that will give some hope to those unemployed workers who do not have COBRA, who did not work for a big company, to get some health insurance for them and their families.

Besides making a bad situation worse, the policy contained in the motion to recommit is simply bad policy. If we want to encourage employers to provide health insurance, there has got to be health insurance available. If we want the States to provide a plan of health insurance so that unemployed workers can take advantage of the tax credit, then we do not want to destroy the fundamentals of the insurance system which this motion to recommit would do. HIPAA, passed by Congress several years ago, addressed this issue of portability of health insurance and said in order to maintain a vibrant health insurance industry, we have got to provide for some prior coverage before a person can get insurance without being subject to guaranteed issue and preexisting conditions clauses in those contracts.

So the Congress said they have got to have 18 months' prior coverage, and they must not have lost that coverage more than 63 days ago. This motion to recommit would say never mind the 63 days, they could have had prior coverage 20 years ago. What that would mean is people would just wait to get insurance until they get sick. Obviously, that destroys the whole concept of insurance, and for that reason this would be terrible policy if we are interested in keeping a private health insurance system in this country.

So, Madam Speaker, I would urge a "no" vote on this motion to recommit, a "yes" vote on the underlying bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. VISLOSKY. Madam Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, the Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote on the question of final passage.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 199, noes 226, not voting 9, as follows:

[Roll No. 292]

AYES—199

Abercrombie	Alexander	Andrews
Ackerman	Allen	Baca

Baird	Hoeffel	Olver
Baldwin	Holden	Ortiz
Ballance	Holt	Owens
Becerra	Honda	Pallone
Bell	Hoolley (OR)	Pascrell
Berkley	Hoyer	Pastor
Berman	Inslee	Payne
Berry	Israel	Pelosi
Bishop (GA)	Jackson (IL)	Peterson (MN)
Bishop (NY)	Jackson-Lee	Pomeroy
Blumenauer	(TX)	Price (NC)
Boswell	Jefferson	Rahall
Boucher	John	Rangel
Boyd	Johnson, E. B.	Reyes
Brady (PA)	Jones (OH)	Rodriguez
Brown (OH)	Kanjorski	Ross
Brown, Corrine	Kaptur	Rothman
Capps	Kennedy (RI)	Roybal-Allard
Capuano	Kildee	Ruppersberger
Cardin	Kilpatrick	Rush
Cardoza	Kind	Ryan (OH)
Carson (OK)	Kucinich	Sabo
Case	Lampson	Sanchez, Linda
Clay	Langevin	T.
Clyburn	Lantos	Sanchez, Loretta
Cooper	Larsen (WA)	Sanders
Cramer	Larson (CT)	Sandlin
Crowley	Lee	Schakowsky
Cummings	Levin	Schiff
Davis (AL)	Lewis (GA)	Scott (GA)
Davis (CA)	Lipinski	Scott (VA)
Davis (FL)	Lofgren	Serrano
Davis (IL)	Lowey	Sherman
Davis (TN)	Lucas (KY)	Skelton
DeFazio	Lynch	Slaughter
DeGette	Majette	Snyder
Delahunt	Maloney	Solis
DeLauro	Markey	Spratt
Deutsch	Marshall	Stark
Dicks	Matheson	Stenholm
Dingell	Matsui	Strickland
Doggett	McCarthy (MO)	Stupak
Dooley (CA)	McCarthy (NY)	Tanner
Doyle	McCollum	Tauscher
Edwards	McDermott	Taylor (MS)
Emanuel	McGovern	Thompson (CA)
Engel	McIntyre	Thompson (MS)
Eshoo	McNulty	Tierney
Etheridge	Meehan	Towns
Evans	Meek (FL)	Turner (TX)
Farr	Meeks (NY)	Udall (CO)
Fattah	Menendez	Udall (NM)
Filner	Michaud	Van Hollen
Ford	Millender-	Velazquez
Frank (MA)	McDonald	Visclosky
Frost	Miller (NC)	Waters
Gonzalez	Miller, George	Watson
Gordon	Mollohan	Watt
Green (TX)	Moore	Waxman
Grijalva	Moran (VA)	Weiner
Gutierrez	Murtha	Wexler
Hall	Nadler	Woolsey
Harman	Napolitano	Wu
Hill	Neal (MA)	Wynn
Hinchey	Oberstar	
Hinojosa	Obey	

NOES—226

Aderholt	Buyer	Emerson
Akin	Calvert	English
Bachus	Camp	Everett
Baker	Cannon	Feeney
Ballenger	Cantor	Ferguson
Barrett (SC)	Capito	Flake
Bartlett (MD)	Carter	Fletcher
Barton (TX)	Castle	Foley
Bass	Chabot	Forbes
Beauprez	Chocola	Fossella
Bereuter	Coble	Franks (AZ)
Biggert	Cole	Frelinghuysen
Bilirakis	Collins	Gallely
Bishop (UT)	Cox	Garrett (NJ)
Blackburn	Crane	Gerlach
Blunt	Crenshaw	Gibbons
Boehlert	Cubin	Gilchrest
Boehner	Culberson	Gillmor
Bonilla	Cunningham	Gingrey
Bonner	Davis, Jo Ann	Goode
Bono	Davis, Tom	Goodlatte
Boozman	Deal (GA)	Goss
Bradley (NH)	DeLay	Granger
Brady (TX)	DeMint	Graves
Brown (SC)	Diaz-Balart, L.	Green (WI)
Brown-Waite,	Diaz-Balart, M.	Greenwood
Ginny	Doollittle	Gutknecht
Burgess	Dreier	Harris
Burns	Duncan	Hart
Burr	Dunn	Hastings (WA)
Burton (IN)	Ehlers	Hayes

