

Otter	Royce	Tauzin
Oxley	Ryan (WI)	Taylor (MS)
Pascarell	Ryun (KS)	Terry
Pence	Saxton	Thomas
Peterson (MN)	Schaffer	Thornberry
Peterson (PA)	Schrock	Thune
Petri	Sensenbrenner	Thurman
Phelps	Sessions	Tiahrt
Pickering	Shadegg	Tiberi
Pitts	Shaw	Toomey
Platts	Shays	Upton
Pombo	Sherwood	Vitter
Pomeroy	Shimkus	Walden
Portman	Shows	Walsh
Pryce (OH)	Shuster	Wamp
Putnam	Simmons	Watkins (OK)
Quinn	Simpson	Watts (OK)
Radanovich	Skeen	Weldon (FL)
Ramstad	Skelton	Weldon (PA)
Regula	Smith (MI)	Weller
Rehberg	Smith (NJ)	Wexler
Reynolds	Smith (TX)	Whitfield
Riley	Smith (WA)	Wicker
Rogers (KY)	Souder	Wilson (NM)
Rogers (MI)	Stearns	Wilson (SC)
Rohrabacher	Sullivan	Wolf
Ros-Lehtinen	Sweeney	Young (AK)
Ross	Tancredo	Young (FL)
Rothman	Tanner	

NOES—144

Ackerman	Honda	Pastor
Allen	Hookey	Paul
Andrews	Inslee	Payne
Baca	Jackson (IL)	Pelosi
Baird	Jackson-Lee	Price (NC)
Baldwin	(TX)	Rahall
Barrett	Jefferson	Rangel
Becerra	Johnson, E. B.	Rivers
Bentsen	Jones (OH)	Rodriguez
Berkley	Kaptur	Roemer
Berry	Kildee	Roybal-Allard
Blumenauer	Kilpatrick	Rush
Borski	Kind (WI)	Sabo
Brown (OH)	Klecicka	Sanchez
Capps	Kucinich	Sanders
Capuano	LaFalce	Sandlin
Clay	Lampson	Sawyer
Clayton	Langevin	Schakowsky
Clyburn	Lantos	Schiff
Condit	Larson (CT)	Scott
Conyers	Lee	Serrano
Crowley	Levin	Sherman
Cummings	Lewis (GA)	Slaughter
Davis (CA)	Lipinski	Snyder
Davis (FL)	Lofgren	Solis
Davis (IL)	Lowey	Spratt
DeFazio	Lynch	Stark
DeGette	Maloney (NY)	Stenholm
Delahunt	Markey	Strickland
DeLauro	Matsui	Stupak
Dingell	McCarthy (NY)	Tauscher
Doggett	McCollum	Thompson (CA)
Eshoo	McDermott	Thompson (MS)
Etheridge	McGovern	Tierney
Evans	McIntyre	Towns
Farr	McNulty	Turner
Fattah	Meehan	Udall (CO)
Filner	Meeks (NY)	Udall (NM)
Ford	Millender-	Velazquez
Frank	McDonald	Visclosky
Frost	Miller, George	Waters
Gephardt	Moran (KS)	Watson (CA)
Gonzalez	Nadler	Watt (NC)
Gutierrez	Neal	Waxman
Harman	Oberstar	Weiner
Hastings (FL)	Obey	Woolsey
Hilliard	Olver	Wu
Hinchee	Owens	Wynn
Hinojosa	Pallone	

NOT VOTING—15

Berman	Ehrlich	Reyes
Bonior	Ganske	Roukema
Burton	Jenkins	Stump
Cooksey	Meek (FL)	Sununu
Coyne	Ortiz	Taylor (NC)

□ 2029

Mr. HUNTER changed his vote from "no" to "aye."

So the joint resolution was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

□ 2030

REPORT ON H.R. 5605, DEPARTMENTS OF VETERANS AFFAIRS AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2003

Mr. YOUNG of Florida, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 107-740) on the bill (H.R. 5605) making appropriations for the Departments of Veterans Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and for sundry independent agencies, boards, commissions, corporations and offices for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2003, and for other purposes, which was referred to the Union Calendar and ordered to be printed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). All points of order are reserved on the bill.

NOTING THE PASSING OF THE HONORABLE LAWRENCE H. FOUNTAIN, MEMBER OF CONGRESS FROM 1953-1983

(Mrs. CLAYTON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. CLAYTON. Mr. Speaker, I rise to note with sadness the passing today of one of the Tar Heel State's true elder statesmen, the Honorable Lawrence H. Fountain, who represented what was then North Carolina's Second Congressional District between the years of 1953 and 1983.

Congressman Fountain will be remembered as the first champion of improving the relationship and cooperation between Federal, State and local governments, and the father of the first, independent, presidentially-appointed Office of Inspector General.

Congressman Fountain was born in Edgecombe County and attended public schools, including the University of North Carolina. He entered World War II as a private and was promoted to a Lieutenant Colonel. He then came to Congress.

We extend our sympathy to the family, who indeed will receive other expressions of respect at Carlisle Funeral Home in Tarboro, North Carolina. A memorial service celebrating the life of Lawrence H. Fountain will be held at the Howard Memorial Presbyterian Church in Tarboro at 3 p.m. this Sunday, October 13, 2002.

Mr. Speaker, our thoughts and prayers go out to the many friends and family of Congressman Fountain, who is in my district in Tarboro, North Carolina.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF INTENTION TO OFFER MOTIONS TO INSTRUCT CONFEREES ON H.R. 4, ENERGY POLICY ACT OF 2002

Mr. WAXMAN. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to clause 7(c) of rule XXII, I hereby give notice of my intention to offer a

motion to instruct conferees on H.R. 4. The form of the motion is as follows:

Mr. WAXMAN moves that the managers on the part of the House at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the Senate amendments to the bill H.R. 4 be instructed to insist, to the extent possible, within the scope of the conference, that the conferees reject provisions that mandate the use of ethanol in gasoline.

Mr. Speaker, I further have another motion to instruct conferees. The form of that motion is as follows:

Mr. WAXMAN moves that the managers on the part of the House at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the Senate amendments to the bill H.R. 4 be instructed to insist, to the extent possible, within the scope of the conference, that the conferees reject provisions that limit the liability of a responsible party for the contamination of groundwater with a fuel or fuel additive.

CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 3295, HELP AMERICA VOTE ACT OF 2002

Mr. NEY. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to the order of the House of October 9, 2002, I call up the conference report on the bill (H.R. 3295) to establish a program to provide funds to States to replace punch card voting systems, to establish the Election Assistance Commission to assist in the administration of Federal elections and to otherwise provide assistance with the administration of certain Federal election laws and programs, to establish minimum election administration standards for States and units of local government with responsibility for the administration of Federal elections, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of House of Wednesday, October 9, 2002, the conference report is considered as having been read. (For conference report and statement, see proceedings of the House of October 8, 2002, at page H 7247.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY) and the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY).

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. NEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H.R. 3295.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Ohio?

There was no objection.

Mr. NEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, this has been a long, winding process that is about to conclude tonight, in what I think is going to be known as one of the most important votes that any Member of this body can cast, not only for this session but for the future, for decades to come, of the future of the voting process for the citizens of the United States.

I am pleased to present to the House the conference report for H.R. 3295, the Help America Vote Act of 2002. This legislation will have a profound and positive impact on the way we conduct Federal elections in this country. At the heart of the bill are some fundamental principles:

One, that every eligible citizen shall have the right to vote.

Two, that no legal vote will be canceled by an illegal vote.

Three, that every vote will be counted equally and fairly, according to the law.

When this legislation goes into effect, the voting citizens in this country will have the right to a provisional ballot, so no voter will be turned away from a polling place, no voter will be disenfranchised, just because their name does not appear on a registration list.

Henceforth, instead of simply being told to go home, the voters will be able to cast a provisional ballot which will be counted according to State law.

Voters will now also be able to have the opportunity to check for errors and verify the accuracy of their ballot in privacy before it is cast. No more will voters have to wonder if their vote was properly recorded or not. By guaranteeing them the right to verify the accuracy of their ballot in privacy, voters will be able to leave the polling place confident and certain that their vote was cast and counted in complete secrecy as they intended it to be.

This bill contains tremendous advances for individuals with disabilities. This legislation requires that every polling place in the country have at least one voting system that is accessible to the disabled, meaning individuals with disabilities, including the blind and visually impaired. They will now have the right to cast a secret and secure ballot in the same manner as all other Americans do.

No longer will individuals with disabilities have to rely on an assistant, or compromise the secrecy of their ballot. They will be able to vote in a private and independent manner, the same way all their fellow citizens do, many for the first time in their lives.

The legislation establishes a maximum error rate for voting system performance. This error rate is a measure of the performance of voting system prototypes under laboratory conditions to determine that the system counts votes accurately in accordance with national standards stands in Section 3.2.1 of the Voting System Standards adopted by the FEC.

I will include Section 3.2.1. for the record.

At the heart of our elections system is the process of how we maintain our records on who is eligible to vote. Currently, thousands of election jurisdictions across the country manage these records independently. Some employ the latest technologies and database management techniques to ensure accuracy and reliability. Others need improvement.

This bill will require each State to develop a Statewide registration system. These systems will modernize, centralize and improve current methods for ensuring the accuracy of registration lists.

The current system in many States creates inefficiencies and duplications, as voters often move from one jurisdiction to another within a State without notifying the jurisdiction that they used to live in before they made the move. The result is that a single individual may appear on more than one registration list in a State.

These Statewide systems will make it possible for States to more effectively maintain voter registration information, as they should. States will have more accurate systems to protect voters from being mistakenly removed from the list, while ensuring that costly duplicates that invite voter fraud are quickly removed.

The lists maintained by the State will be the official list used to determine who is registered to vote on Election Day. Uniformity and integrity in the system will be assured as local election jurisdictions will no longer be able to maintain separate lists.

This bill contains important new guarantees for military and overseas voters. Military voters will be guaranteed assistance and information that they need from the Department of Defense so they can complete and return their ballots on time. The military is required to mark all ballots so it can be determined when they were mailed, so no valid military ballot will be rejected for lack of a postmark. All enlistees will receive a voter registration form upon enlistment. We all know how important that is for those who are serving their country and laying their lives on the line.

State election officials must establish a single office where military and overseas voters can get information on how to vote in that State. For the first time, they will be required to accept ballots mailed early from military personnel whose duties, for example, on a submarine, may prevent them from mailing ballots on a date close to the election. For the first time, we will have a report on the number of applications received and absentee ballots sent out to military and overseas voters, together with the number of those ballots that have been returned. Studies of these numbers may help us determine how to future improve participation and turnout among those voters.

Our election system is dependent on tens of thousands of election officials and 1.5 million volunteer poll workers in over 7,000 jurisdictions serving over 150 million voters across this great country. In the general election for Federal office, all of these people come together during a 24-hour period to chose our leaders. It is an incredibly complicated process that must be choreographed precisely to ensure its success. This means that education and training is critical to the success of our

elections system. This legislation provides needed funds to complete that task across the United States.

A provision in this package that has been the subject, frankly, of some controversy is the voter ID provision that was included in the Senate-passed bill and is included in this conference report.

I want to emphasize this provision does not require voters to present an actual photo ID. In recognition of the fact that some citizens do not have such an ID, the bill allows a voter a number of options to identify themselves, including a bank statement, utility bill or government check. The provision applies only to first-time voters who register by mail. Language has been added to ensure it will be administered in a uniform and non-discriminatory manner, Mr. Speaker.

The voter ID provision is very important and will go a long way toward enhancing the integrity of our election process. People should not be permitted to register by mail and then vote by mail without ever having to demonstrate in some fashion they are the actual human being who is eligible to vote. I think this is at least the minimal we can ask.

This provision will help to end the practice of ghost voting, whereby people who do not exist are miraculously somehow able to vote. We should all keep in mind that a person whose vote is canceled out by an illegal vote has been disenfranchised every bit as much as an individual who has simply also been turned away from the polls. In either case, that is not the correct thing to do. This ID provision will protect against fraud of this type, and I am glad the conference saw fit to include it in the package.

Mr. Speaker, the election that took place in November of 2000 demonstrated there are serious problems in our election system. While the initial attention was focused on Florida, we have all learned over the past 2 years that the problems encountered were not unique but in fact were widespread. We just simply did not know it because there was not an election of the magnitude of the presidential that brought all of this to light through the national media.

While the problems varied from State to State, one common problem was a failure to devote sufficient resources to election infrastructure. Not surprising, when State and local officials are faced with the decision of how to spend their limited resources and have to choose between things citizens use every day, like roads and schools, or spend it on equipment that might get used only a couple of times a year, like election equipment, the latter has often come up short; and this bill will help to solve that.

This lack of resources has left States with old and unreliable voting equipment, inadequate training and education of voters and poll workers and, frankly, poor registration systems.

□ 2045

While State and local governments have been charged with the responsibility of running elections for Federal office, they have simply received no assistance from the Federal Government. This bill changes that.

It is time for the Federal Government to provide some funding to make sure that the world's greatest democracy has an election system it can have pride and confidence in. And remember, when we take our thoughts of democracy across the waters and we try to monitor elections, we have to have our own house in order so that we have the confidence that other countries will see that our system is the best it can be.

The Help America Vote Act will provide Federal financial assistance to the tune of \$3.9 billion in authorized funding over the next 3 years. We can no longer ask State and local governments to bear all of the expense without any assistance from us.

I would also note that according to figures from the Congressional Research Service and the State Department, the United States has spent more than \$3 billion over the past 7 years to promote democracy abroad. I support that; I think we need to be promoting democracy in other countries. I just believe we need to start spending some Federal dollars to bolster our own democracy here at home.

I would also note that meeting the requirements of this act will not be cheap. If we want and expect State and local governments to meet the requirements we are imposing on them, we will have to provide the funding that will make it possible for them to do so. If we do not, we have done nothing more than pass another unfunded mandate to the States, and we do not want to do that. This bill will cause States and localities to fundamentally restructure their election systems in a host of tremendous ways. We need to provide the funding to make sure that happens.

In addition to the funding it provides, the bill will assist the States with their election administration problems by creating a new Federal election assistance commission. This independent, bipartisan entity will be responsible for providing advice, guidance, and assistance to the States. It will act as a clearinghouse for information and make recommendations on best practices.

I want to stress that the name of the commission, the Election Assistance Commission, is not an accident. The commission's purpose is to assist States with solving their problems. It is not meant and does not have the power to dictate to States how to run their elections. This will not be a bill where Washington, D.C. turns around and says, this is the way you do it. It will not have rulemaking authority. The fundamental premise of the legislation on the commission was to have no rulemaking authority, and it cannot

impose its will on the States; but I have to tell my colleagues, it has a heart to this commission, and it has the ability to make changes.

This commission was an important point the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER) and I talked about when we devised the Ney-Hoyer bill, because we wanted to make sure it worked for local governments and we wanted to make sure that this would be carried out.

Historically, elections in this country have been administered at the State and local level. This system has had many benefits that have to be preserved. The dispersal responsibility for election administration has made it impossible for a single centrally controlled authority to dictate how elections will be run and thereby be able to control the outcome. This leaves the power of responsibility for running elections right where it needs to be: in the hands of the citizens of this country. Local control has the further added benefits of allowing for flexibility so that local authorities can tailor their procedures to meet demands and unique community needs.

Further, by leaving the responsibility for election administration in the hands of local authorities, if a problem arises, the citizens who live within their jurisdictions know whom to hold accountable. The local authorities who bear the responsibility cannot now and not in the future be able to point the finger of blame at some distant, unaccountable, centralized bureaucracy.

