(Mrs. Lincoln) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1258, a bill to improve academic and social outcomes for teenage vouth.

S. 1346

At the request of Mr. Sessions, the name of the Senator from Georgia (Mr. MILLER) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1346, a bill to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act with regard to new animal drugs, and for other purposes.

S. 1408

At the request of Mr. Rockefeller, the name of the Senator from Nebraska (Mr. Nelson) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1408, a bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to standardize the income threshold for copayment for outpatient medications with the income threshold for inability to defray necessary expense of care, and for other purposes.

S. 1742

At the request of Ms. Cantwell, the names of the Senator from New York (Mr. Schumer) and the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. Enzi) were added as cosponsors of S. 1742, a bill to prevent the crime of identity theft, mitigate the harm to individuals victimized by identity theft, and for other purposes.

S. 1749

At the request of Mr. Kennedy, the name of the Senator from Georgia (Mr. CLELAND) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1749, a bill to enhance the border security of the United States, and for other purposes.

S. 2038

At the request of Mrs. CLINTON, the name of the Senator from Maryland (Ms. Mikulski) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2038, a bill to provide for homeland security block grants.

S. 2039

At the request of Mr. Durbin, the name of the Senator from Florida (Mr. Graham) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2039, a bill to expand aviation capacity in the Chicago area.

S. 2051

At the request of Mr. REID, the name of the Senator from Virginia (Mr. ALLEN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2051, a bill to remove a condition preventing authority for concurrent receipt of military retired pay and veterans' disability compensation from taking affect, and for other purposes.

 $S.\ 2055$ 

At the request of Ms. Cantwell, the names of the Senator from Washington (Mrs. Murray) and the Senator from New York (Mrs. Clinton) were added as cosponsors of S. 2055, a bill to make grants to train sexual assault nurse examiners, law enforcement personnel, and first responders in the handling of sexual assault cases, to establish minimum standards for forensic evidence collection kits, to carry out DNA analyses of samples from crime scenes, and for other purposes.

S. 2084

At the request of Mr. BOND, the name of the Senator from Tennessee (Mr.

THOMPSON) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2084, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to clarify the exemption from tax for small property and casualty insurance companies.

S. 2215

At the request of Mrs. Boxer, the name of the Senator from Wisconsin (Mr. Feingold) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2215, a bill to halt Syrian support for terrorism, end its occupation of Lebanon, stop its development of weapons of mass destruction, cease its illegal importation of Iraqi oil, and by so doing hold Syria accountable for its role in the Middle East, and for other purposes.

S. 2216

At the request of Mr. Kohl, the name of the Senator from Wisconsin (Mr. Feingold) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2216, a bill to suspend temporarily the duty on fixed-ratio gear changers for truck-mounted concrete mixer drums.

S. 2221

At the request of Mr. Rockefeller, the name of the Senator from Illinois (Mr. Durbin) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2221, a bill to temporarily increase the Federal medical assistance percentage for the medicaid program.

S. 2242

At the request of Mr. SMITH of New Hampshire, the name of the Senator from Illinois (Mr. DURBIN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2242, a bill to amend title 23, United States Code, to prohibit the collection of tolls from vehicles or military equipment under the actual physical control of a uniformed member of the Armed Forces, and for other purposes.

S. 2244

At the request of Mr. DORGAN, the name of the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. JOHNSON) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2244, a bill to permit commercial importation of prescription drugs from Canada, and for other purposes.

S. RES. 249

At the request of Mr. Hatch, the names of the Senator from Arizona (Mr. McCain), the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. Specter), the Senator from Missouri (Mrs. Carnahan), and the Senator from California (Mrs. Feinstein) were added as cosponsors of S. Res. 249, a resolution designating April 30, 2002, as "Dia de los Ninos: Celebrating Young Americans," and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 3230

At the request of Mr. WYDEN, the names of the Senator from Washington (Ms. CANTWELL) and the Senator from Oregon (Mr. SMITH) were added as cosponsors of amendment No. 3230 proposed to S. 517, a bill to authorize funding the Department of Energy to enhance its mission areas through technology transfer and partnerships for fiscal years 2002 through 2006, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mr. Burns, his name was added as a cosponsor of

amendment No. 3230 proposed to S. 517, supra.

AMENDMENT NO. 3239

At the request of Ms. SNOWE, her name was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 3239 proposed to S. 517, a bill to authorize funding the Department of Energy to enhance its mission areas through technology transfer and partnerships for fiscal years 2002 through 2006, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 3256

At the request of Mr. Voinovich, his name was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 3256 proposed to S. 517, a bill to authorize funding the Department of Energy to enhance its mission areas through technology transfer and partnerships for fiscal years 2002 through 2006, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 3311

At the request of Mrs. Boxer, the name of the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. Kerry) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 3311 proposed to S. 517, a bill to authorize funding the Department of Energy to enhance its mission areas through technology transfer and partnerships for fiscal years 2002 through 2006, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 3355

At the request of Mr. TORRICELLI, his name was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 3355 proposed to S. 517, a bill to authorize funding the Department of Energy to enhance its mission areas through technology transfer and partnerships for fiscal years 2002 through 2006, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 3360

At the request of Mr. TORRICELLI, the names of the Senator from Maine (Ms. SNOWE) and the Senator from Maine (Ms. COLLINS) were added as cosponsors of amendment No. 3360 proposed to S. 517, a bill to authorize funding the Department of Energy to enhance its mission areas through technology transfer and partnerships for fiscal years 2002 through 2006, and for other purposes.

## STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

By Mr. CORZINE:

S. 2250. A bill to amend title 10, United States Code, to reduce the age for receipt of military retired pay for nonregular service from 60 to 55; to the Committee on Armed Services.

• Mr. CORZINE. Mr. President, I rise today to introduce a bill that would reduce the retirement age for members of the National Guard and Reserve from 60 to 55. This change would allow 93,000 reservists currently aged 55 to 59 to retire with full benefits and would restore parity between the retirement systems for Federal civilian employees and reservists.

In the interests of fairness, the United States must act quickly to restore parity between the retirement age for civilian Federal employees and their reserve counterparts. When the reserve retirement system was created

in 1947, the retirement age for reservists was identical to the age for civilian employees. At age 60, reservists and Government employees could hang up their uniforms and retire with full benefits. However, since 1947, the retirement age for civilian retirees has been lowered by 5 years, while the reserve retirement age has not changed.

The disparate treatment of Federal employees and reservists would have been serious enough had the nature of the work performed by the reserves not changed substantially over the past five decades. But America has never placed greater demands on its ready reserve than it does now. Today, some 80,000 reservists are serving their country in the war on terrorism, both at home and abroad. America's dependence on our ready reserve has never been more obvious, as reservists are now providing security at our nation's airports and air patrols over our major cities.

With call-ups that last several months and take reservists far from home, serving the Nation as a reservist has taken on more of the trappings of active duty service than ever before. Before the war on terrorism began, reservists were performing about 13 million man-days each year, more than a 10-fold increase over the 1 million mandays per year the reserves averaged just 10 years ago. These statistics, the latest numbers available, do not even reflect the thousands of reservists who have been deployed since September 11. There is little doubt there will be a dramatic increase in the number of man-days for 2001 and 2002. In my view. with additional responsibility should come additional benefits.

The Department of Defense typically has not supported initiatives like this. The Department has expressed concern over the proposal's cost, which is estimated to be approximately \$20 billion over 10 years, although CBO figures are not yet available. However, I am concerned that the Department's position may be shortsighted

At a time when there is a patriotic fervor and a renewed enthusiasm for national service, it is easy to forget that not long ago, the U.S. military was struggling to meet its recruitment and retention goals. In the aftermath of September 11, defense-wide recruitment and retention rates have improved. However, there is no guarantee that this trend will continue. Unless the overall package of incentives is enhanced, there is little reason to believe that we will be able to attract and retain highly-trained personnel.

Active duty military personnel have often looked to the reserves as a way of continuing to serve their country while being closer to family. With thousands of dollars invested in training active duty officers and enlisted soldiers, the United States benefits tremendously when personnel decide to continue with the reserves. But with reserve deployments increasing in frequency and duration, pulling reservists away from

their families and civilian life for longer periods, the benefit of joining the reserves instead of active duty has been severely reduced. The more we depend on the reserves, the greater chance we have of losing highly trained former active duty servicemen and women. The added incentive of full retirement at 55 might provide an important inducement for some of them to stay on despite the surge in deployments

Enacting this legislation will send the clear message that the United States values the increased sacrifice of our reservists during these trying times. The legislation has been endorsed by key members of the Military Coalition, including the Veterans of Foreign Wars, the Air Force Sergeants Association, the Air Force Association, the Retired Enlisted Association, the Fleet Reserve Association, the Naval Reserve Association, and the National Guard Association. The bill would restore parity between the reserve retirement system and the civilian retirement system, acknowledge the increased workload of reserve service, and provide essential personnel with an inducement to join and stay in the reserves until retirement.

By Mr. SMITH of New Hampshire (for himself, Mr. INHOFE, and Mr. ENSIGN):

- S. 2271. A bill to provide for research on, and services for, individuals with post-abortion depression and psychosis; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor and Pensions.
- Mr. SMITH of New Hampshire. Mr. President, I rise today, along with Senators Inhofe and Ensign, to introduce the Post-Abortion Support and Services Act.

On November 1, 2001, the Senate unanimously passed an amendment I introduced to the Labor-HHS Appropriations bill recognizing the existence of post-abortion syndrome. The amendment encouraged the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) to "expand and intensify research and related activities" regarding this issue, and it is the first time that the United States Senate is on record acknowledging that post-abortion syndrome is a serious problem for American women.

This bill is an extension of what has already passed the Senate, and provides the National Institutes of Health with Federal resources to research the emotional impact of abortion on women. The bill also creates a \$1.5 million grant program to fund the development of treatment programs for women who suffer from post-abortion syndrome.

What is post-abortion syndrome? Many people have never heard of it. Many others deny its existence.

Post-abortion syndrome is characterized by one or more of the following symptoms: severe depression, guilt, eating disorders, anxiety and panic attacks, addictions, anniversary grief, nightmares, lower self-esteem, intense

anger, suicidal urges, sexual problems or promiscuity, difficulty with relationships, and unexplained sadness.

A new study from the prestigious British Medical Journal reports that women who abort a first pregnancy are at greater risk of subsequent long-term clinical depression compared to women who carry an unintended first pregnancy to term.

Among the key findings: the association between abortion and subsequent depression persists over at least 8 years. Many other studies show similar findings, and more.

Post-abortion syndrome is a treatable disorder if promptly diagnosed by a trained provider and attended to with a personalized regimen of care including social support, counseling, therapy, medication, and if necessary, hospitalization.

A number of women who have undergone abortions also experience debilitating physical health problems such as infection, cervical tearing, infertility, excess bleeding, and death. Thus, the bill also seeks to study the physical repercussions of abortion as well.

