the minority leader, or the minority whip; and following morning hour debate, the Chair shall declare a recess pursuant to clause 12 of rule I until the time appointed for the resumption of the session of the House.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will entertain 1-minute requests.

EDDIE MURRAY AND GARY CARTER TO BE INDUCTED INTO NATIONAL BASEBALL HALL OF FAME

(Mr. BOEHLERT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BOEHLERT. Mr. Speaker, I have the high honor and privilege of announcing that on Sunday, July 27 in Cooperstown, New York, baseball's mecca, the newest class of greats will be inducted into the National Baseball Hall of Fame. Today at 2 o'clock, the Baseball Writers Association of America announced that greats Eddie Murray of the Baltimore Orioles and Gary Carter of the New York Mets will consist of the class of 2003. You are all invited to Cooperstown, New York, on July 27.

REMEMBERING JOE REMCHO

(Ms. HARMAN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. HARMAN. Mr. Speaker, this past weekend I lost a dear friend and law school classmate and Californians lost a true champion of public interest and civil liberties. Joe Remcho died tragically in a helicopter accident. He will be sorely missed. I met Joe in law school 37 years ago. We became quick and close friends. After law school we took different paths, but both reveled in politics, public interest, and public policy. Joe became an acknowledged expert in first amendment, election law, and civil liberties. As a rookie lawyer, he represented soldiers in Saigon during the Vietnam War and later worked as a staff attorney and lobbyist for the ACLU in San Francisco and Sacramento.

He became an advisor to many public officials, including California Governor Gray Davis, Senator DIANNE FEINSTEIN, and me and was sought after for his skill, his decency, and his common sense. His advocacy greatly influenced the redistricting process in California, and he brought numerous cases involving voter initiatives, term limits, and campaign finance.

Legal titan that he was, I will remember Joe as quiet and self-effacing,

a truly decent individual who eschewed headlines and publicity. He always had time to give advice to his friends, often pro bono. Recently, he helped me with a small, but important, family issue.

People like Joe are rare, but their impact great. Joe Remcho's legacy will inspire young lawyers for years to come. To his family, friends, clients, and other classmates, my family extends our deepest condolences.

HONORING FORMER CONGRESSMAN WAYNE OWENS

(Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the dedication and the life of a dear friend of this great Chamber, former Congressman Wayne Owens. Wayne Owens was an energetic Member who served the American people faithfully for four terms. As a member of the International Relations Committee on which I serve, Wayne Owens reached across the political aisle, committing himself to the peace process in the Middle East.

As the founder of the Center for Middle East Peace and Economic Cooperation, Wayne Owens brought together different leaders from the Middle East in his tireless pursuit of a just, lasting, and comprehensive peace.

Mr. Speaker, many were touched by Congressman Wayne Owens. I want to extend my deepest condolences to his wife, Marlene, their two children, their grandchildren, and to all of his family and friends. Wayne will surely be missed.

CELEBRATING JOHN COLLINS'S BIRTHDAY

(Ms. LOFGREN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. LOFGREN. Mr. Speaker, today is a historic day. It is a day that for the first time an Italian American, a Californian, a woman, was nominated for Speaker; but it is historic for me for another reason. Today my son, John Collins, has turned 18 years old, and this is the first time I have ever been away from him on his birthday. He insisted that I come to Washington today. He said I would be letting the country down if I did not come to vote for NANCY PELOSI. And so I took his advice.

I wanted to celebrate my son's birth-day because he is well known to many Members of Congress. He has spent many hours on this floor teaching Members how to use the computers, arguing politics, policy, and philosophy. He is a wonderful young man. I am immensely proud of him, and I am so glad that he has reached this milestone, his 18th birthday and adulthood.

REMEMBERING JOE REMCHO

(Ms. LEE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. LEE. Mr. Speaker, it is with a deep sense of sadness today that I rise to express my sense of sorrow upon learning of the death of a great lawyer, a courageous civil libertarian, a constituent, a very valued and very supportive constituent, a devoted husband and father, Joe Remcho.

I had the privilege to know Joe for many years and benefited from his advice, his counsel, and his steadiness. Joe had a keen intellect, a gentle heart, and a passion for justice. He took on difficult cases because he believed in the correctness of the cause, not in the glory of the moment. Joe Remcho was a humble man. He was a humble human being who exemplified all of the values we hold so dear.

My heart is very heavy today. We have lost an unsung hero to an untimely and sad and tragic death. His family—his wife, Ronnie, and his children, Morgan and Sam, have lost a great husband and father. To his family and to all of those who are close to Joe, I just want to say may he rest in peace. We send our condolences. May God bless him.

JOINING IN HONORING THE MEMORY OF JOE REMCHO

(Ms. PELOSI asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, I want to join my colleagues from California in honoring the memory of Joe Remcho. who was a great attorney in our State and who pled cases before the Supreme Court there very successfully. His daughter, Morgan, has been an intern in my office. So I know the values that this family shares and how committed they were to public service and for improving the lives of everyone in our country. Our sympathies go to every member of Joe Remcho's family. He was highly respected in the State of California. His death is a tragedy for many of us. He will be sorely missed, and I hope it is a comfort to his family that so many people share their loss and are praying for them at this sad time and that his passing has been recorded by the California Members of Congress in this very distinguished House of Representatives.

JOINING IN HONORING THE MEMORY OF JOE REMCHO

(Ms. WATSON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. WATSON. Mr. Speaker, I also want to add my voice to those of the other Members who have spoken regarding the death of Joe Remcho. We will miss him greatly. He served the people of California well. As long as I can remember during my stint in the Senate, Joe Remcho has been there,

representing us at every level of the justice system. He was a determined, an experienced, and a knowledgeable attorney. His accidental and untimely death shall be regretted by all the people of California as well as those that he worked with. We mourn him, but we salute him as a lawyer who represented us at the highest level of performance. We extend our deepest sympathy to his family.