By necessity, elections must occur at the State and local level. One-size-fits-all solutions do not work and only lead to inefficiencies. States and locales must retain the power and the flexibility to tailor solutions to their own unique problems. This legislation will pose certain basic requirements that all jurisdictions will have to meet, but they will retain the flexibility to meet the requirements in the most effective manner.

State and local officials from every State in America will have a voice on this commission. While the commissioners will have expertise and experience with election issues and administration, they can still benefit from the advice and council of those who are on the ground, running elections around this country. State and local election officials in each State will ultimately bear the responsibility for carrying out the commission's recommendations so their voices must be heard as these guidelines and recommendations and best practices are developed.

The Help America Vote Act strikes the appropriate balance between local and Federal involvement. It provides for Federal assistance, acknowledging the responsibility we share to ensure that the elections that send all of us to Washington are conducted properly, without concentrating power in Washington in a manner that will prove at best ineffective, and at worst dangerous.

This conference report has received the support of a very diverse group of organizations that care about how elections are run in this country. I would like to introduce into the RECORD the statements of support from the following organizations: the National Commission on Federal Election Reform (Ford-Carter Commission), National Conference of State Legislatures, National Association of Secretaries of State, National Association of Counties, The Election Center, National Federation of the Blind, Common Cause, National Association of State Election Directors, United Auto Workers, AFL-CIO, NAACP, American Foundation for the Blind, National Association of Protection Advocacy Systems, and United Cerebral Palsy Association.

Mr. Speaker, let me also say that I have presented the thrust of the bill. I have presented the heart of the bill. We have a couple of speakers, and then I am going to conclude by also telling how this bill got here.

[Media release from the National Commission on Federal Election Reform]
FORMER PRESIDENTS FORD AND CARTER WELCOME THE AGREEMENT REACHED BY THE CONGRESS ON ELECTION REFORM LEGISLATION

Oct. 4, 2002.—Today, former Presidents Gerald R. Ford and Jimmy Carter, along with Lloyd Cutler and Bob Michel, co-chairs of the National Commission on Federal Election Reform, welcomed the bipartisan agreement struck by the House and Senate Conference Committee on a bill to reform federal elections.

"The bill represents a delicate balance of shared responsibilities between levels of government," Ford and Carter said. "This comprehensive bill can ensure that America's electoral system will again be a source of national pride and a model to all the world." Indeed, all four of the co-chairs share the belief of Congressman John Lewis (D-GA) and others that, if passed by both Houses and signed by President Bush, this legislation can provide the most meaningful improvements in voting safeguards since the civil rights laws of the 1960s.

For more information on the National Commission on Federal Election Reform, please contact Ryan Coonerty at 202-321-8862 or Margaret Edwards at 434-466-3587.

NATIONAL CONFERENCE
OF STATE LEGISLATURES,
Washington, DC, October 7, 2002.

Hon. ROBERT BYRD,
Chairman, Senate Appropriations Committee,
Capitol Building, Washington, DC.

Hon. BILL YOUNG,
Chairman, House Appropriations Committee,
Capitol Building, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMEN BYRD AND YOUNG: On behalf of the nation's state legislators, we urge to make reform of our nation's election processes a reality by providing sufficient funding to implement H.R. 3295. The conference agreement announced today will provide an effective means for states and counties to update their election processes without federalizing election administration. NCSL worked closely with the conferees in the development of this legislation and is satisfied that it keeps election administration at the state and local level, limits the role of the U.S. Justice Department to enforcement, does not create a federal private right of action, and establishes an advisory commission that will include two state legislators

to assist with implementation. NCSL commends the conferees for their work on this landmark legislation and is committed to implementing the provisions of H.R. 3295 to ensure every voter's right to a fair and accurate election.

To ensure proper implementation and avoid imposing expensive unfunded mandates on the states, it is critical that the federal government immediately deliver sufficient funding for states to implement the requirements of this bill. Neither of the existing versions of appropriations legislation provides sufficient funding for election reform. We urge you to fully fund H.R. 3295 at the authorized level of \$2.16 billion for FY 2003.

The Congressional Budget Office has estimated that it may cost states up to \$3.19 billion in one-time costs to begin implementing the provisions of this legislation. In this current fiscal environment, it will be extraordinarily difficult for states to implement the minimum standards in the bill without immediate federal financial support. States are already facing budget shortfalls for FY 2003 of approximately \$58 billion. Thirteen states have reported budget gaps in excess of 10 percent of their general fund budgets. To satisfy their balanced budget requirements, states are being forced to draw down their reserves, cut budgets, and even raise taxes.

We look forward to working with you to keep the commitment of the states and the federal government to implementing H.R. 3295. If we can be of assistance in this or any other matter, please contact Susan Parnas Frederick (202-624-3566; susan.frederick@ncsl.org) or Alysoun McLaughlin (202-624-8691; alysoun.mclaughlin@ncsl.org) in NCSL's state-federal relations office in Washington, D.C.

Sincerely,

Senator ANGELA Z.
MONSON, Oklahoma,
President, NSCL.
Speaker, MARTIN R.
STEPHENS, Utah,
President-elect, NCSI.

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
OF SECRETARIES OF STATE,
Washington, DC, October 9, 2002.

COMMITTEE ON HOUSE ADMINISTRATION,
Longworth Building,
Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN NEY AND RANKING MEMBER HOYER: The National Association of Secretaries of State (NASS) congratulates you on the completion of H.R. 3295, the "Help America Vote Act." The bill is a landmark piece of bipartisan legislation, and we want to express our sincere thanks for your leadership during the conference negotiations. We also commend your Senate colleagues: Senators Chris Dodd, Mitch McConnell and Kit Bond.

The nation's secretaries of state, particularly those who serve as chief state election officials, consider this bill an opportunity to reinvigorate the election reform process. The "Help America Vote Act" serves as a federal response that stretches across party lines and provides a substantial infusion of federal money to help purchase new voting equipment and improve the legal, administrative and educational aspects of elections. In fact, our association endorsed the original draft of H.R. 3295 in November 2001.

Specifically, the National Association of State (NASS) is confident that passage of the final version of H.R. 3295 will authorize significant funding to help states achieve the following reforms:

Upgrades to, or replacement of, voting equipment and related technology;

Creation of statewide voter registration databases to manage and update voter registration rolls;

Improvement of poll worker training programs and new resources to recruit more poll workers throughout the states;

Increases in the quality and scope of voter education programs in the states and localities;

Improvement of ballot review procedures, whereby voters would be allowed to review ballots and correct errors before casting their votes;

Improved access for voters with physical disabilities, who will be allowed to vote privately and independently for the first time in many states and localities;

Creation of provisional ballots for voters who are not listed on registration rolls, but claim to be registered and qualified to vote.

We want to make sure the states will get the funding levels they've been promised, and that Congress will provide adequate time to enact the most substantial reforms. Please be assured that the nation's secretaries of state are ready to move forward once Congress passes H.R. 3295 and the President signs it.

If we can be of further assistance to you, your staff members, or your colleagues in the U.S. House of Representatives, please contact our office at (202) 624-3525.

Best regards,

DAN GWADOSKY,
NASS President,
Maine Secretary of State.

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES,
Washington, DC, October 9, 2002.

Hon. BOB NEY,
Chairman, House Administration Committee,
House of Representatives, Longworth House
Office Building, Washington, DC.

Hon. STENY HOYER,
Ranking Democrat, House Administration Committee,
House of Representatives, Longworth House Office Building, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN NEY AND REPRESENTATIVE HOYER: We would like to congratulate you and thank you for your leadership, perseverance and hard work in reaching agreement in the House-Senate conference on the "Help America Vote Act of 2002." We believe the final bill is a balanced approach to reforming election laws and practices and to providing resources to help counties and states in improving and upgrading voting equipment. The National Association of Counties supports H.R. 3295 as it was approved by the House-Senate conference Committee.

We are very concerned about Congress providing the funds to implement the new law. While there is much confusion at this time about the appropriation process for FY2003, we strongly urge the leadership of the House and Senate and President Bush to support inclusion of \$2.16 billion in a continuing resolution. This is the amount authorized for FY2003 by the "Help America Vote Act." We believe that funding and improving voting practices in the United States is as important as our efforts to strengthen homeland security.

Thank you again for your continuing efforts to fund and implement this new law.

Sincerely,

LARRY E. NAAKE,
Executive Director.

ELECTION CENTER,
Houston, TX, October 8, 2002.

Hon. ROBERT NEY,
Hon. STENY HOYER,
Hon. CHRISTOPHER DODD,
Hon. MITCH MCCONNELL,
House Administration Committee and Senate
Rules Committee, Washington, DC.

CONGRESSMEN NEY AND HOYER AND SENATORS DODD AND MCCONNELL: On behalf of the elections community of America, I want

to congratulate each of you for accomplishing what grizzled veterans said could not be done: you have produced bi-partisan legislation that will help America cure the worst of the problems discovered in Election 2000.

The Election Center neither supports nor opposes legislation—our members nationwide will do that on their own—but we can state what we believe the impact of the legislation will do for American elections.

This bill is not perfect. Few pieces of legislation that deal with complex issues are. And I know that there have been public comments from some quarters that they dislike provisions contained in the legislation. I hope that we all can remember that agreements between the two parties are hard to satisfy when we talk about something as fundamental as the democratic process.

As leaders of the committees of jurisdiction in the U.S. House and the U.S. Senate you have fashioned legislation which does, however, address many of the serious problems discovered in Election 2000. You have found methods which reach and solve many of the real problems and provides a role for each level of government. Real progress is offered in your legislation in assuring Americans that they will be able to go exercise their right to vote and have those votes counted.

Finding the right balance of voter protections, integrity of the process, and yet not upsetting the ability of states and local governments to maintain responsibility for this process has not been an easy task. You have managed to reach consensus that protects the rights of minorities, extends new services to the blind and disabled, to military and overseas voters, and allows the states to help rebuild the infrastructure of elections. The months of delay waiting on bi-partisan legislation have developed a true compromise bill. While perfection may not have been reached, it is a good compromise for our democracy.

Congratulations on a job well done. This is responsible legislation.

Sincerely,

R. DOUG LEWIS,
Executive Director.

NATIONAL FEDERATION
OF THE BLIND,
Baltimore, MD, October 9, 2002.

Hon. ROBERT NEY,
Chairman,
Hon. STENY H. HOYER,
Ranking Minority Member,
Committee on House Administration, House of
Representatives, Washington, DC

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN AND CONGRESSMAN HOYER: I am writing to express the strong support of the National Federation of the Blind (NFB) for the Help America Vote Act of 2002. Thanks to your efforts and strong bipartisan support, this legislation includes provisions designed to guarantee that all blind persons will have equal access to voting procedures and technology. We particularly endorse the standard set for blind people to be able to vote privately and independently at each polling place throughout the United States.

While the 2000 election demonstrated significant problems with our electoral system, consensus regarding the solution proved to be much more difficult to find. Part of that solution will now include installation of up-to-date technology for voting throughout the United States. This means that voting technology will change, and devices purchased now will set the pattern for decades to come.

With more than 50,000 members representing every state, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico, the NFB is the largest organization of blind people in the United States. As such we know about blindness

from our own experience. The right to vote and cast a truly secret ballot is one of our highest priorities, and modern technology can now support this goal. For that reason, we strongly support the Help America Vote Act of 2002 and appreciate your efforts to enact this legislation.

Sincerely,

JAMES GASHEL,
Director of Governmental Affairs.

COMMON CAUSE PRESIDENT PRAISES ELECTION REFORM AGREEMENT

Statement by Scott Harshbarger, president and chief executive officer of Common Cause, on the conference agreement on the election reform bill:

"The Help America Vote Act of 2002 is, as Senator Christopher Dodd (D-CT) has said, the first major piece of civil rights legislation in the 21st century. Nearly two years after we all learned that our system of voting had serious flaws, Congress will pass these unprecedented reforms.

"For the first time, the federal government has set high standards for state election officials to follow, while authorizing grants to help them comply. Billions of dollars will be spent to across the country improve election systems.

"This bill, while not perfect, will make those systems better. Registration lists will be more accurate. Voting machines will be modernized. Provisional ballots will be given to voters who encounter problems at the polling place. Students will be trained as poll workers.

"As Common Cause knows from a seven-year fight to pass campaign finance reform, compromise often comes slowly. We thank the bill's sponsors, Senators Dodd, Mitch McConnell (R-KY), Christopher Bond (R-MO), and Representatives Robert Ney (R-OH) and Steny Hoyer (D-MD) for their work. Their persistence—even when negotiations bogged down—brought this bill through.

"After the President signs this bill, states will need to act. Implementing this bill will require state legislatures to change laws, election officials to adopt new practices, polling places to alter their procedures, and poll workers to be retrained.

"These far-reaching changes will not come easily. The bill's enforcement provisions are not as strong as the 1993 Motor Voter law or the 1965 Voter Rights Act. Some states may lag behind and fail to implement these changes properly; some polling places will experience problems like in Florida this year; others may have problems implementing the new identification provisions.

"Common Cause and our state chapters will work with civil rights groups and others to ensure that states fully and fairly implement the new requirements. We will help serve as the voters' watchdogs: citizen vigilance can protect voters from non-compliant states.

"Voters can now look forward to marked improvements at the polls in the years ahead, thanks to the bipartisan leadership of the bill's sponsors."

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF
STATE ELECTION DIRECTORS,
October 10, 2002.

Hon. BOB NEY,
Hon. STENY HOYER,
*House Administration Committee, Longworth
House Office Building, Washington, DC.*

DEAR CONGRESSMEN NEY AND HOYER: The National Association of State Election Directors (NASED) congratulates you on the successful completion of the final conference report on H.R. 3295. This initiative will significantly affect the manner in which elections are conducted in the United States. On

balance, H.R. 3295 represents improvements to the administration of elections. As administrators of elections in each state we express our appreciation to you and your staff for providing us access to the process and reaching out to seek our views and positions on how to efficiently and effectively administer elections.

As with all election legislation, H.R. 3295 is a compromise package, which places new challenges and opportunities before state and local election officials. We stand ready to implement H.R. 3295 once it is passed by Congress and signed into law by the President. Implementation of this bill will be impossible without the full \$3.9 billion appropriation that is authorized. The success of this bold congressional initiative rests in large measure upon the appropriation of sufficient funds to bring the bill's objectives to reality.

We found the bipartisan approach to this legislation refreshing and beneficial. Thank you again for including NASED in the congressional consideration of the bill.

If we can be of further assistance, please contact our office at (202) 624-5460.

Sincerely,

BROOK THOMPSON,
President.

INTERNATIONAL UNION, UNITED
AUTOMOBILE, AEROSPACE & AGRICULTURAL IMPLEMENT WORKERS
OF AMERICA,

Washington, DC, October 8, 2002.

DEAR SENATOR DODD: This week the Senate may take up the conference report on the election reform legislation (H.R. 3295, the Help America Vote Act). The UAW supports this important legislation and urges you to vote for this conference report.

In our judgment, the conference report on H.R. 3295 will make significant improvements in our nation's election system. In particular, this legislation will require the states to allow registered individuals to cast provisional ballots if their names are mistakenly excluded from voter registration lists at their polling places. It also requires the states to ensure that voting machines allow voters to verify and correct their votes before casting them. And it requires the states to develop centralized, statewide voter registration lists to ensure the accuracy of their voter registration records. The legislation authorizes substantial new federal funding to help the states implement these reforms.

