(Mr. Dodd) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1379, a bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to establish an Office of Rare Diseases at the National Institutes of Health, and for other purposes.

S. 1707

At the request of Mr. JEFFORDS, the name of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. LIEBERMAN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1707, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to specify the update for payments under the medicare physician fee schedule for 2002 and to direct the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission to conduct a study on replacing the use of the sustainable growth rate as a factor in determining such update in subsequent years.

S. 1786

At the request of Mr. DURBIN, the name of the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. Kerry) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1786, a bill to expand aviation capacity in the Chicago area.

S. 1860

At the request of Mr. DORGAN, the name of the Senator from Illinois (Mr. DURBIN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1860, a bill to reward the hard work and risk of individuals who choose to live in and help preserve America's small, rural towns, and for other purposes.

S. 1876

At the request of Mrs. CLINTON, the name of the Senator from California (Mrs. Feinstein) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1876, a bill to establish a National Foundation for the Study of Holocaust Assets.

S. 1924

At the request of Mr. LIEBERMAN, the names of the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. Hutchinson) and the Senator from Nebraska (Mr. HAGEL) were added as cosponsors of S. 1924, a bill to promote charitable giving, and for other pur-

S. 1978

At the request of Mr. Hutchinson, the name of the Senator from Missouri (Mr. Bond) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1978, a bill to amend title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to promote the provision of retirement investment advice to workers managing their retirement income assets.

S RES 132

At the request of Mr. CAMPBELL, the names of the Senator from Utah (Mr. HATCH) and the Senator from Minnesota (Mr. Wellstone) were added as cosponsors of S. Res. 132, a resolution recognizing the social problem of child abuse and neglect, and supporting efforts to enhance public awareness of it.

S. RES. 185

At the request of Mr. Allen, the name of the Senator from California (Mrs. Boxer) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 185, a resolution recognizing the historical significance of the 100th anniversary of Korean immigration to the United States.

S. RES. 219

At the request of Mr. GRAHAM, the name of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. LIEBERMAN) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 219, a resolution expressing support for the democratically elected Government of Columbia and its efforts to counter threats from United States-designated foreign terrorist organizations.

AMENDMENT NO. 3008

At the request of Mr. DAYTON, the name of the Senator from Iowa (Mr. HARKIN) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 3008 proposed to S. 517, a bill to authorize funding the Department of Energy to enhance its mission areas through technology transfer and partnerships for fiscal years 2002 through 2006, and for other purposes.

STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED JOINT BILLS AND RESOLU-TIONS—MARCH 14, 2002

By Mr. BINGAMAN:

S. 2018. A bill to establish the T'uf Shur Bien Preservation Trust Area within the Cibola National Forest in the State of New Mexico to resolve a land claim involving the Sandia Mountain Wilderness, and for other purposes: to the Committee on Indian Affairs and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources; jointly, pursuant to the order of March 14, 2002, with instructions that if one Committee reports, the other Committee have twenty calendar days, excluding any period where the Senate is not in session for more that three days, to report or be discharged.

BINGAMAN. Mr. President, today I am pleased to introduce a bill that would create a unique area within the Cibola National Forest in New Mexico, entitled the T'uf Shur Bien Preservation Trust Area. The importance of this bill cannot be overstated. It would resolve, through a negotiated agreement, the Pueblo of Sandia's land claim to Sandia Moutain, an area of significant value and use to all New Mexicans. The bill would also maintain full public ownership and access to the National Forest and Sandia Mountain Wilderness lands within the Pueblo's claim area; clear title for affected homeowners; and grant the necessary rights-of-way and easements to protect private property interests and the public's ongoing use of the Area.

The need for this bill and the basis for Sandia Pueblo's claim arise from a 1748 grant to the Pueblo from a representative of the King of Spain. That grant was recognized and confirmed by Congress in 1858, 11 Stat. 374). There remains, however, a dispute over the location of the eastern boundary of the Pueblo that stems from an 1859 survey of the grant. That survey fixed the eastern boundary roughly along the top of a foothill on the western slope of the mountain, rather than along the true crest of the mountain. The Pueblo has contended that the interpretation of the grant, and thus the survey and

subsequent patent, are erroneous, and that the true eastern boundary is the crest of the mountain.

