

Dooley	Larsen (WA)	Riley
Filner	Linder	Roukema
Ganske	Maloney (CT)	Rush
Graham	Manzullo	Slaughter
Hilleary	McKinney	Stump
Hinojosa	Meek (FL)	Tiahrt
Kennedy (RI)	Mica	Velazquez
LaHood	Miller, Gary	Waters

□ 1814

So the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated against:

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 468, I was conducting official business in my San Diego, California district. Had I been present, I would have voted "no."

FURTHER MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A further message from the Senate by Mr. Monahan, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate agrees to the report of the committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 5010) "An Act making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2003, and for other purposes."

□ 1815

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on H.J. Res. 123, and that I may include tabular and extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

FURTHER CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS, FISCAL YEAR 2003

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to the rule just adopted, I call up the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 123) making further continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 2003, and for other purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the joint resolution.

The text of H.J. Res. 123 is as follows:

H.J. RES. 123

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That Public Law 107-229 is further amended by striking the date specified in section 107(c) and inserting in lieu thereof "November 22, 2002."

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 585, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. YOUNG) and the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Florida (Mr. YOUNG).

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, the continuing resolution is identical to the one we passed last week with the exception of the date change. As a matter of fact, the date in this CR is the one we started with last week but it was amended, as we recall, during the consideration of the rule. It would extend the CR until November 22, which would give the House an opportunity to finish some other unfinished business, would give the House an opportunity to wait upon the other body to send some of our legislation back to us that we have sent to them, and it maintains all of the other anomalies and provisions that the original CR included. Nothing new, no new starts.

And I would say that I would like the Members to listen to this: Despite the fact we suggest November 22, it does not mean that the House will not be in session, because it is my understanding that the House will be in session for some unfinished business dealing with the other body.

So, Mr. Speaker, I do not think we need a lot of debate on this. It is not a tax bill. It is not any kind of a bill other than a bill to extend the date of the CR to November 22. That will follow the elections, that will follow the reorganizational time that we have here in the Congress right after the election. It will give us time to proceed with and hopefully conclude our appropriations business.

For some of those who spoke earlier on the rule who were concerned about a long-term CR into the next Congress, I have resisted that. I am resisting it today and I will continue to resist it. That is not a good plan for us. But this resolution today to take us into November, following the election is a good plan; and, Mr. Speaker, I hope that we can expedite the consideration.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from California (Mr. WAXMAN).

Mr. WAXMAN. Mr. Speaker, as we limp out of this Congress with an embarrassing budget debacle, I want to spend a few minutes talking about an issue the Republican leadership wants to sweep under the rug: how their fiscal mismanagement is imperiling the Social Security program.

The Federal budget has become an enormous mess. Before the Bush administration took office, independent budget experts were predicting a \$3 trillion surplus over the next 10 years. Now experts are saying that under the President's budget we will have a deficit of over \$2 trillion. This is the largest and most rapid decline in the Federal budget since the Depression. The mismanagement is so egregious it is breathtaking.

Most Americans do not realize how the government pays for the deficit, but here is what happens: The government raids the Social Security trust fund. Let me repeat this. The Federal Government is going to run a deficit of

over \$2 trillion over the next 10 years. And to pay for this deficit, the government is going to borrow over \$2 trillion from the reserves in the Social Security trust fund. They are going to raid your retirement nest egg to pay for subsidies for the energy companies, tax breaks for wealthy corporate executives.

What does this mean to you? If you have a pay stub handy, all you have to do is take a look at the FICA deduction. This FICA deduction is what you pay into Social Security. Over the next 10 years one-third of what you contribute to Social Security through your FICA deductions is going to be borrowed by the government to pay for its operating expenses. That is your money. It is supposed to go into the Social Security trust fund to build up a reserve for when the baby boomers retire, but instead it is going to be squandered to pay for last year's tax cuts and other government spending.