The UAW urges Congress to closely monitor progress by the states and federal government in implementing the provisions of this legislation. We believe it is especially important to make sure that the voter identification requirements are not implemented in a manner that disenfranchises or discriminates against any group of voters.

Thank you for considering our views on this important legislation to reform our nation's election system.

Sincerely,

ALAN REUTHER,
Legislative Director.

AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR
AND CONGRESS OF INDUSTRIAL ORGANIZATIONS,

Washington, DC, October 8, 2002.

DEAR SENATOR: The AFL-CIO supports the conference report on H.R. 3295, the Help America Vote Act.

This conference report will help improve our nation's election system in several important ways. It will allow registered individuals to cast provisional ballots even if their names are mistakenly excluded from voter registration lists at their polling places. It will require states to develop cen-

tralized, statewide voter registration lists to ensure the accuracy of their voter registration records. It will also require states to provide at least one voting machine per polling place that is accessible to the disabled and ensure that their voting machines allow voters to verify and correct their votes before casting them.

Since the actual number of individuals enfranchised or disenfranchised by the conference report on H.R. 3295 will depend on how the states and the federal government implement its provisions, the AFL-CIO will closely monitor the progress of this new law—especially its voter identification requirements. We will also increase our voter education efforts to ensure that individuals know and understand their new rights and responsibilities.

Sincerely,

WILLIAM SAMUEL,
*Director,
Department of Legislation.*

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE
ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE,
Washington, DC, October 8, 2002.
Re conference report to H.R. 3295, the Help America Vote Act (election reform).

DEAR SENATORS: The National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP), our Nation's oldest, largest and most widely-recognized grassroots civil rights organization supports the conference report on H.R. 3295, the Help America Vote Act and we urge you to work quickly towards its enactment.

Since its inception over 90 years ago the NAACP has fought, and many of our members have died, to ensure that every American is allowed to cast a free and unfettered vote and to have that vote counted. Thus, election reform has been one of our top legislative priorities for the 107th Congress and we have worked very closely with members from both houses to ensure that the final product is as comprehensive and as non-discriminatory as possible.

Thus we are pleased that the final product contains many of the elements that we saw as essential to addressing several of the flaws in our Nation's electoral system. Specifically, the NAACP strongly supports the provisions requiring provisional ballots and statewide voter registration lists, as well as those ensuring that each polling place have at least one voting machine that is accessible to the disabled and ensuring that the voting machines allow voters to verify and correct their votes before casting them.

The NAACP recognizes that the actual effectiveness of the final version of H.R. 3295 will depend upon how the states and the federal government implement the provisions contained in the new law. Thus, the NAACP intends to remain vigilant and review the progress of this new law at the local and state levels and make sure that no provision, especially the voter identification requirements, are being abused to disenfranchise eligible voters.

Again, on behalf of the NAACP and our more than 500,000 members nation-wide, I urge you to support the swift enactment of the conference report on H.R. 3295, the Help America Vote Act. Thank you in advance for your attention to this matter; if you have any questions or comments I hope that you will feel free to contact me at (202) 638-2269.

Sincerely,

HILARY O. SHELTON,
Director.

AMERICAN FOUNDATION
FOR THE BLIND,
Washington, DC, October 2, 2002.

Hon. CHRISTOPHER DODD,
U.S. Senate, Russell Senate Office Building,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATOR DODD: The American Foundation for the Blind supports the conference report for S. 565 and H.R. 3295. We are pleased that the conference report contains the disability provisions of the Senate bill.

Already this year, in some jurisdictions, blind and visually impaired voters have, for the first time, been able to cast a secret and independent ballot. We look forward to the day when all voters with visual impairments will have full and independent access to the electoral process.

The mission of the American Foundation for the Blind (AFB) is to enable people who are blind or visually impaired to achieve equality of access and opportunity that will ensure freedom of choice in their lives. AFB led the field of blindness in advocating the enactment of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA). Today, AFB continues its work to protect the rights of blind and visually impaired people to equal access to employment, information, and the programs and services of state and local government.

Sincerely,

PAUL W. SCHROEDER,
Vice President,
Governmental Relations.

UNITED CEREBRAL
PALSY ASSOCIATIONS,
Washington, DC, October 9, 2002.

DEAR SENATOR DODD: United Cerebral Palsy Association and affiliates support the conference report on H.R. 3295, the Help America Vote Act. We also take this opportunity to commend you for the work you did to ensure that all people with disabilities have equal access under this act.

This legislation, while not perfect, will go a long way in improving the ability of people with disabilities to exercise their constitutional right and responsibility to vote. The funding allocated for the multiple provisions of H.R. 3295 is critical, and we pledge to work with Congress to ensure that this funding is made available.

UCP stands ready to assist states' and local entities as they work toward compliance of this very important legislation. The changes outlined in the bill must be adopted swiftly, correctly and fairly, and it will be incumbent upon us all to help in this process.

Finally, UCP applauds you and your colleagues on your dogged determination to pass legislation that will make distinct improvements at the polls and in the lives of voters with disabilities.

Sincerely,

PATRICIA SANDUSKY,
Interim Executive Director.

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF
PROTECTION & ADVOCACY SYSTEMS,
October 9, 2002.

Hon. CHRIS DODD,
U.S. Senate, Russell Senate Office Building,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATOR DODD: The Protection and Advocacy System (P&A) and the Client Assistance Programs (CAPs) comprised a federally mandated, nationwide network of disability rights agencies. Each year these agencies provide education, information and referral services to hundreds of thousands of people with disabilities and their families. They also provide individual advocacy and/or legal representation to tens of thousands of people in all the states and territories. The National Association for Protection and Ad-

vocacy Systems (NAPAS) is the membership organization for the P&A network. In that capacity, NAPAS wants to offer its support for the passage of "The Help America Vote Act of 2002 (H.R. 3295).

NAPAS believes that the disability provisions in the bill go far to ensure that people with all types of disabilities—physical, mental, cognitive, or sensory—will have much improved opportunities to exercise their right to vote. Not only does this bill offer individuals with disabilities better access to voting places and voting machines, but it also will help provide election workers and others with the skills to ensure that the voting place is a welcome environment for people with disabilities. NAPAS is very pleased that P&A network will play an active role in helping implement the disability provisions in this bill.

NAPAS is well aware that there are still some concerns with certain provisions of the bill. We hope that these concerns can be worked out, if not immediately, then as the bill is implemented. It would be extremely unfortunate if people continued to face barriers to casting their ballot after this bill is signed into law.

Finally, we want to thank the bill's sponsors, Senators DODD (D-CT) and MCCONNELL (R-KY) and Representatives NEY (R-OH) and HOYER (D-MD) for their hard work and perseverance. We look forward to working with each of them to ensure the swift and effective implementation of this important legislation.

Sincerely,

BERNADETTE FRANKS-ONGOY,
President.

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

VOTING SYSTEM STANDARDS—SECTION 3.2.1

3.2.1 Accuracy Requirements

Voting system accuracy addresses the accuracy of data for each of the individual ballot positions that could be selected by a voter, including the positions that are not selected. For a voting system, accuracy is defined as the ability of the system to capture, record, store, consolidate and report the specific selections and absence of selections, made by the voter for each ballot position without error. Required accuracy is defined in terms of an error rate that for testing purposes represents the maximum number of errors allowed while processing a specified volume of data. This rate is set at a sufficiently stringent level such that the likelihood of voting system errors affecting the outcome of an election is exceptionally remote even in the closest of elections.

The error rate is defined using a convention that recognizes differences in how vote data is processed by different types of voting systems. Paper-based and DRE systems have different processing steps. Some differences also exist between precinct count and central count systems. Therefore, the acceptable error rate applies separately and distinctly to each of the following functions:

a. For all paper-based systems: (1) Scanning ballot positions on paper ballots to detect selections for individual candidates and contests; and (2) conversion of selections detected on paper ballots into digital data.

b. For all DRE systems: (1) Recording the voter selections of candidates and contests into voting data storage; and (2) independently from voting data storage, recording voter selections of candidates and contests into ballot image storage.

c. For precinct-count systems (paper-based and DRE): Consolidation of vote selection data from multiple precinct-based systems to generate jurisdiction-wide vote counts, including storage and reporting of the consolidated vote data.

d. For central-count systems (paper-based and DRE): Consolidation of vote selection data from multiple counting devices to generate jurisdiction-wide vote counts, including storage reporting of the consolidated vote data.

For testing purposes, the acceptable error rate is defined using two parameters: the desired error rate to be achieved, and the maximum error rate that should be accepted by the test process.

For each processing function indicated above, the system shall achieve a target error rate of no more than one in 10,000,000 ballot positions, with a maximum acceptable error rate in the test process of one in 500,000 ballot positions.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 3 minutes.

Twenty-three months ago, uncertainty gripped our great democracy. The United States of America, the wealthiest and most technologically advanced Nation in the world had failed, in my opinion, its most basic election duty: the duty to count every citizen's vote and count it accurately.

The votes of an estimated 4 million to 6 million Americans went uncounted in November of 2000. This national disgrace cried out for comprehensive Federal reform. Thus, I am proud today to strongly support the historic, bipartisan conference report before us, the first Civil Rights Act of the 21st century.

The Help America Vote Act of 2002 is the most comprehensive package of voting reforms since enactment of the Voting Rights Act of 1965. The conference report authorizes unprecedented Federal assistance: \$3.9 billion over 3 years to help States improve and upgrade every aspect of their election systems. This funding will replace outdated voting equipment, train poll workers, educate voters, upgrade voter lists, and make polling places accessible for the disabled.

Furthermore, this legislation prescribes an array of new voting rights and responsibilities. States will now be required to provide provisional balance to ensure no voter is turned away at the polls. It requires that we give voters the opportunity to check for and correct ballot errors. It provides at least one voting machine per precinct that allows disabled voters, including those with visual impairments, to vote privately and independently; and it provides for an implementation of a computerized statewide voter registration database to ensure accurate lists.

In addition, the conference report will require States to set standards for counting ballots and to define what constitutes a vote. To ensure the integrity of our election system, first-time voters who register by mail will be required to produce some form of identification and States will be obligated to maintain accurate voting registration lists.

This legislation, Mr. Speaker, also establishes a bipartisan 4-member elections assistance commission which will issue voluntary guidelines regarding

voting systems, administer grants, and study election issues. To ensure compliance, the conference report requires States to set up administrative grievance procedures. The U.S. Department of Justice will also be responsible for Federal enforcement.

Finally, let me remind my colleagues that passage of this conference report does not finish the journey. We now have, in my opinion, Mr. Speaker, a moral opportunity to ensure that this authorization is fully funded. I urge my colleagues to support this conference report. It will strengthen the foundation of democracy and shore up public confidence in this most basic expression of American citizenship, the right to vote and to have one's vote counted.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. EHLERS).

Mr. EHLERS. Mr. Speaker, I rise to engage the chairman of the Committee on House Administration and sponsor of this legislation in a brief colloquy.

I commend the chairman's effort in crafting this important legislation and bringing it before us today. In particular, I wish to thank him and his staff for working so closely with me in incorporating provisions of H.R. 2275, which I introduced with the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. BARCIA) and which was passed by the Committee on Science last year. My legislation established an independent commission charged with developing technical standards to ensure the usability, accuracy, security, accessibility, and integrity of voting systems. This concept is included in the conference report in section 221 in the form of the Technical Guidelines Development Committee.

The conference report charges this committee with the duty of developing voluntary voting system guidelines and then recommending these technical standards to the newly created election assistance commission.

I am seeking clarification from the chairman that it is his intent that these guidelines should include standards to ensure the usability, accuracy, security, accessibility, and integrity of voting systems, including those areas described in section 221(e)(2).

Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY), the chairman of the Committee on House Administration, to respond to this request.

Mr. NEY. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman's interpretation of the language in the conference agreement is correct.

Mr. EHLERS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairman for his assurance and for his hard work on this conference report.

Mr. Speaker, reclaiming my time, I rise in support of the conference agreement on H.R. 3295, the Help America Vote Act of 2002. I thank the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY), the chairman, and the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER), the ranking member, for their hard work on this. We have all

worked very hard to produce this bill, but their leadership is what pulled it through.

For a month after the November 2000 election, we watched in disbelief as Florida's troubled election system became a national drama and fodder for the late-night talk shows. Polling station workers across Florida struggled to discern the true intent of a voter based on their interpretation of the now-infamous hanging chad. Because of Florida's problems, the most precious component of our democracy, the expression of the free will of individual voters, was turned into a battle between attorneys. After the dust settled, we put Florida's voting system under a microscope and analyzed the flaws that troubled citizens and legislators alike.

After the Florida voting problems occurred, I, as a scientist, quickly realized that we needed to improve the technical flaws in our voting systems before State and local officials made large investments of taxpayer dollars in new voting equipment that may, in fact, be substandard. Scientists at MIT and Cal Tech came to the same realization and launched a joint research project to uncover the technical flaws in our voting systems and equipment. I thank them for their work and for their cooperation with us in this area.

After careful analysis of the problem and the MIT and Cal Tech study, I was appalled to discover many potential problems. For example, a high school computer hacker, or any other hacker, could sabotage some computer voting systems and make them display erroneous vote totals. In response to these problems, I drafted H.R. 2275 in conjunction with my colleague, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. BARCIA).

In analyzing flaws of voting equipment, one of the key issues I identified was that the FEC's standards for voting equipment had been woefully inadequate for many years. It was very clear that we needed legislation to improve the process for developing technical standards for voting equipment, and H.R. 2275 was designed to address this need.

The legislation before us today contains almost all of H.R. 2275's provisions. It will improve voting equipment, because while we can debate the particulars of how to administer an election or which voting equipment to buy, no one will disagree that any voting system should be based on the best possible standards to ensure the usability, accuracy, security, accessibility, and integrity of voting equipment.

I know that new technical standards do not capture the public's attention, but they are the very foundation upon which voting accuracy and reliability rests, just as all of our commerce rests on reliable universal standards.

□ 2100

This conference report takes the concepts from H.R. 2275 and corrects a

glaring flaw in our existing technical standards development process by creating a new 14-member panel chaired by the director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology. This panel will develop and recommend voluntary technical standards to ensure the usability, accuracy, security, accessibility and integrity of voting systems. A newly created Election Assistance Commission will then determine whether or not to adopt these voluntary standards.

Finally, the Commission will publish a central list of systems that are certified as meeting the current Federal standards. Since these standards are voluntary, States are still free to choose voting systems that are not certified, but now State election officials will be able to use this list to guide the purchasing decisions. This is a relatively simple, straightforward process that will lead to great improvement throughout our voting system.

With these provisions, voters can rest assured that casting their vote on equipment that meets the new Federal standards will mean that their vote counts.

I would also like to point out the strong anti-fraud provisions in this legislation. We must not only guarantee that each vote counts, we must also ensure these votes are not diluted by fraudulent votes. This bill will guard against fraud of many different types and will ensure that votes will be recorded accurately. We certainly do not want a return to the Tammany Halls or the Boss Prendergasts of the past.

Once again, I thank the gentleman from Ohio (Chairman NEY) and the ranking member, the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER), for working with me to incorporate my thoughts in this legislation. I believe our collaboration has made a good bill even better, and I urge all of my colleagues to support this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the conference agreement on H.R. 3295, the Help America Vote Act of 2002.

For a month after the November 2000 election, we watched in disbelief as Florida's troubled election system became a national drama and fodder for the late night network shows. Polling station workers across Florida struggled to discern the true intent of a voter based on their interpretation of the now infamous "hanging chad." Because of Florida's problems, the most precious component of democracy—the expression of the free will of individual voters—was turned into a battle between lawyers. After the dust settled, we put Florida's voting system under a microscope and analyzed the flaws that troubled citizens and legislators alike.