Hayworth Mica Ryun (KS)
 Hefley Miller (FL)
 Hensarling Miller, Gary
 Herger Moran (KS)
 Hobson Murphy
 Hoekstra Musgrave
 Hostettler Myrick
 Houghton Nethercutt
 Hulshof Neugebauer
 Hunter Ney
 Hyde Northup
 Isakson Norwood
 Issa Nunes
 Istook Nussle
 Janklow Osborne
 Jenkins Ose
 Johnson (CT) Otter
 Johnson (IL) Oxley
 Johnson, Sam Paul
 Jones (NC) Pearce
 Keller Pence
 Kelly Peterson (PA)
 Kennedy (MN) Petri
 King (IA) Pickering
 King (NY) Pitts
 Kingston Platts
 Kirk Pombo
 Kline Porter
 Knollenberg Portman
 Kolbe Pryce (OH)
 LaHood Putnam
 Latham Quinn
 LaTourette Radanovich
 Leach Ramstad
 Lewis (CA) Regula
 Lewis (KY) Rehberg
 Linder Renzi
 LoBiondo Reynolds
 Lucas (OK) Rogers (AL)
 Manzullo Rogers (KY)
 McCotter Rogers (MI)
 McCrery Rohrabacher
 McHugh Ros-Lehtinen
 McInnis Royce
 McKeon Ryan (WI)

Camp Cannon
 Cantor Schrock
 Capito Sensenbrenner
 Cardoza Sessions
 Carson (OK) Shadegg
 Carter Shaw
 Case Shays
 Castle Sherwood
 Chabot Shimkus
 Choccola Shuster
 Coble Simmons
 Cole Simpson
 Collins Smith (MI)
 Cramer Smith (TX)
 Crane Souder
 Crenshaw Stearns
 Cubin Sullivan
 Culberson Sweeney
 Cunningham Tancredo
 Davis (AL) Tauzin
 Davis (TN) Taylor (NC)
 Davis, Jo Ann Terry
 Diaz-Balart, L. Thomas
 Diaz-Balart, M. Thornberry
 Doolittle Tiahrt
 Dreier Tiberi
 Duncan Toomey
 Dunn Turner (OH)
 Edwards Upton
 Ehlers Doolittle
 Emerson Weldon (PA)
 Engel Weller
 English Whitfield
 Everett Wicker
 Feeney Wilson (NM)
 Ferguson Wilson (SC)
 Flake Wolf
 Fletcher Young (AK)
 Foley Young (FL)
 Forbes
 Fossella
 Franks (AZ)
 Frelinghuysen
 Gallegly
 Garrett (NJ)
 Gerlach
 Gibbons
 Gilchrest
 Gillmor
 Gingrey
 Goodlatte
 Goss
 Granger
 Graves
 Green (WI)
 Greenwood
 Gutknecht
 Hall
 Harris
 Hart
 Hastings (WA)
 Hayes
 Hayworth
 Hefley
 Hensarling
 Herger
 Hobson

Hoekstra
 Hostettler
 Houghton
 Hulshof
 Hunter
 Hyde
 Isakson
 Israel
 Issa
 Istook
 Janklow
 Jenkins
 John
 Johnson (CT)
 Johnson (IL)
 Johnson, Sam
 Jones (NC)
 Keller
 Kelly
 Kennedy (MN)
 King (IA)
 King (NY)
 Kingston
 Kirk
 Kline
 Knollenberg
 Kolbe
 LaHood
 Latham
 LaTourette
 Leach
 Lewis (CA)
 Lewis (KY)
 Linder
 Lipinski
 LoBiondo
 Lucas (KY)
 Lucas (OK)
 Manzullo
 Marshall
 Matheson
 McCarthy (NY)
 McCotter
 McCrery
 McHugh
 McInnis
 McIntyre
 McKeon
 Mica
 Miller (FL)
 Miller, Gary
 Moran (KS)
 Moran (VA)
 Murphy
 Musgrave
 Myrick
 Nethercutt
 Neugebauer
 Ney
 Northup
 Norwood
 Nunes
 Nussle
 Osborne
 Ose
 Otter
 Oxley
 Paul
 Pearce
 Pence
 Peterson (MN)
 Peterson (PA)
 Petri