But it gets worse. The Federal Government is supposed to repay everything it borrows from the Social Security trust fund. In fact, the law says the full faith and credit of the United States is backing it. But listen to what Republican leaders are saying about their intent to repay the trust funds. Here is what the Republican majority leader, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. ARMEY) said in a memo to House Republicans last year: "The hard truth is the Social Security trust fund is empty. It is a mere accounting device."

Here is what the President's spokesman said less than 3 months ago: "Employees who contribute to Social Security will get nothing in return."

And here is what Republican Senator PHIL GRAMM said: "There is no Social Security trust fund. It is a total fraud." The Social Security trust fund consists of "worthless IOUs."

The fact is they have no plan to repay the Social Security trust fund. In fact, we cannot even get our act together to pass a budget for next year.

Now, here is a question for my Republican colleagues: As you struggle to deal with the mess you have made of the Federal budget, are you going to repay that Social Security fund? As you force millions of Americans to lend their FICA money to the government, how are you going to keep faith with them? How are you going to pay them back? What is your long-term plan?

Mr. Speaker, I introduced legislation earlier this year with the gentleman from New York (Mr. RANGEL) and the gentleman from California (Mr. MATSUI) which would require that the Federal Government repay Social Security. The bill is H.R. 5252, the Social Security Preservation Act. Not a single Republican Member has co-sponsored that bill. What is happening is a scandal, but my Republican colleagues do not want you to know about it.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER).

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me time.

Mr. Speaker, what is now becoming our weekly song and dance, passage of yet another continuing resolution, sounds more like Republican failure to me.

The gentleman from Iowa (Mr. NUSSLE) and our friends on the other side of the aisle will no doubt march to this floor again today and fulminate about the other Chamber, and they are sure to boast: "We have passed a budget."

Well, Democrats in the House have been waiting for the last 7 weeks for the Republican leadership to summon the courage of its convictions and to actually bring spending bills to this House floor that adhere to the GOP's budget resolution. We are still waiting to see your spending bill for Labor, Health and Education programs, because we want to know this: Do you still plan to cut the "No Child Left Behind Act" off at the knees? Do you? Do you still plan to wipe out programs that coordinate health care for the uninsured?

Mr. Speaker, we want to know, with winter just around the corner, do you still plan to cut LIHEAP formula grants by nearly 18 percent? LIHEAP, of course, is low income energy assistance to poor people and seniors.

Some of the very same Republicans who lectured us about the importance of voting on the Iraq resolution before the November elections have now cynically recoiled from letting voters know where they stand on Federal spending for health care, education and others priorities before the election. While the GOP continues to dither and delay, the American people suffer the consequences.

The unemployment rate is up. The poverty rate is up. Federal and State budget deficits are exploding. Real wages are down. The number of Americans with health insurance is down, and the stock market has dropped like a rock over the last 18 months. Yet, the self-styled revolutionaries seem to have no idea what to do.

For starters, we might extend unemployment insurance benefits to save those who are falling off, increase the minimum wage which has not been increased since 1996, and pass real pension reform. Failing to do that, Mr. Speaker, failing to do that much is nothing but a signal of failure.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 3 minutes.

Mr. Speaker, this resolution would extend the budget until November 22, past the election. What it means is that this House is giving up on its responsibilities to do the public's business. It means this House is willing to go home and say, no agriculture bill, no education budget, no housing budget, no science budget, no environmental budget, no drought relief, no extension of unemployment compensa-

tion, no way to fix the problems under Medicare for providers. They want to neglect all of that and then go home and say to their constituents, "Oh, what a good boy am I. Reelect me again."

As far as I am concerned, Mr. Speaker, this is a spectacular conversation of impotence and incompetence. And I think that the public will take note of the fact that since Labor Day we have focused only on issues such as Iraq. But for the past 84 days this House has refused to do its basic business of passing the budget so that our localities would know what they are going to get by way of urban development grants; so that the NIH would know whether they are going to get the 15 percent increase that both parties had promised them; and so that our school districts would know how to plan. All of that is going to go out the window because it is convenient for the majority party caucus to get out of town so that they can hide from the public the choices they would make on education, on agriculture, on environment.