But the problems Florida faced weren't unique, nor were they new. Fraud, outdated and inadequate voting equipment, poor access for handicapped voters, poor training of polling station workers, and voter disenfranchisement have occurred in local, state, and national elections for years. But it took Florida's elections to spur Congressional action to correct these flaws. We can be proud that the agreement before us today addresses, and takes

action to correct, each of these issues, among others.

After the Florida voting problems occurred, as a scientist I quickly realized that we needed to improve the technical flaws in our voting systems before state and local officials made large investments of taxpayer dollars in new voting equipment that may, in fact, be substandard. Scientists at MIT and Caltech came to the same realization and launched a joint research project to uncover the technical flaws in our voting systems and equipment. I thank them for their work and for their collaboration with me in this area.

After careful analysis of the problem and the MIT and Caltech study, I was appalled to discover many potential problems. For example, a high school computer hacker, or any other hacker could sabotage some computer voting systems and make them display erroneous vote totals. In response I drafted H.R. 2275, in conjunction with my colleague from Michigan, Mr. BARCIA, to address the many problems we found. In analyzing the flaws in voting equipment, one of the key issues I identified was that the Federal Election Commission's standards for voting equipment have been woefully inadequate for many years. It was very clear that we needed legislation to improve the process for developing technical standards for voting equipment, and H.R. 2275 was designed to address this need. My legislation was reported out of the House Science Committee with the encouragement of Science Committee Chairman BOEHLERT.

The legislation before us today contains almost all of H.R. 2275's provisions. It will improve voting equipment because, while we can debate the particulars of how to administer an election or which voting equipment to buy, no one will disagree that any voting system should be based on the best possible standards to ensure the usability, accuracy, security, accessibility, and integrity of voting equipment. I know that new technical standards do not capture the public's attention, but they are the very foundation upon which voting accuracy and reliability rests, just as all our commerce rests on reliable, universal standards. From the moment that you walk into a voting booth until your vote is officially recorded, the adequacy of the standards underlying this process will help determine whether or not your vote is recorded correctly. For example, standards help ensure that new "touch screen" technology does not bias your vote for one candidate over another, that voting equipment will afford access to all individuals with disabilities, and that your vote will be transmitted securely and recorded correctly.

This conference report takes the concepts from H.R. 2275 and corrects a glaring flaw in our existing technical standards development process by creating a new 14-member panel, chaired by the Director of The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). This panel will develop and recommend voluntary technical standards to ensure the usability, accuracy, security, accessibility, and integrity of voting systems. A newly created Election Assistance Commission will then determine whether or not to adopt these voluntary standards. Once the Commission adopts these standards, labs accredited by the Commission will be able to test voting equipment and certify that new equipment meets the federal standards. Finally, the Commission will publish a central list of systems that are certified as

meeting the current federal standards. Since these standards are voluntary, states are still free to choose voting systems that are not certified, but now state election officials will be able to use this list to guide their purchasing decisions.

The legislation also includes a research and development program to support the standards development process and to develop better voting technology and systems. This is critical because research must underpin decisions that the standards development committee will be making. In addition, we need research to help improve our voting equipment and systems for future elections.

This is a relatively simple, straightforward process that will lead to great improvement throughout our voting system. With these provisions, voters can rest assured that casting their vote on equipment that meets the new federal standards will mean that their vote counts. I would also like to point out the strong anti-fraud provisions in this legislation. We must not only guarantee that each vote counts; we must also insure those votes are not diluted by fraudulent votes. While flawed voting equipment can undermine a person's right to have their vote recorded accurately, fraud can undermine our entire voting system. In my 25 years in elected office I have seen voting fraud in many different forms. It occurs more often than the American people know. The anti-fraud provisions in this legislation are common-sense measures that reasonable people will agree that we must have in order to preserve the integrity of our elections. We don't want any new Tammany Halls or Boss Preudergasts in the USA!

I want to thank Chairman NEY and Ranking Member HOYER again for working with me to incorporate my thoughts on this legislation. I believe our collaboration has made a good bill even better, and I urge all of my colleagues to support the bill.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 4½ minutes to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS), one of the most senior Members of this House, the ranking member of the Committee on the Judiciary, a giant in the civil rights movement of this country, whose voice is always heard on behalf of those who are dispossessed, downtrodden, or discriminated against. It is an honor to be his friend and an honor to serve with him in this House.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the manager, the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER), for his kind introduction, but, more importantly for what he did to help us come here today; on February 28 for his bill; on March 27 for my bill. We have been working tirelessly, and I have come to know the gentleman from Iowa (Mr. NEY), the chairman of the committee that had jurisdiction. I commend him. We have come a long, long way together.

I am very grateful to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. EHLERS) for his technological contributions.

To the gentlewoman from California (Ms. WATERS), who headed the Election Reform Task Force for the Democratic Caucus, I praise her, whose study was a classic, along with that of the Commission on Civil Rights, the Carter-Ford

Election Reform Commission, and more than a dozen other historic studies that have gone into this measure.

I am also pleased to have had and enjoy the support of the caucus of which I am a dean, the Congressional Black Caucus. I am very grateful to all of them for their work, not just in forming the legislation and contributing to the process, but going to Florida and going across the country and putting their time in.

I am looking at the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. BROWN) in particular, who I appreciate; and our other sister on the Committee on the Judiciary, the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON-LEE); and the Chairperson of the caucus, the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON), who was heroic in this matter.

So I stand here, Mr. Speaker, commending all of our friends. I cannot omit the chairman of the committee in the Senate, CHRIS DODD, who worked tirelessly for 18 months to bring us to this point, a point that was brought to us by the fact that 6 million votes were thrown out in the last Presidential election. Forty-seven percent of the disabled encountered physical barriers at the voting place, and 10 times as many African American voters in Florida were likely to have had their ballot discarded in the last Presidential election. So we have worked on a bill with major standards.

What does this bill do?

One, nobody can spoil a ballot anymore in America when this bill becomes law, no way. If you vote, the machine selected by the State, or another apparatus, has to make sure that the voter has not spoiled his ballot or her ballot before they walk out of that booth.

Number two, there is provisional voting, so any election dispute is protected; that one is not sent to a phone number that nobody ever answers or a building where the office is closed. The vote is allowed in a separate stack, and then the determination that it be included or not is a permanent record kept to be re-examined by the voter or authorities.

Three, it says that that voting site must be accessible to the disabled.

Finally, we have provisions written about language requirements. Many people went to the polls and could not read the English language carefully or clearly enough.

Then, of course, there is \$3.9 billion of funds.

The last point, this is not a perfect bill. We fought against voter ID provisions, citizen check-offs, Social Security numbers. We are going to watch it carefully in the next Congress. If it requires correcting, everybody on this side of the aisle and the chairman of the subcommittee promises that we will take whatever corrective action is necessary.

I thank Congress for their efforts in this movement.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for his contribution; but not just tonight, I thank the gentleman for his contribution over a career of fighting for people and ensuring that their rights are observed and expanded.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GONZALEZ), the son of an extraordinary Member of this House who fought for the little people of America all the time and was a giant in this House; and his son, of which he would be supremely proud, promises to be equally committed to people.

Mr. GONZALEZ. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding time to me.

To my esteemed colleague, the gentleman from Maryland, I thank him very much for those wonderfully kind words. Dad was incredibly unique for many, many reasons; and he is missed.

First, I would like to start off saying that I stand here today in opposition to this bill. It is a difficult time to stand here against a bill that does contain some very good language and make some giant strides in election reform. The drawbacks, though, basically will cancel out the true benefits of this bill.

I will start off by giving credit where credit is due, and that is for everyone who worked so hard out of this House to get out a decent bill that took the best parts of what the Senate had to offer to attempt a compromise, bring it in here in some form that would be acceptable to a majority of the Members. I know that took a lot of work, and there has been progress. I thank the Members for their efforts.

For the first time in the United States election history, an ID requirement is mandated. I attended hearings in Pennsylvania; missed a couple, I believe, in Illinois; was in Florida and Texas, California, because we had committees, we had commissions, that conducted hearings throughout this Nation. Not once, not once was there ever pointed out that there was a problem that would require a national ID requirement. This came out of the clear blue.

The Members that sit in this House tonight will tell us in their conversations, it did not emanate out of this House, not from Members of the House of Representatives.

What am I talking about? I will tell the Members what I am talking about: They have made voter registration, and the very act of voting, more difficult. As good as this bill is, it complicates the process, and it will disenfranchise individuals, individuals that live in my community, because all of the Members run for office. We know the registration process, and we know the voting process because we become part of it, and we are in those neighborhoods.

What this bill does for mail-in registration: no driver's license, no ballot; no utility bill, no ballot; no government check, no ballot; no bank statement, no ballot; no Social Security number, no ballot.

Now, Members may say, we will provide them provisional ballots. Those do not count. Those do not really count. We are talking about what happened in Florida. This gives some sort of a voting right, whatever a provisional ballot really is, because that vote truly is not going to be counted until something is cleared up.

On top of it, on top of it now, we are going to have a driver's license or a Social Security or a special four-digit assigned number. That is not just for mail-in ballots, Mr. Speaker, that is anybody, first-time registrants within a State. Even if they cross the county line, they still go through all of this. If they do not have a driver's license, they should give us the last four digits of their Social Security number. If they do not have that, we will assign them a number.

But if they do have a driver's license, if they do have a Social Security number and we use the last four digits, we need those verified. We are going to have those verified before we have a database system in place by 2004, because all this goes into effect. States will get waivers, move it to 2006. We will not even have the ability to do this.

If any Member has ever been part of a voter registration drive, they know how it is done. There is a deputy that goes up there, because no one can simply go and have something filled out and take it back. They will be asking for the driver's license. They do not have it? Then the Social Security.

But for a mail-in ballot, which a majority of the ballots in my community are submitted in this fashion, why? How long has it been since these Members have actually looked at the voter registration card in their counties? It is simple, it is unique, it is efficient. There has never been a problem that would mandate the type of requirement that we will be instituting on a nationwide basis. This will impact communities. It will impact the Latino communities.

I end by advising everybody that the Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund, the NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, the National Council of La Raza, the National Association of Latino Elected and Appointed Officials, and the National Puerto Rican Coalition all oppose this legislation.

Mr. NEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. MENENDEZ).

(Mr. MENENDEZ asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. MENENDEZ. Mr. Speaker, I thank the distinguished chairman for yielding time to me, and I thank the ranking member.

Mr. Speaker, let me say, in this great country of ours democracy can only flourish when we make all our voices heard. That is why it is important to do all we can to ensure that no vote is nullified.

I want to commend the sponsors of the Help America Vote Act. Much hard work went into crafting this legislation that seeks to address the problems that plague our Nation's voting system; and when this bill was first debated on the House floor, I sought to offer an amendment to enhance the civil rights provisions of the bill, including ensuring accessibility of polling places, provision for provisional voting, and strengthening the National Voter Registration Act. I am pleased that some of these things were included in the final bill.

□ 2115

However, I want to join my colleague, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GONZALEZ), in our concerns about other provisions that were added in the conference report. While these new identification provisions may be offered to ensure that our voting system is free of error and fraud, I fear these provisions may lead to further disenfranchise many Latino voters.

Under this bill, a Federal requirement for voter identification is created. This will be the first time ever such a provision exists in our Nation's law. I fear this starts a dangerous precedent. States will be required to ask a voter registration applicant or a first-time voter for a current driver's license number or the last four digits of their Social Security number or have a new four-digit number created and assigned to this applicant.

At a time that we should be encouraging people to come and register and be part of the democratic process, these new requirements add burdensome responsibilities in the process of voter registration and ultimately discourage voters. These people are citizens, and they know that you have to be a citizen to register to vote, which is why this whole other provision of checkoff, of citizenship checkoff, further delays the process and causes the possibility for registrars who may not see that checkoff take place to delay the ability of that individual to ultimately vote.

Lastly, we speak from experience, through manipulation of voter laws and voter intimidation. Many parts of our community and many parts of this country, including in my home State of New Jersey, have had laws used against them to ensure that they cannot vote. So in our objection we are concerned about the implementation of laws as written, and we are raising concerns about the potential or unequal administration of the law. We have seen it happen in the past, and we hope it will not continue in the future.

It is not just Hispanics, by the way. When Wisconsin looked at making changes to their voting laws, they conducted a study that found over 120,000 Wisconsin residents who did not have a driver's license or photo identification cards. Well, individuals such as these have their voices and their votes ultimately will be heard.

I intend to vote for the bill because clearly there are many good provisions in it, and it provides desperately needed resources so that all of our States can update their voting systems, but we want to wave our sabers now and let it be understood that we intend to follow this process every step of the way, through the regulatory process, through what is promulgated in that regard, through its implementation to make sure that no citizen, particularly citizens of Hispanic decent, enter this democratic process with greater difficulty or with the inability to have their vote and their voice considered.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. MENENDEZ) for his comments. I think they were well taken, as the comments from the gentleman from Texas were well taken. And I will join him and I know the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY) will as well to ensure that their fears are not realized.

Mr. Speaker, how much time remains on our side?

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). The gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER) has 18½ minutes remaining. The gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY) has 6½ minutes remaining.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to my distinguished colleague, the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. FATTAH), the next ranking Democrat on the committee who has been such a critical participant in forging this legislation.

Mr. FATTAH. Mr. Speaker, let me thank the managers of this bill for their work, not just here on the floor but more importantly in the conference committee. And also I add kudos to Senator DODD, who has really worked hard with the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY) and the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER) and also to pay deference to the dean, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS).

This is a good bill. It is not, as we now know, a perfect bill; but it is a bill that moves this process forward.

Mr. Speaker, I served as a teller here in the House, and I had to record the results from the Florida election and the Presidential race in the year 2000. And we know that not only were there votes not counted by many in the State of Florida, but throughout this country there are holes in our democracy. And this bill is an attempt to respond to that.

We have worked the will of the conference committee, merging ideas in the Senate and the House. There are things in this bill that I am sure your Senate colleagues would rather not be there and things we prefer not be a part of this bill, but there is a shared consensus of the conferees; and we would hope that it would receive an overwhelming favorable endorsement here in the House, and I think it will move our democracy toward a more perfect Union.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair would remind Members that it is

not in order to cast reflections on the Senate, either positively or negatively on individual Senators.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I know the Speaker of the House, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. HASTERT), is on the floor and he is about to leave. With him is his deputy chief of staff, Mr. Stokke. Before he leaves, I want to take the opportunity to thank him and Mr. Stokke. Both of these gentlemen were vitally interested in this legislation. Both were extraordinarily helpful in seeking its passage. The Speaker has committed to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY) and I that he will work with us to make sure that this obligation is not an unfunded mandate, but in fact that we give the States the resources necessary. I wanted to thank the Speaker before he leaves the floor and thank Mr. Stokke, as well.

Mr. NEY. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from Florida (Mr. DAVIS), a member of our committee who has been intimately involved throughout this consideration and was so important in making sure that we had a bill that we could pass.

Mr. DAVIS of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I wanted to commend the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER) and the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY) on their work.

Mr. Speaker, as a Floridian I need to provide a little more sober assessment as to where we are and where we need to go.

I painfully need to first point out that we began discussing this issue right after the November 2000 elections, and it has taken the verge of the next set of elections to revisit the issue. We should not just be talking about this issue at election time. This is a burden we all bear, Federal, State and local. The people that testified before the House Administration Committee pointed out to us that the legislation, if it was going to work, was not just about replacing machines. It was about making sure that we had qualified people who were trained to use the machines. And, unfortunately, once again in my home State of Florida we have provided another painful lesson as to just how right they were.