\Box 1630

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Lahood). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 7, 2003, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

THE MEDICARE FRAUD PREVENTION AND ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 2003, THE FAMILY AND MEDICAL LEAVE CLARIFICATION ACT OF 2003, AND THE ENERGY AND SCIENCE RESEARCH INVESTMENT ACT OF 2003

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentle-woman from Illinois (Mrs. BIGGERT) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mrs. BIGGERT. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to introduce three bills that address issues affecting our health care system, our workplace, and the future of science research in the United States.

Topping our agenda as we begin this first day of the 108th Congress are the serious challenges facing our Medicare system: enacting a prescription drug benefit for seniors and providing fair and adequate reimbursement for physicians, hospitals, and care facilities in my home State of Illinois and across the Nation.

So the first bill that I introduce today is one that will make it easier to provide this funding by cracking down on the waste, fraud, and abuse that drain more than \$12 billion a year from the Medicare system.

The Medicare Fraud Prevention and Enforcement Act of 2003 will put an end to that theft. It will strengthen the Medicare enrollment process, expand certain standards of participation, and reduce erroneous payments. Perhaps most importantly, it gives criminal investigators at the Department of Health and Human Services the Federal law enforcement tools to help them pursue and prosecute health care swindlers.

The time to modernize Medicare is not next week, not next month or next year; the time is now. But true reform will not be achieved without first protecting Medicare from fraud and scandalous exploitation.

The second bill I introduce today, the Family and Medical Leave Clarification Act of 2003, will make a good act work even better for our Nation's

workers and employers. What do I mean by that? Well, since its enactment in 1993, the Family and Medical Leave Act, or FMLA, has brought peace of mind and job security during critical times to thousands of workers and their families. That is a good thing. But along with this good thing has come the bad: conflict with existing workplace policies, misinterpretations, and misapplications of the law, intrusions into the privacy of employees, and other consequences that were never anticipated or intended by Congress. While none of us would say that FMLA is broken, all of us, employees and employers alike, must recognize that it should be fixed.

How will my bill fix the unintended and unwanted consequences of FMLA?

First, it clarifies what is now regarded as a confusing definition of a "serious health condition."

Second, it allows for employees to request leave time in blocks of at least 4 hours so that they have enough time to take care of their business without feeling rushed to return to the office. At the same time, it cuts down on the paperwork that employers must process and the intrusive questions they must ask employees before granting leave.

Third, it allows employers to require employees to choose whether to take unpaid leave under FMLA or a paid leave of absence under a collective bargaining agreement. It provides an incentive for employers who offer sick leave to continue to do so while providing a disincentive for those who are considering termination of such employee friendly plans.

The FMLA Clarification Act is a reasonable measure and fair response to many of the concerns raised by workers and employers around the country. I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting it.

Last but not least, I introduce today the Energy and Science Research Investment Act, which recognizes the urgent need to finance and manage well our Nation's basic research initiatives.

Over the past 5 years, Federal funding for medical research has nearly doubled, yet funding for research in the physical sciences has remained stagnant at 1990 levels.

The Energy and Science Research Investment Act will provide additional resources to the Department of Energy's Office of Science and make organizational changes that will enhance the accountability and oversight of energy research and science programs at the DOE.

Mr. Speaker, I thank those who have signed on as original cosponsors of these bills, and I urge others to join us in becoming cosponsors of these three key measures.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. KAPTUR) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. KAPTUR addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks).

THREAT REDUCTION IMPLEMENTATION ACT OF 2003

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Mr. Schiff) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. SCHIFF. Mr. Speaker, earlier today, I introduced the Threat Reduction Implementation Act of 2003, granting the President permanent waiver authority over Nunn-Lugar Cooperative Threat Reduction certification requirements to prevent dangerous delays in this critical defense program. Unnecessary restrictions and delays in funding Nunn-Lugar put Americans at risk of potential attacks using smuggled Russian chemical, biological, or nuclear weapons technology or material.

The terrorist attacks of September 11 fundamentally changed the way we think of national security and protecting the homeland. Unlike adversaries of the past, our enemies today not only utilize untraditional weapons in their war of terror, but also are seeking access to new and even more destructive weapons, such as chemical, biological, and nuclear weapons.

Twelve years ago, the Nunn-Lugar Cooperative Threat Reduction Program was born out of the necessity to ensure that the nuclear arsenal of the Soviet Union would not fall into the wrong hands as the Soviet empire was coming apart. While much has been done to dismantle these weapons, continuing economic and social weaknesses in Russia, coupled with an eroding early warning system, poorly secured Russian weapons materials, and poorly paid Russian weapons scientists and security personnel, increase the threat of mass destruction on an unprecedented scale.

Unfortunately, every year opponents of the CTR program wage a campaign to slow down or even block funds for the continuation of U.S. efforts in Russia to monitor and reduce weapons-usable nuclear material and other weapons of mass destruction. While accountability and oversight are necessary to make sure that Nunn-Lugar funds are serving their intended purpose, recurrent delays owing to outdated certification requirements have proven detrimental to our ability to protect the homeland.

During the fiscal year 2003 Defense authorization and appropriation debates, the administration requested permanent waiver authority over many certification requirements in order to permit elements of the program to go forward. After a drawn out debate, conferees ended up granting a 3-year waiver on the Nunn-Lugar certification requirements and a 1-year waiver for the construction of a chemical weapons construction facility in Shchuchye, Russia. Avoiding lengthy and unnecessary delays in the Nunn-Lugar program