

Mr. Speaker, as you know, I spent 3 days last week in Iraq as part of a Congressional delegation. I ate lunch and supper with our soldiers in Bagdad on Friday. Sunday morning I attended a worship service with our soldiers in Kirkuk. That day, I had lunch with our soldiers in Kirkuk and supper with our soldiers in Tikrit.

They slept on cots. They used port-a-johns, but their spirits were high and their dedication undimmed.

Our delegation stopped briefly in Germany on the way home. We visited soldiers at a military hospital in Landstuhl. Our military escorts told us what to expect. Regardless of the pain they were in, regardless of how their lives would be changed by their injuries, the patients we had talked to were soldiers, and when they spoke with us, they would soldier up.

I spoke with men who had grievous injuries. I heard not one word of complaint. I visited several of the soldiers who were on the Chinook helicopter that was shot down Sunday near Falujah. The medical personnel told us that it would help to encourage them to talk about what had happened. I will spare you the details of the injuries they suffered and that they saw their buddies suffer.

The gentleman from Hawaii (Mr. CASE) and I asked them what message they would have us deliver, what they wanted us to tell the folks back home. They said to tell folks back home to support our soldiers when they got home. And one told me to tell the soldiers in his unit that he loved them.

Another young man was wounded when his convoy was ambushed. They were ambushed with rocket-propelled grenades and small arms fire. A grenade landed near him and caused a traumatic amputation of his arm. A buddy applied a tourniquet and two of his buddies commandeered an Iraqi truck and evacuated him from the fire fight.

In the truck he said two prayers. The first is that he would live to be a father, that he would help his children with their homework, that he would take them to ball games, that he would watch them grow up. The second prayer was that the eight soldiers who remained behind would survive. And they all did. The young man had lost his arm, but he was grateful that the Lord had answered his prayers.

My visit to our soldiers in Iraq and in the hospital in Landstuhl reminded me of the duty our men and women in uniform feel in defending our Nation and of the sacrifices they are making in answering that call.

The benefits our Nation provides, our Nation's veterans are well-earned. They are the least we can do for those who defended our freedom at the risk of their lives.

Mr. Speaker, as a Member of Congress, I will do all that I can to honor our Nation's debt to those men and women.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. MCCOTTER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. MCCOTTER. Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of entering into the RECORD how I would have voted on issues before the House that I was unable to vote on on Wednesday, October 29 and on Thursday, October 30.

On rollcall No. 579, I was the sponsor of the resolution and would have voted yes. On rollcall No. 578, I was a cosponsor of the bill and would have voted yes.

On Thursday, October 30, on rollcall No. 580, I would have voted no. On rollcall No. 581, I would have voted yes. On rollcall No. 584, I would have voted no. On rollcall No. 585, I would have voted no. On rollcall No. 586, I would have voted yes. On roll 588, I would have voted no.

On rollcall 589, I would have voted no. On rollcall No. 590, I would have voted no. And on rollcall No. 594, I would have voted no.

On substantive votes, on rollcall No. 582, I would have voted yes. On rollcall 583, I would have voted yes. On rollcall 587, I would have voted yes. On rollcall No. 591, I would have voted no. On roll 592, I would have voted yes.

On rollcall No. 593, I have would have voted yes. On rollcall No. 595, I would have voted yes. On rollcall No. 596, I would have voted yes. On rollcall No. 597, I would have voted yes. On rollcall No. 598, I would have voted no. On rollcall No. 599, I would have voted no. On roll No. 601, I would have voted yes. On roll No. 600, I would have voted no.

The purpose for my inability to vote on these issues is, as the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. MILLER) pointed out, there was a Congressional delegation that went to Iraq to visit with our troops and to inspect reconstruction and also to stop and visit our troops in the hospital at Landstuhl.

While this business in front of our House was extremely important, I believe that nothing was more important for showing our support for the troops and inspecting the conditions under which they must exist and survive.