Let me also point out that tonight is only half the battle. This is an authorization bill; but the guts of the bill, apart from some of the issues that have been discussed earlier, have to do with some of the funding that needs to be provided. I want to urge the President for the first time to stand up and be counted on this and to release the funds that he has sequestered that would provide the first \$400 million in installment for this bill and to work with Democrats and Republicans to fund this bill, because without funding, the bill will only be an expression. It will not be action by this Congress.

So this is the beginning tonight. I applaud the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER) and the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY), but we need to get to work on finishing the bill.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. WATERS), who chaired the special committee on election reform and held hearings all over this country and heard from literally hundreds of citizens on the issues confronting them at election time. "Revitalizing Our Nation's Election System" is a report issued by the Waters Commission, which was extraordinarily helpful to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY) and me in bringing this legislation to fruition. I thank her for that. I thank her for the contributions she has made. I am honored to serve with her.

Ms. WATERS. Mr. Speaker, I would like to thank the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER) and the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY) for the hard work they put in trying to get this election law passed so that we would not experience what we have experienced in Florida and other parts of this country.

Mr. Speaker, my ancestors could not vote. My ancestors were blocked from being able to vote with such tactics as forcing them to have to pay poll taxes and take literacy tests. And we saw some of the same kind of tactics used in Florida and some other parts of this country in the national election that basically stunned the world. And so when the Democratic House minority leader, the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. GEPHARDT), asked me to lead the Democratic Caucus Special Committee on election reform, I said, yes, I must do this.

The committee was given the responsibility to travel throughout America and examine our Nation's voting practices and equipment. Over a 6-month period, this committee held six public field hearings in Philadelphia, San Antonio, Chicago, Jacksonville, Cleveland, and Los Angeles. We heard from election experts.

We heard from election experts and hundreds of voters about what is right and wrong with our election system. I was overwhelmed about the outpouring of interest and the support we received from our Nation's voters.

The conference report before us today authorizes grants to test new voting equipment and increases access to polling places by voters with disabilities. The conference report establishes election standards that require States to allow voters to check and correct their ballots, provide access to disabled voters, allow provisional voting when there is question of an individual's eligibility.

This is not a perfect conference report, and I had to think long and hard about supporting it. I do not like any ID requirements. We do not have any in California. I do not like having to ask people for a driver's license or a Social Security number.

But despite those things that I do not like and what I think is wrong with this bill, I am going to support it because we need to get started with correcting what is wrong with our election systems here in America. And hopefully, we will continue to work on this so that we can come up with perfect legislation to deal with those problems.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for her comments and again would pledge with the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY) and myself and the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) and others to continue to work with her towards those solutions.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. PRICE). The gentleman has been involved with election reform as long as I can remember. He is an extraordinary leader on this bill and in this House on these issues.

Mr. PRICE of North Carolina. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me time.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of the conference report on the Help America Vote Act. I want to congratulate the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER), the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY), the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS), and others who have relentlessly pursued this historic bipartisan agreement.

Mr. Speaker, the problems that Florida experienced at the polling places and its primaries again this year demonstrate that our last national election was not just a once-in-a-life-time phenomenon. The problems that plagued us 2 years ago will continue to occur if we do not take action to address them. This legislation takes that action.

It requires States to meet minimum Federal election standards. It authorizes funds to help implement those standards and to educate voters, improve equipment, train poll workers and improve access for disabled voters. It also incorporates key elements of legislation I helped author, the Voting Improvement Act, H.R. 775, to buy out unreliable and outdated punch card machines, the type of equipment that has the highest error rate.

Mr. Speaker, now more than ever we need to make sure that every American can participate fully in our democratic form of government. We must ensure that every vote is counted. I urge my colleagues to take a significant step towards achieving these goals by joining me in support of the conference report, H.R. 3295.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON), the distinguished chair of the Congressional Black Caucus, who has been involved since the very first day in demanding that we pass election reform, in focusing in on election reform and working towards the adoption of the bill; and I thank her for her efforts.

(Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas asked and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I want to use this minute to say that I want to thank the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY), whom I visited the very first day of the session to talk about this, and the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER), who stayed the course, and Senator DODD and the Senate who led the deliberations in the Senate.

There was such an overwhelming outcry from this Nation and internationally that came to the Black Caucus after January 6, 2001, that we knew we had to act.

□ 2130

This became the number one priority for the Congressional Black Caucus to do something about election reform.

The faith in the system had gone. Today hopefully it will start to restore it. This is not to say this is a perfect bill, but it is to say that it is a major, major step in the right direction; and we hope that the President will keep his word to me. He made it a public statement when he said he will support it, and he would see that the money would be in the budget.

We appreciate it; and, Mr. Speaker, this is the civil rights bill of the new millennium.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 3295, a bill that will restore integrity to our nation's voting system. I strongly urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

Mr. Speaker, today is a proud day for the Congressional Black Caucus. Throughout this Congress, election reform has been our number one legislative priority.

On January 6, 2001, our Members walked out of this chamber to protest the voting irregularities and intimidation that resulted in a President who was appointed by the Supreme Court, rather than elected by the people.

We said we would not rest until the right to vote of every American was protected.

Mr. Speaker, I am proud to say that after 21 months of floor speeches and field hearings, we are very, very close to delivering on our word.

Now, this legislation is not perfect. But it is a tremendous step forward. And, with the 2002 elections just a mere 26 days away, and the 2004 elections on the horizon, it's time to move the ball down the field.

It's time to implement the centralized voter registration and standardized balloting called for by this bill.

It's time that we fund training and technical assistance programs to educate poll workers and replace faulty voting machinery.

And it's time to implement provisional balloting, so that no voter will get turned away from the polls if their eligibility is challenged.

These provisions will all go a long way toward correcting the disenfranchisement that we witnessed in 2000.

However, because I believe that these regulations should be enacted quickly, I am concerned that this legislation gives states waivers to push back their deadlines for many of these protections.

I am also troubled that this legislation authorizes funding for these programs without appropriating the \$3.9 billion dollars that they will require.

Lastly, for far too long, we have seen voting regulations corrupted and used to deny the votes of millions of people, especially people of color.

We must remain vigilant that the voter protections in this legislation are implemented evenly and effectively. And we must ensure that they are enforced with the full weight of our justice system.

Our work is cut out for us. It is easy to see that this legislation is really only the beginning. But it is a good beginning.

Now, I must thank the Members of the Conference Committee from both Chambers for working many, many late nights to complete their work on this legislation.

In particular, I would like to thank the gentleman from Maryland, Mr. HOYER, who has been battling to extend these important protections to our nation's voters. I would also like to commend Chairman NEY for his work in helping reach this compromise.

Finally, let me thank the Members of the Congressional Black Caucus for their extraordinary work. In particular, I must commend the gentleman from Michigan, Mr. CONYERS, for his leadership in co-authoring one of the original House election reform bills and for working to ensure that this bill became a reality.

As I conclude, let me remind my colleagues: The time to improve our elections system is now. We must make sure all Americans can register to vote, remain on the rolls once registered, vote free from harassment, and have those votes counted. I believe that this bill achieves those goals.

I call upon my colleagues to vote in favor of this legislation today. Mr. Speaker, we must act before another day has passed.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. LANGEVIN), a freshman Member of this House, an extraordinary Member of this House, who has been very much involved in the adoption of this bill as former Secretary of State in the administration of elections and a person who has confronted the challenges of barriers to participation. His participation was critical to the passage of this measure.

(Mr. LANGEVIN asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LANGEVIN. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me the time.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to be here on this historic day to urge passage of H.R. 3295, the Help America Vote Act. The measure sets minimum standards for elections and provides States with the much-needed resources to upgrade voting equipment, improve election accuracy and provide voter education and poll worker training.

This legislation has rightly been called the first civil rights legislation of the 21st century because it will ensure that all Americans can participate fully in our democracy by being guaranteed the fundamental right to vote.

We would not be here without the leadership of the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY) and the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER), my good friends on the Committee on House Administration. Their diligent efforts to craft a

bipartisan election reform bill demonstrates the successes that we may enjoy by setting aside our differences and working for the good of the American people. I particularly appreciate their work to make our polling places and election equipment accessible to people with disabilities.

I encourage my colleagues to vote for this measure.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. BROWN), who has stood on this floor, stood up in Florida and stood in every forum to demand that we do what we can to ensure that every person's vote counts.

(Ms. BROWN of Florida asked and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. BROWN of Florida. Mr. Speaker, to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY), the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER), Congressional Black Caucus, and I have got to say Senator DODD, we would not be here today if it was not for their leadership.

I tell my colleagues this is a great day. I know this is not a perfect bill, but it is the perfect beginning. I say that over and over again because, as I stand here today, 27,000 of my constituents' votes were thrown out because of old equipment. Do my colleagues hear me? Twenty-seven thousand votes that have not been counted to date.

And I want to say to the young people, it does matter who is in charge. It matters who is in charge, and this is the first step that we have taken to correct that, the first step.

I know that all of the civil rights community is not happy with this bill. I am not happy with it. The reason why I am not happy with it is because it took so long to get here. I wanted it here for the midterm elections. It is not, but it will be for the 2004 election.

Mr. Speaker, this is not a perfect bill but, for me, it is the greatest accomplishment of the 107th Congress. The greatest thing we have done is to make sure that what happened in the 2000 election never happens again in this country.

Mr. Speaker, I am here today to say that it matters who is in charge.

To the young people, I want you to know that your vote does matter, and that every vote counts. And voting matters because the person in charge sets the agenda. In Florida, and here in Washington, it is very clear just who is in charge and who is setting the agenda. Clearly, the Republican party thinks it is much more important to cut taxes and send the Federal budget into deficit than to focus on issues like election reform, health care, Social Security, and education.

There is no perfect bill, but this bill is a beginning. It has been 628 days since the 2000 election, and here we are, nearly 2 years later, and have just passed an election reform bill. I am thrilled we finally have an election reform bill though: We now have a bill which gives over \$170 million to the State of Florida for election reform, and \$3.6 billion to the States overall. Not perfect, but a good start. This bill requires States to do things they should have

done long, long ago: Provisional balloting, replacing outdated punch-card voting machines, properly trained poll workers, educating voters, and upgrading voter lists . . . and making polling places more accessible for the disabled.

Everyone in this country and throughout the world knows that the 2000 elections were a complete sham. In my district alone, Florida's Third Congressional District, 27,000 of my constituents' votes were thrown out. Let me repeat that: 27,000. Now I know who won the last election and it was not the person sitting in the White House right now who is guiding this country into war.

And the incredible thing is that since the 2000 elections, in the State of Florida, Governor Bush has only spent \$32 million to overhaul the voting system. So, Florida, with 16 million people, spent \$32 million, while our neighbor, Georgia, with only 8 million residents, spent \$54 million on election reform.

I guess we see where the Florida Governor's priorities lie. He, like the Republican party here in Washington, is mainly interested in tax cuts for the country club group. Election reform just isn't very high up on their list.

In fact, the Governor did not even allow enough time during the Florida primaries to hold mock elections to educate voters and poll workers before the primaries.

Now I know there is no perfect bill, and I know many in the civil rights community and many here tonight are not happy with this compromise. And I am disappointed it has taken so long to reach a compromise and get an election reform bill passed. And I'm unhappy the conference report today will not pass in time to affect the mid-term elections. But I am happy to see we are ending the 107th Congress with a bill, and that we are finally addressing the problem of elections in this country. No, Mr. Speaker, this bill is not perfect, but it is to me, the greatest accomplishment of the 107th Congress, and I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on the conference report.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). The Chair would remind all Members it is not in order to refer to individual Senators except as the sponsor of a measure.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from New York (Mrs. MALONEY).

(Mrs. MALONEY of New York asked and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. MALONEY of New York. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the conference report and important civil rights bill that will make much-needed reforms in the way that we vote. For too long Americans had to deal with outdated polling practices, alleged fraud and confusing voting equipment and inexperienced poll workers. While the bill is not perfect, with this legislation we will begin to make improvements that prevent election controversies that continue to emerge in different parts of the Nation.

I am pleased to see that two provisions that I offered along with the gentleman from New York (Mr. REYNOLDS), my friend and colleague, have been included in the legislation. The

bill ensures that overseas voters who fill out an application for voter registration will automatically receive an absentee ballot for two Federal general elections following registration. Additionally, the bill establishes an office in each State to respond to overseas voters inquiries. Overseas voters deserve the same opportunities to cast their ballots in elections as those who are able to make it to their local polling place on election day.

This is a movement towards truly every vote counting, and I commend the great leadership of the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER) and the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY).

Overseas voters deserve the same opportunities to cast their ballots in elections as those who are able to make it to their local polling place on election day.

I have spoken with Ambassadors, members of the armed services, and other American citizens living abroad who have expressed their desire to establish a more effective voting process for those living overseas.

Our constituents deserve to be a part of the electoral process no matter where they live.

With the passage of this legislation, we will ensure that each citizen's vote truly does count.

I'd like to commend my colleagues Chairman NEY and Ranking Member HOYER for their work on this issue and for bringing this bipartisan legislation to the floor.

I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Michigan (Ms. KILPATRICK).

(Ms. KILPATRICK asked and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. KILPATRICK. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY), as well as the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER) for yielding the time and bringing the bill to the floor, some 20 plus months after the worst catastrophe in American history happened in our country.

The right to vote and have that vote counted is the most sacred thing an American citizen can have, and this bill begins the process of rectifying the very bad past that we experienced in 2000.

I want to commend the work of the committee. I want to work with my colleagues to see it implemented properly. I like the emphasis on high school and college students and voter education.

On that, I want to work with the committee to see that literacy is addressed. Too many people in America cannot read or read between the 4th and 6th grade level. We have got to make sure that the election materials reach that population so that it can vote.

With that, Mr. Speaker, I will cast my vote for this bill and ask that we continue to do the things necessary so all people's vote count and all people who are registered can vote.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of the conference report on H.R. 3295, the Help America Vote Act. I also want to commend

Chairman NEY and Ranking Member HOYER for their hard work on this landmark legislation.

In the aftermath of the 2000 election and the ensuing controversy that prevailed, it became abundantly clear that it was essential for our Nation to overhaul election administration processes. Our consideration of this act could not occur at a more favorable time because the specter of possible voter fraud, voter disenfranchisement and ballot confusion remain.

H.R. 3295 authorizes \$3.9 billion over 3 years to help States replace punch card and lever voting machines to improve the administration of elections. As we prepare for midterm elections, once again the political stakes are high.

H.R. 3295 is important legislation because its enactment will enable voters to check for and correct ballot errors in a private and independent manner. The act will also ensure that legitimate voters will not be turned away from the polls. Furthermore, H.R. 3295 requires that States maintain clean and accurate voter lists.

As the Representative for the 15th Congressional District in Michigan, I am acutely aware of the vital importance of empowering every prospective voter. In the recent past, numerous black voters were disenfranchised due to the imposition of insidious practices designed to prohibit voter participation. Literacy tests, poll taxes, and voter intimidation were employed successfully to thwart black voter participation. However, a new day has dawned and Americans can now look forward to the overhaul of election administration.

I do, however, want to alert my colleagues to a concern I have about voter literacy, a problem that affects American voters. The average American reads on a 4th to 6th grade level. Therefore, it is imperative that we take steps to ensure that voting instructions and materials accommodate the literacy level of the average American. I am pleased that the conference report includes provisions to make voting sites accessible to persons with disabilities, and it affirms the Voting Rights Act of 1965. Nonetheless, I continue to have reservations about the potential for voter disenfranchisement.

