

they say, the goal is to reduce taxes on the wealthiest people in this country. The goal is to push the burden of funding government from the Federal level onto the States, and this is driven by an astonishing and remarkable continuing hostility to everything that the Federal Government does.

Let us look at our brothers in the States. Almost every State in this country is struggling with trying to fulfill their responsibilities. They are under pressures to raise property taxes and sales taxes because of reductions in Federal funds. We are not talking about a tax cut at the Federal level. We are talking about a tax shift. They are reducing funding for education, reducing funding for Medicaid, laying off State employees.

There is no way, furthermore, that we can call this tax cut fair by any stretch of the imagination. To take one more figure, one group of Americans will get tax cuts that total \$139 billion. That group of American taxpayers are the 183 households that earn more than \$1 million per year. Another group of Americans will get a total package of \$139 billion, but that group is 124 million American households, the bottom 89 percent of our taxpayers, but that is not the worst.

People will come to this floor and they will say we are going to let people keep more of their money. It is not their money. Every single dollar that is going to be given back in tax cuts under the Republican proposal, every single dollar will be borrowed from the American people, and we, the American people, will wind up if this tax cut passes tomorrow with an additional Federal debt of somewhere between \$550 billion on the low side to well over \$1 trillion on the upside. This is our children's money that is being taken from them to give to the wealthiest people in the country, and it is an outrage.

This will also, for obvious reasons, drive up the debt. We have people coming to this floor and saying, well, these tax cuts will stimulate economic growth and they will try to leave us with the impression that there will be even more Federal revenues coming in. It is not true. By every economic model that the Congressional Budget Office has run, there is a dramatic decline in Federal revenues. We are looking, when we add up the 2001 tax legislation, other Bush administration tax proposals, when we package it all together, we are looking at a reduction in Federal revenues over 10 years of \$4.6 trillion.

This plan is a disaster for our States, for working Americans. It is a violation of the fundamental premise that we will work together in this country to build a better and stronger America. This plan, this Republican tax cut plan, is a disaster for the country.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GINGREY). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana

(Mr. PENCE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. PENCE addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. SOUDER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. SOUDER addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana (Ms. CARSON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. CARSON of Indiana addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

PRESCRIPTION DRUG PLAN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. GUTKNECHT) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. GUTKNECHT. Mr. Speaker, I rise tonight to talk about an issue that I have talked about on this House floor before, and that is the high cost of prescription drugs here in the United States compared to the rest of the industrialized world, but before I do I want to just come back to something my colleague just was talking about in terms of the tax relief.

I am going to support the tax relief package because I understand that there are only three things people can do with their money. One is they can spend it. Two, they can save it, or three, they can send it to the government in the form of taxes. They can only spend it, save it or pay taxes. That is the only three things people can do, and we know that when the economy is soft, at least most of us believe, that if we allow people to keep their own money, that will grow the economy faster than having them send it into the Federal Government.

I want to talk about something else tonight because cutting taxes is not the only way that we can help the economy, and on this first chart I say if we want to allow Americans to keep and spend over \$600 billion during the next 10 years, here is a good place to start.

We have got the picture of some prescription drug containers. That is right, according to the Congressional Budget Office, the CBO, American seniors will spend over \$1.8 trillion on prescription drugs over the next 10 years. A conservative estimate would be that we could save 35 percent by allowing free markets to work. Thirty-five percent of \$1.8 trillion equates to \$630 billion. Now, if my colleagues doubt that, just look at this chart, and this chart is available on my Web site at gil.house.gov, and just check the number for yourself, but let me pull out a few of these.

A common drug we all know about is Cipro. It is made by a German company named Bayer. They also make aspirin. Cipro in the United States, it sells for \$87.99. This is according to the Life Extension Foundation, but on average, last year, Cipro sold in the United States, a 30-day supply, for about \$87.99. The average price in Canada for those same drugs, \$53.55 and in Europe, \$40.75, less than half the price in Europe for the same drug.

