

treasure for nearly 100 years. We have an opportunity with this legislation to expand the park and enhance its value to the public so that visitors will enjoy it even more during the next 100 years. It is my hope that my colleagues will support this expansion of the park and pass the legislation in the near future.

I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 2788

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Wind Cave National Park Boundary Revision Act of 2002".

SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) MAP.—The term "map" means the map entitled "Wind Cave National Park Boundary Revision", numbered 108/80,030, and dated June 2002.

(2) PARK.—The term "Park" means the Wind Cave National Park in the State.

(3) SECRETARY.—The term "Secretary" means the Secretary of the Interior.

(4) STATE.—The term "State" means the State of South Dakota.

SEC. 3. LAND ACQUISITION.

(a) AUTHORITY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may acquire the land or interest in land described in subsection (b)(1) for addition to the Park.

(2) MEANS.—An acquisition of land under paragraph (1) may be made by donation, purchase from a willing seller with donated or appropriated funds, or exchange.

(b) BOUNDARY.—

(1) MAP AND ACREAGE.—The land referred to in subsection (a)(1) shall consist of approximately 5,675 acres, as generally depicted on the map.

(2) AVAILABILITY OF MAP.—The map shall be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the National Park Service.

(3) REVISION.—The boundary of the Park shall be adjusted to reflect the acquisition of land under subsection (a)(1).

SEC. 4. ADMINISTRATION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall administer any land acquired under section 3(a)(1) as part of the Park in accordance with laws (including regulations) applicable to the Park.

(b) TRANSFER OF ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall transfer from the Director of the Bureau of Land Management to the Director of the National Park Service administrative jurisdiction over the land described in paragraph (2).

(2) MAP AND ACREAGE.—The land referred to in paragraph (1) consists of the approximately 80 acres of land identified on the map as "Bureau of Land Management land".

SEC. 5. GRAZING.

(a) GRAZING PERMITTED.—Subject to any permits or leases in existence as of the date of acquisition, the Secretary may permit the continuation of livestock grazing on land acquired under section 3(a)(1).

(b) LIMITATION.—Grazing under subsection (a) shall be at not more than the level existing on the date on which the land is acquired under section 3(a)(1).

(c) PURCHASE OF PERMIT OR LEASE.—The Secretary may purchase the outstanding

portion of a grazing permit or lease on any land acquired under section 3(a)(1).

(d) TERMINATION OF LEASES OR PERMITS.—The Secretary may accept the voluntary termination of a permit or lease for grazing on any acquired land.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 4316. Mr. ROCKEFELLER (for himself, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, Mr. SMITH of Oregon, Mrs. LINCOLN, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. HARKIN, Mr. MURKOWSKI, Mr. HUTCHINSON, Mrs. CLINTON, Mr. TORRICELLI, Mr. WELLSTONE, Mr. SCHUMER, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mr. KERRY, Ms. LANDRIEU, Mr. BINGAMAN, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mrs. MURRAY, Ms. SNOWE, Mr. ENZI, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. SARBANES, Mr. DAYTON, Mr. LEAHY, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. BAYH, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. JEFFORDS, Mr. CLELAND, Mr. MILLER, and Mr. COCHRAN) proposed an amendment to amendment SA 4299 proposed by Mr. REID (for Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Mr. WELLSTONE, Mr. JEFFORDS, Ms. STABENOW, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. LEVIN, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. MILLER, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. FEINGOLD, and Mr. HARKIN)) to the bill (S. 812) to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to provide greater access to affordable pharmaceuticals.

SA 4317. Mrs. CLINTON (for herself, Mr. DEWINE, Mr. DODD, and Mr. BINGAMAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 812, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4318. Mrs. CLINTON submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 812, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 4316. Mr. ROCKEFELLER (for himself, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. HARKIN, Mr. MURKOWSKI, Mr. HUTCHINSON, Mrs. CLINTON, Mr. TORRICELLI, Mr. WELLSTONE, Mr. SCHUMER, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mr. KERRY, Ms. LANDRIEU, Mr. BINGAMAN, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mrs. MURRAY, Ms. SNOWE, Mr. ENZI, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. SARBANES, Mr. DAYTON, Mr. LEAHY, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. BAYH, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. JEFFORDS, Mr. CLELAND, Mr. MILLER, and Mr. COCHRAN) proposed an amendment to amendment SA 4299 proposed by Mr. REID (for Mr. DORGAN (for himself, Mr. WELLSTONE, Mr. JEFFORDS, Ms. STABENOW, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. LEVIN, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. MILLER, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. FEINGOLD, and Mr. HARKIN)) to the bill (S. 812) to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Co:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

SEC. . . . TEMPORARY STATE FISCAL RELIEF.