As a former educator, I recognize the importance of reading and comprehending written material. I refer my colleagues to the provision in the bill that authorizes a total of \$3 billion over fiscal year 2003 through fiscal year 2005 that can be used in part to provide voter education. It is my hope that some part of those resources will be used to address voter literacy.

I am pleased to support the conference report, and I am confident the provisions of the bill will usher in critical changes that will serve to enhance the legitimacy of our electoral process.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Florida (Mrs. MEEK), an extraordinary Member of this body who will be leaving this body and we will be poorer for it, who experienced firsthand the trauma of people coming to the ballot box and being unable to cast their vote and being assured that it counts.

(Mrs. MEEK of Florida asked and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. MEEK of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the gentleman from

Maryland (Mr. HOYER), my good friend, for yielding me the time.

It was once said that all that is required for evil to triumph is for good people to do nothing. We had some very good people doing something on this: the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER), the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY), the gentlewoman from California (Ms. WATERS), the Congressional Black Caucus, the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. BROWN) and the entire lot, they wanted to do something, not just say nothing could be done because of the problems. The problems were faced.

We do not have a perfect bill, but we have the very best we could get, and it could not have been done without the people that I just mentioned. So I am glad that I lived to see this bill happen, and we all are very emotional about it because of the fact this, to us, is an emancipation of some of the problems we have had with voting in this country, and I want to thank the writers of this bill and the people who participated in it.

For once, we will go forward to do something better for this country and so that everybody can be created equal.

Mr. Speaker, this Conference Report is an important milestone for democracy in America. I am thrilled that the election reform conferees have heeded the will of the Congress and the American people and reached an Election Reform Conference Agreement that takes enormous steps toward ensuring that every voter counts equally and that every vote cast is counted. Last week, when this House overwhelmingly approved my Motion to Instruct the Election Reform Conferees to produce a Conference Report by October 4, 2002, the prospects for election reform were still very much in doubt.

I congratulate my good friends Representative STENY HOYER, Senator CHRIS DODD, Chairman BOB NEY, Senator MITCH MCCONNELL, Senator CHARLES SCHUMER, Senator KIT BOND, the Chair of the Congressional Black Caucus Representative EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON, Representative JOHN CONYERS, Representative MAXINE WATERS, Representative CORRINE BROWN, Representative ALCEE HASTINGS, my other CBC Colleagues, and my South Florida Democratic Colleagues PETER DEUTSCH and ROBERT WEXLER on this outstanding achievement.

From the day of the 2000 Presidential election catastrophe in Florida and elsewhere to today, including last month's primary election fiasco in Florida, I vowed that I would not rest until the Congress passed and adequately funded a real election reform bill and the President signed it into law. The Conference Agreement is an important step toward achieving my goal. The next step is to honor our shared commitment to adequately fund the implementation of this legislation through our appropriations process so that we do not create an unfunded mandate for the states.

As many of you know, I had a problem myself in last month's primary election when I stopped by a library branch in my precinct to cast an early vote. I was delayed from voting for more than 30 minutes because the only computer available was not working and the election officials on duty said that they couldn't verify that I was an eligible voter. So the need

for election reform is not some abstract matter to me. It is something real and very personal. When I said, "No more Florida voting problems", I meant it. It remains extremely important to me to achieve real election reform for my constituents before I conclude my congressional service.

Mr. Speaker, the Conference Report is an historic achievement, certainly the most important piece of election and voting rights legislation since the Voting Rights Act of 1965. It will mean millions of dollars in Federal assistance to Florida and every other state and will go a long way toward making voting rights problems, such as those that occurred in Florida, a thing of the past.

The Conference Report contains such important protections as provisional voting, 2nd-chance voting, privacy in voting for voters with disabilities, statewide computerized lists of registered voters, and uniform and nondiscriminatory standards for counting ballots so that your chance to have your vote counted will not depend on where you live. It also authorizes \$3.8 billion in funding over the next three years to help states replace and renovate voting equipment, train poll workers, educate voters, upgrade voter lists, and make polling places more accessible for the disabled.

When this Conference Report becomes law, no qualified voter can ever again be turned away from the polling place without first being offered the opportunity to cast a provisional ballot. Voters will be able to correct their ballots easily if they make a mistake and vote for the wrong candidate, or nullify their ballot by voting for too many candidates.

Mr. Speaker, this is not a perfect bill. Like virtually every Conference Agreement, the Conference Report is the product of negotiation and compromise. As a result, it contains some provisions from the Senate bill, like the voter ID requirements for first time voters and the related and redundant citizenship check-off declaration, that would not be in the bill if I alone had been able to draft it.

Some civil rights organizations have expressed their concerns that the voter ID provisions and the citizenship check-off requirement could have a discriminatory and disproportionate impact on those prospective voters, such as racial and ethnic minorities, students, the poor, and people with disabilities, who are substantially less likely to have photo identification than other voters. Given my commitment to voting rights, I take these concerns seriously, but, they do not affect my support for this Conference Report.

To address the concerns about voter ID, I urge the Election Assistance Commission to be established by this Conference Report to carefully monitor the implementation of the voter ID requirements by the states so that the Commission may make recommendations for further reform if it uncovers evidence that these requirements are interfering with the opportunity of any qualified voter to vote and have his vote counted.

Mr. Speaker, when the House and the Senate approve this Conference Report and the President signs it, and we fully fund its implementation, we will take an enormous step toward ensuring that all qualified voters receive an equal right to vote and to have their vote counted.

I urge all my Colleagues to support this Conference Report.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Ms. DELAURO), assistant Democratic leader, outspoken strong fighter for a citizen's right to vote, have that vote counted, an extraordinarily effective worker on behalf of the passage of this bill.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of this legislation and thank those who have made it possible.

Not long ago we took our right to vote for granted, but what occurred in Florida 2 years ago and again last month reminded all Americans how very sacred that right is. The right to vote is a cornerstone of our democracy, the most basic and most essential expression of citizenship. When that right is put into doubt, when citizens cannot know that a ballot cast is a ballot counted and that their unique voice has not been heard, it undermines confidence of our entire political system as well as the government formed on the foundation of our ballots.

People must simply have the confidence that their vote counts. That is what this legislation is about. It authorizes nearly \$4 billion during the next 3 years to modernize our equipment, poll worker training, voter education, improved voter lists, improved voter access, provisions that would alert voters to improperly marked ballots like those we saw during the last presidential election. It goes a long way toward restoring the integrity of our electoral system.

Our work is not done. We must make sure that the funds for this bill are not merely authorized but appropriated so that this historic legislation does not become just another empty promise. At a time when American leadership in the world is critical, following through reforming on our election system is simply too important to address halfheartedly.

I am proud to support it.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON-LEE), a member of the conference committee who succeeded Barbara Jordan in her seat, an extraordinary fighter for our Constitution and for our people, and she is following in that tradition.

(Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas asked and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I thank the distinguished gentleman from Maryland very much for yielding me the time.

The gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER) did stay the course and the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY), the chairman and the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS), and to be admonished, I know I will be, Senator DODD. The work that they all have done has brought us to this place.

When I went to Florida, I saw many people in the aftermath of the 2000 election as we sought the recount; and they were minorities, they were elderly, they were Jewish Americans, they

were Hispanic Americans. They were Americans, and each of them said that their vote had not been counted.

Today, let me thank my colleagues because we do have the civil rights act of the millennium but, more importantly, the most historic piece of legislation since the Voter Rights Act of 1965 which helped create the seat that Barbara Jordan held in this United States Congress.

So I am very gratified that we will now have provisional balloting. We will now have State-wide registration. We will now have the ability for disabled individuals to access the voting place. We will now have the ability for funding so that we can get rid of punch cards and we can get rid of paper ballots if the communities desire to do so.

Might I say that I am very grateful as well that the thousands of people who have been purged from the rolls now will have language in this legislation that they must have notice before they are purged. I am grateful that that particular provision that I desired to get in in working with the advocacy groups, we were able to clarify it. Because thousands of persons were purged off the rolls without knowing in the State of Texas, and thousands were purged off in the State of Florida. We have much work to do.

I am opposed to the photo ID. I am opposed to discriminating against people because they are Hispanic or ethnic minorities. The photo ID, let us work on that.

This is a great bill, and I offer my support, but there is more work to be done.

Mr. Speaker, first, I would like to thank Mr. HOYER, Mr. CONYERS, Mr. DODD in the Senate, Mr. HALL and Mr. BARCIA of the Science Committee

I rise in support of the Help America Vote Act, although there are issues that should still be resolved. After the election debacles of the past two years, I had hoped that we could have produced a perfect solution to the problems that plague our voting systems. Unfortunately, we did not. But I feel that that should not keep us from passing this landmark piece of legislation. This is a major civil rights initiative of this century.

The bill we have before us takes a great stride toward giving the American people the fair and efficient system of voting that the American people deserve, but it should not be the final step. Even after this Act is signed into law, as I assume it will be, we must continue to be vigilant—looking for obstacles that disenfranchise legal voters, and removing those obstacles.

As a Member of the Judiciary Committee and of the Science Committee, I have been actively involved in the development of this bill. Indeed, I served as a conferee on several parts of the legislation. In it, there is much in it to be pleased with. Voting is the cornerstone of any democracy, and must be above all suspicion. Every vote should be counted to ensure that every voter is being heard.

One excellent provision of this bill is that it follows the recommendation of the National Commission on Election Reform by taking full advantage of the expertise and experience at

the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). NIST has long been reporting on voting standards and technologies, and should be the perfect group to direct and coordinate efforts to develop performance-based standards for voting equipment. Such standards will improve the accuracy, integrity, and security of our polling systems.

When this bill first came out of conference, it included language that would have forced any state employing these standards to pay royalties to the company that developed it, although those standards were developed with taxpayers funds. Thanks to a well-coordinated, bipartisan effort by us conferees from the Science Committee, this language was removed. We also ensured that once standards are created, that NIST will also be charged with accrediting the labs that will certify election equipment, to make it more likely that smart plans will translate into real benefits.

Other victories have come in the field of purging of registered voter lists. Although purging of voter-rolls, may be a well-intentioned attempt to remove inappropriate votes from being cast—such purging has rarely, if ever, been done effectively and fairly. Done improperly, purging can be an expensive tool for discrimination or mistreatment. Consistently through the history of our nation, purging has been a mechanism for silencing minorities, and the socio-economically disadvantaged.

In Florida alone, thousands of eligible voters have been misidentified as being as felons who are unable to vote: 3,700 before election 1998, and 11,000 before election 2000. There is no reason to think that this is a Florida-specific problem. This means that perhaps hundreds of thousands of American citizens, living in the richest Democracy in the world, are having their fundamental right to vote stripped due to clerical errors. This is absolutely unacceptable. I have fought to preserve language in this bill that will ensure that voters are not unfairly purged from the voting rolls. In Texas thousands of voters were purged from the rolls without notice. The language I insisted on adding requires notice to be given to the voter and two federal elections to occur before that voter would be purged.

I know that this is a somewhat contentious piece of legislation. I had hoped that election reform would draw us all together in the name of reaffirming the principles of democracy. There are several groups, whose opinions I deeply respect, who feel we should reject this bill because it is not perfect. They are, as I am, concerned that some provisions—such as the reliance on driver's licenses and social security numbers and utility bills as forms of identification—could be used to disenfranchise the elderly, the disabled, the homeless, racial and ethnic minorities who might not have such documentation. This would bring about a disproportionate burden on voters who deserve to vote and have their vote counted.

We are also worried that simple errors in filling out registration forms—such as the failure to check a box, or to supply a driver's license number—could jeopardize a person's ability to vote. Such restrictions could significantly hamper the efforts of get-out-the-vote campaigns that enable hundreds of thousands of Americans to take part in the Democratic process each election year. There will always be a balancing-act between making it easy for people to vote, and making it difficult for people to commit voter-fraud. Although it is not perfect, I feel the present bill is a decent compromise.

As the world's greatest Democracy, we must ensure that our elections meet the highest standards of integrity. Pushing the cause of Democracy is primary part of our foreign policy. The eyes of the world are upon us every two years as Americans go to the polls. It is a disservice, not only to the American people, but to all people around the world who aspire to our level of freedom—when we sink to the lows that were seen in Florida in 2000, and again this year.

The Help America Vote Act of 2002, will set the bar for our elections, and election-systems of the future. We should always seek to raise that bar as technology improves and obstacles are recognized. However, with elections upcoming, now is the perfect time to demonstrate our commitment to progress in making each vote count. Mr. Speaker, I support the Help America Vote Act, and urge my colleagues to do the same, and look forward to the bill being fully funded.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Illinois (Ms. SCHAKOWSKY), a member of the Waters Commission on which I also had the opportunity to serve.

Ms. SCHAKOWSKY. Mr. Speaker, I want to congratulate the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER) and the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY) for succeeding in bringing forward an election reform bill that will help move our election system into the 21st century. I thank the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER) for making this a top priority and relentlessly fighting for its passage.

I had the privilege of being one of the vice chairs of the Democratic Caucus Special Committee on Election Reform under the able leadership of our chairwoman, the gentlewoman from California (Ms. WATERS), who tirelessly traveled the country holding many hearings. From young and old voters, people of color and with disabilities, we heard a clear message. Without minimum election standards and a commitment of Federal dollars, voters will continue to be disenfranchised and history doomed to repeat itself.

I am particularly pleased that this legislation includes a crucial proposal similar to legislation I introduced last year, the Provisional Voting Rights Act of 2001. Under provisional voting, duly registered voters can feel confident that if their name does not appear on the registration list they will be permitted to vote. They will not have to go to a police station or leave the polling place in order to get their provisional ballot.

Any meaningful election reform proposal must include this measure and the Help Americans Vote Act does.

□ 2145

It is not perfect, but it will bring us closer to ensuring that every citizen can vote and every vote will be counted.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

We come now to the end of this debate. It has been a short debate, too short a debate; but it has been a long

road from November 2000 to today. It was a road taken by many people.

Paul Vinovich, the chief counsel of our committee, Chet Kalis, who has done an extraordinary job on this bill and was one of the anchors, in my opinion, as we worked through this bill. Roman Buehler, who had strong contributions to this bill and a great knowledge that he brought to the consideration of this bill. Pat Leahy, who did an extraordinary job himself. Matt Petersen, Maria Robinson, Keith Abouchar, Dr. Abouchar, of my staff, who from the very first of this bill has worked daily on its provisions. Len Shambon, Bill Cable, Matt Pinkus, Noah Wofsy, Bob Bean, Neil Volz, who are no longer with us; and Beth Stein, who now works in the Senate.

All of these staffers have played an extraordinary role.

Mr. Speaker, I acknowledged earlier the Speaker of the House. I want to acknowledge the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. GEPHARDT), who was steadfast in his support of this process and whose help was absolutely critical to the final product and who met with the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY) and me when we requested him to do so to discuss how we could move this bill forward.

And then, Mr. Speaker, let me say to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. YOUNG), who is on the floor here today, that the gentleman from the State of Florida, the chairman of the Committee on Appropriations, my dear and close friend, one of the giants of this institution, his commitment to funding this legislation was and is absolutely critical. He and the Speaker have been extraordinarily supportive. And now we come to a challenge to get the \$2 billion that we are going to need for this year and the \$1 billion after that and the \$1 billion after that to ensure that this is not an empty promise.

Mr. Speaker, there are two bills I think that when I end my career I will look back on as being the most important bills in which I was involved: one that I had the privilege of sponsoring, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and this bill I have had the privilege of cosponsoring with my friend, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. NEY).

There was an article in the paper just a few days ago talking about the gentleman from Ohio and me and our relationship and how we worked together in a nonpartisan fashion. Not in a bipartisan fashion, but in a nonpolitical, nonpartisan fashion, knowing full well that Americans expect us to work together to make sure this institution works as well as it possibly can, with fairness to all 435 Members. I am blessed by the fact that the gentleman from Ohio is committed to that objective and he runs an open, fair, and effective committee. I am pleased and honored to be his colleague.

I want to say as well that I am honored to have served in this House that has come to this day in a bipartisan fashion. When the roll is called, we are

going to see the overwhelming majority of Republicans and the overwhelming majority of Democrats vote to ensure that every American not only has the right to vote but will be assured that this greatest of democracies will ensure that every individual, high or low, black or white, rich or poor, will be assured that their vote will count.

Mr. NEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

It has been said that this bill will make it easier to vote and harder to cheat, and that is true; but this bill goes way beyond a simple phrase, and I want to thank everybody that has made this bill possible.

I want to thank the people who worked on the Ford-Carter Commission, obviously, Presidents Ford and Carter. Their commission performed a tremendous service and their recommendations had a profound effect. I had the pleasure 2 days ago to be able to talk personally to Presidents Ford and Carter, and they expressed their tremendous support for this measure and their thanks to the Congress for passing it.

I want to thank the members of the conference committee. First, of course, the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER). If it were not for the gentleman from Maryland, and he came to me and he proposed the ideas and he had a vision, if it were not for him, we simply would not have had the product in the direction obviously out of the House to be where we are at today, and I want to thank him for his integrity. He is a distinguished ranking member. He heeded the call to make elections work, to restore the faith in our system; and without his persistence and gentle persuasion at critical moments, this bill would not have been possible. And I want to thank him for what he has done for his country and for the citizens.

I want to recognize the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. EHLERS), who provided invaluable support for the scientific end of it; the gentleman from New York (Mr. REYNOLDS), whose concern over the rights of military and overseas voters are strongly reflected in this bill; the gentleman from California (Mr. DOOLITTLE), who insisted on strong anti-fraud and privacy protections; the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. STUMP) and the gentleman from New York (Mr. MCHUGH), from the Committee on Armed Services, who helped to make this bill a landmark piece of legislation for military voters; the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. KIRK).

And although he is not a conferee, I want to especially mention the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BUYER), whose detailed input on the military voting issue significantly improved the bill. The gentleman from California (Mr. THOMAS) and the gentleman from Florida (Mr. SHAW), from the Committee on Ways and Means, should be given the credit for crafting the provisions to protect voter privacy. The gentleman from New York (Mr. BOEHLERT)

and the gentlewoman from Maryland (Mrs. MORELLA) made sure also that the voice of the scientific community came through.

I also want to pay special tribute to the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. BLUNT), the chief deputy whip, whose advice and guidance through the process based on his experience as the Missouri Secretary of State was essential to the final compromise.

I also want to thank the Members on the minority side who served on the conference committee: the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. FATTAH), the gentleman from Florida (Mr. DAVIS), who are tremendous Members. We are very blessed on House Administration, on both sides of the aisle, to have such terrific members: the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. SKELTON) and the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS), who gave advice and who was always willing to be there; the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. BARCIA); the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON-LEE); the gentleman from New York (Mr. RANGEL); and the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. LANGEVIN), whose support on the disabilities issue was tremendous; the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON), who always was concerned through the whole process to be part of it; and many other Members, Mr. Speaker.

I especially wanted to thank also the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. GEPHARDT), who met with the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER) and me, and also I want to thank the Speaker of the House, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. HASTERT), whose unwavering support through the past 2 years kept this process on track and has gotten us to where we are today. He had the commitment and the faith this could be done. And Mike Stokke, his staff member.

I want to thank the groups whose efforts and support made this possible: the National Association of Counties, including their staff, Ralph Tabour; the National Association of Secretaries of State, including our Secretary of State Ken Blackwell of Ohio, who picked up the phone on the first day after the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER) and I got together and said he wanted to be a part of the process to help, through the Secretaries of State; Ron Thornburg, past president of NASS, Secretary of State for Kansas; also Sharon Priest, Secretary of State of Arkansas, valuable input, and their executive director, Leslie Reynolds.

The National Conference of State Legislatures, NCSL, including Speaker Marty Stephens from Utah and staff Susan Parnes-Frederick. The Election Center and their executive director, Doug Lewis. The National Federation of the Blind, including their staff Jim McCarthy. The National Commission on Federal Election Reform, executive director Phillip Zelikow.

And I want to mention our staff for their extraordinary, and I mean extraordinary, efforts. People talk about

conference committees. There were discussions and they started at 10 a.m. and they ended at 3:15 and then started the next day at 8 a.m. and they ended at 2:15. There was a great deal of time put in on a very technical bill.

But I want to thank, from the Committee on House Administration, Paul Vinovich, our staff director, Chet Kalis, Roman Buhler, Matt Petersen, Pat Leahy, Maria Robinson, Chris Krueger, and also Will Heaton, our chief of staff of our personnel office, who kept that going. Not with us today, Neil Volz, who was originally in the process, and Jim Forbes, who was press secretary then, and our current press secretary, Brian Walsh. All of them had an integral part in making this happen.

For the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER) and the staff of the Committee on House Administration, Bill Cable, Keith Abouchar, Lenny Shambon, all were extremely valuable.

Mr. Speaker, I want to thank my wife, Liz, and my son, Bobby, and my daughter, Kayla, for putting up with me not spending enough time with them in the last couple of weeks.

Also the staff of Senator CHRIS DODD: Kennie Gill and Ronnie Gillespie and Sean Marr. The staff of Senator MITCH MCCONNELL: Brian Lewis and Leon Sequeria. For Senator KIT BOND: Julie Damman and Jack Bartling. And especially legislative counsel Noah Wofsy for the House and Jim Scott for the Senate.

From the Senate side, there is no question the integrity, the desire, the vision, the perseverance of Senator DODD. If it were not for that, we also would not be here tonight. He has done something that will live on for a long time, also along with the other two Senators, MITCH MCCONNELL and KIT BOND.

As I said at the beginning of this process, Mr. Speaker, so many months ago, that for this effort to succeed we would have to be doing it in a bipartisan manner. We are about to witness the realization and fulfillment of that prediction.

I am grateful to my friends on the other side of the aisle, as well as on the other side of the Capitol, for their willingness to put partisanship aside and work together to produce this much-needed piece of legislation for the American people.

The United States of America is the world's greatest democracy. We need an election system that is worthy of that legacy. This bill will give us an election system that all Americans can have pride in. Langston Hughes, the poet, wrote, "Dream your dreams, but be willing to pay the sacrifice to make them come true." Our veterans have sacrificed with their blood, from the beginning of this country through the revolution, to make sure we can be here tonight to debate and argue all these points that are important to us. And on top of that, people died to get the right to vote in this country. We cannot forget that.

So, therefore, this bill is important. This is the bill that is going to produce, long after we are gone, the results that we need to have faith in the system.

In closing, Mr. Speaker, we talk about what we can do for our constituency, and there are a lot of issues. We debate important issues, such as if we are going to go to war or not, and issues important to our domestic agenda. But people have to be here to be able to vote on those issues. They have to be elected at all levels throughout the United States. And the greatest gift we can give, as Members of this House tonight, the greatest gift we can give to our constituency is to vote for this measure and take back to our constituency the ability to have them have faith in the system; a knowledge that tonight America did her work on the floor of this House, as boards of elections do their work every single election across our great country.

And also Members can take the gift back to their people that tonight the body politic worked for the good of the people. The body politic did something that, again, long after we are gone, people will benefit from. Tonight America shines. We need everyone's vote and support.

Mr. DAVIS of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I wish to express my support for the conference bill on election reform, H.R. 3295. Members of both parties have worked very hard to reach agreement on this measure over several months. Although I am concerned that some of the bill's provisions relating to voter identification will not make it easier for new voters to cast their ballots, I believe this legislation represents significant progress in addressing the problems we witnessed in our last national election.

I am especially pleased that the language in this bill relating to the accessibility of voting systems for people with disabilities reflects the stronger provisions for participation outlined in Mr. LANGEVIN's July 9 motion to instruct, which I and several of my colleagues cosponsored.

Thanks to Mr. SHIMKUS and Mr. EHRlich for their help in making the conferees aware of the importance of these provisions. Their recognition that this bill must ensure people with disabilities will be able to exercise their fundamental right to cast a secret ballot demonstrates that full participation in the electoral process by all Americans is truly a bipartisan concern.

I commend the members of the conference committee for their work on this bill and I urge its passage.

Ms. SOLIS. Mr. Speaker, I rise to express my concerns about the Help America Vote Act Conference Report, H.R. 3295. I am pleased that this conference report includes provisions that help voters in the greater Los Angeles area. For example, it provides money for the upgrade of our voting system. This will greatly assist the Los Angeles County Registrar Recorder and County Clerk transition out of the punch-card voting system.

However, I'm disappointed that this conference agreement also includes provisions that can lead to the disproportionate disenfranchisement of our Nation's minority voters. It requires first-time voters who register by mail

to bring current photo identification to the polls or a copy of a current utility bill, bank statement, paycheck, or other government document that shows the name and current address of the voter. Our Federal courts have recognized that the use of a photo ID causes a disparate impact on ethnic and racial minority communities. Nevertheless, the photo ID requirement is still part of this bill.

Also problematic is the variation in consequences for failing to meet presumably equal voting prerequisites—being a citizen and being over the age of 18. Unfortunately, this bill has harsher consequences for voters who inadvertently forget to check a box affirming their citizenship than for voters who forget to certify they are 18 or older. This may lead to the disenfranchisement of voters who are English language learners or new to the voting system, including Latinos and Asians.

In addition, I am concerned about the provision that restricts access to information about provisional ballots to the individual who cast that ballot. Unquestionably, the confidentiality of votes cast as well as personal information should be protected. But information about provisional ballots such as where they were issued, should not be hidden from commissions that review and ensure fair voting. Based on this provision, it is unclear if commissions would have full access to information that would help them determine any inconsistencies in the provisional voting process.

While this bill is called the Help America Vote Act, I am afraid it may not help the fastest growing population in America—Latinos—vote.

Mr. HOLT. Mr. Speaker, I support the Help America Vote Act and applaud Representatives HOYER and NEY for their good work on this legislation.

The turmoil surrounding the 2000 Presidential election showed our Nation that we need to improve the instruments of voting and the means of electing our office holders. Even the Supreme Court Justices spoke of the need for uniform voting procedures. This bill does much to advance democracy.

Many of the problems with our electoral process lie in the disparities of our voting system. For instance, while some counties have modern voting machines that leave little room for error, others use dated punch-card ballots that can lead to the now-famous hanging and dimpled chads. In fact, studies show that 18 percent of Americans vote using technology that prevailed around the time Thomas Edison invented the light bulb. And nearly 33 percent of Americans vote by punching out chads, a system implemented during the Johnson administration. Yet many States and localities continue to use these outdated systems because of the exorbitant cost to replace them.

This bill takes many important steps towards that much-needed electoral reform. The Help America Vote Act would create the Election Assistance Commission and authorizes studies to analyze issues ranging from ballot design to voter accessibility.

However, this legislation goes beyond studies and agencies. It would authorize over \$400 million to buyout existing punch card voting devices from states and counties. Moreover, this legislation will provide \$2.25 billion to establish and maintain more accurate voter registration lists.

The bill also establishes minimum standards for State election systems. These standards

include uniform means for determining what constitutes a vote on different types of equipment, sets new standards to accommodate individuals with disabilities, gives voters the opportunity to correct voting errors, ensures that uniformed and overseas voters have their votes counted, and requires more accurate registration lists.

Moreover, this bill authorizes the Attorney General to monitor and enforce these standards.

I am happy to support this bill as a step ahead in civil and voting rights.

Mr. BOEHLERT. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of the Help America Vote Act, a bill that is the product of many days and nights of hard work on both sides of the aisle and both Houses of Congress. It is the product, too, of the collaborative efforts of the Science Committee and the House Administration Committee.

This bill is a carefully constructed compromise. It expands the right to vote by requiring that states allow provisional voting. It includes commonsense measures to prevent fraud. And, by providing over \$3 billion to States to buy out antiquated voting machines, train poll workers, educate voters, and improve the administration of Federal elections, the bill helps ensure that fiscally strapped States and localities will still be able to meet the tough requirements the bill imposes.

But perhaps one of the most fundamental reforms—taken from provisions passed by the Science Committee last year—is the improvement the bill makes in the way technical standards are developed for voting equipment. Most Americans pay no attention to this arcane field of technical specifications, tolerances, and error rates—and that's as it should be. For when it goes right, no one notices.

But when it goes wrong—when the chads of punch card ballots don't align correctly, or when electronic voting machines automatically shut down before the polls are supposed to—the entire world quickly becomes all too familiar with its technical vocabulary.

Strong technical standards will become even more important as the country strives to live up to the new requirements of this bill, especially the requirement that each state compile a computerized database of all its registered voters. Such lists will surely make vast improvements in how America votes, but if they are not also to expose us to the misdeeds of hackers and other cyber criminals, we must develop robust computer security standards to protect these systems.

I want to thank Mr. NEY, the chairman of the House Administration Committee, for his hard work on crafting this bill and his willingness to include provisions of the Science Committee's to strengthen the way critical, but often overlooked, voting equipment standards are developed.

I urge my colleagues to support this important bill.

Mrs. JONES of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, I rise to talk about a piece of legislation that, if passed, will remove the barriers that have blocked many American citizens' right to vote. If Congress agrees to the passage of H.R. 3295, the Help America Vote Act of 2002, antiquated machines will be replaced, adequate assistance will be provided for our Nation's elections, nondiscriminatory and uniform requirements would be enforced, improved military and overseas voters ballot access will be pro-

vided, and the opportunity for young Americans to be involved in the voting process will be established.

Without legislation that helps Americans to have their vote count, barriers of participation will continue to plague many of our communities, and; therefore, increase the growing number of outdated voting equipment, alleged intimidation by police and lack of translators, as mandated by law.

As recent as the last Presidential election, the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, NAACP, requested an investigation into the voting practices. The 14th amendment, which ensures equal protection under the law, was the basis for the Supreme Court's decision not to allow recounting in Florida. Ironically, an amendment designed in 1866 to protect the rights of minorities was used to protect a system which disenfranchised them in 2000.

It is also interesting that in addition to the votes that were not counted in Florida, there were voting irregularities in the 11th Congressional District of Ohio. Thousands of voters on the mostly African American east side of Cleveland, OH, went to vote, only to be turned away. Because of a 1996 State law cutting Cleveland precincts by a quarter, their polling places had been changed. The Cuyahoga County Board of Elections said that it sent postcards to registered voters telling them of the switch. But of 85 African Americans who were asked about the postcards during 2½ days of interviews done by the Los Angeles Times, only one said he received notification.

"I never got a card, never," said Francis Lundrum, an East Cleveland native. He said he bellowed at an election worker: "I am a veteran of the United States armed forces! I want to vote!"

It did no good.

Lundrum and the others who were turned away should have been given provisional ballots, to be certified later. Among those who did not get a voting ballot was Chuck Conway, Jr., who stated, "I think there was some stinky stuff going on."