□ 1830

What a wonderful record. What a wonderful approach when you are asking the country to renew your lease for another 2 years on this Chamber. This is indeed a pitiful performance.

We will shortly have a choice before us. I will have a motion to recommit which, instead of delaying all of these decisions until November 22, will simply say that we will extend the budget until next Monday. That will keep us in town doing the public's business. You will have a chance to vote on that recommitment versus the base resolution. If you vote for the base resolution, you will be getting out of town without doing your work. If you vote for my recommitment motion, you will be voting to do your work before getting out of town. The choice is up to every Member of this body.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of the time merely to say that again this is a continuation of the same CR that we passed last week. It merely extends the date. It is not a political document. It merely keeps the government functioning until we can get back to this House to continue our work on the appropriations process, the appropriations process which is alive and well, despite the fact that the budget process died before it concluded its business.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). All time for debate has expired.

The joint resolution is considered as having been read for amendment.

Pursuant to House Resolution 585, the previous question is ordered on the joint resolution.

The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the joint resolution.

The joint resolution was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT OFFERED BY MR. OBEY

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the joint resolution?

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I certainly am.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. OBEY moves to recommit the joint resolution H.J. Res. 123 to the Committee on Appropriations with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith with the following amendment:

On line 5, strike "thereof 'November 22, 2002'." and insert "thereof 'October 21, 2002'."

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Wisconsin is recognized for 5 minutes in support of his motion.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, the choice is simple. If you think we ought to stay here and complete our work before we go home and campaign for reelection, you will vote for this recommitment motion which extends the CR to next Monday. If you want to bug out of town without meeting your responsibilities and pretend to your constituents that you have done your job, then you will vote against it and you will vote for this underlying resolution.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does the gentleman from Florida claim the time in opposition to the motion to recommit?

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. I do, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Florida is recognized.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I would simply say that this extends the CR until next Monday. That really is not workable, and I want to assure the Members that the fact that we adopt a CR that goes to beyond the election does not mean that the House will not be here, because the House will be here continuing to do other legislative matters, in addition to waiting on the other body to pass some of the legislation that we have sent to them.

Mr. Speaker, with a strong objection and a strong hope for a strong "no" vote on the motion to recommit, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the yeas appeared to have it.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, the Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote on the question of passage.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 194, nays 210, not voting 28, as follows:

[Roll No. 469]

YEAS—194

Abercrombie	Hinchey	Obey
Ackerman	Hoeffel	Olver
Allen	Holden	Ortiz
Andrews	Holt	Owens
Baca	Honda	Pallone
Baird	Hoolley	Pascrell
Baldwin	Hoyer	Pastor
Barcia	Inslee	Payne
Barrett	Israel	Pelosi
Becerra	Jackson (IL)	Peterson (MN)
Bentsen	Jackson-Lee	Phelps
Berkley	(TX)	Pomeroy
Berman	Jefferson	Price (NC)
Berry	John	Rahall
Bishop	Johnson, E. B.	Rangel
Blagojevich	Jones (OH)	Reyes
Blumenauer	Kanjorski	Rivers
Bonior	Kaptur	Rodriguez
Boswell	Kennedy (RI)	Roemer
Boucher	Kildee	Ross
Boyd	Kilpatrick	Rothman
Brady (PA)	Kind (WI)	Roybal-Allard
Brown (FL)	Klecicka	Sabo
Brown (OH)	Kucinich	Sanchez
Capps	LaFalce	Sanders
Capuano	Lampson	Sandlin
Cardin	Langevin	Sawyer
Carson (IN)	Lantos	Schakowsky
Clay	Larson (CT)	Schiff
Clyburn	Lee	Scott
Conyers	Levin	Serrano
Costello	Lewis (GA)	Sherman
Coyne	Lipinski	Shows
Cramer	Lofgren	Skelton
Crowley	Lowey	Smith (WA)
Cummings	Lucas (KY)	Snyder
Davis (CA)	Luther	Solis
Davis (FL)	Lynch	Spratt
Davis (IL)	Maloney (NY)	Stark
DeFazio	Markey	Stenholm
DeGette	Mascara	Strickland
DeLauro	Matheson	Stupak
Deutsch	Matsui	Tanner
Dicks	McCarthy (MO)	Tauscher
Dingell	McCarthy (NY)	Taylor (MS)
Doggett	McCollum	Thompson (CA)
Doyle	McDermott	Thompson (MS)
Edwards	McGovern	Thune
Engel	McIntyre	Thurman
Eshoo	McKinney	Tierney
Etheridge	McNulty	Towns
Evans	Meehan	Turner
Farr	Meek (FL)	Udall (CO)
Fattah	Meeks (NY)	Udall (NM)
Ford	Menendez	Velazquez
Frank	Millender	Visclosky
Frost	McDonald	Watson (CA)
Gephardt	Miller, George	Watt (NC)
Gonzalez	Mollohan	Waxman
Gordon	Moore	Weiner
Green (TX)	Moran (VA)	Wexler
Gutierrez	Murtha	Woolsey
Harman	Nadler	Wu
Hastings (FL)	Napolitano	Wynn
Hill	Neal	
Hilliard	Oberstar	