Pickering
 Pitts
 Platts
 Pombo
 Porter
 Portman
 Pryce (OH)
 Putnam
 Quinn
 Radanovich
 Ramstad
 Regula
 Rehberg
 Renzi
 Reynolds
 Rogers (AL)
 Rogers (KY)
 Rogers (MI)
 Rohrabacher
 Ros-Lehtinen
 Royce
 Ryan (WI)
 Ryun (KS)
 Saxton
 Schrock
 Sensenbrenner
 Sessions
 Shadegg
 Shaw
 Shays
 Sherwood
 Shimkus
 Shuster
 Simmons
 Simpson
 Skelton
 Smith (MI)
 Smith (TX)
 Souder
 Stearns
 Stenholm
 Sullivan
 Sweeney
 Tancredo
 Tauzin
 Taylor (MS)
 Taylor (NC)
 Terry
 Thomas
 Thornberry
 Tiahrt
 Tiberi
 Toomey
 Turner (OH)
 Turner (TX)
 Upton
 Vitter
 Walden (OR)
 Walsh
 Wamp
 Weldon (FL)
 Weldon (PA)
 Weller
 Whitfield
 Wicker
 Wilson (NM)
 Wilson (SC)
 Wolf
 Wu
 Young (AK)
 Young (FL)

Miller, George
 Mollohan
 Moore
 Murtha
 Nadler
 Napolitano
 Neal (MA)
 Oberstar
 Obey
 Olver
 Ortiz
 Owens
 Pallone
 Pascrell
 Pastor
 Payne
 Pelosi
 Pomeroy
 Price (NC)
 Rahall
 Rangel
 Reyes
 Rodriguez
 Ross
 Rothman
 Roybal-Allard
 Ruppertsberger
 Rush
 Ryan (OH)
 Sabo
 Sanchez, Linda
 T.
 Sanchez, Loretta
 Sanders
 Sandlin
 Schakowsky
 Schiff
 Scott (GA)
 Scott (VA)
 Serrano
 Sherman
 Slaughter
 Snyder
 Solis
 Spratt
 Stark
 Strickland
 Stupak
 Tanner
 Tauscher
 Thompson (CA)
 Thompson (MS)
 Tierney
 Towns
 Udall (CO)
 Udall (NM)
 Van Hollen
 Velazquez
 Vislosky
 Waters
 Watson
 Watt
 Waxman
 Weiner
 Wexler
 Woolsey
 Wynn

NOT VOTING—9

Carson (IN) Gephardt
 Conyers Hastings (FL)
 Costello Kleczka

Miller (MI)
 Smith (NJ)
 Smith (WA)

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE
 The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. EMERSON) (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining to vote.

□ 1346

Mr. RUPPERSBERGER changed his vote from “no” to “aye.”
 So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. PRICE of North Carolina. Madam Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.
 The SPEAKER pro tempore. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 252, noes 170, not voting 12, as follows:

[Roll No. 293]

AYES—252

Aderholt Biggart Boswell
 Akin Bilirakis Boyd
 Alexander Bishop (NY) Bradley (NH)
 Bachus Bishop (UT) Brady (TX)
 Baker Blackburn Brown (SC)
 Ballenger Blunt Brown-Waite,
 Barrett (SC) Boehlert Ginny
 Bartlett (MD) Boehner Burgess
 Barton (TX) Bonilla Burr
 Bass Bonner Burton (IN)
 Beauprez Bono Buyer
 Bereuter Boozman Calvert

Abercrombie Crowley
 Ackerman Cummings
 Allen Davis (CA)
 Andrews Davis (FL)
 Baca Davis (IL)
 Baird DeGette
 Baldwin Delahunt
 Ballance DeLauro
 Becerra Deutsch
 Bell Dicks
 Berkley Dingell
 Berry Doggett
 Bishop (GA) Dooley (CA)
 Blumenauer Doyle
 Boucher Emanuel
 Brady (PA) Eshoo
 Brown, Corrine Etheridge
 Capps Evans
 Capuano Farr
 Cardin Fattah
 Clay Filner
 Clyburn Ford
 Cooper Frank (MA)
 Frost

NOES—170

Gonzalez
 Goode
 Gordon
 Green (TX)
 Grijalva
 Gutierrez
 Harman
 Hill
 Hinchey
 Hinojosa
 Hoeffel
 Holden
 Holt
 Honda
 Hooley (OR)
 Hoyer
 Inslee
 Jackson (IL)
 Jackson-Lee
 (TX)
 Jefferson
 Johnson, E. B.
 Jones (OH)
 Kanjorski

NOT VOTING—12

Brown (OH) Costello Kleczka
 Burns Cox Miller (MI)
 Carson (IN) Gephardt Smith (NJ)
 Conyers Hastings (FL) Smith (WA)

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. EMERSON) (during the vote). There are 2 minutes left on this vote.

□ 1352

Mr. MORAN of Virginia changed his vote from “aye” to “no.”
 So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. PORTMAN. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on the subject of H.R. 1528, the bill just passed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Ohio?

There was no objection.

SMALL BUSINESS HEALTH FAIRNESS ACT OF 2003

Mr. BOEHNER. Madam Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 283, I call up the bill (H.R. 660) to amend title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 to improve access and choice for entrepreneurs with small businesses with respect to medical care for their employees, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 283, the bill is considered read for amendment.

The text of H.R. 660 is as follows: