



United States  
of America

# Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 108<sup>th</sup> CONGRESS, FIRST SESSION

Vol. 149

WASHINGTON, THURSDAY, APRIL 3, 2003

No. 54—Book II

## House of Representatives

EMERGENCY WARTIME SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2003—Continued

□ 1600

It also allowed al Qaeda and other terrorist organizations to get away. I just feel that that is wrong.

People say that this is an emotional issue. Well, logically, it just does not make sense to reward someone additionally that has done harm against you. We gave Turkey over a quarter of a billion dollars. This is in 2003. In the 2004 budget we give Turkey a lot of money. I am not objecting to that. But at a time where they made the decision of the aforementioned, I think it is wrong. We did not slap Turkey upside the head when they invaded northern Cypress and took it illegally against the law, or the mass murder of Kurds, or the violations against Greece, or even the deaths of American soldiers.

I would say that I support having Turkey as an ally in the future and giving them the additional dollars. I have fought along side Turks in Vietnam, side by side. But if someone at the moment causes a loss of one of your friends, they should not be rewarded for that, regardless of how much they have supported you in the past.

The gentleman from Georgia (Mr. KINGSTON) mentioned that we have 49 allies in this fight. Only 22 of them are being given aid, and yet we are going to give a billion dollars to someone who did not support us. Again, logic says that that is wrong. That will be a billion dollars back into the general fund. Some of you want it for homeland defense, domestic, first-line responders, or even domestic issues.

I understand the issues on the other side of this particular issue. I am torn myself. It is not even important. This is the first time I have ever come to the well with an amendment that I do not care if it wins or loses. I do feel the

debate is very important, because Turkey needs to know, and other nations that do us harm, that cause the life loss of Americans, not to tread on me.

The CHAIRMAN. The gentleman from Florida (Mr. YOUNG) has 1 minute remaining.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself the remaining minute.

Mr. Chairman, I want to associate myself with the remarks of the gentleman from California (Mr. CUNNINGHAM). I agree with everything he said. All I disagree with is we should not adopt his amendment for a lot of reasons.

A letter from the President's National Security Adviser has been referred to several times. A copy of that letter is as follows:

THE WHITE HOUSE,  
Washington, DC, April 3, 2003.

Hon. BILL YOUNG,  
Chairman, Committee on Appropriations, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you for supporting the President's request for aid to Turkey in the Emergency Supplemental legislation. Despite recent difficulties, the President is devoted to maintaining the strategic partnership that has existed between the United States and Turkey for almost 60 years.

Secretary Powell addressed important military, political, and economic issues when he met this week with President Sezer, Prime Minister Erodogan, Foreign Minister Gul, and General Staff Chief Ozkok. Both sides agreed to an unimpeded flow of humanitarian aid to Northern Iraq and access by American forces to supplies sent through Turkey. Turkey continues to grant overflight rights and has committed to enhanced cooperation on terrorist threats and possible refugee flows in the region, without moving additional Turkish military forces into Iraq. These are very positive steps.

American and Turkish soldiers stood side by side during the Cold War and on battlefields from Korea to Afghanistan. The President's supplemental request recognizes and reflects that past and his desire to strengthen the relationship further. This assistance, coupled with Turkey's continued adherence

to sound economic policies supported by international financial institutions, could play a significant role in bolstering the U.S.-Turkey partnership. I ask your assistance in advancing these goals on the floor and in conference.

Sincerely,

CONDOLEEZZA RICE,  
Assistant to the President  
for National Security Affairs.

I would like also for the Members to know on page 27 of the bill there is a long list of requirements that have to be met before the President can release this money. The Congress is playing its role in controlling spending and getting accountability. So look at that page and you will see that it is not just a grant of money to anybody.

Now, let me say quickly that the face of the world is changing. Alliances are changing. Friendships are coming. Friendships are going. The President of the United States needs the flexibility to deal with those extremely important changes. And as far as who asked for the money, the Turkish delegation visited the President to discuss a program of \$6 billion. The gentleman from Illinois (Mr. HASTER) and I had the privilege of meeting with that delegation. They certainly asked for the help.

Mr. JEFF MILLER of Florida. Mr. Chairman, Turkey has been our friend and I assume still desires to be an ally of the United States. I have visited Turkey. It is a beautiful country and they have fought side by side with many of our soldiers. Yes, they supported our efforts with Operation Northern Watch. Yes, we support their emerging democracy, but it is important for them to understand that there are consequences to their actions.

Mr. Chairman, when we as Members of Congress cast a vote there are consequences. Earlier this year we asked for their help and they voted "no". Well not they want our help and I'm voting "no". I think we spend too much money in foreign aid, money that could be used for tax relief, building a strong defense, and paying down the debt.

This week, Turkey agreed to help with supply and humanitarian aid and assumes that

□ This symbol represents the time of day during the House proceedings, e.g., □ 1407 is 2:07 p.m.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.



Printed on recycled paper.

H2759

Uncle Sam is going to pull out his checkbook and write another billion-dollar check without batting an eye.

Mr. Chairman, at a time when our veterans have to wait months to see a doctor and our servicemen in Iraq are risking their lives and chemical attack for the preservation of liberty, isn't it time for Uncle Sam to stop sending blank checks to fair-weather allies?

The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. CUNNINGHAM).

The question was taken; and the Chairman announced that the noes appeared to have it.

## RECORDED VOTE

Mr. CUNNINGHAM. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 110, noes 315, not voting 9, as follows:

[Roll No. 105]

## AYES—110

Akin	Graves	Paul
Bachus	Green (WI)	Payne
Baker	Gutknecht	Pence
Ballenger	Hall	Petri
Berry	Hayes	Pitts
Bilirakis	Hayworth	Pombo
Blackburn	Hill	Radanovich
Blumenauer	Hoekstra	Ramstad
Boozman	Holt	Rehberg
Brown-Waite,	Hostettler	Rogers (MI)
Ginny	Hulshof	Royce
Cannon	Jenkins	Ryan (WI)
Chocola	Johnson, Sam	Ryun (KS)
Clay	Jones (NC)	Sanders
Coble	Keller	Schiff
Culberson	Kingston	Schrock
Cunningham	Klecicka	Sensenbrenner
Davis (TN)	Kucinich	Sherman
Davis, Jo Ann	LaHood	Shuster
Deal (GA)	Lee	Souder
DeFazio	LoBiondo	Stenholm
Duncan	Lofgren	Strickland
Eshoo	Maloney	Sullivan
Etheridge	Manzullo	Sweeney
Evans	McCotter	Tancredo
Everett	McNulty	Taylor (MS)
Feeney	Menendez	Taylor (NC)
Ferguson	Miller (FL)	Terry
Filner	Miller, Gary	Thomas
Forbes	Musgrave	Tiberi
Fossella	Ney	Toomey
Franks (AZ)	Norwood	Turner (OH)
Gallely	Nussle	Upton
Garrett (NJ)	Osborne	Waters
Goode	Otter	Watson
Goodlatte	Owens	Woolsey
Gordon	Pallone	Wu

## NOES—315

Abercrombie	Bonilla	Case
Ackerman	Bonner	Castle
Aderholt	Bono	Chabot
Alexander	Boswell	Clyburn
Allen	Boucher	Cole
Andrews	Bradley (NH)	Collins
Baca	Brady (PA)	Conyers
Baird	Brady (TX)	Cooper
Baldwin	Brown (OH)	Costello
Ballance	Brown (SC)	Cox
Barrett (SC)	Brown, Corrine	Cramer
Bartlett (MD)	Burgess	Crane
Barton (TX)	Burns	Crenshaw
Bass	Burr	Crowley
Beauprez	Burton (IN)	Cubin
Becerra	Buyer	Cummings
Bell	Calvert	Davis (AL)
Bereuter	Camp	Davis (CA)
Berkley	Cantor	Davis (FL)
Berman	Capito	Davis (IL)
Biggert	Capps	Davis, Tom
Bishop (GA)	Capuano	DeGette
Bishop (NY)	Cardin	DeLahunt
Bishop (UT)	Cardoza	DeLauro
Blunt	Carson (IN)	DeLay
Boehlert	Carson (OK)	DeMint
Boehner	Carter	Deutsch

Diaz-Balart, L.	King (NY)	Rahall
Diaz-Balart, M.	Kirk	Rangel
Dicks	Kline	Regula
Dingell	Knollenberg	Renzi
Doggett	Kolbe	Reyes
Dooley (CA)	Lampson	Reynolds
Doolittle	Langevin	Rodriguez
Doyle	Lantos	Rogers (AL)
Dreier	Larsen (WA)	Rogers (KY)
Dunn	Larson (CT)	Rohrabacher
Edwards	Latham	Ros-Lehtinen
Ehlers	LaTourette	Ross
Emanuel	Leach	Rothman
Emerson	Levin	Roybal-Allard
Engel	Lewis (CA)	Ruppersberger
English	Lewis (GA)	Rush
Farr	Lewis (KY)	Ryan (OH)
Fattah	Linder	Sabo
Flake	Lipinski	Sanchez, Linda
Fletcher	Lowe	T.
Foley	Lucas (KY)	Sanchez, Loretta
Ford	Lucas (OK)	Sandlin
Frank (MA)	Lynch	Saxton
Frelinghuysen	Majette	Schakowsky
Frost	Markey	Scott (GA)
Gerlach	Marshall	Scott (VA)
Gibbons	Matheson	Serrano
Gilchrest	Matsui	Sessions
Gillmor	McCarthy (NY)	Shadegg
Gingrey	McCollum	Shaw
Gonzalez	McCrary	Shays
Goss	McDermott	Sherwood
Granger	McGovern	Shimkus
Green (TX)	McHugh	Simmons
Greenwood	McIntyre	Simpson
Grijalva	McKeon	Skelton
Harman	Meehan	Smith (MI)
Harris	Meek (FL)	Smith (NJ)
Hart	Meeks (NY)	Smith (TX)
Hastings (FL)	Mica	Smith (WA)
Hastings (WA)	Michaud	Snyder
Hefley	Millender-	Solis
McDonald	McDonald	Spratt
Hersarling	Miller (MI)	Stark
Herger	Miller (NC)	Stearns
Hinchey	Miller, George	Stupak
Hinojosa	Mollohan	Tanner
Hobson	Moore	Tauscher
Hoefel	Moran (KS)	Tauzin
Holden	Moran (VA)	Thompson (CA)
Honda	Murphy	Thompson (MS)
Hooley (OR)	Murtha	Thornberry
Houghton	Myrick	Tiaht
Hoyer	Nadler	Tierney
Hunter	Napolitano	Towns
Hyde	Neal (MA)	Turner (TX)
Inslee	Neal (MA)	Turner (TX)
Isakson	Nethercutt	Udall (CO)
Israel	Northup	Udall (NM)
Issa	Nunes	Van Hollen
Istook	Obey	Velazquez
Jackson (IL)	Olver	Visclosky
Jackson-Lee	Ortiz	Vitter
(TX)	Ose	Walsh
Janklow	Oxley	Wamp
Jefferson	Pascrell	Watt
John	Pastor	Waxman
Johnson (CT)	Pearce	Weiner
Johnson (IL)	Pelosi	Weldon (FL)
Johnson, E. B.	Peterson (MN)	Weldon (PA)
Jones (OH)	Peterson (PA)	Weller
Kanjorski	Pickering	Wexler
Kaptur	Platts	Whitfield
Kelly	Pomeroy	Wicker
Kennedy (MN)	Porter	Wilson (NM)
Kennedy (RI)	Portman	Wilson (SC)
Kildee	Price (NC)	Wolf
Kilpatrick	Pryce (OH)	Wynn
Kind	Putnam	Young (AK)
King (IA)	Quinn	Young (FL)

## NOT VOTING—9

Boyd	Gutierrez	Oberstar
Combest	McCarthy (MO)	Slaughter
Gephardt	McInnis	Walden (OR)

## ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE CHAIRMAN

The CHAIRMAN (during the vote). Members are advised there are approximately 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

□ 1622

Mr. BARRETT of South Carolina, Ms. CARSON of Indiana, Mr. HERGER and Mr. GRIJALVA changed their vote from "aye" to "no."

Mr. PETRI, Ms. LEE, and Messrs. GORDON, DAVIS of Tennessee, GOOD-LATTE and HOLT changed their vote from "no" to "aye."

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word.

Mr. Chairman, today the thoughts and prayers of all Americans are with our courageous military forces in Iraq and their brave families at home, especially those families who have lost a loved one or await the return of the missing and our prisoners of war.

Today, we all celebrate the wonderful and courageous rescue of Jessica Lynch. We all celebrate her rescue and the courage that she demonstrated, as well as those who brought her home safely.

As Members of Congress charged in the preamble to the Constitution with providing for the common defense, we have pledged to give our Armed Forces the support they need in these difficult and dangerous days, both to win this war and to win the peace. This supplemental abides by that commitment.

Sadly, this supplemental does not fulfill America's commitment to our men and women in uniform at home, our local firefighters, police and emergency medical personnel who serve on the front lines against the greatest threat facing our Nation, the clear and present danger of terrorism.

Time and time again, the President and the Republican majority in Congress have said that defending the homeland is "the single most important priority, our most important challenge and our biggest responsibility." But time and time again, the rhetoric has failed to match the reality of their proposals.

The reality is that the Republicans have failed to spend \$2.5 billion in desperately needed homeland security funds appropriated by Congress last year. The Republicans have said repeatedly that they will do whatever it takes to defend our homeland, but the reality is that the Governors and mayors from across the country are pleading with the administration and the Republicans in Congress to give firefighters, police, and health care workers the funding, training and equipment they need to protect our communities and to respond to a terrorist attack. The President said that "I am going to commit the resources necessary to defend our freedom," but the reality is that this supplemental has a message that the President and the Republicans have not been committing the resources necessary to fully defend our homeland.

Perhaps nowhere is this credibility gap on homeland security greater than when it comes to protecting our vulnerable ports.

In speaking to the Coast Guard at the port of Philadelphia this week, the President said that protecting our Nation's ports is essential to our economic security and to our national security, but the reality is that the

President vetoed \$39 million to inspect the millions of container ships that dock in U.S. ports every year. The reality is that the administration and the Republicans have no funding for this program in the fiscal 2004 budget or in this supplemental.

With our Nation at the second-highest level of terrorist alert, the Republicans are shortchanging homeland security. The American people deserve better. We must do everything we can every day to protect the homes that people live in, the places where they work, the bridges and roads they use to get there, and the communities where they live.

I commend my colleague, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY), the ranking member of the Committee on Appropriations, for his outstanding leadership on this issue and for his efforts to give our States and communities the resources they need to protect themselves.

□ 1630

America needs to know that Democrats voted this week to boost homeland security by \$2.5 billion, including an additional \$1.2 billion for underfunded firefighters, police and medical personnel. The Obey amendment, had it been allowed to be brought to the floor, would have gone even further than that, and I thank the gentleman for his leadership.

Americans need to know that the Republicans voted no. The President and the Republicans owe the American people an explanation. Why are they shortchanging our men and women on the front lines in our homeland who protect our communities, while are they giving massive tax cuts that overwhelmingly benefit the wealthiest in our country, those who need it least? This would be unwise at any time. In this time of war, with our Nation on high alert, it is downright reckless.

Democrats support this supplemental because it funds our men and women in uniform in Iraq as well as other critical needs. At the same time, it does not do enough for our men and women in uniform domestically who are trying to keep our communities safe. Democrats will continue fighting to give them the funding, the training, and the equipment they need to protect America. We owe these men and women and the Americans they protect nothing less.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word.

Mr. Chairman, I would like to propound a question to the distinguished chairman of the Committee on Appropriations.

As I review the number of amendments pending, I see 39 remaining amendments on the Democratic side of the aisle and 6 on the Republican side of the aisle. As I calculate the clock, that means that if all of those amendments are only debated for 5 minutes per side, without counting the time for roll calls, that we would be here until midnight. If we then have actual votes

on those amendments, I calculate that that would take us till at least 2 a.m. or 3 a.m.. If we have an additional 5 minutes per side or debate on half of those amendments, that would take us until about 5 o'clock in the morning. And if we have no time agreements on these amendments, we will be here at 10 a.m. tomorrow morning. That is the reality of the clock that faces us.

So I want Members to understand why it is that we are going to be seeking time agreements. Because if we do not, and the leadership has said we were not going to be here tomorrow, which means we may not start a session tomorrow but we are certainly going to be here tomorrow approaching noon unless we get time agreements on these amendments. So I just wanted to put the House on notice that the gentleman and I are trying to reach an understanding on time limits and we need those time limits to be as tight as is reasonably possible, or else Members are going to be spending an awful lot of time tonight with each other when they would rather be spending that time with somebody else.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. OBEY. I yield to the gentleman from Florida for whatever comments he may wish to make.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman, and I double-checked his math and he is exactly right about the time it would take us to conclude these amendments.

I noticed where there are three or four, sometimes five amendments with the exact same title. I am not sure why that is, but that could be a big time delay. But here is where we are. We have to finish this bill today, whether this legislative day runs over until tomorrow or Saturday or whatever. The reason is very simple. We have to have the weekend to prepare for a conference with the other body early next week.

Now, if we do not have that conference with the other body early next week, we do not get a conference report back to the House before the end of next Friday. And if Members recall, the following week is scheduled to be a district work period. So if we extend this bill beyond today, in effect, we cannot get to the conference until next week sometime, and we cannot have a conference report by the end of the next week.

So what I would hope is that we could look at some of these amendments, and if there are redundancies and duplications, why bother with them? Why do we not just do one on a subject rather than three or four? I am trying to get this job done tonight to let us prepare the conference over the weekend, give the membership a conference report next week, and then do what it is that everyone plans to do back in their districts during the district work period. So the gentleman is exactly right.

And if we spend a lot of time hassling over the time limits, that actually eats

into the clock as well. So we really want to try to expedite this. We need to get this bill out of here. This is a wartime bill, and our troops are on the field. And I appreciate the gentleman calling this to our attention, because he is absolutely right.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Chairman, reclaiming my time, I thank the gentleman, and I would just ask Members to be understanding of the time problem that we face and to recognize that we have to ask them to agree to tight time limits or we are going to be here until the cows come home.

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word.

Mr. Chairman, it is well known that I am against this war. All my actions have pointed toward my opposition to the utilization of war as an option. But I believe it is important to again restate the support that we have for the troops, and to acknowledge that some have characterized this as frivolous and that those of us who support the troops are actually undermining them. As we proceed through this debate, I think it is important to respect Members who have different perspectives and, as well, to allow their amendments to be presented that will characterize the diversity in this Congress.

There was a different vote on the Turkey issue. My vote was to vote not to penalize a sovereign Nation, a democratic Nation who happened to disagree with us. I believe in restoring diplomatic relations with Germany and France and others who have been our friends in the past.

I also believe that, as my leadership believes, that we should do more for homeland defense and homeland security. I also believe that there is not enough in this supplemental that deals with creating the peace, beginning peace discussions and stopping to discuss peace. And I will look forward to debating an amendment that deals with beginning peace talks as we speak, for it is important to note that we do have a difference of opinion but we do want our troops home and we want them to be safe.

I think if we proceed under these auspices, or this umbrella, then this will truly be a democracy reflective of all of us that we can stand proudly in support of our flag and our Nation, because many of us agree that there are other options, and certainly peace should be one.

So I look forward, Mr. Chairman, to supporting efforts to restore the diplomacy that we have had with other nations, to ensure that we look to rebuild Iraq, to be sure we begin the discussion of peace and, as well, that we support our troops.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent that during consideration of H.R. 1559 in the Committee of the Whole pursuant to House Resolution 172 no further amendment to the bill may be offered except:

Pro forma amendments offered by the chairman or ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations or their designees for the purpose of debate; amendments numbered 2, 7, 8 and 9 in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD.

The CHAIRMAN. If the chairman will suspend. The request being offered by the chairman must be made in the whole House. It cannot be acted upon in the Committee of the Whole.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. DAVIS of Virginia) having assumed the chair, Mr. THORNBERRY, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 1559) making emergency wartime supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2003, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

LIMITATION ON AMENDMENTS DURING FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1559, EMERGENCY WARTIME SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2003

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that during consideration of H.R. 1559 in the Committee of the Whole pursuant to House Resolution 172 no further amendments to the bill may be offered except:

Pro forma amendments offered by the chairman or ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations or their designees for the purpose of debate; amendments numbered 2, 7, 8 and 9 in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD; and amendments specified in the list that I have placed at the desk.

Each such amendment may be offered only by the Member designated in this request, or a designee, or the Member who caused it to be printed, or a designee, shall be considered as read, shall not be subject to amendment, except pro forma amendments for the purpose of debate, and shall not be subject to a demand for a division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole; any point of order against such an amendment shall be considered as reserved pending completion of debate thereon; and any such amendment may be withdrawn by its proponent after debate thereon.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will read the list.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. MCGOVERN regarding reducing funding for counter-drug activities; Mr. OBEY regarding cuts for Colombia money transferred to port security; Mr. DEFAZIO reducing funding for Turkey and increasing for National Guard; Mr. DEFAZIO regarding limitation on military activity not authorized by Congress; Mrs. TAUSCHER regarding nonproliferation; Mr. SHERMAN regarding economic support fund; Mr. REYES regarding money to

joint task force for borders; Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas regarding \$50 million for SEVIS; Mr. NADLER regarding port security; Mr. WU and Mr. SCOTT regarding airline bailout; Mr. FLAKE regarding airline bailout; Ms. MILLENDER-MCDONALD regarding transit security; Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas regarding Office for Domestic Preparedness; Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas regarding Office for Domestic Preparedness; Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas, Substance Abuse/Medical Health; Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas, Department of Health and Human Services; Mr. ALLEN regarding IDEA and No Child Left Behind Act, no offset; Mr. CROWLEY regarding \$100 million for Pakistan limitation; Mr. CROWLEY regarding Hero bill; Mr. DEFAZIO regarding unemployment compensation for airline workers; Mr. EDWARDS, regarding airline discontinuation of service near bases; Mr. ISRAEL regarding Commercial Airline Protection for Surface to Air Missiles; Mr. KUCINICH regarding Limitation Amendment that require all contracts acquired for the reconstruction of Iraq to be subject to competitive bidding, as stated in the Federal Acquisition Regulation and the USAID Acquisition Regulation; Mr. KUCINICH Regarding Limitation amendment that restricts funds in Title I, Chapter 3 "Operation Iraqi Freedom Response Fund;" Mr. RANGEL regarding \$450 million from Iraq to school improvement; Mr. RODRIGUEZ regarding adding \$70 million for veterans health care; Mr. TURNER regarding homeland security report; Ms. WATERS regarding IDB; Ms. WATERS regarding conflict of interest; Ms. WATERS regarding HUD community development; Mr. HOEFFEL regarding strike \$68 million from Colombia. Transfer peacekeeping to Iraq; Mr. STUPAK regarding health care for Iraq; Mr. WU regarding airline bailout; Mr. ROTHMAN regarding airspace restrictions; Mr. NETHERCUTT regarding limitation on use of Iraq funds; Mr. KENNEDY of Minnesota regarding limitation on the use of Iraq funds; and Mr. HOEKSTRA regarding AmeriCorps.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, did the Clerk read two or three amendments to be potentially offered by the gentlewoman from California (Ms. WATERS)?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Three.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

□ 1645

EMERGENCY WARTIME SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2003

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. TOM DAVIS of Virginia). Pursuant to House Resolution 172 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 1559.

□ 1645

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R.

1559) making emergency wartime supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2003, and for other purposes, with Mr. THORNBERRY in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIRMAN. When the Committee of the Whole rose earlier today, the amendment offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. CUNNINGHAM) had been disposed of, and the bill was open from page 3 line 3 through page 9 line 13.

Pursuant to the previous order of the House, no further amendment to the bill may be offered except pro forma amendments offered by the chairman or ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations or their designees for the purpose of debate; amendments numbered 2, 7, 8, and 9 in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD; and amendments specified in the list placed at the desk. Each such amendment may be offered only by the Member designated in this request, or a designee, or the Member who caused it to be printed, or a designee, shall be considered as read, shall not be subject to amendment, except pro forma amendments for the purpose of debate, and shall not be subject to a demand for a division of the question.

The Clerk will read.

The Clerk read as follows:

Of the funds appropriated under this heading, and in addition, such sums as may be transferred, or are otherwise available, from current and future balances in the Defense Cooperation Account and the Natural Resources Risk Remediation Fund (only to the extent said funds are available pursuant to the authorities and limitations in current law and those further enumerated in chapter 3 of this Act), and only for expenses, not otherwise provided for, necessary to finance the estimated partial costs of operations associated with Operation Iraqi Freedom and other operations and related activities in support of the global war on terrorism (including Operations Enduring Freedom and Noble Eagle), there is hereby made available a total amount of not to exceed \$59,682,500,000, only for transfer to the following accounts in not to exceed the following amounts:

MILITARY PERSONNEL

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY

For an additional amount for "Military Personnel, Army", \$6,974,500,000.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY

For an additional amount for "Military Personnel, Navy", \$1,984,300,000.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

For an additional amount for "Military Personnel, Marine Corps", \$1,204,900,000.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

For an additional amount for "Military Personnel, Air Force", \$1,834,800,000.

RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY

For an additional amount for "Reserve Personnel, Army", \$3,000,000.

NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY

For an additional amount for "National Guard Personnel, Army", \$93,000,000.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

For an additional amount for "Operation and Maintenance, Army", \$10,481,500,000, of