In the early 1980's, the Pueblo approached the Department of the Interior seeking a resurvey of the grant to locate the eastern boundary of the Pueblo along the main ridge of Sandia Mountain. In December 1988, the Solicitor of the Department of the Interior issued an opinion rejecting the Pueblo's claim. The Pueblo challenged the opinion in federal district court and in 1998, the court issued on Order setting aside the 1988 opinion and remanding the matter to Interior for forther proceedings. Pueblo of Sandia v. Babbitt, Civ. No. 94–2624, D.D.C., July 18, 1998. The Order was appealed but appellate proceedings were stayed for more than a year while a settlement was being negotiated. Ultimately, on April 4, 2000, a settlement agreement was executed between the United States. Pueblo, and the Sandia Peak Tram Company. That agreement was conditioned on congressional ratification, but remains effective until November 15, 2002.

In November, 2000, the Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed the appeal for lack of jurisdiction because the District Court's action was not a final appealable decision. Upon dismissal, the Department of the Interior proceeded with its reconsideration of the 1988 Solicitor's opinion in accord with the 1998 Order of the District Court. On January 19, 2001, the Solicitor issued a new opinion that concluded that the 1859 survey of the Sandia Pueblo grant was erroneous and that a resurvey should be conducted. Implementation of the opinion would therefore remove the area from its National Forest status and convey it to the Pueblo. The Department stayed the resurvey, however, until after November 15, 2002, so that there would be time for Congress to legislate the settlement

and make it permanent.

To state the obvious, this is a very complicated situation. The area that is the subject of the Pueblo's claim has been used by the Pueblo and its members for centuries and is of great significance to the Pueblo for traditional and cultural reasons. The Pueblo strongly desires that the wilderness character of the area continue to be preserved and its use by the Pueblo protected. Notwithstanding that interest and use, the Federal Government has administered the claim area as a unit of the National Forest system for most of the last century and over the years has issued patents for several hundred acres of land within the area to persons who had no notice of the Pueblo's claim. As a result, there are now several subdivisions within the external boundaries of the area, and although the Pueblo's lawsuit specifically disclaimed any title or interest in privately-owned lands, the residents of the subdivisions have concerns that the claim and its associated litigation have resulted in hardships by clouding titles to land. Finally, as a unit of the National forest system, the areas has

great significance to the public and in particular, the people in the State of New Mexico, including the residents of the Counties of Bernalillo and Sandoval and the City of Albuquerque, who use the claim area for recreational and other purposes and who desire that the public use and natural character of the area be preserved.

Because of the complexity of the situation, including the significant and overlapping interests just mentioned, Congress has not yet acted in this matter. In particular, concerns about the settlement were expressed by parties who did not participate in the final stages of the negotiations. I have worked with those parties to address their concerns while still trying to maintain the benefits secured by the parties in the Settlement Agreement. I believe the legislation that I have introduced today is a fair compromise. It provides the Pueblo specific rights and interests in the area that help to resolve its claim with finality but also, as noted earlier, maintains full public ownership and access to the National Forest system lands. In that sense, using the term "Trust" in the title recognizes those specific interests but does not confer the same status that exists when the Secretary of the Interior accepts title to land in trust on behalf of an Indian tribe.

Most importantly, the bill I am introducing today relies on a settlement as the basis for resolving this claim. Although other approaches have been circulated, this bill is the only one with the potential to secure a consensus of the interested parties. Not only is a negotiated settlement the appropriate manner by which to resolve the Pueblo's claim, it also allows for a solution that fits the unique circumstances of this situation. To my knowledge, Sandia Pueblo's claim is the only Indian land claim that exists where the tribe may effectively recover ownership of federal land without an Act of Congress. Nonetheless, the parties have negotiated a creative arrangement to address the Pueblo's interest, protect private property, and still maintain public ownership of the land. That is to be commended and I am proud to introduce this legislation to preserve the substance of that arrangement.

STATEMENT ON INTRODUCED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLU-TIONS—MARCH 18, 2002

By Mr. HUTCHINSON (for himself and Mr. LOTT):

S. 2025. A bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to increase the rate of special pension for recipients of the Medal of Honor and to make that special pension effective from the date of the act for which the recipient is awarded the Medal of Honor and to amend title 18, United States Code, to increase the criminal penalties associated with misuse of fraud relating to

the Medal of Honor; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

Mr. HUTCHINSON. Mr. President, I rise today to introduce the Living American Hero Appreciation Act. This legislation honors those Americans that have exhibited the highest levels of courage. It ensures that the recipients of the Medal of Honor receive the recognition and support that they earned through their acts of bravery. As the war on terrorism progresses, I believe that it is important that we remember those that have already fought for our Nation, and placed themselves in peril in order to defend our freedom.