In fact, I would just like to say that no matter how important this business was, nothing to me last week was more important that visiting with the troops at Landstuhl and to hear one of our fallen soldiers who had been in the Chinook say that he had gone through the most traumatic experience of his life and would not wish it upon his worst enemy. For in that moment he proved to me and all the world not only the bravery and courage of the American men and women in uniform, but their compassion as well.

MAKE VETERANS A PRIORITY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. RYAN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. RYAN of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, today I would like to join several of my colleagues who have already spoken tonight, including the gentleman from the great State of Ohio (Mr. STRICKLAND), and join him in talking about the plight of veterans in our country.

As Veterans Day is coming up next week, I thought it would be an opportune time to talk for a few minutes about what is going on in this country with our veterans.

We have Veterans Day, but really every day should be Veterans Day, but we designate a specific day as we do Christmas and birthdays to remind us as we go throughout the year that we have to continue the fight for our veterans. Unfortunately, the servicemen and women and veterans today are fighting on two fronts. They are fighting on the front in the Middle East and, unfortunately, they are fighting on the front back at home.

Back at home the veterans are not having too much success, and I would like to use one example of concurrent receipt. And for those people watching tonight who do not know what concurrent receipt is, it is basically a disabled veterans tax. If a disabled veteran gets a retirement from the military, not the disability benefits but a retirement pay check, the Federal Government will deduct from your disability benefits and you will only receive your military retirement. Some vets are losing 18, 20, \$25,000. You steal the benefits from their retirement, and you supposedly substitute that from what they should be receiving for their disability. So these are people who got hurt, who have earned in many ways the benefits that they are getting, but now they are not receiving them.

So someone came up with a plan. And what they are going to do is they are going to phase out this disabled veterans tax over the next 10 years. So someone who fought in World War II, in the 1940s and may be 80, 81 years old today, this plan says that you will not get your full disability for 10 years. So we are asking veterans who are 81 years old, actually, we are telling them, that they will not be able to receive their benefits until they are 91 years old. Talk about an outrage. Talk about an outrage.

The American Legion National Commander said, It is a matter of priorities in Washington, D.C. Four hundred thirty five thousand military retirees altogether, 135,000 disabled retirees will not qualify for full relief until 2014. Good luck.

In Ohio, my home State, full concurrent receipt would benefit if they did it right. If we paid the bill, if we paid these disabled veterans what we owe them, it would benefit 9,617 disabled vets.

Under the Republican plan, only 2,249 will be receiving the benefits, which leaves 7,368 disabled vets in the great State of Ohio left out in the cold.

Now, as the American Legion Commander said, Washington, D.C. is about

priorities. There is a lot of money down here to do different things, and it is about priorities. So I think it is all together appropriate to talk about what the priorities of this administration and the priorities of this Congress are.

Corporate tax rates are the lowest they have been since the 1930s. If you are a corporation today in America, you are getting just about everything you want. We have enough money down here for a tax cut for the top 1 percent. The top 400 families in this country get an average tax return of \$8,500,000.

We have passed free trade agreements that have eroded our manufacturing base. We have a farm bill that has more pork in it than a Christmas ham. We threaten vetoes of Buy American provisions, Buy American provisions in the Defense appropriations bill. This administration has threatened to veto the bill if it has Buy American provisions in it. We have enough money to rebuild Iraq's schools and hospitals and universal health care; and then what takes the cake is they have enough time and energy to remove the anti-profiteering provision of the Iraq supplemental. It is time for people in this country to be outraged. If we cannot take care of our veterans, who can we take care of?

□ 2015

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEARCE). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. MURPHY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. MURPHY addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from the District of Columbia (Ms. NORTON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. NORTON addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

ORDER OF BUSINESS

Mr. MOLLOHAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take my Special Order time at this time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from West Virginia?

There was no objection.

HONORING JAY S. PIFER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from West Virginia (Mr. MOLLOHAN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. MOLLOHAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize and honor Jay S. Pifer, a businessman and friend, as he plans his retirement after more than 40 years with Allegheny Energy Company, an integrated energy company with a balanced portfolio of businesses. At a

time when too many corporate leaders are failing in their obligations to their customers, employees, investors and community, it is a rare privilege to be able to honor a man who demonstrates on a daily basis what integrity and leadership truly mean.