NAYS—210

Aderholt	Bonilla	Chabot
Akin	Bono	Chambliss
Armey	Boozman	Coble
Bachus	Brady (TX)	Collins
Baker	Brown (SC)	Condit
Ballenger	Bryant	Cox
Barr	Burr	Crane
Bartlett	Burton	Crenshaw
Barton	Buyer	Culberson
Bass	Callahan	Cunningham
Bereuter	Calvert	Davis, Jo Ann
Biggert	Camp	Davis, Tom
Bilirakis	Cannon	Deal
Blunt	Cantor	DeLay
Boehlert	Capito	DeMint
Boehner	Castle	Diaz-Balart

Doolittle	Jones (NC)	Rogers (MI)
Dreier	Keller	Rohrabacher
Duncan	Kelly	Ros-Lehtinen
Dunn	Kennedy (MN)	Royce
Ehlers	Kerns	Ryan (WI)
Emerson	King (NY)	Ryun (KS)
English	Kingston	Saxton
Everett	Kirk	Schaffer
Ferguson	Knollenberg	Schrock
Flake	Kolbe	Sensenbrenner
Fletcher	Latham	Sessions
Foley	LaTourette	Shadegg
Forbes	Leach	Shaw
Fossella	Lewis (CA)	Shays
Frelinghuysen	Lewis (KY)	Sherwood
Gallegly	Linder	Shimkus
Gekas	LoBiondo	Shuster
Gibbons	Lucas (OK)	Simmons
Gilchrest	McCrery	Simpson
Gillmor	McHugh	Skeen
Gilman	McInnis	Smith (MI)
Goode	McKeon	Smith (NJ)
Goodlatte	Miller, Dan	Smith (TX)
Goss	Miller, Jeff	Souder
Granger	Moran (KS)	Stearns
Graves	Morella	Sullivan
Green (WI)	Myrick	Sununu
Greenwood	Nethercutt	Sweeney
Grucci	Ney	Tancred
Gutknecht	Northup	Tauzin
Hall (TX)	Norwood	Taylor (NC)
Hansen	Nussle	Terry
Hart	Osborne	Thomas
Hastert	Ose	Thornberry
Hastings (WA)	Otter	Tiahrt
Hayes	Oxley	Tiberi
Hayworth	Paul	Toomey
Hefley	Pence	Upton
Herger	Peterson (PA)	Vitter
Hobson	Petri	Walden
Hoekstra	Pickering	Walsh
Horn	Pitts	Wamp
Hostettler	Platts	Watkins (OK)
Houghton	Pombo	Watts (OK)
Hulshof	Portman	Weldon (FL)
Hunter	Pryce (OH)	Weldon (PA)
Hyde	Putnam	Weller
Isakson	Quinn	Whitfield
Issa	Radanovich	Wicker
Istook	Ramstad	Wilson (NM)
Jenkins	Regula	Wilson (SC)
Johnson (CT)	Rehberg	Wolf
Johnson (IL)	Reynolds	Young (AK)
Johnson, Sam	Rogers (KY)	Young (FL)

