

Lewis (KY)
Linder
LoBiondo
Lucas (KY)
Lucas (OK)
Manzullo
McCotter
McCrery
McHugh
McInnis
McKeon
Mica
Miller (FL)
Miller (MI)
Miller, Gary
Moran (KS)
Moran (VA)
Murphy
Musgrave
Myrick
Nethercutt
Neugebauer
Ney
Northup
Norwood
Nussle
Osborne
Ose
Otter
Oxley
Paul
Pearce
Pence
Peterson (MN)
Peterson (PA)

NOES—188

Abercrombie
Alexander
Allen
Andrews
Baca
Baird
Baldwin
Ballance
Becerra
Bell
Berkley
Berman
Berry
Bishop (GA)
Bishop (NY)
Blumenauer
Boswell
Brady (PA)
Brown (OH)
Brown, Corrine
Capps
Capuano
Cardin
Cardoza
Carson (IN)
Carson (OK)
Case
Clay
Clyburn
Conyers
Cooper
Costello
Crowley
Cummings
Davis (AL)
Davis (CA)
Davis (FL)
Davis (IL)
DeFazio
DeGette
Delahunt
DeLauro
Deutsch
Dicks
Dingell
Doggett
Doyle
Edwards
Emanuel
Engel
Etheridge
Evans
Farr
Fattah
Filner
Ford
Frank (MA)
Frost
Gonzalez
Gordon
Green (TX)
Grijalva

Petri
Pickering
Pitts
Platts
Pombo
Porter
Portman
Pryce (OH)
Putnam
Quinn
Radanovich
Ramstad
Regula
Rehberg
Renzi
Reynolds
Rogers (AL)
Rogers (KY)
Rogers (MI)
Rohrabacher
Ros-Lehtinen
Royce
Ryan (WI)
Ryun (KS)
Saxton
Schrock
Scott (GA)
Sensenbrenner
Sessions
Shadeegg
Shaw
Shays
Sherwood
Shimkus
Shuster

Simmons
Simpson
Smith (MI)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (TX)
Souder
Stearns
Sweeney
Tancredo
Tanner
Tauzin
Taylor (NC)
Terry
Thomas
Thornberry
Tiaht
Tiberi
Toomey
Turner (OH)
Upton
Vitter
Walden (OR)
Walsh
Wamp
Weldon (FL)
Weldon (PA)
Weller
Whitfield
Wicker
Wilson (NM)
Wilson (SC)
Wolf
Young (AK)
Young (FL)

Waxman
Weiner

Ackerman
Cubin
Eshoo
Gephardt

Wexler
Woolsey

Johnson (CT)
Nunes
Rothman
Rush

Wu
Wynn

Sherman
Smith (WA)
Sullivan

Harris
Hastings (WA)
Hayes
Hayworth
Hensarling
Herger
Hill
Hinojosa
Hobson
Hoeffel
Hoekstra
Holden
Honda
Hooley (OR)
Hostettler
Houghton
Hoyer
Hulshof
Hunter
Hyde
Inslee
Isakson
Israel
Issa
Istook
Jackson (IL)
Jackson-Lee

(TX)
Janklow
Jefferson
Jenkins
John
Johnson (IL)
Johnson, E. B.
Johnson, Sam
Jones (NC)
Jones (OH)
Kanjorski
Keller
Kelly
Kennedy (MN)
Kennedy (RI)
Kildee
Kilpatrick
Kind
King (IA)
King (NY)
Kingston
Kirk
Klecza
Kline
Knollenberg
Kolbe
LaHood
Lampson
Langevin
Lantos
Larson (CT)
Latham
LaTourette
Leach
Levin
Lewis (CA)
Lewis (KY)
Linder
Lofgren
Lowe
Lucas (KY)
Lucas (OK)
Lynch
Majette
Maloney
Manzullo

Markey
Marshall
Matsui
McCarthy (MO)
McCarthy (NY)
McCollum
McCotter
McCrery
McHugh
McInnis
McIntyre
McKeon
Meehan
Meek (FL)
Meeks (NY)
Menendez
Mica
Michaud
Millender-
McDonald
Miller (FL)
Miller (MI)
Miller (NC)
Miller, Gary
Mollohan
Moran (KS)
Moran (VA)
Murphy
Murtha
Myrick
Nadler
Napolitano
Neal (MA)
Nethercutt
Neugebauer
Ney
Northup
Norwood
Nussle
Osborne
Ose
Otter
Owens
Oxley
Pallone
Paul
Payne
Pearce
Pelosi
Pence
Peterson (PA)
Petri
Pickering
Pitts
Platts
Pombo
Pomeroy
Porter
Portman
Price (NC)
Pryce (OH)
Putnam
Quinn
Radanovich
Rahall
Rangel
Regula
Rehberg
Renzi
Reyes
Reynolds
Rodriguez
Rogers (AL)