After 29 years of legalized abortion, it is time that we recognize the suffering that so many women have undergone by carefully examining the women's emotional and physical health following her abortion decision. We have a responsibility to understand what they are going through and how we can appropriately diagnose and treat them.

It is my sincere hope that we can pass this bill and give our support to potentially millions of women across the country who suffer alone with their private and profound guilt and depression. Many women who choose abortion have previously aborted. If we are ever going to end abortion in America, we must reach out with love and compassion to women who deeply regret their decision to abort their children, not only to encourage them through their present struggles, but also to help them so they will not choose abortion for themselves again in the future.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

#### S. 2271

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

#### SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Post-Abortion Support and Services Act".

#### SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

The Congress finds as follows:

(1) About 3,000,000 women per year in the United States have an unplanned or unwanted pregnancy, and approximately 1,186,000 of these pregnancies end in elective abortion.

(2) Abortion can have severe and long-term effects on the mental and emotional wellbeing of women. Women often experience sadness and guilt following abortions with

no one to console them. They may have difficulty in bonding with new babies, become overprotective parents, or develop problems in their relationships with their spouses. Problems such as eating disorders, depression, and suicide attempts have also been traced to past abortions.

- (3) Negative emotional reactions associated with abortion include, depression, bouts of crying, guilt, intense grief or sadness, emotional numbness, eating disorders, drug and alcohol abuse, suicidal urges, anxiety and panic attacks, anger, rage, sexual problems or promiscuity, lowered self esteem, nightmares and sleep disturbances, flashbacks, and difficulty with relationships.
- (4) Women who aborted a first pregnancy are four times more likely to report substance abuse compared to those who suffered a natural loss of their first pregnancy, and are five times more likely to report subsequent substance abuse than women who carried to term.
- (5) Research shows that the more women attempt to cope with abortion using means of avoidance, mental disengagement, or denial, the more likely the women are to report post-abortion distress, intrusive thoughts, and dissatisfaction.
- (6) Women who experience a lack of social support and strong feelings of ambivalence are statistically more likely to suffer severe negative emotional reactions to an abortion.
- (7) Depression and other maladjustments to abortion can be prolonged by the failure of the medical community, loved ones, and society to recognize the complexity of postabortion reactions.
- (8) Many women submit to an abortion in violation of their own moral beliefs or maternal desires in order to satisfy the demands of others.
- (9) Women who submit to an abortion because of social pressure are more likely to suffer from psychological distress in subsequent years.
- (10) Post-abortion depression is a treatable disorder if promptly diagnosed by a trained provider and attended to with a personalized regimen of care including social support, therapy, medication, and when necessary, hospitalization.
- (11) While there have been many studies regarding the emotional aftermath of abortion, very little research has been sponsored by the National Institutes of Health.

## TITLE I—RESEARCH ON POST-ABORTION DEPRESSION AND PSYCHOSIS

# SEC. 101. EXPANSION AND INTENSIFICATION OF ACTIVITIES OF THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF MENTAL HEALTH.

- (a) IN GENERAL.-
- (1) POST-ABORTION CONDITIONS.—The Secretary of Health and Human Services, acting through the Director of NIH and the Director of the National Institute of Mental Health (in this section referred to as the "Institute"), shall expand and intensify research and related activities of the Institute with respect to post-abortion depression and post-abortion psychosis (in this section referred to as "post-abortion conditions").
- (2) ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS.—In addition to the post-abortion conditions under paragraph (1), the Secretary of Health and Human Services, acting through the Director of the National Institutes of Health, shall expand and intensify research and related activities of the National Institutes of Health with respect to the physical side effects of having an abortion, including infertility, excessive bleeding, cervical tearing, infection, and death.
- (b) COORDINATION WITH OTHER INSTITUTES.—The Director of the Institute shall coordinate the activities of the Directors under subsection (a) with similar activities

conducted by the other national research institutes and agencies of the National Institutes of Health to the extent that such Institutes and agencies have responsibilities that are related to post-abortion conditions.

- (c) PROGRAMS FOR POST-ABORTION CONDITIONS.—In carrying out subsection (a), the Director of the Institute shall conduct or support research to expand the understanding of the causes of, and to find a cure for, post-abortion conditions. Activities under such subsection shall include conducting and supporting the following:
- (1) Basic research concerning the etiology of the conditions.
- (2) Epidemiological studies to address the frequency and natural history of the conditions and the differences among racial and ethnic groups with respect to the conditions.
- (3) The development of improved diagnostic techniques.
- (4) Clinical research for the development and evaluation of new treatments, including new biological agents.
- (5) Information and education programs for health care professionals and the public.
  - (d) Longitudinal Study.—
- (1) In general.—The Director of the Institute shall conduct a national longitudinal study to determine the incidence and prevalence of cases of post-abortion conditions, and the symptoms, severity, and duration of such cases, toward the goal of more fully identifying the characteristics of such cases and developing diagnostic techniques.
- (2) REPORT.—Beginning not later than 3 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, and periodically thereafter for the duration of the study under paragraph (1), the Director of the Institute shall prepare and submit to the Congress reports on the findings of the study.
- (e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.— For the purpose of carrying out this section, there is authorized to be appropriated \$3,000,000 for each of the fiscal years 2002 through 2006.

# TITLE II—DELIVERY OF SERVICES REGARDING POST-ABORTION DEPRESSION AND PSYCHOSIS

## SEC. 201. ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM OF GRANTS.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Health and Human Services (in this title referred to as the "Secretary") shall, in accordance with this title, make grants to provide for projects for the establishment, operation, and coordination of effective and cost-efficient systems for the delivery of essential services to individuals with post-abortion depression or post-abortion psychosis (referred to in this section as a "post-abortion condition") and their families.
- (b) RECIPIENTS OF GRANTS.—A grant under subsection (a) may be made to an entity only if the entity—
- (1) is a public or nonprofit private entity that may include a State or local government, a public or nonprofit private hospital, a community-based organization, a hospice, an ambulatory care facility, a community health center, a migrant health center, a homeless health center, or another appropriate public or nonprofit private entity; and
- (2) had experience in providing the services described in subsection (a) before the date of the enactment of this Act.
- (c) CERTAIN ACTIVITIES.—To the extent practicable and appropriate, the Secretary shall ensure that projects under subsection (a) provide services for the diagnosis and management of post-abortion conditions. Activities that the Secretary may authorize for such projects may also include the following:
- (1) Delivering or enhancing outpatient and home-based health and support services, including case management, screening and

comprehensive treatment services for individuals with or at risk for post-abortion conditions, and delivering or enhancing support services for their families.

- (2) Improving the quality, availability, and organization of health care and support services (including transportation services, attendant care, day or respite care, and providing counseling on financial assistance and insurance) for individuals with post-abortion conditions and support services for their families.
- (d) INTEGRATION WITH OTHER PROGRAMS.— To the extent practicable and appropriate, the Secretary shall integrate the program under this title with other grant programs carried out by the Secretary, including the program under section 330 of the Public Health Service Act.
- (e) LIMITATION ON AMOUNT OF GRANTS.—A grant under subsection (a) for any fiscal year may not be made in an amount exceeding \$100.000.

#### SEC. 202. CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS.

A grant may be made under section 201 only if the applicant involved makes the following agreements:

- (1) Not more than 5 percent of the grant will be used for administration, accounting, reporting, and program oversight functions.
- (2) The grant will be used to supplement and not supplant funds from other sources related to the treatment of post-abortion conditions.
- (3) The applicant will abide by any limitations deemed appropriate by the Secretary on any charges to individuals receiving services pursuant to the grant. As deemed appropriate by the Secretary, such limitations on charges may vary based on the financial circumstances of the individual receiving services.
- (4) The grant will not be expended to make payment for services authorized under section 201(a) to the extent that payment has been made, or can reasonably be expected to be made, with respect to such services—
- (A) under any State compensation program, under an insurance policy, or under any Federal or State health benefits program; or
- (B) by an entity that provides health services on a prepaid basis.
- (5) The applicant will, at each site at which the applicant provides services under section 201(a), post a conspicuous notice informing individuals who receive the services of any Federal policies that apply to the applicant with respect to the imposition of charges on such individuals.

#### SEC. 203. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.

The Secretary may provide technical assistance to assist entities in complying with the requirements of this title in order to make such entities eligible to receive grants under section 201.

#### SEC. 204. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

For the purpose of carrying out this title, there is authorized to be appropriated \$300,000 for each of fiscal years 2002 through 2006.

#### By Mr. CORZINE:

S. 2313. A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on europium oxide; to the Committee on Finance.

#### By Mr. CORZINE:

S. 2314. A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on yttrium oxide; to the Committee on Finance.

#### By Mr. CORZINE:

S. 2315. A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on 3-sulfinobenzoic acid; to the Committee on Finance.

• Mr. CORZINE. Mr. President, I rise today to introduce three bills to temporarily suspend duties on the importation of certain chemicals used by manufacturers in my State.

According to informational provided to my office, none of these chemicals are produced in the United States. Therefore, the suspension of the duties will not hurt any domestic chemical companies. In addition, suspension of these duties will not cost the US government more than \$500,000 in revenue annually. It is my understanding that the Commerce Department and the International Trade Commission will verify that each of the chemicals for which I am requesting duty suspension meets these standards.

Mr. President, it makes little sense to impose duties on chemicals that are needed by American producers and that are not available from domestic sources. Such duties only hurt American businesses and consumers. In the case of these chemicals, companies in my State of New Jersey rely on these chemicals, and employ many New Jerseyans. The suspension of duties should strengthen these New Jersey businesses and the State's economy, and reduce costs to consumers.

I hope my colleagues will support the legislation.●

#### By Ms. LANDRIEU:

S. 2316. A bill to make technical and conforming changes to provide for the enactment of the Independence of the Chief Financial Officer Establishment Act of 2001, to establish a reporting event notification system to assist Congress and the District of Columbia in maintaining the financial stability of the District government and avoiding the initiation of a control period, to provide the District of Columbia with autonomy over its budgets, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Governmental Affairs.

• Ms. LANDRIEU. Mr. President, today I am introducing legislation to help continue the District of Columbia's fiscal resurgence. The District of Columbia Fiscal Integrity Act will give the District's Chief Financial Officer, CFO, authority to manage personnel, procurement practices, and to maintain independent control over the budget of the Office of the Chief Financial Officer. This bill was introduced in the House by Congresswoman Eleanor HOLMES NORTON and Congresswoman CONNIE MORELLA. I appreciate their leadership on this issue and I am pleased to join with them in introducing this legislation here in the Sen-

As my colleagues know, from 1995 to 2000, a Control Board oversaw management of the District of Columbia in an attempt to reform the city's finances and administration. One of the key features of that reform was the establishment of a strong Chief Financial Officer for the District with wide-ranging authority over the fiscal management of the city. That model worked. The

city balanced its budget, restored its investment bond rating, and improved many city services. As a result, the District met the requirements set forth by the Control Board Act and today the elected representatives of the District of Columbia are in charge and doing a great job. They do not want the Control Board to come back on their watch and neither do I.