NOT VOTING—28

Baldacci	Ehrlich	Mica
Borski	Filner	Miller, Gary
Carson (OK)	Ganske	Riley
Clayton	Graham	Roukema
Clement	Hilleary	Rush
Combest	Hinojosa	Slaughter
Cooksey	LaHood	Stump
Cubin	Larsen (WA)	Waters
Delahunt	Maloney (CT)	
Dooley	Manzullo	

□ 1916

Messrs. SAXTON, SENSEN-BRENNER, BARTLETT of Maryland, HOEKSTRA, CANNON, BASS, HERGER, SHUSTER, Mrs. NORTHUP, Messrs. ENGLISH, BOEHNER, PETERSON of Pennsylvania, CHABOT, SMITH of Michigan, DELAY, Mrs. KELLY, and Messrs. LOBIONDO, HOBSON, PENCE and WALDEN of Oregon changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Mr. McDERMOTT, Mrs. JONES of Ohio, and Messrs. DINGELL, WAXMAN, OLVER, BROWN of Ohio, OBERSTAR, STARK and DICKS changed their vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 469, I was conducting official business in my San Diego, California district. Had I been present, I would have voted “yea.”

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, could I ask that the Clerk read the resolution? It is four lines long.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). Without objection, the Clerk will redo the third reading of the joint resolution.

Mr. QUINN. Mr. Speaker, I object.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Objection is heard.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, is this the resolution that puts over all of our work until after the election?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the joint resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 228, noes 172, not voting 32, as follows:

[Roll No. 470]

AYES—228

Aderholt	Fletcher	Latham
Akin	Foley	LaTourette
Armey	Forbes	Leach
Bachus	Fossella	Lewis (CA)
Baker	Frelinghuysen	Lewis (KY)
Ballenger	Gallegly	Linder
Barr	Gekas	LoBiondo
Bartlett	Gibbons	Lofgren
Barton	Gilchrest	Lucas (KY)
Bass	Gillmor	Lucas (OK)
Berkley	Gilman	Luther
Biggert	Goode	Mascara
Bilirakis	Goodlatte	Matheson
Blagojevich	Goss	McCarthy (NY)
Blunt	Granger	McCarthy
Boehlert	Green (WI)	McHugh
Boehner	Greenwood	McInnis
Bonilla	Grucci	McKeon
Bono	Gutierrez	McKinney
Boozman	Gutknecht	Miller, Dan
Brady (TX)	Hansen	Miller, Jeff
Brown (SC)	Hart	Mollohan
Bryant	Hastert	Moore
Burr	Hastings (WA)	Moran (VA)
Burton	Hayes	Morella
Buyer	Hayworth	Murtha
Callahan	Hefley	Myrick
Calvert	Herger	Nethercutt
Camp	Hill	Ney
Cannon	Hobson	Northup
Cantor	Hoeffel	Norwood
Capito	Hoekstra	Nussle
Castle	Holden	Otter
Chabot	Holt	Oxley
Chambliss	Horn	Paul
Coble	Hostettler	Pence
Collins	Houghton	Peterson (PA)
Cox	Hoyer	Petri
Crane	Hulshof	Pickering
Crenshaw	Hunter	Pitts
Culberson	Hyde	Platts
Cunningham	Isakson	Pombo
Davis, Jo Ann	Israel	Portman
Davis, Tom	Issa	Pryce (OH)
Deal	Istook	Putnam
DeLay	Jenkins	Quinn
DeMint	Johnson (CT)	Radanovich
Diaz-Balart	Johnson (IL)	Ramstad
Dicks	Johnson, Sam	Regula
Doolittle	Jones (NC)	Rehberg
Dreier	Kanjorski	Reynolds
Duncan	Keller	Rogers (KY)
Dunn	Kelly	Rogers (MI)
Ehlers	Kennedy (MN)	Rohrabacher
Emerson	Kerns	Ros-Lehtinen
Engel	King (NY)	Ross
English	Kingston	Royce
Everett	Kirk	Ryan (WI)
Ferguson	Knollenberg	Ryun (KS)
Flake	Kolbe	Saxton

