

Borden, Anthony. "The War in Bosnia: Seeds of Wars to Come." State of the Peoples: A Global Human Rights Report on Societies in Danger. Ed. Marc S. Miller. Boston, MA: Beacon Press, 1993. 15-22.

"Bosnia and Herzegovina." CIA—The World Factbook 1 Jan. 2001. 27 Dec. 2001 <<http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/geos/bk.html>>.

Burg, Steven L., and Paul S. Shoup. The War in Bosnia-Herzegovina: Ethnic Conflict and International Intervention. Armonk, NY: M.E. Sharpe, 1999.

Donia, Robert J., and John V.A. Fine, Jr. Bosnia and Herzegovina: A Tradition Betrayed. New York: Columbia University Press, 1994.

Dragnich, Alex N. Serbs and Croats: The Struggle in Yugoslavia. New York: Harcourt Brace, 1992.

"Fact Sheet: Human Rights Issue in the Balkans." US Department of State Dispatch 15 Dec. 1995. Infotrac. Rapid City Public Library. 27 Dec. 2001. <<http://infotrac.galegroup.com/menu>>.

Freeman, Charles. Crisis in Rwanda. Austin, TX: Steck-Vaughn, 1999.

Fromkin, David. Kosovo Crossing. New York: The Free Press, 1999.

Giles, Bridget, ed. Peoples of East Africa. New York: Facts On File, 1997.

Goble, Paul. "Rwanda's Forgotten Genocide." United Press International 8 Apr. 2001. 26 Dec. 2001. <<http://www.comtexnews.com>>.

Hull, Mary. Ethnic Violence. San Diego, CA: Lucent Books, 1997.

"Humanitarian Efforts Threatened by Security Problems: International Tribunal Created." UN Chronicle Mar. 1995, 32.1. Infotrac. Rapid City Public Library. 26 Dec. 2001. <<http://infotrac.galegroup.com/menu>>.

Lliffe, John. Africans: The History of a Continent. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1995.

Jenish, D'Arcy. "'Preventable Genocide': A New International Report Says the 1994 Slaughter in Rwanda Did Not Have to Happen." Maclean's 17 July 2002:24.

Mead, Margaret. qtd. Thinking Quotes 31 Dec. 2001. <<http://www.2think.org/quotes.html>>.

Onumah, Chido. "Revisiting the Genocide in Rwanda." Africa News Service 11 Aug. 2000. 26 Dec. 2001. <<http://www.comtexnews.com>>.

Prunier, Gerard. The Rwanda Crisis: History of a Genocide. New York: Columbia University Press, 1995.

Ricchiardi, Sherry. Bosnia: The Struggle for Peace. Brookfield, CT: Millbrook Press, 1996.

Roosevelt, Theodore. "Quotations of Theodore Roosevelt." Theodore Roosevelt Association 30 Dec. 2001. <<http://www.theodoreroosevelt.org/life/quotes.html>>.

"Rwanda." CIA—The World Factbook 1 Jan. 2001. 27 Dec. 2001 <<http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/geos/rm.html>>.

"Rwanda Revisited: A Look Back at the Biggest Bloodstain on the World's Conscience in the 1990s." The Economist 25 Dec. 1999: 5.

Shawcross, William. "The Deadly Sin of Staying Neutral." Newsweek International 27 Dec. 1999: 21.

Vulliamy, Ed. Session in Hell: Understanding Bosnia's War. New York: St. Martin's Press, 1994.

"Why the Troops Should Go." The New Republic 18 Dec. 1995, 213.25 Infotrac. Rapid City Public Library. 27 Dec/ 2001. <<http://www.infotrac.galegroup.com/menu>>

plight of the people of Colombia. For decades they have been plagued by the scourges of drugs, war, and terrorism. Today, thousands, if not millions of Colombians live under constant threat of attack by leftist guerrillas and right-wing paramilitary groups. However, in the recent elections the Colombian people overwhelmingly voted to bring the forces of terror and violence to their knees.

In support of their fight against terror, I believe it is the responsibility of our great Nation to offer its unwavering moral support to the people of Colombia and their democratically elected leaders. Since President Monroe first offered a vision for our Nation's involvement in the Western Hemisphere, the United States has been the guarantor of peace and democracy for all the peoples of the Americas. This is a tradition we must continue.

Consequently, it is time for us as a Nation to explore further extending our support, both moral and physical to the cause of developing the institutes of justice and governance in Colombia. In doing so, we help the Colombians achieve a better way of life and further our own fight against the forces of global terror.

In closing, we should not forego this opportunity to help a neighbor and an ally. I offer my firmest support to the people of Colombia and their fight to eradicate terrorists and criminals in their own country.