Mr. Pifer, who began his tenure with Allegheny as an apprentice engineering technician, was named interim president and CEO before being named chief operating officer in June. Like many in the energy production and distribution business today, Allegheny has suffered significant erosion in its financial health which has resulted in a virtually completely turnover in the company's management. During this time of turbulence and upheaval, Jay served to hold the company together, facilitating the transition from the old management team to the new. Further, he agreed to stay on to help the new team while it got its bearings. During this period, Allegheny faced ice, snow and thunderstorms capped recently by Hurricane Isabel, and Jay and his crews performed spectacularly with speed and grace.

Financial troubles notwithstanding, Jay Pifer and Allegheny led the industry in customer satisfaction, named recently as second best on the East Coast. His dedication to his employees, customers, and community served by Allegheny is legendary, and we hate to see him leave. However, his dedication to his family and his faith is even greater, and we understand his desire to spend his time with those who have supported him during these 40 years.

Jay has held many leadership positions with the company, including president of Allegheny Power energy delivery subsidiary, which supplies power throughout the State of West Virginia, as well as Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia and Ohio. During that time, J.D. Power and Associates recognized Allegheny Power as the second best company in customer satisfaction in the East, and 10th best in the Nation with improvement in each of the last 10 years.

In addition to his service with Allegheny, Jay has been involved in many civic and community activities, only a few of which include serving on the boards of the United Way, the Business Round Tables of West Virginia and Pennsylvania, and the West Virginia Education Alliance. His commitment to young people includes a long association with the Boy Scouts of America, and he currently serves on the advisory board of the northeast region of the Boy Scouts. He is also an ordained lay Pastor in the United Methodist Church.

In what was described in the Washington Post as perhaps an unprecedented effort to showcase a new approach to conservation, Jay oversaw the sale of a large tract of Allegheny Power land to the Canaan Valley Institute which included one of the largest wetlands east of the Mississippi River, which will now be preserved as a habi-

tat for threatened and endangered wildlife, as well as public recreation. Land and stream management practices are being developed on this land as part of the Canaan Valley Institute's land and water stewardship education program. Jay was instrumental in the expansion of the Canaan Valley National Wildlife Refuge, the Nation's 500th national wildlife refuge.

On February 14, 2002, he once again demonstrated his commitment to conservation by engineering the sale of 12,000 acres to the refuge. I was honored to work with Jay on these land transfers which will benefit generations to come.

Mr. Speaker, it would be impossible to catalog here tonight all of Jay's accomplishments and contributions, yet these few examples illustrate what an outstanding gentleman Jay Pifer is.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join me in honoring Jay S. Pifer.

REIMPORTATION IS THE RIGHT PRESCRIPTION

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Nebraska (Mr. BEREUTER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BEREUTER. Mr. Speaker, this week's issue of Congressional Quarterly Weekly reports that on the subject of drug reimportation, FDA Commissioner Mark McClellan said the following in an October 20 speech to the National Press Club, "These Members are out of touch with the realities of keeping our drug supply safe, and the clear and present dangers to America's supply of drugs that their bills would create."

Evidently, it is Mr. McClellan who is out of touch with reality. Millions of Americans are finding prescription drug reimportation from Canada and other countries to be a viable and necessary alternative to high-priced drugs in the United States. The number of those Americans is growing every day. It would be wrong for Members of Congress to ignore this reality and to ignore the excessive cost of prescription drugs in America.

If Mr. McClellan thinks Americans are content to allow price gouging on prescriptions to continue, he is mistaken. American consumers are understandably fed up.

Large pharmaceutical manufacturers have long been gouging American consumers by charging substantially more, in some cases up to 90 percent more, for prescription drugs sold in the United States than in Canada and other industrialized countries. Americans refuse to be exploited by the pharmaceutical industry any longer. The exploitation of American consumers must end. The excuse that most of the world's pharmaceutical research and development takes place in America does not justify the continued degree of cost shifting onto the backs of American consumers. Profit levels of American, foreign, and multi-national pharmaceutical firms are huge, as is the