Let us look at GLUCOPHAGE, a very commonly prescribed drug for diabetes, in many respects a miracle drug. In the United States, average price for 30-day supply, \$124.65. That same drug sells in Canada for \$26.47, and in Europe the average price is \$22.

We go on down the list, we look at drugs like Premarin, Premarin in the United States, \$55; in Europe, \$8.95. Zocor, very commonly prescribed drug, today \$123 in the United States; \$28 in Europe. Do the arithmetic. I think we are being very conservative.

At the bottom of this chart I have something from one of my favorite Presidents, President Ronald Reagan. He said, "Markets are more powerful than armies."

Tax cuts are great, but if we want to help seniors lower prescription drug prices and allow Americans to keep and spend \$630 billion of their money, let us open markets now. Americans deserve world class drugs at world market prices.

I was in Germany not too long ago, and we actually bought some drugs. This is a very commonly prescribed, a very effective drug against women's breast cancer. This drug was bought at the Munich airport pharmacy for \$59.05 American. This same box of drugs bought here in Washington, D.C., sells for \$366. What makes matters worse, this drug was developed, almost all of the research expenses were paid for by the American taxpayers. I think Americans ought to pay their fair share for the price of research and development for all of these miracle drugs. I think most Americans believe that, but we should not be required to subsidize the starving Swiss.

The time has come to open up markets, to give Americans access to world class drugs at world class prices. We can do that in the next several weeks. I need my colleagues' help. Let us all work together to make certain that Americans have access to those drugs at prices that they can afford.

BILL OF RIGHTS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Hawaii (Mr. CASE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. CASE. Mr. Speaker, our Federal budget and taxes are heavy on all of our minds tonight, but I stand today in defense of that most basic expression of our fundamental freedoms, our Bill of Rights, and I rise in doing so with incredible pride in my State of Hawaii,

which does not just talk about the Bill of Rights, we live it.

For generations now we have understood, not just in our heads but in our hearts, in our bones, the very essence of the Bill of Rights, which is that it protects minorities against the will of the majority. We have understood it not just because it was handed down to us from the cultural heritage of our indigenous peoples, the native Hawaiians, but because in Hawaii we are all minorities. Ethnically, no race is a majority. My own Caucasian race, no more than 25 percent. Americans of Asian descent, no more than 40 percent. Native Hawaiians, little over 10 percent. None of us is in the majority. We have to take care of each other, and similarly with the religions we have in Hawaii.

Our predominant Christian tradition in the rest of our country, no more than a third of the people in Hawaii, perhaps another third practicing religions that come primarily from Asia, Buddhist, Shinto, Hindu, and the rest of them an assortment of religions.

So in my Hawaii tolerance of diversity is not a matter just of civility. It is a matter of basic necessity.

In this tradition I am especially proud that my Hawaii State legislature has become the first legislative body in our country to officially call upon this Congress to alter those portions of the so-called PATRIOT Act and related Bush administration executive orders which run counter to this foundation rock of our democracy and rather than summarize what my legislature did, let me just read Senate Concurrent Resolution 18 passed just a few days ago by overwhelming majorities and reaffirming our commitment in Hawaii to civil liberties in the Bill of Rights.

"Whereas, the Hawaii State legislature is committed to upholding the United States Constitution and its Bill of Rights, and the Hawaii State Constitution and our Bill of Rights; and

"Whereas, the State of Hawaii has a distinguished history of safeguarding the freedoms of its residents; and

"Whereas, the State of Hawaii is comprised of a diverse and multi-ethnic population, and has experienced firsthand the value of immigration to the American way of life; and

"Whereas, the residents of Hawaii during World War II experienced firsthand the dangers of unbalanced pursuit of security without appropriate checks and balances for the protection of basic liberties; and

"Whereas, the recent adoption of the U.S. PATRIOT Act and several executive orders may unconstitutionally authorize the Federal Government to infringe upon fundamental liberties in violation of due process, the right to privacy, the right to counsel, protection against unreasonable searches and seizures, and basic first amendment freedoms, all of which are guaranteed by the Constitutions of Hawaii and the United States; and