Mr. John Norton Moore is a distinguished professor of law and is the Director of the Center for National Security Law at the University of Virginia. He has written thoughtfully on this matter. I found his remarks to be highly valuable and wish to share them with the Senate. Therefore, I ask unanimous consent that an article written by Professor Moore be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

#### ENDING TERRORISM IN COLOMBIA

(By John Norton Moore)

The people of Colombia, after years of negotiation with the forces of terror, have courageously voted for their own war on terrorism. For almost four decades, the people of Colombia have been beset with drug lords, old-thinking leftists, and paramilitaries waging war against their democracy and their humanity. Every year in that war a much smaller country than the United States loses more people than were killed in 9/11. Kidnapping runs rampant and the force of law is held hostage to the law of force. It is time for the World to notice Colombia's plight and to join with them to decisively end the terror.

Why should the United States and others help? Simply because unchecked terrorism left free to ravage democracies anywhere ultimately affects us all. Simply because the drug business in Colombia will never be tamed without an end to the armies of terror it feeds. Simply because economic development in Latin America and an extension of hemispheric trade requires the rule of law. Simply because a decisive hemispheric victory over terrorism in Colombia will have

powerful deterrent legs in the global war against terrorism. Simply because the people and democracies of Latin America matter. And simply because, as the people of Colombia have just attested, four decades of terror is enough.

How can the United States help? Visualizing the ghost of Vietnam, the body politic in the United States has been reluctant to become directly involved in what many see as a domestic struggle in Colombia. Human rights abuses from all sides have further discouraged assistance. Political consensus has only permitted an increased program of aid said to be directed at the war on drugs. Even in a post 9/11 World, it is unlikely that the American body politic wants an Afghan style American military presence on the ground in Colombia. Moreover, America has a full plate in the fight on terror at present, and an important agenda for peace in the Palestinian/Israeli dispute and now the India/Pakistan dispute. But the alternative is not, and has never been, simply a U.S. military presence in Colombia or terror as usual.

The United States should take the lead in consultations with the new leadership of Colombia and the Organization of American States to put together a powerful Inter-American coalition under the Rio Treaty to decisively and permanently restore the reach of democracy over all of Colombia. The Rio Treaty, as the security arm of the Inter-American system, preceded NATO and, indeed, NATO was largely modeled on it. The Inter-American system as a whole has as a central purpose the protection of democracy and human dignity throughout the region. The Rio Treaty pledges the collective action of all of the American states to deal with threats to the peace to those ends. It is time to put that system to the test.

To be successful such as Inter-American effort would need the full agreement and co-operation of the new Colombian Government. In addition, it must be designed to field an overwhelming response against terror on all fronts and to prevail decisively and promptly. To do this would likely require a sophisticated package with major ground units from leading Latin American states, logistics, technological and intelligence assistance from the United States, a substantial package of economic aid, perhaps coordinated from Nations around the World, and a vigorous human rights effort to accompany the necessary military action. The action should also be coordinated with the United Nations Security Council even though as a matter of international law Colombia has every right simply to request assistance from any nation or the organization of American States to deal with its problem of terror. Further, the action should properly be placed in the global war on terror. Once the plan for overwhelming response has been adopted under the Rio Treaty, a requirement experience shows will lessen casualties on all sides, then the groups in Colombia resisting the rule of law should be given an opportunity to turn over their weapons and unconditionally accept democratic rule from the properly elected Colombia officials. If the perpetrators of terror refuse, the Inter-American plan should be carried out promptly and decisively to restore the rule of law and democracy throughout the proud nation of Colombia.

For many years I have heard brave representatives from Colombia describing the daily terror in their country. I have listened to the stories of car bombs, kidnappings, and a rural judiciary that had to wear running shoes to Court in order to be able to jump out of the window and run when the terrorist arrived. It is time to put those running shoes on those who challenge the rule of law.

#### FUTURE OF ANTI-TERRORIST COOPERATION IN COLOMBIA

Mr. THURMOND. Mr. President, I rise today to draw attention to the

## ACCOUNTING REFORM

Mr. NELSON of Nebraska. Mr. President, I rise to express my support for the accounting reform bill and the underlying goals of the legislation. I wholeheartedly endorse the principles expressed in this bill to root out corruption in our accounting industry.

The need for this bill is enormous. The accounting scandals that have rocked this Nation over the past nine months have shaken Americans' faith in our free market system. We simply cannot allow this attack at the bedrock of our economic system to pass unanswered. Those who have propagated corporate greed, those who have engaged in unethical business practices, and those who have willingly and knowingly turned a blind eye must be punished.

Moreover, we need to assure all Americans that they can and should have faith in American business. The loss of confidence caused by a lack of accountability has caused nearly as much damage as the economic impact of these surfacing scandals.

The perpetrators of these scandals are certainly in the forefront of our minds as we have debated this legislation. But, in the end, this bill is not about those who have violated the trusts of their employees and shareholders. This bill is really about those employees and shareholders who have been violated, it's about average Americans who are now being penalized and disadvantaged because of the corporate greed of a privileged few. And it is about those honest accountants whose integrity and profession have been scarred by a few dishonest individuals.