As the senior Senator from Arkansas, I'm very proud that my State has produced over 20 Medal of Honor recipients. Three of these courageous individuals still live in Arkansas. Clarence Craft of Fayetteville and Nathan Gordon of Morrilton received their medals as a result of heroism in World War II. Nick Bacon of Little Rock was cited for his courage in Vietnam. Nick has continued his service to our Nation as the Director of the Arkansas Department of Veterans Affairs.

This legislation will ensure that our Nation's Medal of Honor recipients receive the recognition that they've earned. It will raise their special pension to \$1,000 a month. More significantly, though, it will ensure that recipients receive pension payment for the period between the act of heroism for which the individual was given the medal, and the actually issuance of the medal. These courageous individuals should not be penalized for administrative delays in issuing the decoration. Finally, this bill includes increased criminal penalties for the unauthorized purchase, possession of a Medal of Honor, and for false impersonation of a Medal of Honor recipient.

I want to thank Congressman CURT WELDON for his hard work in getting this bill passed by the House of Representatives. It is my privilege to introduce the Senate version of this bill, and I look forward to working with my colleagues for its swift passage.

I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 2025

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Living American Hero Appreciation Act".

SEC. 2. INCREASE IN RATE OF SPECIAL PENSION FOR MEDAL OF HONOR RECIPIENTS AND RETROACTIVITY OF PAYMENTS TO DATE OF ACTION.

(a) INCREASE IN SPECIAL PENSION.—Section 1562(a) of title 38, United States Code, is amended by striking "a special pension at the rate of" and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting "a special pension, beginning as of the first day of the first month that begins after the date of the act for which that person was awarded the Medal of Honor. The special pension shall be

- at the rate of \$1000, as increased from time to time under section 5312(a) of this title.".
- (b) COST OF LIVING ADJUSTMENT.—Section 5312(a) of such title is amended by inserting after "children," the following: "the rate of special pension paid under section 1562 of this title.".
- (c) LUMP SUM PAYMENT FOR EXISTING MEDAL OF HONOR RECIPIENTS.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall, within 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, make a lump sum payment to each person who is, immediately before the date of the enactment of this Act, in receipt of the pension payable under section 1562 of title 38, United States Code (as amended by subsection (a)). Such payment shall be in the amount equal to the total amount of special pension that the person would have received had the person received special pension during the period beginning as of the first day of the first month that began after the date of the act for which that person was awarded the Medal of Honor and ending with the last day of the month preceding the month that such person's special pension in fact commenced. For each month of such period, the amount of special pension shall be determined using the rate of special pension that was in effect for that month.

SEC. 3. CRIMINAL PENALTY FOR UNAUTHORIZED PURCHASE OR POSSESSION OF MEDAL OF HONOR OR FOR FALSE PERSONATION AS A RECIPIENT OF MEDAL OF HONOR.

- (a) UNAUTHORIZED PURCHASE OR POSSESSION.—Section 704 of title 18, United States Code, is amended—
- (1) in subsection (a) by striking "IN GENERAL.—Whoever" and inserting "IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (b), whoever"; and
- (2) by amending subsection (b) to read as follows:
 - "(b) MEDAL OF HONOR.—
- "(1) IN GENERAL.—Whoever knowingly wears, possesses, manufactures, purchases, or sells a Medal of Honor, or the ribbon, button, or rosette of a Medal of Honor, or any colorable imitation thereof, except when authorized under regulations made pursuant to law, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.
- "(2) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this subsection:
- "(A) The term 'Medal of Honor' means-
- "(i) a medal of honor awarded under section 3741, 6241, or 8741 of title 10 or under section 491 of title 14;
- "(ii) a duplicate medal of honor issued under section 3754, 6256, or 8753 of title 10 or under section 504 of title 14; or
- "(iii) a replacement of a medal of honor provided under section 3747, 6253, or 8751 of title 10 or under section 501 of title 14.
- "(B) The term 'sells' includes trades, barters, or exchanges for anything of value."
- (b) FALSE PERSONATION.—(1) Chapter 43 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§ 918. Medal of honor recipient

- "(a) Whoever falsely or fraudulently holds himself out as having been, or represents or pretends himself to have been, awarded a medal of honor shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.
- "(b) As used in this section, the term medal of honor means a medal awarded under section 3741, 6241, or 8741 of title 10 or under section 491 of title 14.".
- (2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:
- "918. Medal of honor recipient.".