It is critical that the Senate work its will by marking up and passing this legislation as quickly as possible. When the Control Board went out of business, some of the Chief Financial Officer's authorities lapsed, but his responsibility for the District's financial management was not put on hold. The Congress provided temporary authority for the CFO in the FY 2002 District of Columbia Appropriations Act to continue the smooth operation of the City, but this temporary authority will expire at the end of June this year. Congress must fulfill its responsibility to the District of Columbia by ensuring that local leaders have the authority and resources to maintain and promote the city's growth. I encourage the Government Affairs Committee to begin their work right away.

In addition to restoring some of the authorities the CFO previously exercised during the Control Board era, this bill establishes an early warning system, implemented by the CFO, to examine the city's financial management and the surrounding economic environment and determine whether the city's fiscal integrity is at risk. Should the CFO determine that trouble is on the horizon, the Mayor must develop an action plan to respond to the problem. This unique fiscal management tool will ensure accountability in how the District manages its finances.

Mr. President, in the past the congressional schedule has often interfered with the smooth operations of the District. Like the Federal Government, the District Government's fiscal year begins on October 1. We, the Congress, have the authority to approve the District's budget—a budget derived from locally-generated tax dollars. We rarely do that before the start of the fiscal year, in fact one or two months often go by before we pass the District's budget. This delay creates a great deal of uncertainty for District officials in their programming and financial planning.

To remedy this situation, this legislation establishes budget autonomy for the District of Columbia beginning with fiscal year 2004. The local budget would become effective once it has been approved by the City Council and signed by the Mayor. The Congress will retain the authority to approve the Federal funding now contained in the D.C. Appropriations bill and will continue its general oversight of the District. We can still pass general provisions governing city operations and we can still hold hearings, but this bill will ensure that Congress' schedule will not hamstring the smooth operation of the District.

Mr. President, the Mayor and the City Council have worked very hard to restore fiscal integrity to the District Government, as well as the people's faith in that government. The District is enjoying a renaissance. Once a fiscal and management nightmare, the city has turned its economic ship around. When once the city was ruled by the Control Board, today the accountable authority is vested in officials elected by the District's citizens. A rampant crime rate chased citizens from District neighborhoods into the suburbs. now people are coming back. Property values are rising, new businesses are opening, and the city is working to beautify the Anacostia waterfront. This legislation will continue this transformation by maintaining the strong independence of the Chief Financial Officer and will demonstrate Congress's confidence in he District's elected leadership and its citizens by giving them greater control over their local budget. I urge my colleagues to support this legislation. The Congress has a Constitutional responsibility to the District of Columbia, now is the time to support the city and ensure that locally-elected leaders have the necessary tools for success.

By Mr. DURBIN (for himself, Mr. BROWNBACK, Mr. KENNEDY, and Mr. KERRY):

S. 2317. A bill to provide for fire safety standards for cigarettes, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

• Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I am honored to rise today to introduce the Joseph Moakley Memorial Fire Safe Cigarette Act of 2002. Joe Moakley started his effort to require less fireprone cigarettes in 1979 and championed this issue until his death this past May. It is time to finish what he Mycolleagues Senators started. BROWNBACK, KENNEDY, and KERRY join me in introducing this legislation to solve a serious fire safety problem, namely, fires that are caused by cigarettes.

The statistics regarding cigarette-related fires are startling. Cigarette-ignited fires accounted for an estimated 140.800 fires in the United States. Such fires cause more than 900 deaths and 2,400 injuries each year. More than \$400 million in property damage reported is due to a fire caused by a cigarette. According to the National Fire Protection Association, one out of every four fire deaths in the United States are attributed to tobacco products—by far the leading cause of civilian deaths in fires. Overall, the Consumer Product Safety Commission estimates that the cost of the loss of human life and personal property from not having a firesafe cigarette standard is approximately \$4.6 billion per year.

In my State of Illinois, cigarette-related fires have also caused too many senseless tragedies. In 1998 alone, the most recent year for which we have data, there were more than 1,700 cigarette-related fires, of which more than 900 were in people's homes. These fires led to 109 injuries and 8 deaths. Property losses resulting from those fires were estimated at \$10.4 million.

Tobacco companies spend billions on marketing and learning how to make cigarettes appealing to kids. It is not unreasonable to ask those same companies to invest in safer cigarette paper to make their products less likely to burn down a house.

A Technical Study Group, TSG, was created by the Federal Cigarette Safety Act in 1984 to investigate the technological and commercial feasibility of creating a self-extinguishing cigarette. This group was made up of representatives of government agencies, the cigarette industry, the furniture industry, public health organizations and fire safety organizations. The TSG produced two reports that concluded that it is technically feasible to reduce the ignition propensity of cigarettes.

The technology is in place now to begin developing a performance standard for less fire prone cigarettes. The manufacture of less fire-prone cigarettes may require some advances in cigarette design and manufacturing technology, but the cigarette companies have demonstrated their capability to make cigarettes of reduced ignition propensity with no increase in tar, nicotine or carbon monoxide in the smoke. For example, six current commercial cigarettes have been tested which already have reduced ignition propensity. Furthermore, the overall impact on other aspects of the United States Society and economy will be minimal. Thus, it may be possible to solve this problem at costs that are much less than the potential benefits, which are saving lives and avoiding injuries and property damage.

The Joseph Moakley Memorial Fire Safe Cigarette Act requires Consumer Product Safety Commission to promulgate a fire safety standard, specified in the legislation, for cigarettes. Eighteen months after the legislation is enacted, the Consumer Product Safety Commission, CPSC, would issue a rule creating a safety standard for cigarettes. Thirty months after the legislation is enacted. the standards would become effective for the manufacture and importation of cigarettes. The CPSC would also have the authority to regulate the ignition propensity of cigarette paper for rollyour-own tobacco products.

The standard may be modified if new testing methodology enhances the fire-safety standard. It may also be modified for cigarettes with unique characteristics that cannot be tested using the specified methodology if the Commission determines that the proposed testing methodology and acceptance criterion predict an ignition strength for such cigarettes.

The Act gives the Consumer Product Safety Commission authority over cigarettes only for purposes of implementing and enforcing compliance with this Act and with the standard promulgated under the Act. It also allows states to pass more stringent firesafety standards for cigarettes.

The Joseph Moakley Memorial Fire Safe Cigarette Act is supported by more than 25 public health groups including the American Cancer Society, the Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids and the American Academy of Pediatrics. It has been endorsed by the Congressional Fire Services Institute and its 42 member organizations. Tobacco giant Phillip Morris is also supporting the bill.

While the number of people killed each year by fires is dropping because of safety improvements and other factors, too many Americans are dying because of a product that could be less likely to catch fire if simple changes were made. Cigarettes may be less likely to cause fire if they were thinner, more porous or the tobacco were less dense. These common-sense changes could help prevent an all-too-common cause of fires

When Joe Moakley set out more than two decades ago to ensure that the tragic cigarette-caused fire that killed five children and their parents in Westwood, Massachusetts was not repeated, he made a difference. He introduced three bills and passed two of them. One commissioned a study that concluded it was technically feasible to produce a cigarette with a reduced propensity to start fires. The second required that the National institute of Standards and Technology develop a test method for cigarette fire safety, and the last and final bill, the Fire-Safe Cigarette Act of 1999, mandates that the Consumer Product Safety Commission use this knowledge to regulate cigarettes with regard to fire safety.

Today we are here to reintroduce Moakley's bill and to accomplish what he set out to do. I hope that the Commerce Committee will consider this legislation expeditiously and that my colleagues will join me in supporting this effort. Joe waited long enough. He didn't have more time. Let's get this done for him.

By Mr. HARKIN (for himself, Mr. Kennedy, Ms. Mikulski, and Mr. Dodd):

S. 2328. A bill to amend the Public Health Service Act and the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to ensure a safe pregnancy for all women in the United States, to reduce the rate of maternal morbidity and mortality, to eliminate racial and ethnic disparities in maternal health outcomes, to reduce pre-term, labor, to examine the impact of pregnancy on the short and long term health of women, to expand knowledge about the safety and dosing of drugs to treat pregnant women with chronic conditions and women who become sick during pregnancy, to expand public health prevention, education and outreach, and to develop improved and more accurate data collection, re-

lated to maternal morbidity and mortality; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

• Mr. HARKIN. Mr. President, over the last decade there has been a significant recognition of the importance and increase in funding of women's health research, including the establishment of Offices of Women's Health throughout various government agencies. Women's health issues and women, as participants, are now routinely included in research studies.

Despite this progress, many gaps still exist. In particular, there is a troubling lack of research on pregnancy-related health issues. Too often we take pregnancy for granted; we do not view pregnancy as a woman's health issue with short and long term health consequences.

Safe motherhood is a woman's ability to have a safe and healthy pregnancy and delivery. Of the 4 million women who give birth in the U.S. each year, over one-third—or one out of every 3—have a pregnancy-related complication before, during, or after delivery. These complications may cause long-term health problems or even death. Unfortunately, the causes and treatments of pregnancy-related complications are largely unknown and understudied.

If fact, the United States ranks only 20th in maternal mortality rates out of 49 developed countries—that is barely better than the 50th percentile, behind Cyprus, Singapore and Malta. Every day, two to there women die from pregnancy related complications. And despite the fact that maternal mortality was targeted in 1987 as part of Healthy People 2000, the maternal mortality rate in this country has not decreased in twenty years.

The scariest part of this problem is we can't answer the most basic questions—what causes the complications, what can we do to prevent them, and how can we treat them?

One example of this problem is preeclampsia, or high blood pressure. Yes, we know some indicators that place some women at greater risk than others for this complication. And yes, we know some steps that can be taken to reduce a women's risk. But we know shamefully little, with the exception of inducing labor, of how to really prevent or treat this problem. Yet 5 percent of all pregnancies are affected by this complication, which can cause blindness or even death and there has been a 40% increase in the incidence of preeclampsia over the last 10 years.

Likewise, we know almost nothing about which prescription drugs are safe for the fetus and effective for the mother. Most prescription drugs women take during pregnancy are necessary to maintain health. But only 1% of FDA approved drugs have been shown in controlled studies to show no risk to pregnant women and their babies. And 80% of FDA approved drugs lack adequate scientific evidence about use in pregnancy. That means that pregnant women are essentially forced

to take these medications with little or no knowledge about their impact on the fetus.

Of course, we don't want pregnant women placed at risk by putting them in early stage clinical trials. But the fact is that pregnant women with chronic diseases, such as diabetes, asthma, or epilepsy, need to take medication to maintain their health and support the growth of the fetus. And even pregnant women who don't have chronic health conditions need across to safe and effective prescription drugs.

And while people in Washington tend to throw around statistics to make a point, it is important to remember that behind each of these statistics is a real person and family. And yesterday, I had the opportunity to talk to a group of moms from my State of Iowa.