Schaffer
Schrock
Sensenbrenner
Sessions
Shadegg
Shaw
Shays
Sherwood
Shows
Shuster
Simmons
Simpson
Skeen
Smith (MI)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (TX)

Souder
Stearns
Sullivan
Sununu
Sweeney
Tancredo
Tauzin
Taylor (NC)
Terry
Thomas
Thornberry
Tiahrt
Tiberi
Toomey
Upton
Vitter

Walden
Walsh
Wamp
Watkins (OK)
Watts (OK)
Weldon (FL)
Weldon (PA)
Weller
Whitfield
Wicker
Wilson (NM)
Wilson (SC)
Wolf
Wynn
Young (AK)
Young (FL)

NOES—172

Abercrombie
Ackerman
Allen
Andrews
Baca
Baird
Baldwin
Barcia
Barrett
Becerra
Bentsen
Bereuter
Berman
Berry
Bishop
Blumenauer
Bonior
Boswell
Boucher
Boyd
Brady (PA)
Brown (FL)
Brown (OH)
Capps
Capuano
Cardin
Carson (IN)
Clay
Clyburn
Condit
Conyers
Costello
Coyne
Cramer
Crowley
Cummings
Davis (CA)
Davis (FL)
Davis (IL)
DeFazio
DeGette
DeLauro
Deutsch
Dingell
Doggett
Doyle
Edwards
Eshoo
Etheridge
Evans
Farr
Fattah
Ford
Frost
Gephardt
Gonzalez
Gordon
Green (TX)

Harman
Hastings (FL)
Hilliard
Hinchey
Honda
Hooley
Inslee
Jackson (IL)
Jackson-Lee
(TX)
Jefferson
John
Johnson, E. B.
Jones (OH)
Kaptur
Kennedy (RI)
Kildee
Kilpatrick
Kind (WI)
Kleczka
Kucinich
LaFalce
Lampson
Langevin
Lantos
Larson (CT)
Lee
Levin
Lewis (GA)
Lipinski
Lowey
Lynch
Maloney (NY)
Markey
Matsui
McCarthy (MO)
McCollum
McDermott
McGovern
McIntyre
McNulty
Meehan
Meek (FL)
Meeks (NY)
Menendez
Millender-
McDonald
Miller, George
Moran (KS)
Nadler
Napolitano
Neal
Oberstar
Obey
Oliver
Ortiz
Osborne
Ose

Owens
Pallone
Pascarell
Pastor
Payne
Pelosi
Peterson (MN)
Phelps
Pomeroy
Price (NC)
Rahall
Rangel
Reyes
Rivers
Rodriguez
Roemer
Rothman
Roybal-Allard
Sabo
Sanchez
Sanders
Sandlin
Sawyer
Schakowsky
Schiff
Scott
Serrano
Sherman
Shimkus
Skelton
Smith (WA)
Snyder
Solis
Spratt
Stark
Stenholm
Strickland
Stupak
Tanner
Tauscher
Taylor (MS)
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Thune
Thurman
Tierney
Towns
Turner
Udall (CO)
Udall (NM)
Velazquez
Visclosky
Watson (CA)
Watt (NC)
Weiner
Wexler
Woolsey
Wu

NOT VOTING—32

Baldacci
Borski
Carson (OK)
Clayton
Clement
Combest
Cooksey
Cubin
Delahunt
Dooley
Ehrlich

Filner
Frank
Ganske
Graham
Graves
Hall (TX)
Hilleary
Hinojosa
LaHood
Larsen (WA)
Maloney (CT)
Manzullo
Mica
Miller, Gary
Riley
Roukema
Rush
Slaughter
Stump
Waters
Waxman

□ 2000

So the joint resolution was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. GRAVES. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 470, I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

Stated against:

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker on rollcall No. 470, I was conducting official business in my San Diego, California district. Had I been present, I would have voted "no."