(a) TEMPORARY INCREASE OF MEDICAID FMAP.—

(1) PERMITTING MAINTENANCE OF FISCAL YEAR 2001 FMAP FOR LAST 2 CALENDAR QUARTERS OF FISCAL YEAR 2002.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, but subject to paragraph (5), if the FMAP determined without regard to this subsection for a State for fiscal year 2002 is less than the FMAP as so determined for fiscal year 2001, the FMAP for the State for fiscal year 2001 shall be substituted for the State's FMAP for the third and fourth calendar quarters of fiscal year 2002, before the application of this subsection.

(2) PERMITTING MAINTENANCE OF FISCAL YEAR 2002 FMAP FOR FISCAL YEAR 2003.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, but subject to paragraph (5), if the FMAP determined without regard to this subsection for a State for fiscal year 2003 is less than the FMAP as so determined for fiscal year 2002, the FMAP for the State for fiscal year 2002 shall be substituted for the State's FMAP for each calendar quarter of fiscal year 2003, before the application of this subsection.

(3) GENERAL 1.35 PERCENTAGE POINTS INCREASE FOR LAST 2 CALENDAR QUARTERS OF FISCAL YEAR 2002 AND FISCAL YEAR 2003.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, but subject to paragraphs (5) and (6), for each State for the third and fourth calendar quarters of fiscal year 2002 and each calendar quarter of fiscal year 2003, the FMAP (taking into account the application of paragraphs (1) and (2)) shall be increased by 1.35 percentage points.

(4) INCREASE IN CAP ON MEDICAID PAYMENTS TO TERRITORIES.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, but subject to paragraph (6), with respect to the third and fourth calendar quarters of fiscal year 2002 and each calendar quarter of fiscal year 2003, the amounts otherwise determined for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, and American Samoa under subsections (f) and (g) of section 1108 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1308) shall each be increased by an amount equal to 2.7 percent of such amounts.

(5) SCOPE OF APPLICATION.—The increases in the FMAP for a State under this subsection shall apply only for purposes of title XIX of the Social Security Act and shall not apply with respect to—

(A) disproportionate share hospital payments described in section 1923 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1396r-4); or

(B) payments under title IV or XXI of such Act (42 U.S.C. 601 et seq. and 1397aa et seq.).

(6) STATE ELIGIBILITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subparagraph (B), a State is eligible for an increase in its FMAP under paragraph (3) or an increase in a cap amount under paragraph (4) only if the eligibility under its State plan under title XIX of the Social Security Act (including any waiver under such title or under section 1115 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1315)) is no more restrictive than the eligibility under such plan (or waiver) as in effect on January 1, 2002.

(B) STATE REINSTATEMENT OF ELIGIBILITY PERMITTED.—A State that has restricted eligibility under its State plan under title XIX of the Social Security Act (including any waiver under such title or under section 1115 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1315)) after January 1, 2002, but prior to the date of enactment of this Act is eligible for an increase in its FMAP under paragraph (3) or an increase in a cap amount under paragraph (4) in the first calendar quarter (and subsequent calendar quarters) in which the State has reinstated eligibility that is no more restrictive than the eligibility under such plan (or waiver) as in effect on January 1, 2002.

(C) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in subparagraph (A) or (B) shall be construed as affecting a State's flexibility with respect to benefits offered under the State medicare program under title XIX of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396 et seq.) (including any waiver under such title or under section 1115 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1315)).

(7) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

(A) FMAP.—The term "FMAP" means the Federal medical assistance percentage, as defined in section 1905(b) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396d(b)).

(B) STATE.—The term "State" has the meaning given such term for purposes of

title XIX of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396 et seq.).

(8) REPEAL.—Effective as of October 1, 2003, this subsection is repealed.

(b) ADDITIONAL TEMPORARY STATE FISCAL RELIEF.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Title XX of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1397–1397f) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 2008. ADDITIONAL TEMPORARY GRANTS FOR STATE FISCAL RELIEF.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—For the purpose of providing State fiscal relief allotments to States under this section, there are hereby appropriated, out of any funds in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, \$3,000,000,000. Such funds shall be available for obligation by the State through June 30, 2004, and for expenditure by the State through September 30, 2004. This section constitutes budget authority in advance of appropriations Acts and represents the obligation of the Federal Government to provide for the payment to States of amounts provided under this section.