Without exception, these moms talked about their frustration with a health care system that continues to fail to meet some of the most basic needs of pregnant women. They all rely on a group call Sidelines, that provides support and guidance to pregnant women on bed rest. While it is great that a group like Sidelines is there for our mom's, sisters, and daughters, it is shameful that there isn't more accurate and more widely available information to women and their providers.

That is why earlier today, I, along with some of my colleagues, introduced the Safe Motherhood Act for Research and Treatment, or, SMART Mom Act. The SMART Mom Act will address these concerns by: Increasing research and data collection to learn how to prevent, treat, and cure pregnancy related complications; providing comprehensive information to pregnant women, practitioners, and the public; and, improving information about medication and medical device for pregnant women.

Pregnancy is a natural and wonderful occurrence in a woman's life. The SMART Mom Act takes a critical step towards ensuring pregnancies and healthy outcomes for America's women.

By Mr. BREAUX (for himself, Mr. SMITH of Oregon, Mr. HOLLINGS, and Mr. McCAIN):

S. 2329. A bill to improve seaport security; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

• Mr. BREAUX. Mr. President, I am pleased to rise today to introduce the Ship, Seafarer and Container Security Act, along with my ranking subcommittee member, Senator GORDON, Senators Hollings and McCain. This legislation will be crucial in providing the type of information and analysis that we need to protect the United States from potential acts of terrorism against our Nation through international trade at our seaports. This legislation is the product of field hearings that my Surface Transportation and Merchant Marine Subcommittee held at various seaports around the Nation. This legislation augments the

Senate-passed seaport security bill, S. 1214, the Port and Maritime Security Act, and I intend to push for the inclusion of the provisions of this bill in the context of a House-Senate conference on seaport security legislation

The United States has more than 1.000 harbor channels and 25.000 miles of inland, intercoastal, and coastal waterways. These waterways serve 361 ports, and have more than 3.700 terminals handling passengers and cargo. The U.S. marine transportation system each year moves more than 2 billion tons of domestic and international freight, imports 3 billion tons of oil, transports 134 million passengers by ferry, and hosts more than 7 million cruise ship passengers. Of the more than 2 billion tons of freight, the majority of cargo is shipped in huge containers from ships directly onto trucks and railcars that immediately head onto our highways and rail systems. Oceangoing sea containers are a vital artery of the U.S. economy. Indeed, 46 percent of all goods imported into the United States, by value, arrive at our Nation's seaports, mostly in containers, and currently, we are able to physically inspect less than 2 percent of those containers.

Since September 11, we have faced up to the task of securing our seaport and affiliated transportation systems. We are now faced with the need to adapt the most efficient transportation system, with the most secure and efficient system of transportation. To do so, given the complexities of the task, we need to rely on all parties in the transportation chain, not just Federal agencies such as the Coast Guard. Customs and INS, but State law enforcement and the private sector. The enormity of the task we face, and the potential catastrophe we face if we do not strengthen our systems of security, mandates we work on this issue to-

In the aftermath of the terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon, all U.S. airports were closed. Fortunately, we have a good degree of control of our aviation system and were able to re-exert a degree of normalcy 4 days after the September 11 attacks. If similar attacks had occurred at a U.S. port, I am not sure whether we would be comfortable opening our borders in 4 months.

We obviously have a huge stake in ensuring the protection of our maritime transportation system and respective arteries of business. To this end, I was disappointed the President's budget request did not include any funds to help our State port authorities and private ports secure the type of infrastructure and security equipment necessary to protect this Nation. Not providing funding to our seaports is clearly an unfunded mandate for States that have seaports, such as my home State of Louisiana, and it is our duty as a nation to secure all of our borders, including our maritime borders. This issue simply has to be addressed, and a

Federal commitment is required to help secure our maritime boundaries, and secure our international trade.

As I mentioned seaport security is simply too important to disregard. While visiting the Port Everglades in Florida, the Ports of New Orleans, Houston and Charleston, SC, during my subcommittee hearings, I became aware of the incredible role that information plays in security strategy at our seaports. Given the scope of trade and security, it is necessary that we know more about ships, the seafarers on those ships that enter the United States, the systems that we use to secure cargo so it is not tampered with or used for illegal purposes, and also the system we uses to analyze the risks of shipping and to secure our marine environment.

The Ship, Seafarer, and Container Security Act requires certain vessels to carry transponders to allow their positions to be transmitted and tracked and ensure the Coast Guard can track United States and foreign vessels. When an aircraft leaves a U.S. airport we track it wherever it goes, however, when huge oil tankers and hazardous material ships carrying tons and tons of explosive cargoes enter U.S. waters, we do not. This is not right, and not prudent.

My bill will also require the Department of Transportation, DOT, to negotiate an international agreement in 2 years, or if the agreement has not been negotiated within 2 years to submit legislation to Congress, to: One, identify foreign seafarers; two, to provide greater transparency of the ownership of ship registration, so that we can track vessel ownership; and, three, mandate stronger standards for marine containers, and for anti-tampering and locking systems for marine containers. Importantly, the bill would also require DOT to better assess the risks posed by certain vessels, and areas they designate as secure zones, and require recommendations to better secure them.

Last year, the U.S. Coast Guard, identifed over 1,000 Panamanian seamen operating with licenses they fraudulently obtained for a couple of hundred dollars. At the time, it did not create that much of a ruckus, although perhaps it should have, because the primary focus was on the safe operation of the vessel. In the aftermath of September 11, it gives rise to the potential use of the system of maritime licensing to disguise entry into the United States. The system of registration and identification of vessels is equally obtuse. In the aftermath of the bombings of the U.S. Embassies in Mombassa and Dar-El-Salem, we attempted to track the shipping assets of Asama Bin Laden that were used to convey explosives. NATO experts reportedly indicated that tracking banking assets was far easier than identifying the shipping assets owned by the terrorists. I would also mention that, a recent report in Lloyd's List, a business publication

specializing in ocean shipping and international trade, indicated that the Coast Guard interdicted at sea a container ship, with an improperly sealed container filled with nuclear warheads. According to the article, the cargo manifest, indicated that it was carrying explosives, and the master of the vessel was a citizen of Yemen, while the materials turned out to be without fissile materials, it still raises considerable concern about our shipping practices.

This legislation is another critical step in addressing some of the many crucial requirements to ensure our nation has a secure system of international trade, allow us to protect and foster our transportation chain, and provide public safety.

The issues facing our Nation in seaport security are very serious issues. The consequences of relying on our current systems of openness, and with our focus on efficiency could be disastrous. However, at the other end of the spectrum, is being so excessively obsessed with security that we cause the suffocation of trade and business. The system we had in place prior to 9-11 was insufficient. I believe that S. 1214 coupled with the legislation I am introducing will help remedy the flaws of pre-9-11 security and enhance seaport security.

I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

#### S. 2329

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

#### SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Ship, Seafarer, and Container Security Act".

#### SEC. 2. AUTOMATIC IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—When operating in navigable waters of the United States (as defined in section 2101(17a) of title 46, United States Code), the following vessels shall be equipped with an automatic identification system:
- (1) Any vessel subject to the Vessel Bridge-to-Bridge Radiotelephone Act (33 U.S.C. 1201 et seq.).
- (2) Any small passenger vessel carrying more than a number of passengers determined by the Secretary of Transportation.
- (3) Any commercial towing vessel while towing astern or pushing ahead or alongside, except commercial assistance towing vessels rendering assistance to disabled small vessels.
- (4) Any other vessel for which the Secretary of Transportation determines that an automatic identification system is necessary for the safe navigation of the vessel.
  - (b) REGULATIONS; EFFECTIVE DATE.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall initiate a rulemaking to implement subsection (a).
- (2) CONTENT.—Regulations promulgated pursuant to that rulemaking—
- (A) may, subject to subparagraph (B), include effective dates for the application of subsection (a) to different vessels at different times;
- (B) shall require all vessels to which subsection (a) applies to comply with the re-

- quirements of subsection (a) no later than December 31, 2004; and
- (C) shall be issued in final form before December 31, 2004.
- (3) EFFECTIVE DATE NOT DEPENDENT UPON FINAL RULE.—If regulations have not been promulgated in final form under this subsection before December 31, 2004, then subsection (a) shall apply to—
- (A) any vessel described in paragraph (1) or (3) of that subsection on and after that date; and
- (B) other vessels described in subsection (a) as may be provided in regulations promulgated thereafter.

#### SEC. 3. UNIQUE SEAFARER IDENTIFICATION.

- (a) TREATY INITIATIVE.—The Secretary of Transportation should undertake the negotiation of an international agreement, or amendments to an international agreement that provides for a uniform, comprehensive, international system of identification for seafarers that will enable the United States and other countries to establish authoritatively the identity of any seafarer aboard a vessel within the jurisdiction, including the territorial waters, of the United States or such other country.
- (b) LEGISLATIVE ALTERNATIVE.—If the Secretary fails to complete the international agreement negotiation or amendment process undertaken under subsection (a) within 24 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall transmit to the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation and the House of Representatives Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure a draft of legislation that, if enacted, would establish a uniform, comprehensive system of identification for seafarers.

### SEC. 4. GREATER TRANSPARENCY OF SHIP REGISTRATION.

- (a) TREATY INITIATIVE.—The Secretary of Transportation should undertake the negotiation of an international agreement, or the amendment of an international agreement, to provide greater transparency with respect to the registration and ownership of vessels entering or operating in the territorial waters of the United States.
- (b) LEGISLATIVE ALTERNATIVE.—If the Secretary fails to complete the international agreement or amendment process undertaken under subsection (a) within 24 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall transmit to the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation and the House of Representatives Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure a draft of legislation that, if enacted, would provide for greater transparency with respect to the registration and ownership of vessels operating in international waters.

## SEC. 5. INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENT ON CONTAINER INTEGRITY.

- (a) TREATY INITIATIVE.—The Secretary of Transportation should undertake the negotiation of an international agreement, or amendments to an international agreement, to establish marine container integrity and anti-tampering standards for marine containers.
- (b) LEGISLATIVE ALTERNATIVE.—If the Secretary fails to complete the international agreement negotiation or amendment process undertaken under subsection (a) within 24 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall transmit to the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation and the House of Representatives Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure a draft of legislation that, if enacted, would establish marine container integrity and anti-tampering standards.