Rogers (KY)
Rogers (MI)
Rohrabacher
Ros-Lehtinen
Ross
Roybal-Allard
Royce
Ruppersberger
Ryan (OH)
Ryan (WI)
Ryun (KS)
Sanders
Sandlin
Saxton
Schiff
Schrock
Scott (GA)
Scott (VA)
Sensenbrenner
Sessions
Shaw
Shays
Sherwood
Shimkus
Shuster
Simmons
Simpson
Skelton
Slaughter
Smith (MI)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (TX)
Snyder
Solis
Souder
Spratt
Stearns
Sullivan
Sweeney
Tancredo
Tanner
Tauzin
Taylor (NC)
Terry
Thomas
Thornberry
Tiaht
Tiberi
Tierney
Toomey
Towns
Turner (OH)
Turner (TX)
Upton
Van Hollen
Walden (OR)
Walsh
Wamp
Watson
Watt
Waxman
Weiner
Weldon (FL)
Weldon (PA)
Whitfield
Wilson (SC)
Wolf
Woolsey
Wynn
Young (AK)
Young (FL)

NOT VOTING—11

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). Members are advised 2 minutes remain in this vote.

□ 1157

So the resolution was agreed to.
The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the pending business is the question de novo of the Chair's approval of the Journal of the last day's proceedings.

The question is on the Speaker's approval of the Journal.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. McNULTY. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 347, noes 74, not voting 13, as follows:

[Roll No. 267]

AYES—347

Abercrombie
Akin
Alexander
Allen
Andrews
Baca
Bachus
Baker
Ballance
Ballenger
Barrett (SC)
Bartlett (MD)
Barton (TX)
Bass
Beauprez
Becerra
Bell
Bereuter
Berkley
Berman
Biggart
Bilirakis
Bishop (GA)
Bishop (NY)
Cooper
Cox
Cramer
Crenshaw
Crowley
Culberson
Cummings
Cunningham
Davis (AL)
Davis (CA)
Davis (FL)
Davis (TN)
Davis, Jo Ann
Davis, Tom
Deal (GA)
DeGette
DeLauro
DeLay
DeMint
Diaz-Balart, L.
Diaz-Balart, M.

Burns
Dingell
Doggett
Dooley (CA)
Doolittle
Doyle
Dreier
Duncan
Dunn
Edwards
Ehlers
Emanuel
Emerson
Engel
Etheridge
Everett
Farr
Fattah
Feeney
Ferguson
Flake
Foley
Forbes
Frank (MA)
Frelinghuysen
Frost
Gallegly
Garrett (NJ)
Gerlach
Gibbons
Gilchrest
Gillmor
Gingrey
Goode
Goodlatte
Gordon
Goss
Granger
Graves
Green (WI)
Greenwood
Grijalva
Hall
Harman

Dicks
Dingell
Doggett
Dooley (CA)
Doolittle
Doyle
Dreier
Duncan
Dunn
Edwards
Ehlers
Emanuel
Emerson
Engel
Etheridge
Everett
Farr
Fattah
Feeney
Ferguson
Flake
Foley
Forbes
Frank (MA)
Frelinghuysen
Frost
Gallegly
Garrett (NJ)
Gerlach
Gibbons
Gilchrest
Gillmor
Gingrey
Goode
Goodlatte
Gordon
Goss
Granger
Graves
Green (WI)
Greenwood
Grijalva
Hall
Harman

NOES—74

Aderholt
Baird
Baldwin
Berry
Brady (PA)
Brown (OH)
Capuano
Carson (IN)
Clay
Costello
Crane
DeFazio
Delahunt
Deutsch
English
Evans
Filner
Fletcher
Ford
Fossella
Franks (AZ)
Gonzalez
Green (TX)
Gutierrez

Gutknecht
Hart
Hastings (FL)
Hefley
Hinchee
Holt
Kaptur
Kucinich
Larsen (WA)
Lee
Lewis (GA)
Lipinski
LoBiondo
Matheson
McDermott
McGovern
McNulty
Miller, George
Moore
Oberstar
Obey
Olver
Ortiz
Pascrell

Pastor
Peterson (MN)
Ramstad
Rush
Sabo
Sanchez, Linda
T.
Sanchez, Loretta
Schakowsky
Shadeegg
Stark
Stenholm
Strickland
Stupak
Tauscher
Taylor (MS)
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Udall (CO)
Udall (NM)
Velazquez

Visclosky
Waters

Weller
Wexler

Wicker
Wu

NOT VOTING—13

Ackerman
Cubin
Davis (IL)
Eshoo
Gephardt

Johnson (CT)
Musgrave
Nunes
Rothman
Sherman

Smith (WA)
Vitter
Wilson (NM)

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON) (during the vote). Members are advised that 2 minutes remain in this vote.

□ 1204

So the Journal was approved.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. SHERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidable detained during rollcall votes 265, 266 and 267. Had I been present, I would have voted: "No" on rollcall vote 265 and 266 and "yes" on rollcall vote 267.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill, H.R. 1115.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Wisconsin?