“(b) ALLOTMENT.—Funds appropriated under subsection (a) shall be allotted by the Secretary among the States in accordance with the following table:

“State	Allotment (in dollars)
Alabama	\$33,918,100
Alaska	\$8,488,200
Amer. Samoa	\$88,600
Arizona	\$47,601,600
Arkansas	\$27,941,800
California	\$314,653,900
Colorado	\$27,906,200
Connecticut	\$41,551,200
Delaware	\$8,306,000
District of Columbia	\$12,374,400
Florida	\$128,271,100
Georgia	\$69,106,600
Guam	\$135,900
Hawaii	\$9,914,700
Idaho	\$10,293,600
Illinois	\$102,577,900
Indiana	\$50,659,800
Iowa	\$27,799,700
Kansas	\$21,414,300
Kentucky	\$44,508,400
Louisiana	\$50,974,000
Maine	\$17,841,100
Maryland	\$44,228,800
Massachusetts	\$100,770,700
Michigan	\$91,196,800
Minnesota	\$57,515,400
Mississippi	\$35,978,500
Missouri	\$62,189,600
Montana	\$8,242,000
Nebraska	\$16,671,600
Nevada	\$10,979,700
New Hampshire	\$10,549,400
New Jersey	\$87,577,300
New Mexico	\$21,807,600
New York	\$461,401,900
North Carolina	\$79,538,300
North Dakota	\$5,716,900
N. Mariana Islands	\$50,000
Ohio	\$116,367,800
Oklahoma	\$30,941,800
Oregon	\$34,327,200
Pennsylvania	\$159,089,700
Puerto Rico	\$3,991,900
Rhode Island	\$16,594,100
South Carolina	\$38,238,000
South Dakota	\$6,293,700
Tennessee	\$81,120,000
Texas	\$159,779,800
Utah	\$12,551,700
Vermont	\$8,003,800
Virgin Islands	\$128,800
Virginia	\$44,288,300
Washington	\$66,662,200
West Virginia	\$19,884,400
Wisconsin	\$47,218,900
Wyoming	\$3,776,400
Total	\$3,000,000,000

“(c) USE OF FUNDS.—Funds appropriated under this section may be used by a State for

services directed at the goals set forth in section 2001, subject to the requirements of this title.

“(d) PAYMENT TO STATES.—Not later than 30 days after amounts are appropriated under subsection (a), in addition to any payment made under section 2002 or 2007, the Secretary shall make a lump sum payment to a State of the total amount of the allotment for the State as specified in subsection (b).

“(e) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘State’ means the 50 States, the District of Columbia, and the territories contained in the list under subsection (b).”.

(2) REPEAL.—Effective as of January 1, 2005, section 2008 of the Social Security Act, as added by paragraph (1), is repealed.

(c) EMERGENCY DESIGNATION.—The entire amount necessary to carry out this section is designated by Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 252(e) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (2 U.S.C. 902(e)).

SA 4317. Mrs. CLINTON (for herself, Mr. DEWINE, Mr. DODD, and Mr. BINGAMAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 812, to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to provide greater access to affordable pharmaceuticals; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

SEC. ____ PEDIATRIC LABELING OF DRUGS AND BIOLOGICAL PRODUCTS

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter A of chapter V of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 351 et seq.) is amended by inserting after section 505A the following:

“SEC. 505B. PEDIATRIC LABELING OF DRUGS AND BIOLOGICAL PRODUCTS.

“(a) NEW DRUGS AND BIOLOGICAL PRODUCTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—A person that submits an application (or supplement to an application)—

“(A) under section 505 for a new active ingredient, new indication, new dosage form, new dosing regimen, or new route of administration; or

“(B) under section 351 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262) for a biological product license; shall submit with the application the assessments described in paragraph (2).

“(2) ASSESSMENTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The assessments referred to in paragraph (1) shall contain data, gathered using appropriate formulations, that are adequate—

“(i) to assess the safety and effectiveness of the drug, or the biological product licensed under section 351 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262), for the claimed indications in all relevant pediatric subpopulations; and

“(ii) to support dosing and administration for each pediatric subpopulation for which the drug, or the biological product licensed under section 351 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262), is safe and effective.

“(B) SIMILAR COURSE OF DISEASE OR SIMILAR EFFECT OF DRUG OR BIOLOGICAL PRODUCT.—If the course of the disease and the effects of the drug are sufficiently similar in adults and pediatric patients, the Secretary may conclude that pediatric effectiveness can be extrapolated from adequate and well-controlled studies in adults, usually supplemented with other information obtained in pediatric patients, such as pharmacokinetic studies.