#### SEC. 6. COAST GUARD TO DEVELOP RISK-BASED ANALYSIS AND SECURITY ZONE SYS-TEM FOR VESSELS.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—The Commandant of the Coast Guard shall establish—
- (1) a risk-based system for use in evaluating the potential threat to the national security of the United States of vessels entering the territorial waters of the United States; and
- (2) a system of security zones for ports, territorial waters, and waterways of the United States.
- (b) MECHANISMS AND SYSTEMS CONSIDERATIONS.—In carrying out subsection (a), the Commandant shall consider—
- (1) the use of public/private partnerships to implement and enforce security within the security zones, shoreside protection alternatives, and the environmental, public safety, and relative effectiveness of such alternatives within the security zones; and
- (2) technological means of enhancing the security within the security zones of ports, territorial waters, and waterways of the United States.
- (c) GRANTS.—The Commandant of the Coast Guard may make grants to applicants for research and development of alternative means of providing the protection and security required by this section.
  - (d) Reports.-
- (1) INITIAL REPORT.—Within 12 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commandant of the Coast Guard shall transmit, in a form that does not compromise security, to the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation and the House of Representative Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure a report that includes—
- (A) a description of the methodology employed in evaluating risks to security;
  - (B) a list of security zones; and
- (C) recommendations as to how protection of such vessels and security zones might be further improved.
- (2) REPORT ON ALTERNATIVES.—Within 12 months after the Commandant has awarded grants under subsection (c), the Commandant shall transmit to the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation and the House of Representative Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure a report on the results of testing and research carried out with those grants.
- (e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.— There are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating for the use of the Coast Guard, \$1,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 to make grants under subsection (c).

#### By Mr. REID:

S. 2333. A bill to convey land to the University of Nevada at Las Vegas Research Foundation for a research park and technology center; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I rise today to introduce this bill, which will convey land to the University of Nevada at Las Vegas Research Foundation for a research park and technology center adjacent to McCarran International Airport.

This bill transfers a 115-acre parcel from the Clark County Department of Aviation to the University of Nevada at Las Vegas Research Foundation. The Foundation, in turn, will build a research and technology park on the parcel, which has been identified as the best location in the area for this kind of facility.

Nevada will benefit significantly from this bill. As you may know, Las Vegas is the fastest-growing city in the United States. The University of Nevada at Las Vegas needs space to grow. Building this type of research park will also further develop the high-tech industry in the State of Nevada. This is just the kind of thoughtful land planning and development that the Las Vegas Valley needs to ensure that Nevadans are able to maintain the high quality of life that they deserve.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

#### S. 2333

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

#### SECTION 1. FINDINGS AND PURPOSES.

- (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—
- (1) the University of Nevada, Las Vegas, needs land in the greater Las Vegas area to provide for the future growth of the university:
- (2) the proposal by the University of Nevada, Las Vegas, for construction of a research park and technology center in the greater Las Vegas area would enhance the high tech industry and entrepreneurship in the State of Nevada; and
- (3) the land transferred to the Clark County Department of Aviation under section 4(g) of the Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105–263; 112 Stat. 2346) is the best location for the research park and technology center.
- (b) Purposes.—The purposes of this Act are—
- (1) to provide a suitable location for the construction of a research park and technology center in the greater Las Vegas area;
- (2) to provide the public with opportunities for education and research in the field of high technology; and
- (3) to provide the State of Nevada with opportunities for competition and economic development in the field of high technology.

# SEC. 2. CONVEYANCE TO THE UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA AT LAS VEGAS RESEARCH FOUNDATION.

- (a) CONVEYANCE.—Notwithstanding section 4(g)(4) of the Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105-263; 112 Stat. 2347), the Clark County Department of Aviation may convey, without consideration, all right, title, and interest in and to the parcel of land described in subsection (b) to the University of Nevada at Las Vegas Research Foundation for the development of a technology research center.
- (b) DESCRIPTION OF LAND.—The parcel of land referred to in subsection (a) is the parcel of Clark County Department of Aviation land—
  - (1) consisting of approximately 115 acres;
- (2) located in the SW  $^{1}\!\!/4$  of section 33, T. 21 S., R. 60 E., Mount Diablo Base and Meridian; and
- (3) identified in the agreement entitled "Interim Cooperative Management Agreement Between the United States Department of the Interior-Bureau of Land Management and Clark County", dated November 4, 1992.

#### By Mr. BURNS:

S. 2334. A bill to authorize the Secretary of Agriculture to accept the donation of certain land in the Mineral Hill-Crevice Mountain Mining District

in the State of Montana, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

• Mr. BURNS. I am pleased to announce the introduction of the Mineral Hill Historic Mining District Preservation Act of 2002. The purpose of this Act is for the Forest Service to accept a donation from TVX Mineral Hill, Inc., an inholding of approximately 570 acres of private land in the Gallatin National Forest. This inholding overlooks the Northern entrance of Yellowstone National Park and is within well known elk habitat. The donation also includes 194 acres of mineral right underlying federal lands.

This bill provides a win-win situation with benefits for the community, for wildlife, for the company, and for the environment. After a rich and storied history, the Mineral Hill mine is played out and the opportunity to extract minerals has passed.

The property is in very good condition and is being reclaimed in accordance with a reclamation plan approved by the Montana Department of Environmental Quality. The Forest Service has been closely involved during the reclamation planning and implementation processes to make certain that the property will remain in the excellent environmental state it is in today.

As an added guarantee, the United States will also be the beneficiary of a \$10 million insurance policy provided by TVX to clean up the site in the unlikely event that hazardous materials are discovered in the future.

The Mineral Hill Mine is located in the historic Jardine Mining District which was established during the 1860s. Many of the buildings at the site go back to that time period. Some of the buildings will be preserved for interpretation purposes and will be available to the public. In addition, the site will be used in cooperation with Montana Tech of the University of Montana for mining and geologic education.

The Mineral Hill property is being donated by TVX to the government without the necessity of a payment. There will be ongoing permits issued by the State of Montana and by EPA for monitoring of water discharge. This bill allows for those permits to be upheld and for the water processes to be maintained. In a letter to my office dated June 25, 2001, the Greater Yellowstone Coalition observed that "we believe that there would be no adverse impact to the agency and indeed would be a benefit to the public that this donated land is conveyed with the obligation to maintain the NPDES permit already in force." This is exactly what the bill provides in Section 11.

I am pleased to say that this is a bill with the support of all key parties. The Forest Service has agreed to the transfer and management of the land and has been actively involved in this process. The Gardiner Chamber of Commerce supports the project, as do the Commissioners of Park County. The Greater Yellowstone Coalition also supports the donation.

Simply put, this legislation is in the public interest. On behalf of the people of Montana, I look forward to its passage.

By Mr. JOHNSON (for himself, Mr. Kerry, Ms. Cantwell, Mr. Wellstone, Mr. Daschle, Mr. Baucus, Mr. Inouye, Mr. Bingaman, Ms. Stabenow, and Mrs. Clinton):

S. 2335. A bill to establish the Office of Native American Affairs within the Small Business Administration, to create the Native American Small Business Development Program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship.

• Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. President, today, I proudly join with Senator KERRY to introduce the Native American Small Business Development Act of 2002. This important legislation is designed to help American Indians, Alaska Natives, and Native Hawaiians to overcome barriers which inhibit business development and job creation. We greatly appreciate the support of the distinguished Senators who join us in sponsoring the legislation including Senators Cantwell, Wellstone, Daschle, BAUCUS, INOUYE, BINGAMAN, STABENOW, and CLINTON. I encourage my colleagues to support this critical legislation.

The communities served by this initiative represent some of the most traditionally isolated, disadvantaged, and underserved populations in our country. Despite the unique and persistent challenges to business development in these areas, many of the supportive services the Federal Government provides to entrepreneurs are not available in these distressed regions. The Native American Small Business Development Act endeavors to develop and disseminate culturally tailored business assistance to assure Native American businesses may secure and sustain long-term success.

Among the achievements included in the bill is the establishment of a statutory office within the U.S. Small Business Administration to focus on concerns specific to Native American populations. The Office of Native American Affairs will serve as an advocate in the SBA for the interests of Native Americans. In addition to administering the Native American Development Program, the Assistant Administrator will consult with Tribal Colleges, Tribal Governments, Alaska Native Corporations and Native Hawaiian Organizations to enhance the development and implementation of culturally specific approaches to support the growth and prosperity of Native American small businesses.

Furthermore, the Act creates the Native American Development Program to provide necessary business development assistance. These services are vital to establish and support small businesses. The Federal Government currently invests to provide these services in communities throughout the

country. It is past time for these services to be integrated into our efforts to promote self-sufficiency and economic development in Indian Country.

In addition, we recognize that in order to remain competitive, businesses and entrepreneurs must be innovative and flexible to change. This legislation reflects the needs of businesses, tribes, and regional interests to pursue unique approaches that will complement local needs and improve the overall quality of services. Two pilot programs are integrated in this approach to promote new and creative solutions to assist American Indians to awaken economic opportunities in their communities.

We must strive to eliminate the impediments that stifle Native American entrepreneurs. By providing business planning services and technical assistance to potential and existing small businesses, we can unlock the capacity for individuals and families to pursue their dreams of business ownership. Not only will these efforts combat poverty and unemployment, but they will bring new services and opportunities to communities that enhance the quality of life for local families.

We must also work to improve access to investment capital to support economic and community development for Native Americans. As the chairman of the Senate Banking Financial Institutions Subcommittee, I am conducting hearings to identify opportunities and techniques which may foster greater access to capital markets for Tribal and Native American entities.

Together, these initiatives will help to turn an important corner as we endeavor to enhance the livelihood of the first Americans.

I would like to thank Congressman UDALL for his leadership in the U.S. House of Representatives in bringing these issues to the forefront and for his cooperation on this historic legislation. I would like to thank Senator JOHN KERRY, chairman of the Senate Small Business and Entrepreneurship Committee, for his hard work on this legislation and his serious commitment to these critical issues. In addition, I would like to express my sincere appreciation for the strong support of the many cosponsors who join us in introducing the bill today.

I encourage the Senate to fully consider this historic legislation and to work expeditiously to enact it into law. The Native American Small Business Development Act will forge a more hopeful and prosperous future for Native American families and communities. By investing in adequate infrastructure and by making the appropriate tools available, we can empower individuals to pursue, achieve, and sustain economic opportunities that enrich their lives and their communities. The American dream will never be fully realized until it becomes a reality for all Americans. This legislation is critical to ensuring that economic growth and economic opportunity permeate the lives of Native American families.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

#### S. 2335

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

#### SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Native American Small Business Development Act".

### SEC. 2. NATIVE AMERICAN SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.

The Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 631 et seq.) is amended—

- $\stackrel{\textstyle (1)}{}$  by redesignating section 36 as section 37; and
- (2) by inserting after section 35 the following:

### "SEC. 36. NATIVE AMERICAN SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.

- "(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section—
- "(1) the term 'Alaska Native' has the same meaning as the term 'Native' in section 3(b) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1602(b));
- "(2) the term 'Alaska Native corporation' has the same meaning as the term 'Native Corporation' in section 3(m) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1602(m)):
- "(3) the term 'Assistant Administrator' means the Assistant Administrator of the Office of Native American Affairs established under subsection (b);
- "(4) the terms 'center' and 'Native American business center' mean a center established under subsection (c);
- "(5) the term 'Native American business development center' means an entity providing business development assistance to federally recognized tribes and Native Americans under a grant from the Minority Business Development Agency of the Department of Commerce:
- "(6) the term 'Native American small business concern' means a small business concern that is owned and controlled by—
- "(A) a member of an Indian tribe or tribal government:
- "(B) an Alaska Native or Alaska Native corporation; or
- "(C) a Native Hawaiian or Native Hawaiian organization;
- "(7) the term 'Native Hawaiian' has the same meaning as in section 625 of the Older Americans Act of 1965 (42 U.S.C. 3057k);
- "(8) the term 'Native Hawaiian organization' has the same meaning as in section 8(a)(15) of this Act;
- "(9) the term 'tribal college' has the same meaning as the term 'tribally controlled college or university' has in section 2(a)(4) of the Tribally Controlled Community College Assistance Act of 1978 (25 U.S.C. 1801(a)(4));
- "(10) the term 'tribal government' has the same meaning as the term 'Indian tribe' has in section 7501(a)(9) of title 31, United States Code; and
- "(11) the term 'tribal lands' means—
- ``(A) all lands within the exterior boundaries of any Indian reservation; and
- "(B) all dependent Indian communities.
  "(b) OFFICE OF NATIVE AMERICAN AFFAIRS.—
- "(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established within the Administration the Office of Native American Affairs, which, under the direction of the Assistant Administrator, shall implement the Administration's programs for the development of business enterprises by Native Americans.