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. HINOJOSA. Mr. Speaker, I regret that I was unavoidably detained in my Congressional District. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes" on rollcalls 464, 466 and 469. I would have voted "no" on rollcalls 465, 467, 468 and 470.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I was unable to be present for rollcall votes 464, 466, 467, 468, 469, and 470. Had I been present, I would have voted aye on rollcall votes 464, 466, 467, and 469, and no on rollcall votes 468, and 470. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that my statement appear in the permanent RECORD immediately following this vote.

On Approving the Journal, No. 464, "aye"; S. 1533, Health Care Safety Net Amendments, No. 466, "aye"; H. Res. 585, Moving the Previous Question, No. 467, "aye"; H. Res. 585, Rule on H.J. Res. 123, Continuing Resolution, No. 468, "no"; H.J. Res. 123, Motion to Recommit, No. 469, "aye"; H.J. Res. 123, Final Passage, No. 470, "no."

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Ms. PELOSI asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, I rise to inquire of the distinguished majority leader regarding the schedule.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, will the gentlewoman yield?

Ms. PELOSI. I yield to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, we have completed our legislative work for this week. There will be no more recorded votes this week. The House will, however, be in session pro forma tomorrow and the next day, and then back pro forma on Tuesday and Thursday of next week.

I should also like to advise Members that the House still waits upon many very important pieces of legislation. In conference, for example, we have the terrorism risk insurance bill, the energy security bill, the defense authorization bill, intelligence authorization, and port security.

We also wait upon the Senate to move bills: the Department of Homeland Security, pension reform, prescription drugs, and welfare reform.

I should like to advise the Members of this body that should any of those conference reports become available or should the Senate complete work on any of the other bills under consideration, and therefore afford us the opportunity to go to conference on those

bills, that we will be constrained to call the Members back for a session next week or even the week thereafter.

However, Members should be advised that they will receive a 48-hour notice prior to any requirement to come back and complete any of that work.

As it turns out, each of these conference reports and bills is problematic, but the other body will stay in session working, the conferees will continue to meet, and we should all be apprised of the real possibility of being asked to come back after a 48-hour notice.

Ms. PELOSI. I thank the gentleman for that information, Mr. Speaker.

I would ask the leader, what day will we be back after the election?

Mr. ARMEY. If the gentlewoman will continue to yield, the CR, Mr. Speaker, is through November 22. However, we would expect to be back on the week of November 11. Since November 11 is itself a holiday, I should think Members should plan on being back on November 12, but we will get official notice to Members' offices as soon as possible. But I would think the prudent Member would plan to come back November 12 and expect to be here throughout most of that week.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman know what time votes would occur that day?

Mr. ARMEY. Again, I want to thank the gentlewoman for her inquiry.

If she would continue to yield, it is a travel day. Especially in consideration of our West Coast Members, we would try to arrange a date that votes would not actually be taken before the customary 6:30 in the evening.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, continuing to yield to the leader, will we be in through Friday of that week?

Mr. ARMEY. Again, I want to thank the gentlewoman for her inquiry. That would depend on what work is available to us. Obviously, we would want to deal with another continuing resolution, and we should have reason to expect that some of these conference reports might be available.

As something I think, again, for us to be prudent in terms of taking the opportunities that could be here, the Members should expect to be here through that week and even work on Friday. As we see the workload for the week develop and can begin to put the daily calendar together, we ought to be able to give Members more complete and accurate information so they can make, hopefully, their travel plans for the beginning and the end of the week before they depart for their home districts.

Ms. PELOSI. Could the gentleman please shed some light on what legislative business might come up that week? Would there be any appropriations bills?

Mr. ARMEY. Again, I appreciate the gentlewoman's inquiry.

Mr. Speaker, obviously, there are additional opportunities for appropriations bills. Depending upon the