"Whereas, the citizens of Hawaii are concerned that the actions of the At-

torney General of the United States and the United States Justice Department pose significant threats to Constitutional protections; now, therefore,

"BE IT RESOLVED by the Senate" and House of the State of Hawaii "that the State of Hawaii urges its Congressional delegation to work to repeal any sections of the USA PATRIOT Act or recent executive orders that limit or violate fundamental rights and liberties protected by the Constitutions of Hawaii and the United States; and

"BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that to the extent legally possible, no State resources, including law enforcement funds and educational administrative resources, may be used for unconstitutional activities, including but not limited to the following under the USA PATRIOT Act:

"Monitoring political and religious gatherings exercising their first amendment rights;

"Obtaining library records, bookstore records and website activities without proper authorization and without notification;

"Issuing subpoenas through the United States Attorney's Office without a court's approval or knowledge;

"Requesting nonconsensual releases of student and faculty records from public schools and institutions of higher learning; and

"Eavesdropping on confidential communications between lawyers and their clients.

"Be it further resolved that this resolution be forwarded to this U.S. Congress."

Mr. Speaker, powerful words from my State legislature, and I have heard it said that those who oppose any provision of the PATRIOT Act are not patriotic. For my State and myself personally, I categorically reject that view. We in Hawaii give nothing away to any other part of our great country and our patriotism. We are proud of our country and our place in it. We are proud of the military service of our own sons and daughters in defense of this country, and we are proud that we in Hawaii are the center of our Nation's defense efforts in half of our world stretching from the mainland United States to the coasts of Africa.

To quote my State legislature in passing this resolution, our United States can be both safe and free. We must revisit the PATRIOT Act and accomplish the basic protection of our Bill of Rights.

□ 1845

PASS MEANINGFUL TAX RELIEF

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GINGREY). Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. PRYCE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. PRYCE of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, I am going to get right to the point, we have an economy today that is flat on its back. The national unemployment

rate has hit an 8-year low at 6 percent. Manufacturing has dipped to historic lows, causing inventories to decline. While worker productivity may be on the rise, more and more employees are logging in 3 hours less every day. In the past 2 years, the Nasdaq has fallen over 60 percent.

Americans are out of work, and those with jobs are seeing their 401(k)s and retirement savings dwindle by the day. What this economy needs, Mr. Speaker, is what we would call in Ohio a Buckeye boost.

We know what the problem is, an investor-led sluggishness, that is stifling growth, new capital demand, and job creation. And we have the right solution.

That formula for success begins with the understanding that government does not earn a profit. Government just does not earn a profit. Government does not create the jobs in this economy. Government can only stand in the way of progress and prosperity.

So House Republicans have developed a common sense plan that targets the twin pillars of economic growth, consumers and small businesses. Let us talk about consumers first. It might surprise the average American to know that he or she comprises three-fourths of economic activity in this country. That is right. Consumer spending on goods and services represents 75 percent of the entire economy.

Think about that for a minute. That is why Republicans place such emphasis on returning power and income back to the hands of the individual taxpayer. Because when they have the money, they spend it on their needs and their family's needs, and that grows the economy.

So our plan accelerates income tax relief for every American who pays taxes. We give a little extra help for married couples struggling to make ends meet by eliminating the extra taxes they pay just for saying "I do." I do not know about others, but I have never seen the tax man leave a wedding gift when he confiscates the extra taxes from married couples every April 15.

Additionally, our plan expands the child tax credit for families, giving parents an extra \$1,000 to help raise their child and pay the bills. Finally, the onerous AMT continues to push more and more families into higher tax brackets. Our plan will save nearly 10 million Americans from paying more. That is real relief.

Let us talk about small businesses for a moment. Now the second and perhaps the most important part of this package is small businesses. Nine out of 10 jobs in your neighborhoods and communities were created by small businesses. That local entrepreneur who wanted to take a risk and open their own business is the reason jobs are created and this economy grows.

Republicans feel it is important to help that small business owner whenever we can. Our business expensing