- "(2) PURPOSE.—The purpose of the Office of Native American Affairs is to assist Native American entrepreneurs to—
- "(A) start, operate, and grow small business concerns;
- $\mbox{``(B)}$  develop management and technical skills;
- $\mbox{``(C)}$  seek Federal procurement opportunities;
- "(D) increase employment opportunities for Native Americans through the start and expansion of small business concerns; and
- "(E) increase the access of Native Americans to capital markets.
  - "(3) ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR.—
- "(A) APPOINTMENT.—The Administrator shall appoint a qualified individual to serve as Assistant Administrator of the Office of Native American Affairs in accordance with this paragraph.
- "(B) QUALIFICATIONS.—The Assistant Administrator appointed under subparagraph (A) shall have—
- "(i) knowledge of the Native American culture; and
- "(ii) experience providing culturally tailored small business development assistance to Native Americans.
- "(C) EMPLOYMENT STATUS.—The Assistant Administrator shall be a Senior Executive Service position under section 3132(a)(2) of title 5, United States Code, and shall serve as a noncareer appointee, as defined in section 3132(a)(7) of title 5, United States Code.
- "(D) RESPONSIBILITIES AND DUTIES.—The Assistant Administrator shall—
- "(i) administer and manage the Native American Small Business Development program established under this section;
- "(ii) recommend the annual administrative and program budgets for the Office of Native American Affairs;
- "(iii) establish appropriate funding levels;
- "(iv) review the annual budgets submitted by each applicant for the Native American Small Business Development program;
- "(v) select applicants to participate in the program under this section;
  - "(vi) implement this section; and
- "(vii) maintain a clearinghouse to provide for the dissemination and exchange of information between Native American business centers.
- "(E) CONSULTATION REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out the responsibilities and duties described in this paragraph, the Assistant Administrator shall confer with and seek the advice of—
- "(i) Administration officials working in areas served by Native American business centers and Native American business development centers;
- "(ii) the Bureau of Indian Affairs of the Department of the Interior;
- "(iii) tribal governments;
- "(iv) tribal colleges;
- "(v) Alaska Native corporations; and
- $\lq\lq(vi)$  Native Hawaiian organizations.
- "(c) NATIVE AMERICAN SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.—
- "(1) AUTHORIZATION.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—The Administration, through the Office of Native American Affairs, shall provide financial assistance to tribal governments, tribal colleges, Native Hawaiian organizations, and Alaska Native corporations to create Native American business centers in accordance with this section.
- "(B) RESOURCE ASSISTANCE.—The Administration may also provide in-kind resource assistance to Native American business centers located on tribal lands. Such assistance may include—
  - "(i) personal computers;
  - "(ii) graphic workstations;
- "(iii) CD-ROM technology and interactive videos;

- "(iv) distance learning business-related training courses;
  - "(v) computer software; and
  - "(vi) reference materials.
- "(C) USE OF FUNDS.—The financial and resource assistance provided under this subsection shall be used to overcome obstacles impeding the creation, development, and expansion of small business concerns, in accordance with this section, by—
- "(i) reservation-based American Indians;
- "(ii) Alaska Natives; and
- "(iii) Native Hawaiians.
- "(2) 5-YEAR PROJECTS.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—Each Native American business center that receives assistance under paragraph (1)(A) shall conduct 5-year projects that offer culturally tailored business development assistance in the form of—
- "(i) financial education, including training and counseling in—
- "(I) applying for and securing business credit and investment capital;
- "(II) preparing and presenting financial statements; and
- "(III) managing cash flow and other financial operations of a business concern;
- "(ii) management education, including training and counseling in planning, organizing, staffing, directing, and controlling each major activity and function of a small business concern; and
- $\lq\lq(iii)$  marketing education, including training and counseling in—
- "(I) identifying and segmenting domestic and international market opportunities;
- "(II) preparing and executing marketing plans;
  - "(III) developing pricing strategies;
  - "(IV) locating contract opportunities;
  - "(V) negotiating contracts; and
- "(VI) utilizing varying public relations and advertising techniques.
- "(B) BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE RECIPIENTS.—The business development assistance under subparagraph (A) shall be offered to prospective and current owners of small business concerns that are owned by—
- "(i) American Indians or tribal governments, and located on or near tribal lands:
- "(ii) Alaska Natives or Alaska Native corporations; or
- "(iii) Native Hawaiians or Native Hawaiian organizations.
- $\tilde{\cdot\cdot}$ (3) Form of federal financial assistance.—
  - "(A) DOCUMENTATION.—
- "(i) IN GENERAL.—The financial assistance to Native American business centers authorized under this subsection may be made by grant, contract, or cooperative agreement.
- "(ii) EXCEPTION.—Financial assistance under this subsection to Alaska Native corporations or Native Hawaiian organizations may only be made by grant.
  - "(B) PAYMENTS.—
- "(i) TIMING.—Payments made under this subsection may be disbursed—
- "(I) in a single lump sum or in periodic installments; and
- "(II) in advance or after costs are incurred. 
  "(ii) ADVANCE.—The Administration may disburse not more than 25 percent of the annual amount of Federal financial assistance awarded to a Native American small business center after notice of the award has been issued.
- "(iii) NO MATCHING REQUIREMENT.—The Administration shall not require a grant recipient to match grant funding received under this subsection with non-Federal resources as a condition of receiving the grant.
- "(4) CONTRACT AND COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT AUTHORITY.—A Native American business center may enter into a contract or cooperative agreement with a Federal department or agency to provide specific assistance to Native American and other under-served

- small business concerns located on or near tribal lands, to the extent that such contract or cooperative agreement is consistent with the terms of any assistance received by the Native American business center from the Administration.
  - "(5) APPLICATION PROCESS.—
- "(A) SUBMISSION OF A 5-YEAR PLAN.—Each applicant for assistance under paragraph (1) shall submit a 5-year plan to the Administration on proposed assistance and training activities.
  - "(B) CRITERIA.—
- "(i) IN GENERAL.—The Administration shall evaluate and rank applicants in accordance with predetermined selection criteria that shall be stated in terms of relative importance.
- "(ii) PUBLIC NOTICE.—The criteria required by this paragraph and their relative importance shall be made publicly available, within a reasonable time, and stated in each solicitation for applications made by the Administration.
- "(iii) CONSIDERATIONS.—The criteria required by this paragraph shall include—
- "(I) the experience of the applicant in conducting programs or ongoing efforts designed to impart or upgrade the business skills of current or potential owners of Native American small business concerns:
- "(II) the ability of the applicant to commence a project within a minimum amount of time;
- "(III) the ability of the applicant to provide training and services to a representative number of Native Americans;
- "(IV) previous assistance from the Small Business Administration to provide services in Native American communities; and
- "(V) the proposed location for the Native American business center site, with priority given based on the proximity of the center to the population being served and to achieve a broad geographic dispersion of the centers.
  - "(6) PROGRAM EXAMINATION.—
- "(A) IN GENERAL.—Each Native American business center established pursuant to this subsection shall annually provide the Administration with an itemized cost breakdown of actual expenditures incurred during the preceding year.
- "(B) ADMINISTRATION ACTION.—Based on information received under subparagraph (A), the Administration shall—
- "(i) develop and implement an annual programmatic and financial examination of each Native American business center assisted pursuant to this subsection; and
- "(ii) analyze the results of each examination conducted under clause (i) to determine the programmatic and financial viability of each Native American business center.
- "(C) CONDITIONS FOR CONTINUED FUNDING.— In determining whether to renew a grant, contract, or cooperative agreement with a Native American business center, the Administration—
- "(i) shall consider the results of the most recent examination of the center under subparagraph (B), and, to a lesser extent, previous examinations; and
- "(ii) may withhold such renewal, if the Administration determines that—
- "(I) the center has failed to provide any information required to be provided under subparagraph (A), or the information provided by the center is inadequate;
- "(II) the center has failed to provide any information required to be provided by the center for purposes of the report of the Administration under subparagraph (E); or
- "(III) the information required to be provided by the center is incomplete.
- "(D) CONTINUING CONTRACT AND COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT AUTHORITY.—
- "(i) IN GENERAL.—The authority of the Administrator to enter into contracts or coop-

- erative agreements in accordance with this subsection shall be in effect for each fiscal year only to the extent and in the amounts as are provided in advance in appropriations Acts.
- "(ii) RENEWAL.—After the Administrator has entered into a contract or cooperative agreement with any Native American business center under this subsection, it shall not suspend, terminate, or fail to renew or extend any such contract or cooperative agreement unless the Administrator provides the center with written notification setting forth the reasons therefore and affords the center an opportunity for a hearing, appeal, or other administrative proceeding under chapter 5 of title 5. United States Code.
  - "(E) MANAGEMENT REPORT.—
- "(i) IN GENERAL.—The Administration shall prepare and submit to the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate an annual report on the effectiveness of all projects conducted by Native American business centers under this subsection and any pilot programs administered by the Office of Native American Affairs.
- "(ii) CONTENTS.—Each report submitted under clause (i) shall include, with respect to each Native American business center receiving financial assistance under this subsection—
- "(I) the number of individuals receiving assistance from the Native American business center;
- "(II) the number of startup business concerns formed;
- "(III) the gross receipts of assisted concerns;
- "(IV) the employment increases or decreases of Native American small business concerns assisted by the center since receiving funding under this Act;
- "(V) to the maximum extent practicable, increases or decreases in profits of Native American small business concerns assisted by the center since receiving funding under this Act; and
- "(VI) the most recent examination, as required under subparagraph (B), and the subsequent determination made by the Administration under that subparagraph.
- "(7) ANNUAL REPORT.—Each entity receiving financial assistance under this subsection shall annually report to the Administration on the services provided with such financial assistance, including—
- "(A) the number of individuals assisted, categorized by ethnicity;
- "(B) the number of hours spent providing counseling and training for those individuals:
- "(C) the number of startup small business concerns formed, maintained, and lost;
- "(D) the gross receipts of assisted small business concerns;
- "(E) the number of jobs created, maintained, or lost at assisted small business concerns; and
- "(F) the number of Native American jobs created, maintained, or lost at assisted small business concerns.
  - "(8) RECORD RETENTION.-
- "(A) APPLICATIONS.—The Administration shall maintain a copy of each application submitted under this subsection for not less than 10 years.
- "(B) ANNUAL REPORTS.—The Administration shall maintain copies of the information collected under paragraph (6)(A) indefinitely.
- "(d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.— There are authorized to be appropriated \$5,000,000 for each of the fiscal years 2003 through 2007, to carry out the Native American Small Business Development Program, authorized under subsection (c)."