I need look no further than my home State of Nebraska to see the human aspect of these fraudulent accounting practices. Before it merged with Houston Natural Gas in 1985, InterNorth, the forerunner of Enron, was based in Omaha. In the year following the merger, the newly named Enron relocated to Houston, but it still had roots in Nebraska as well as thousands of InterNorth retirees.

Those retirees and employees have seen their lives turned upside down by the accounting trickery perpetrated by those at the top. Many have seen their retirement accounts evaporate while others have lost their jobs.

Not only has their trust been violated by the actions of Enron executives, they also have to witness the apparent disinterest of the accountants who were obliged to ensure honesty and integrity in bookkeeping. With the livelihoods and savings of tens of thousands on the line, a handful of accountants failed to do their duty.

When I was governor of Nebraska, we had a period of upswing in the distribution of dangerous drugs. In response, we stiffened penalties in our omnibus crime legislation. The same principle applies here. When there is an upswing in criminal and unethical behavior, we have to get tough.

Corporate greed is a scourge on Americans and those who are participating in it should be paying the price.

This legislation will ensure they do pay a price commiserate with the pain they have inflicted upon the American people.

I'd like to thank my colleague Senator SARBANES for his tireless work on this bill. His efforts to crack down on unethical accounting practices are greatly appreciated.

I urge all of my colleagues to join me in supporting this bill. Through this legislation, we can move away from the failures of the past, begin to restore investor confidence, help return to our strong economy and prove that a few bad seeds cannot bring down our great Nation.

## LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 2001

Mr. SMITH of Oregon. Mr. President, I rise today to speak about hate crimes legislation I introduced with Senator KENNEDY in March of last year. The Local Law Enforcement Act of 2001 would add new categories to current hate crimes legislation sending a signal that violence of any kind is unacceptable in our society.

I would like to describe a terrible crime that occurred August 1, 2001 in Roanoke, VA. Two men and the pastor of a predominantly gay church were attacked by three men after a Bible study and prayer meeting, police and the pastor said. The Rev. Catherine Houchins was struck in the face as she tried to call 911 on her cellular phone after the initial attack. The attackers, who came out of an alley as the victims were getting into their cars, were heard to yell obscenities related to the victims' sexual orientation.

I believe that government's first duty is to defend its citizens, to defend them against the harms that come out of hate. The Local Law Enforcement Enhancement Act of 2001 is now a symbol that can become substance. I believe that by passing this legislation and changing current law, we can change hearts and minds as well.

## ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

## COMMENDING THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA NATIONAL GUARD, THE NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU, AND THE ENTIRE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

• Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I rise today in support of H. Con. Res. 378, which passed the Senate by unanimous consent on July 12, 2002. This resolution commends the District of Columbia National Guard, the National Guard Bureau, and the entire Department of Defense for the assistance provided to the United States Capitol Police and the entire congressional community in response to the terrorist and anthrax attacks of September and October 2001.

I would like to thank all of those who worked tirelessly for almost five months in response to the heightened state of emergency in the Capitol complex following the terrorist and an-

thrax attacks of September and October 2001.

We owe so much to the over 140 members of the District of Columbia Army National Guard, specifically the 260th Military Police Command, the 260th Regional Training Institute, the 74th Troop Command, the Headquarters District Area Regional Command, and the 33rd Civil Support Team, who answered the call to duty to assist the Capitol Police in protecting the Capitol complex. We here in the Capitol saw firsthand the cooperation between the National Guard and the Capitol Police. This time presented a challenging assignment for all involved, and the combined efforts of these two agencies served as a model for managing such a difficult situation.

Because of these men and women, we were protected around the clock and the activities in the Capitol were secure. Members of Congress, congressional employees, and visitors were confident of their safety here, and we were able to continue to serve the American people.

The dedication of the District of Columbia National Guard came at a price. These men and women worked an extreme number of hours under difficult conditions. The time they spent in order to serve their country was time away from their loved ones, and we are grateful for the personal sacrifices they made for our nation.

During the course of the Civil War, Abraham Lincoln came to Washington as the new president. The States began to divide into the Confederacy and the Union. When he arrived, this Capitol dome which you see outside was under construction. Many people went to the President and said: Mr. President, we can't afford to wage a war and build this Capitol dome. He said: "Yes, we can, because that Capitol dome represents the unity of this country and what we will be after this war." During the Civil War, he continued the construction of that great dome we see today. And Lincoln was right.

The National Guard protected not only the people within the Capitol complex, but the complex itself and the unity, liberty, and freedom it represents. I am honored to support this resolution commending the work of the District of Columbia National Guard, the National Guard Bureau, and the entire Department of Defense, and I extend my personal gratitude for their service. •

## IN MEMORY OF THE LIFE AND LEGACY OF FRANCES RILEY

• Mr. SMITH of New Hampshire. Mr. President, I rise today in remembrance of a cherished friend and former Republican State representative, Frances Riley.

Mrs. Riley's professional career as a representative from New Hampshire