“(3) DEFERRAL.—On the initiative of the Secretary or at the request of the applicant, the Secretary may defer submission of some

or all assessments required under paragraph (1) until a specified date after approval of the drug or issuance of the license for a biological product if—

“(A) the Secretary finds that—

“(i) the drug or biological product is ready for approval for use in adults before pediatric studies are complete; or

“(ii) pediatric studies should be delayed until additional safety or effectiveness data have been collected; and

“(B) the applicant submits to the Secretary—

“(i) a certified description of the planned or ongoing studies; and

“(ii) evidence that the studies are being conducted or will be conducted with due diligence.

“(b) MARKETED DRUGS AND BIOLOGICAL PRODUCTS.—After providing notice and an opportunity for written response and a meeting, which may include an advisory committee meeting, the Secretary may by order require the holder of an approved application relating to a drug under section 505 or the holder of a license for a biological product under section 351 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262) to submit by a specified date the assessments described in subsection (a) if the Secretary finds that—

“(1)(A) the drug or biological product is used for a substantial number of pediatric patients for the labeled indications; and

“(B) the absence of adequate labeling could pose significant risks to pediatric patients; or

“(2)(A) there is reason to believe that the drug or biological product would represent a meaningful therapeutic benefit over existing therapies for pediatric patients for 1 or more of the claimed indications; and

“(B) the absence of adequate labeling could pose significant risks to pediatric patients.

“(c) DELAY IN SUBMISSION OF ASSESSMENTS.—If a person delays the submission of assessments relating to a drug or biological product beyond a date specified in subsection (a) or (b)—

“(1) the drug or biological product—

“(A) shall be deemed to be misbranded;

“(B) shall be subject to action under sections 302 and 304; and

“(C) shall not be subject to action under section 303; and

“(2) the delay shall not be the basis for a proceeding to withdraw approval for a drug under section 505(e) or revoke the license for a biological product under section 351 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262).

“(d) WAIVERS.—

“(1) FULL WAIVER.—At the request of an applicant, the Secretary shall grant a full waiver, as appropriate, of the requirement to submit assessments under subsection (a) or (b) if—

“(A) necessary studies are impossible or highly impracticable;

“(B) there is evidence strongly suggesting that the drug or biological product would be ineffective or unsafe in all pediatric age groups; or

“(C)(i) the drug or biological product—

“(I) does not represent a meaningful therapeutic benefit over existing therapies for pediatric patients; and

“(II) is not likely to be used for a substantial number of pediatric patients; and

“(ii) the absence of adequate labeling would not pose significant risks to pediatric patients.

“(2) PARTIAL WAIVER.—At the request of an applicant, the Secretary shall grant a partial waiver, as appropriate, of the requirement to submit assessments under subsection (a) with respect to a specific pediatric subpopulation if—

“(A) any of the grounds stated in paragraph (1) applies to that subpopulation; or

“(B) the applicant demonstrates that reasonable attempts to produce a pediatric formulation necessary for that subpopulation have failed.

“(3) LABELING REQUIREMENT.—If the Secretary grants a full or partial waiver because there is evidence that a drug or biological product would be ineffective or unsafe in pediatric populations, the information shall be included in the labeling for the drug or biological product.

“(e) MEETINGS.—The Secretary shall meet at appropriate times in the investigational new drug process with the sponsor to discuss background information that the sponsor shall submit on plans and timelines for pediatric studies, or any planned request for waiver or deferral of pediatric studies.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 505(b)(1) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355(b)(1)) is amended in the second sentence—

(A) by striking “and (F)” and inserting “(F)”; and

(B) by striking the period at the end and inserting “, and (G) any assessments required under section 505B.”.

(2) Section 505A(h) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355a(h)) is amended—

(A) in the subsection heading, by striking “REGULATIONS” and inserting “PEDIATRIC STUDY REQUIREMENTS”; and

(B) by striking “pursuant to regulations promulgated by the Secretary” and inserting “by a provision of law (including a regulation) other than this section”.

(3) Section 351(a)(2) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262(a)(2)) is amended—

(A) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as subparagraph (C); and

(B) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following:

“(B) PEDIATRIC STUDIES.—A person that submits an application for a license under this paragraph shall submit to the Secretary as part of the application any assessments required under section 505B of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.”.

(c) FINAL RULE.—Except to the extent that the final rule is inconsistent with the amendment made by subsection (a), the final rule promulgating regulations requiring manufacturers to assess the safety and effectiveness of new drugs and biological products in pediatric patients (63 Fed. Reg. 66632 (December 2, 1998)), shall be considered to implement the amendment made by subsection (a).

(d) NO EFFECT ON AUTHORITY.—Section 505B of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (as added by subsection (a)) does not affect whatever existing authority the Secretary of Health and Human Services has to require pediatric assessments regarding the safety and efficacy of drugs and biological products in addition to the assessments required under that section. The authority, if any, of the Secretary of Health and Human Services regarding specific populations other than the pediatric population shall be exercised in accordance with the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 301 et seq.) as in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this Act.