#### SEC. 3. PILOT PROGRAMS.

- (a) Definitions.—In this section:
- (1) INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE.—The terms defined in section 36(a) of the Small Business Act (as added by this Act) have the same meanings as in that section 36(a) when used in this section
- (2) ADMINISTRATOR.—The term "Administrator" means the Administrator of the Small Business Administration.
- (3) JOINT PROJECT.—The term 'joint project' means the combined resources and expertise of 2 or more distinct entities at a physical location dedicated to assisting the Native American community:
- (b) NATIVE AMERICAN DEVELOPMENT GRANT PILOT PROGRAM.—
  - (1) AUTHORIZATION.-
- (A) IN GENERAL.—There is established a 4-year pilot program under which the Administration is authorized to award Native American development grants to provide culturally-tailored business development training and related services to Native Americans and Native American small business concerns.
- (B) ELIGIBLE ORGANIZATIONS.—The grants authorized under subparagraph (A) may be awarded to—
- (i) any small business development center; or
- (ii) any private, nonprofit organization that—
- (I) has tribal government members, or their designees, comprising a majority of its board of directors:
  - (II) is a Native Hawaiian organization; or
  - (III) is an Alaska Native corporation.
- (C) AMOUNTS.—The Administration shall not award a grant under this subsection in an amount which exceeds \$100,000 for each year of the project.
- (D) GRANT DURATION.—Each grant under this subsection shall be awarded for not less than a 2-year period and not more than a 4year period.
- (2) CONDITIONS FOR PARTICIPATION.—Each entity desiring a grant under this subsection shall submit an application to the Administration that contains—
- (A) a certification that the applicant—
- (i) is a small business development center or a private, nonprofit organization under paragraph (1)(B)(i);
- (ii) employs a full-time executive director or program manager to manage the facility; and
  - (iii) agrees—
- (I) to a site visit as part of the final selection process;
- (II) to an annual programmatic and financial examination; and
- (III) to the maximum extent practicable, to remedy any problems identified pursuant to that site visit or examination:
- (B) information demonstrating that the applicant has the ability and resources to meet the needs, including cultural needs, of the Native Americans to be served by the grant;
- (C) information relating to proposed assistance that the grant will provide, including—
- (i) the number of individuals to be assisted; and
- (ii) the number of hours of counseling, training, and workshops to be provided;
- (D) information demonstrating the effective experience of the applicant in—  $\,$
- (i) conducting financial, management, and marketing assistance programs designed to impart or upgrade the business skills of current or prospective Native American business owners;
- (ii) providing training and services to a representative number of Native Americans;
- (iii) using resource partners of the Administration and other entities, including universities, tribal governments, or tribal colleges; and

- (iv) the prudent management of finances and staffing;
- (E) the location where the applicant will provide training and services to Native Americans; and
- (F) a multiyear plan, corresponding to the length of the grant, that describes—
- (i) the number of Native Americans and Native American small business concerns to be served by the grant;
- (ii) in the continental United States, the number of Native Americans to be served by the grant; and
- (iii) the training and services to be provided to a representative number of Native Americans.
- (3) REVIEW OF APPLICATIONS.—The Administration shall—
- (A) evaluate and rank applicants under paragraph (2) in accordance with predetermined selection criteria that is stated in terms of relative importance;
- (B) include such criteria in each solicitation under this subsection and make such information available to the public; and
- (C) approve or disapprove each completed application submitted under this subsection not more than 60 days after submission.
- (4) ANNUAL REPORT.—Each recipient of a Native American development grant under this subsection shall annually report to the Administration on the impact of the grant funding, including—
- (A) the number of individuals assisted, categorized by ethnicity;
- (B) the number of hours spent providing counseling and training for those individuals;
- (C) the number of startup small business concerns formed, maintained, and lost;
- (D) the gross receipts of assisted small business concerns;
- (E) the number of jobs created, maintained, or lost at assisted small business concerns; and
- (F) the number of Native American jobs created, maintained, or lost at assisted small business concerns.
- (5) RECORD RETENTION.—
- (A) APPLICATIONS.—The Administration shall maintain a copy of each application submitted under this subsection for not less than 10 years.
- (B) ANNUAL REPORTS.—The Administration shall maintain copies of the information collected under paragraph (4) indefinitely.
- (c) AMERICAN INDIAN TRIBAL ASSISTANCE CENTER GRANT PILOT PROGRAM.—
- (1) AUTHORIZATION.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—There is established a 4-year pilot program, under which the Administration shall award not less than 3 American Indian Tribal Assistance Center grants to establish joint projects to provide culturally tailored business development assistance to prospective and current owners of small business concerns located on or near tribal lands.
  - (B) ELIGIBLE ORGANIZATIONS.—
- (i) CLASS 1.—Not fewer than 1 grant shall be awarded to a joint project performed by a Native American business center, a Native American business development center, and a small business development center.
- (ii) CLASS 2.—Not fewer than 2 grants shall be awarded to joint projects performed by a Native American business center and a Native American business development center.
- (C) AMOUNTS.—The Administration shall not award a grant under this subsection in an amount which exceeds \$200,000 for each year of the project.
- (D) GRANT DURATION.—Each grant under this subsection shall be awarded for a 3-year period.
- (2) CONDITIONS FOR PARTICIPATION.—Each entity desiring a grant under this subsection

- shall submit to the Administration a joint application that contains—
- (A) a certification that each participant of the joint application—
- (i) is either a Native American Business Center, a Native American Business Development Center, or a Small Business Development Center:
- (ii) employs a full-time executive director or program manager to manage the center; and
- (iii) as a condition of receiving the American Indian Tribal Assistance Center grant, agrees—
- (I) to an annual programmatic and financial examination; and
- (II) to the maximum extent practicable, to remedy any problems identified pursuant to that examination;
- (B) information demonstrating a historic commitment to providing assistance to Native Americans—
  - (i) residing on or near tribal lands; or
- (ii) operating a small business concern on or near tribal lands;
- (C) information demonstrating that each participant of the joint application has the ability and resources to meet the needs, including the cultural needs of the Native Americans to be served by the grant:
- (D) information relating to proposed assistance that the grant will provide, including—
- (i) the number of individuals to be assisted; and
- (ii) the number of hours of counseling, training, and workshops to be provided;
- (E) information demonstrating the effective experience of each participant of the joint application in—
- (i) conducting financial, management, and marketing assistance programs, as described above, designed to impart or upgrade the business skills of current or prospective Native American business owners; and
- (ii) the prudent management of finances and staffing and
- (F) a plan for the length of the grant, that describes—
- (i) the number of Native Americans and Native American small business concerns to be served by the grant; and
- (ii) the training and services to be provided.
- (3) REVIEW OF APPLICATIONS.—The Administration shall—
- (A) evaluate and rank applicants under paragraph (2) in accordance with predetermined selection criteria that is stated in terms of relative importance:
- (B) include such criteria in each solicitation under this subsection and make such information available to the public; and
- (C) approve or disapprove each application submitted under this subsection not more than 60 days after submission.
- (4) ANNUAL REPORT.—Each recipient of an American Indian tribal assistance center grant under this subsection shall annually report to the Administration on the impact of the grant funding received during the reporting year, and the cumulative impact of the grant funding received since the initiation of the grant, including—
- (A) the number of individuals assisted, categorized by ethnicity;
- (B) the number of hours of counseling and training provided and workshops conducted;
- (C) the number of startup business concerns formed, maintained, and lost;
- $\left(D\right)$  the gross receipts of assisted small business concerns;
- (E) the number of jobs created, maintained, or lost at assisted small business concerns; and
- (F) the number of Native American jobs created, maintained, or lost at assisted small business concerns.

- (5) RECORD RETENTION.—
- (A) APPLICATIONS.—The Administration shall maintain a copy of each application submitted under this subsection for not less than 10 years.
- (B) ANNUAL REPORTS.—The Administration shall maintain copies of the information collected under paragraph (4) indefinitely.
- (d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.— There are authorized to be appropriated—
- (1) \$1,000,000 for each of the fiscal years 2003 through 2006, to carry out the Native American Development Grant Pilot Program, authorized under subsection (b); and
- (2) \$1,000,000 for each of the fiscal years 2003 through 2006, to carry out the American Indian Tribal Assistance Center Grant Pilot Program, authorized under subsection (c).●
- Mr. KERRY. Mr. President, I am pleased today to join with my colleague, Senator Johnson, as well as the cosponsors of our legislation, Senators, Cantwell, Wellstone, Daschle, Baucus, Inouye, Bingaman, Stabenow, and Clinton in introducing the Native American Small Business Development Act.

This legislation bears the same name as legislation that passed the House last year, H.R. 2538, which was introduced by Congressman Tom UDALL, a recognized leader in promoting the interests of American Indians. I would like to thank Congressman UDALL for his work in stewarding H.R. 2538 through the House and for his assistance in working with Senator JOHNSON and me in drafting the Senate version of our legislation.

I would also like to thank the National Indian Business Association, the National Center for American Indian Enterprise Development, the Association of Small Business Development Centers, ONABEN, Native American Management Services, Inc., and all of the tribes that met with us or provided information to help in the crafting of this legislation.

The Senate version of the Native American Small Business Development Act, while incorporating the heart of the Udall legislation, is more comprehensive and provides greater assistance to Native American communities. Senator Johnson, who serves on the Indian Affairs Committee, and I, as Chairman of the Senate Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship, were able to combine our resources in crafting this legislation.

Our desire to fashion a comprehensive assistance package for Native American small businesses stems in no small part from an apparent lack of commitment the Small Business Administration (SBA) has shown to our Native American communities under the Bush Administration.

While I applaud the Bush Administration for responding to congressional requests and including \$1 million in the Administration's fiscal year 2003 budget request for Native American outreach, I was disappointed that it did not seek the full level of \$2.5 million requested in a letter I sent with my colleagues Senators DASCHLE, WELLSTONE, JOHNSON, BINGAMAN and BAUCUS. Our request specifically

sought funding for the SBA's Tribal Business Information Center (TBIC) program, started under the Clinton Administration and designed to address the unique conditions faced by American Indians when they seek to start or expand small businesses.