There was no objection.

CLASS ACTION FAIRNESS ACT OF 2003

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 269 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the bill, H.R. 1115.

□ 1205

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 1115) to amend the procedures that apply to consideration of interstate class actions to assure fairer outcomes for class members and defendants, to outlaw certain practices that provide inadequate settlements for class members, to assure that attorneys do not receive a disproportionate amount of settlements at the expense of class members, to provide for clearer and simpler information in class action settlement notices, to assure prompt consideration of interstate class actions, to amend title 28, United States Code, to allow the application of the principles of Federal diversity jurisdiction to interstate class actions, and for other purposes, with Mr. LATOURETTE in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to the rule, the bill is considered as having been read the first time.

Under the rule, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER) and the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER).

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, I rise in strong support of H.R. 1115, the Class Action Fairness Act of 2003. In years past, the occasional news account of some outrageous class action verdict or settlement was light humor. Now the stories are so common there is no punch line, the class action judicial system itself has become a joke, and no one is laughing except the trial lawyers, all the way to the bank.

Abuse of State class action lawsuits is now systemic and this mounting crisis is a threat to the integrity of our civil justice system and a persistent drain on the national economy. Since this House passed nearly identical class action reform legislation in the 107th Congress, a bill which died in the Democrat-controlled Senate, the problem has only gotten worse. One major element of the worsening crisis is the exponential increase in State class action cases, many of which deal with national issues and classes.

In the past 10 years, State court class actions filing nationwide have increased over 1,000 percent. In certain "magnet courts" known for certifying even the most speculative class action suits, the increase in filings over the last 5 years is approaching 4,000 percent. Take, for example, the court in Madison County, Illinois, a rural county of 250,000 people which is on pace for a projected 3,650 percent increase in class action filings over 1998 levels. Eighty-one percent of those cases sought to certify nationwide cases, including all nationwide Sprint customers ever disconnected on a cell phone, all Roto-Rooter customers nationwide whose drains were repaired by unlicensed plumbers, and all nationwide customers who purchased a "limited edition" Barbie doll at a higher price.

So why are all these class action cases filed there? Madison County did not experience a similar growth in population during this time, nor did it suddenly become a hub for interstate commerce. Furthermore, there is no evidence to suggest that the good people of Madison County are somehow cursed or more plagued by injuries than the average citizen. Indeed, the only explanation for this phenomenon is aggressive forum shopping by trial lawyers to find courts and judges who will act as willing accomplices in a judicial power grab, hearing nationwide cases and setting policy for the entire country in a local court.

A second major element of the present class action crisis is a system producing outrageous settlements that benefit only lawyers and trample the rights of class members. Class actions

were originally created to efficiently address a large number of similar claims by people suffering small harms. Today they are too often used to efficiently transfer large fees to a small number of trial lawyers doing great harm. The present rules encourage a race to any available State courthouse in hopes of a rubber-stamped nationwide settlement that produces millions in attorneys' fees. Clearly, some trial lawyers are winners in this race, but as the Justice Department testified at the committee's last hearing, the losers in this race are the victims who often gain little or nothing through the settlement, yet are bound by it in perpetuity. These same victims and all consumers often bear the cost of these settlements through increased prices for goods and insurance.

Mr. Chairman, I would like to share with Members a survey that was published in the USA Today newspaper on Monday, March 24, 2003: "Opinions on Class Action Lawsuits, Who Benefits the Most From Class Action Lawsuits." Forty-seven percent said lawyers for plaintiffs, 20 percent said lawyers for companies, 12 percent said don't know, 9 percent said plaintiffs, 7 percent said companies being sued, and 5 percent said buyers of products.

Two-thirds of the American public according to this survey indicate that the beneficiaries of class action lawsuits are lawyers and only 14 percent said plaintiffs and buyers of products. This bill is designed to change this mix so that the consumers and the plaintiffs are the ones that benefit rather than lawyers for plaintiffs or lawyers for defendants.

Summarizing the problem last November, The Washington Post editorial board in a critique of the present system wrote:

"Class actions permit almost infinite venue shopping; national class actions can be filed just about anywhere and are disproportionately brought in a handful of State courts whose judges get elected with lawyers' money. These judges effectively become regulators of products and services produced elsewhere and sold nationally. And when cases are settled, the clients get token payments while the lawyers get enormous fees. This is not justice. It is an extortion racket only Congress can fix."

Mr. Chairman, today Congress has an opportunity to end this extortion racket and fix this problem. Article 3 of the Constitution empowers Congress to establish Federal jurisdiction over cases between citizens of different States, but current rules on class actions require that all plaintiffs and defendants be residents of different States and that every plaintiff's claim be valued at \$75,000 or more. These jurisdictional statutes enacted before the advent of modern class actions lead to results the framers would find perverse.

For example, under current law, a citizen of one State may bring in Federal court a simple \$75,001 slip-and-fall