SA 4318. Mrs. CLINTON submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 812, to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to provide greater access to affordable pharmaceuticals; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

**TITLE —ETHICAL PRESCRIPTION
DRUG MARKETING ACT OF 2002**

SEC. 1. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the “Ethical Prescription Drug Marketing Act of 2002”.

SEC. 2. PROHIBITION ON OFFERING OR PROVIDING ITEMS OR SERVICES FROM DRUG MANUFACTURERS TO HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS.

Section 503 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetics Act (21 U.S.C. 353) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(h)(1) A drug manufacturer shall not offer or provide any item or service to a health care professional in a manner or on a condition that would interfere with the independence of the health care professional’s prescribing practices.

“(2)(A) A drug manufacturer shall not offer or provide any money (including cash or a cash equivalent) to a health care professional, except as compensation under an arrangement for bona fide services, such as services as a consultant, as a participant in speaker training meetings, or as a researcher.

“(B) A drug manufacturer shall not offer or provide any non-monetary item or service to a health care professional intended primarily for the personal benefit of the health care professional.

“(C) A drug manufacturer shall not offer or provide any non-monetary item or service, of substantial value, to a health care professional, except that a drug manufacturer may distribute a drug sample in compliance with subsection (d).

“(3) Each drug manufacturer shall be subject to a civil monetary penalty of not more than \$10,000 for each violation of this subsection. Each unlawful offer or provision shall constitute a separate violation. The provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), and (5) of section 303(g) shall apply to such a violation in the same manner as such provisions apply to a violation of a requirement of this Act that relates to devices.

“(4)(A) For purposes of this subsection, an arrangement between a drug manufacturer and a health care professional for the services of the health care professional shall be considered to be an arrangement for bona fide services if, of the factors described in subparagraph (B), the factors that are relevant to the arrangement are present.

“(B) The factors referred to in subparagraph (A) are—

“(i) a legitimate need for the services, identified in advance of requesting the services and entering into the arrangement;

“(ii) a written contract specifying the nature of the services and the basis for payment for those services;

“(iii) selection of the health care professional to provide the services, based on criteria directly related to the identified need, and conducted by a person with the expertise necessary to evaluate whether health care professionals meet the criteria;

“(iv) a number of health care professionals retained under the arrangement that is not greater than the number reasonably necessary to address the identified need;

“(v) maintenance of appropriate records concerning, and appropriate use of the services of, the health care professional; and

“(vi) a venue and circumstances for any meeting that is conducive to providing the services, with any social or entertainment events at the meeting clearly subordinate to the provision of the services.

“(5) In this subsection:

“(A) The term ‘drug manufacturer’ means—

“(1) a person who manufactures a prescription drug approved under section 505 or a biological product licensed under section 351 of

the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262); or

“(ii) a person who is licensed by a person described in clause (i) to distribute or market such a drug or biological product.

“(B) The term ‘health care professional’ means a physician, or other individual who is a provider of health care, who is licensed under the law of a State to prescribe drugs.

“(C) The term ‘substantial value’ means \$100 or more.”.

AUTHORITY FOR COMMITTEES TO MEET

COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources be authorized to hold a Hearing during the session of the Senate on Wednesday, July 24, 2002, at 3 p.m. in SD-366.

The purpose of the hearing is to examine issues related to the need for and barriers to development of electricity infrastructure. The hearing will focus on DOE’s National Transmission Grid Study and on information developed in a series of technical conferences held by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission starting in November 2001.

THE PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Environment and Public Works be authorized to meet jointly with the Committee on Foreign Relations on Wednesday, July 24, 2002, at 10:30 a.m. to conduct a hearing to review environmental treaties implementation. The hearing will be held in SD-406.

THE PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Foreign Relations be authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on Wednesday, July 24 2002 at 10:30 a.m. to hold a hearing on Environmental Treaties.

Agenda

Witnesses

Panel I: Mr. John F. Turner, Assistant Secretary for the Bureau of Oceans and International Environment and Scientific Affairs, U.S. Department of State, Washington, DC; Mr. James Connaughton, Chair, White House Council on Environmental Quality, Washington, D.C.

Panel II: Mr. Maurice Strong, Chairman, Earth Council Institute Canada, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; Professor John C. Dernbach, Widener University Law School, Harrisburg, PA; Mr. Christopher C. Horner, Counsel, Competitive Enterprise Institute, Washington, D.C.

THE PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.