I do not believe that anyone in this Congress would dispute that economic development in Indian Country has often been difficult to achieve and that one important way to help American Indians who live on reservations is to provide them with assistance to open and run their own small businesses. Helping Native Americans open and run small businesses not only instills a sense of pride in the owner and his or her community, it also provides muchneeded job opportunities, as well as other economic benefits.

Although underfunded, the TBIC program has provided assistance to a number of small businesses on Indian reservations. TBICs have the support of the American Indian communities they serve because they provide desperately needed, culturally tailored business development assistance in those communities. The administration should be seeking to strengthen its commitment to programs that assist Native American communities. Unfortunately, the SBA cut off TBIC funding on March 31, 2002, and has not met a request by a bipartisan group of Senators to begin the reprogramming process in order to keep the TBICs open for the remainder of the fiscal year.

The Native American Small Business Development Act will ensure that the SBA's programs to assist Native American Affairs (ONAA) a permanent office, create a statutory grant program, known as the Native American Development grant program, to assist Native Americans, establish two pilot programs to try new means of assisting Native American communities and require Native American communities to be consulted regarding the future of SBA programs designed to assist them. In short, our legislation will ensure that our Native American communities will receive the assistance they need to help start and grow small businesses.

The ONAA, to be headed by an Assistant Administrator, will be responsible for assisting Native Americans and Native American communities to start, operate, and grow small business concerns; develop management and technical skills; seek Federal procurement opportunities; increase employment opportunities through the start and expansion of small business concerns; and increase their access to capital markets.

To be selected to serve as the Assistant Administrator for ONAA, a candidate must have knowledge of Native American cultures and experience providing culturally tailored small business development assistance to Native Americans. Under our legislation, the Assistant Administrator would be statutorily required to consult with Tribal Colleges and Tribal Govern-

ments, Alaska Native Corporations (ANC) and Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHO) when carrying out responsibilities under this legislation. The Assistant Administrator for ONAA would be responsible for administering the Native American Development program and the pilot programs created by the Native American Small Business Development Act.

The Native American Development program is designed to be the SBA's primary program for providing business development assistance to Native American communities. To offer this support, the SBA will provide financial and resource assistance to establish and keep Native American Business Centers (NABC) in operation. Financial assistance under the Native American Development program would be available to Tribal Governments and Tribal Colleges. Unlike the SBA's TBIC program, however, ANCs and NHOs would also be eligible for the grants.

NABCs would address the unique conditions faced by reservation-based American Indians, as well as Native Hawaiians and Native Alaskans, in their efforts to create, develop and expand small business concerns. Grant funding would be used by the NABCs to provide culturally tailored financial education assistance, management education assistance, and marketing education assistance.

The first pilot program under the legislation establishes a Native American development grant. This grant is modeled after the Udall legislation and designed to bring the expertise of SBA's Small Business Development Centers (SBDC) to Native American communities. Additionally, any private nonprofit organization, whose board of directors consists of a majority of Tribal Government members or their designees, is an NHO or an ANC, may also apply for the grant. Nonprofits were included in the Senate version thanks to the thoughtful input of Senator Cantwell. Many American Indian communities in Washington State are served by an organization called ONABEN. which provides SBDC-like services to Native American communities in Washington, Oregon, Idaho, and California. Organizations like ONABEN should be encouraged to provide resources to Native American communities, and including them in the grant program available to SBDCs was an important addition to the legislation.

Finally, our legislation establishes a second pilot program to try a unique experiment in Indian County. Grant funding would be made available to establish American Indian Tribal Assistance Centers. These centers will consist of joint entities, such as a partnership between an NABC, a Native American development center (which receive grants from the Department of Commerce) and possibly an SBDC. The purpose of this grant is to bring together experts from various entities to provide culturally tailored business development assistance to prospective and

current owners of small business concerns on or near Tribal Lands.

I would again like to thank Senator JOHNSON and all of the cosponsors of this important legislation to assist our Native American communities. I would also, again, like to thank Congressman UDALL for taking the lead in the House on providing critical assistance for small businesses in Native American communities. I would urge all of my colleagues to cosponsor this legislation to help us fulfill our commitment to Native American communities.

### STATEMENTS ON SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 252—EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF THE
SENATE REGARDING HUMAN
RIGHTS VIOLATIONS IN TIBET,
THE PANCHEN LAMA, AND THE
NEED FOR DIALOGUE BETWEEN
THE CHINESE LEADERSHIP AND
THE DALAI LAMA OR HIS REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. WELLSTONE submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations:

#### S. RES. 252

Whereas Hu Jintao, Vice President of the People's Republic of China and former Party Secretary of the Tibet Autonomous Region, will visit the United States in April and May of 2002:

Whereas Gedhun Choekyi Nyima was taken from his home by Chinese authorities on May 17, 1995, at the age of 6, shortly after being recognized as the 11th incarnation of the Panchen Lama by the Dalai Lama;

Whereas the forced disappearance of the Panchen Lama violates fundamental freedoms enshrined in international human rights covenants to which the People's Republic of China is a party, including the Convention on the Rights of the Child;

Whereas the use of religious belief as the primary criteria for repression against Tibetans reflects a continuing pattern of grave human rights violations that have occurred since the invasion of Tibet in 1949–50;

Whereas the State Department Country Reports on Human Rights Practices for 2001 states that repressive social and political controls continue to limit the fundamental freedoms of Tibetans and risk undermining Tibet's unique cultural, religious, and linguistic heritage, and that repeated requests for access to the Panchen Lama to confirm his well-being and whereabouts have been denied; and

Whereas the Government of the People's Republic of China has failed to respond positively to efforts by the Dalai Lama to enter into dialogue based on his proposal for genuine autonomy within the People's Republic of China with a view to safeguarding the distinct identity of Tibet and protecting the human rights of the Tibetan people: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of the Senate that—

- (1) Vice President Hu Jintao should be made aware of congressional concern for the Panchen Lama and the need to resolve the situation in Tibet through dialogue with the Dalai Lama or his representatives; and
- (2) the Government of the People's Republic of China should—

- (A) release the Panchen Lama and allow him to pursue his traditional role at Tashi Lhunpo monastery in Tibet; and
- (B) enter into dialogue with the Dalai Lama or his representatives in order to find a negotiated solution for genuine autonomy that respects the rights of all Tibetans.
- Mr. WELLSTONE. Mr. President, I rise today to acknowledge and celebrate the 13th birthday of Gendun Choekyi Nyima, the boy recognized by the Dalai Lama in 1995 as the 11th reincarnation of the Panchen Lama, Tibet's second highest spiritual leader.

As you may know, shortly after the Lama Gedhun Dalai recognized Choekyi Nyima as the Panchen Lama in 1995, the Chinese government abducted him with his family. He was 6 years old at the time. Today, the Panchen Lama remains in detention, and his whereabouts are unknown. For the past 7 years repeated requests from both governments and private humanitarian organizations to meet with the boy have been denied. It is intolerable that the Chinese leadership is using this young child in their efforts to tighten their grip on Tibet. On his 13th birthday, he remains one of the world's youngest political and religious prisoners.

Tibetans are persecuted for their religious beliefs. Prior to the Chinese invasion of 1950. Tibet was a deeply religious society. Religion remains an integral part of the daily lives of Tibetans, and it forms the social fabric connecting them to the land. Since the Chinese take over, religious practice and belief have come at a great cost. Over 6,000 monasteries and sacred places have been destroyed by the Chinese. Religious leaders are incarcerated with great frequency. They are forced to perform "reeducation labor," and often subjected to torture, including electric shock, rape, and other serious forms of abuse.

The Chinese Government continues to exert power over Tibetans by requiring monks to sign a declaration rejecting independence for Tibet, rejecting the Panchen Lama, rejecting and denouncing the Dalai Lama, recognizing the unity of China and Tibet, and ignoring the voice of America. Monks who refuse to accept these terms risk expulsion from their monasteries, or possible incarceration. Fleeing is the only other option for Tibetans who refuse to accept these terms. Historically, up to 3,000 Tibetans enter Nepal each year to escape the conditions.

Religious persecution is not the only type of persecution in Tibet. Tibetans are also subject to political imprisonment. A few months ago, I had the honor of meeting with Ngawang Choephel, a former Fulbright scholar who taught at Middlebury College in Vermont, who was imprisoned in 1995. What was his crime, the crime for which his brave mother labored intensively to have him freed? He was arrested and jailed for espionage while filming a documentary on performing arts in Tibet. After serving more than 6 years, he was released on a medical

parole. Regrettably, his story is emblematic of the daily struggles faced by Tibetans.

China has consistently used excessive military force to stifle dissent, which has resulted in untold cases of arbitrary arrests, imprisonment, torture, and execution. Moreover, the Tibetan people are denied the rights to self determination, freedom of speech, assembly, movement, expression and travel, rights enshrined in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Population transfers, environmental degradation, forced abortions and sterilizations, and the systematic destruction of the Tibetan language and culture continue unabated.

The problems in Tibet go beyond continuing human rights violations. As long as the Tibetan people are denied the right to self determination, human rights violations and political unrest will continue. For almost 40 years Chinese oppression in Tibet has been met by resistance. However, despite over four decades of force and intimidation, the Tibetan people have proven again and again that they will not succumb. Until a negotiated settlement is reached, Tibet will remain a contentious and potentially destabilizing issue for China. The only way to settle the question of Tibet is for the Chinese leadership to enter into negotiations with the Dalai Lama or his representatives.

Both publicly and privately, the Dalai Lama has stated his willingness to negotiate with the Chinese in his own words, "anywhere, anytime, and with no pre-conditions." Thus far, Beijing has refused to even consider talking to him. Despite the fact that the Dalai Lama is respected worldwide as a spiritual leader and was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize, Chinese Communist party leaders continue to eschew dialogue.

Next week, Chinese President Hu Jintao will visit the United States for the first time. Many believe that he will be the next Premier of China. As you may know, Hu Jintao was the Party Secretary in the Tibet Autonomous Region, TAR, from 1988 to 1992. During his tenure as Party Secretary, Hu Jintao made a name for himself as a tough administrator of Beijing's control mechanisms in Tibet, including the use of deadly force against unarmed Tibetan protestors.

Despite Hu Jintao's record as TAR Party Secretary, I, like some Tibetans, remain hopeful that he can play a positive role in the future. Because Hu has direct experience with the sentiments of Tibetans, he could be more responsive to Tibetan interests than past Chinese leaders. On November 9, 2001, Hu told journalists in Berlin, "I have been in Tibet for almost 4 years and I am very familiar with the situation." It is a positive factor that Hu Jintao knows conditions in Tibet from first-hand experience.

In light of his visit, I am introducing a resolution in the Senate calling for