As a U.S. Representative, it truly saddens me to hear of voting irregularities, not only with my constituency, but to all who were not afforded the right to have their vote count. I urge my colleagues to seriously consider what will happen to the future of our democratic process if we do not pass this sensible piece of legislation. It is my hope that for our next general election cycle, Americans can proudly say that every vote does count. I urge my colleagues to vote in favor of H.R. 3295.

Ms. MCCARTHY of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the conference report on H.R. 3295, the Help American Vote Act. I wholeheartedly endorse the meaningful collaboration of the bipartisan group, led by my colleagues Congressman NEY and Congressman HOYER.

The Help American Vote Act corrects the mistakes with our election system that were highlighted in the aftermath of the 2000 election. I have seen firsthand the challenges inadequately equipped polling places and poorly trained poll workers pose to our communities. This measure will go far in ensuring everyone's right and access to a vote.

I introduced bipartisan election reform legislation to establish a federal grant program to provide assistance to States for modernizing

and enhancing voting procedures and administration. The substantive changes that my legislation proposes are contained in the detailed election reform conference report we will pass today. I applaud this bill because it provides states with both the standards and the funding to make real election reform happen. This legislation authorizes \$3.0 billion over 3 years—for a grant program administered by the commission to help States meet election requirements, train poll workers, provide voter education, and administer elections.

The Help American Vote Act also requires States to abide by uniform and nondiscriminatory requirements, such as providing provisional ballots, implementing statewide voter registration databases and ensuring that each precinct has at least one machine that is accessible to the disabled. It also establishes an Election Assistance Commission, a bipartisan commission that will issue voluntary guidelines, issue grants, and administer research grants, and pilot projects.

Mr. Speaker, this bill would provide the most meaningful reform to our democratic election system since the civil rights laws were enacted in the 1960s. It is time to pass real election reform, time to Help American Vote. This legislation will restore the confidence of the American people in our election process and encourage all citizens to take part in one of the paramount processes that defines us as a nation. Strengthening our election system strengthens our democracy.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on this conference report.

Mr. VITTER. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the election reform conference report before us today.

I have strongly advocated election reform in my home State of Louisiana in the past and continue to do so here in Congress. I am pleased that this legislation is a strong step toward correcting many of the flaws in the current system.

Following the 2000 election, I was incensed that there would be any attempt by political operatives to disenfranchise our brave men and women in the Armed Services overseas. In response I introduced legislation to remedy the situation, and am pleased to see the conference report takes important measures similar to the ones I proposed to ensure military overseas ballots are counted. Our service personnel deserve no less.

I applaud the efforts of the conference to address the issue of voter fraud as well. Statewide voting lists, presenting identification when voting, purging names from lists for those that do not vote, and strengthening penalties for those convicted of voting fraud will all help States deal with the problem of vote fraud, which is an assault on our democratic system.

Lastly, I would like to commend the conferees for their work in helping ensure that the disabled have access to voting machines in each precinct. Voters should never be disenfranchised because of any sort of disability and I now hope Congress will follow through with funds.

I would like to commend Chairman NEY, who met with me on a number of occasions to work on a variety of election reform issues, as well as Ranking Member HOYER and all the conferees that worked out this compromise.

I urge my colleagues to support the election reform conference report.

Mr. HASTINGS of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of the conference report of H.R. 3295, the Help America Vote Act.

I begin by thanking my good friend from Maryland, Mr. HOYER, for keeping this issue at the forefront of this body's agenda. Given the daunting task of bringing this conference report to the floor, the gentleman from Maryland has remained the voice of justice for the tens of thousands of Americans who had their right to vote stolen from them on Election Day 2000. I thank him for his work and leadership on this issue and so many others.

Additionally, I commend the chairman from Ohio, Mr. NEY, for his continued efforts to get this bill to the floor. Even while Members of the chairman's own party were fighting against this bill and the President still refuses to make election reform a priority, I have never doubted the chairman's sincerity and resolve to get this bill passed.

Mr. Speaker, 628 days have passed since Election Day 2000 and, until today, Congress has remained largely silent. Just last month, in Florida, my constituents reaped the first-hand benefits of Federal inaction. On November 5, voters throughout this country will be returning to the same broken election system of 2000 because it took Congress nearly 2 years to act.

So, while I will ultimately support this conference report, I cannot come to the floor today with the same jubilation and admiration for this bill that some of my colleagues have. Frankly, we should be ashamed of ourselves. While we improved our homeland security, we neglected the integrity of our democracy.

The conference report that the House is considering has many qualities that hold true to the title's implication. That is, the bill actually helps Americans vote. Improving voter accessibility, establishing statewide voter registration lists, determining what constitutes a vote, increasing voter education and poll worker training, and providing States with the dollars to meet these standards, are just a few of the good qualities of the report.

However, this bill is not perfect by any means. The ID provisions in the report drastically alter voter registration and absentee voting procedures. The inclusion of these provisions will ultimately discourage and intimidate first-time and veteran voters alike. Further, the opt-out until 2006 provisions provide States with an opportunity to delay reform until after the next Presidential election. After the last election, I expected these provisions to be removed. But they weren't.

Mr. Speaker, the passage of today's conference report is merely the first step in true election reform. Congress must now put its money where its mouth is and appropriate the \$3.9 billion authorized in this report. Unfunded mandates are just lip service, and States need our help. If Congress fails to fund election reform in 2003, 2004, and 2005, then we can count on many states opting out until 2006. This places the reliability of our election system in jeopardy for 4 more years.

As I have said so many times before, we must never again find ourselves questioning the methods by which we choose our elected officials. Hopefully, we never will. After all, help is on the way—though it may take a few years to get there.

I urge my colleagues to support the conference report.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). All time for debate has expired.

Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the conference report.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the conference report.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 357, nays 48, not voting 26, as follows:

[Roll No. 462]

YEAS—357

Abercrombie	Deal	Inslee
Ackerman	DeFazio	Isakson
Aderholt	DeGette	Israel
Akin	Delahunt	Issa
Allen	DeLauro	Jackson (IL)
Andrews	DeLay	Jackson-Lee
Armye	DeMint	(TX)
Baca	Deutsch	Jefferson
Bachus	Diaz-Balart	John
Baird	Dingell	Johnson (CT)
Baker	Doggett	Johnson (IL)
Baldacci	Dooley	Johnson, E. B.
Baldwin	Doollittle	Johnson, Sam
Ballenger	Doyle	Jones (OH)
Barcia	Dreier	Kanjorski
Barrett	Dunn	Kaptur
Bartlett	Edwards	Keller
Barton	Ehlers	Kelly
Bass	Emerson	Kennedy (MN)
Bentsen	Engel	Kennedy (RI)
Bereuter	English	Kildee
Berkley	Eshoo	Kilpatrick
Berry	Etheridge	Kind (WI)
Biggart	Evans	Kirk
Billirakis	Farr	Klecza
Bishop	Fattah	Knollenberg
Blumenauer	Ferguson	Kolbe
Blunt	Fletcher	Kucinich
Boehler	Foley	LaFalce
Boehner	Forbes	LaHood
Bono	Ford	Lampson
Boozman	Fossella	Langevin
Borski	Frank	Lantos
Boswell	Frelinghuysen	Larsen (WA)
Boucher	Frost	Larson (CT)
Boyd	Gallegly	Latham
Brady (PA)	Gekas	LaTourette
Brady (TX)	Gephardt	Leach
Brown (FL)	Gibbons	Lee
Brown (OH)	Gilchrest	Levin
Brown (SC)	Gillmor	Lewis (CA)
Bryant	Gilman	Lewis (GA)
Burr	Gordon	Lewis (KY)
Burton	Goss	Linder
Buyer	Graham	LoBiondo
Calvert	Granger	Lofgren
Camp	Graves	Lowe
Cantor	Green (TX)	Lucas (KY)
Capito	Green (WI)	Luther
Capps	Greenwood	Lynch
Cardin	Grucci	Maloney (CT)
Carson (IN)	Hall (TX)	Maloney (NY)
Carson (OK)	Hansen	Markey
Castle	Harman	Mascara
Chabot	Hart	Matheson
Chambliss	Hastings (FL)	McCarthy (MO)
Clay	Hastings (WA)	McCarthy (NY)
Clayton	Hayes	McCollum
Clement	Hayworth	McCrery
Clyburn	Hefley	McDermott
Combest	Herger	McGovern
Condit	Hill	McHugh
Conyers	Hilleary	McInnis
Costello	Hilliard	McIntyre
Cox	Hinche	McKeon
Cramer	Hinojosa	McKinney
Crane	Hobson	McNulty
Crenshaw	Hoeffel	Meehan
Crowley	Holden	Meek (FL)
Culberson	Holt	Meeks (NY)
Cummings	Honda	Menendez
Cunningham	Hoolley	Miller
Davis (CA)	Horn	McDonald
Davis (FL)	Hoyer	Miller, Dan
Davis (IL)	Hulshof	Miller, George
Davis, Jo Ann	Hunter	Mollohan
Davis, Tom	Hyde	Moore

Moran (VA)	Roemer	Stenholm
Morella	Rogers (KY)	Strickland
Myrick	Rogers (MI)	Stupak
Nadler	Rohrabacher	Sullivan
Nethercutt	Ros-Lehtinen	Sweeney
Ney	Ross	Tancredo
Northup	Rothman	Tanner
Norwood	Roybal-Allard	Tauscher
Nussle	Royce	Tauzin
Oberstar	Rush	Taylor (MS)
Obey	Ryan (WI)	Terry
Olver	Ryun (KS)	Thompson (CA)
Osborne	Sanchez	Thompson (MS)
Ose	Sanders	Thune
Owens	Sandlin	Thurman
Oxley	Sawyer	Tiahrt
Pallone	Saxton	Tiberi
Pascarell	Schakowsky	Tierney
Payne	Schiff	Townes
Pelosi	Schrock	Turner
Pence	Scott	Udall (CO)
Peterson (MN)	Serrano	Upton
Peterson (PA)	Shadegg	Visclosky
Petri	Shaw	Vitter
Phelps	Shays	Walden
Pickering	Sherman	Walsh
Pitts	Sherwood	Waters
Platts	Shimkus	Watkins (OK)
Pombo	Shows	Watson (CA)
Pomeroy	Shuster	Weiner
Portman	Simmons	Weldon (FL)
Price (NC)	Simpson	Weldon (PA)
Pryce (OH)	Skeen	Weller
Quinn	Skelton	Wexler
Radanovich	Slaughter	Wicker
Rahall	Smith (NJ)	Wilson (NM)
Ramstad	Smith (TX)	Wilson (SC)
Rangel	Smith (WA)	Wolf
Regula	Snyder	Woolsey
Rehberg	Solis	Wu
Reynolds	Spratt	Wynn
Riley	Stark	Young (FL)
Rivers	Stearns	

NAYS—48

Barr	Gutknecht	Rodriguez
Becerra	Hoekstra	Sabo
Bonilla	Hostettler	Schaffer
Callahan	Istook	Sensenbrenner
Cannon	Jones (NC)	Sessions
Capuano	Kerns	Smith (MI)
Coble	Kingston	Souder
Collins	Lucas (OK)	Thomas
Cubin	Mica	Thornberry
Duncan	Miller, Jeff	Toomey
Everett	Moran (KS)	Udall (NM)
Filner	Napolitano	Velazquez
Flake	Otter	Wamp
Gonzalez	Pastor	Watt (NC)
Goode	Paul	Watts (OK)
Goodlatte	Putnam	Whitfield

NOT VOTING—26

Berman	Houghton	Ortiz
Blagojevich	Jenkins	Reyes
Bonior	King (NY)	Roukema
Cooksey	Lipinski	Stump
Coyne	Manzullo	Sununu
Dicks	Matsui	Taylor (NC)
Ehrlich	Miller, Gary	Waxman
Ganske	Murtha	Young (AK)
Gutierrez	Neal	

□ 2227

Messrs. COBLE, COLLINS, JEFF MILLER of Florida, CANNON, OTTER, WAMP, FILNER, CAPUANO, WHITFIELD, SOUDER, HOEKSTRA, and Ms. VELAZQUEZ changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Messrs. SAWYER, PETRI, GREEN of Texas, and OBEY changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the conference report was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). Without objection, the House insists on its disagreement to the Senate amendment to the title.

There was no objection.

CONSIDERING DISAGREEMENTS BETWEEN HOUSE AND SENATE WITH RESPECT TO H.R. 3295, HELP AMERICA VOTE ACT OF 2002, RESOLVED

Mr. NEY. Mr. Speaker I offer a concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 508) resolving all disagreements between the House of Representatives and Senate with respect to H.R. 3295, and ask unanimous consent for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request by the gentleman from Ohio?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the concurrent resolution, as follows:

H. CON. RES. 508

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That the conference report to accompany H.R. 3295 be considered to have resolved all disagreements between the two Houses thereon as proposed by the House of Representatives, which acted first on the conference report.

The concurrent resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

□ 2230

INTENTION TO AMEND TIME ALLOCATION ON MOTION TO INSTRUCT CONFEREES ON H.R. 4546

(Mr. TAYLOR of Mississippi asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. TAYLOR of Mississippi. Mr. Speaker, this is an issue of great importance to a great many disabled veterans in America. We know that the hour is late. Because of the courtesy of the gentleman from New York (Mr. MCHUGH), in order to expedite the matter, we are going to ask that the time be reduced by half.

We would ask that every Member who wishes to speak keep their remarks as short as possible. I am going to do my part to move it along. I am certain the gentleman from New York (Mr. MCHUGH) will.

MOTION TO INSTRUCT CONFEREES ON H.R. 4546, BOB STUMP NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2003

Mr. TAYLOR of Mississippi. Mr. Speaker, I rise to offer the motion to instruct that I presented yesterday pursuant to clause 7(c) of rule XXII.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). The Clerk will report the motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. TAYLOR of Mississippi moves that the managers on the part of the House at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the House amendment to the Senate amendment to the bill H.R. 4546 be instructed to agree to the provisions contained in section 641 of the Senate amend-

ment (relating to payment of retired pay and compensation to disabled military retirees).

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XXII, the gentleman from Mississippi (Mr. TAYLOR) and the gentleman from New York (Mr. MCHUGH) each will control 30 minutes.

Mr. UPTON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that debate on this motion be limited to 30 minutes, 15 minutes on each side.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Mississippi (Mr. TAYLOR) and the gentleman from New York (Mr. MCHUGH) each will control 15 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Mississippi (Mr. TAYLOR).

Mr. TAYLOR of Mississippi. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, today almost 300 of us voted to give the President the authority to wage war, and a sad consequence of that is that there will be, if there is hostile action, young Americans coming home who have lost their arms, their legs, their vision, their ability to speak.

Traditionally, there has been a system where they are compensated for that loss. Unfortunately, for those people who have served our Nation for 20 years or more, that compensation comes at the expense of the retirement benefit they have already earned. A lot of us do not think that is fair.

The gentleman from Florida (Mr. BILIRAKIS) has been for 17 years pushing legislation to address this inequity, to allow those people who served our Nation honorably in the military for 20 years or more to collect their full pension benefits and be compensated for whatever injuries they incurred on active duty, because it has very much so reduced their ability to make a living in their post-military life.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. BILIRAKIS), the person who has worked so hard on this issue for 17 years.

Mr. MCHUGH. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Florida.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Florida (Mr. BILIRAKIS) is recognized for 6 minutes.

Mr. BILIRAKIS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlemen for yielding me time.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in reluctant support of the Taylor motion to instruct conferees on H.R. 4546, the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act. I say reluctant not because I did not support the Senate provision to provide for the full concurrent receipt of military retired pay and VA disability compensation but because this motion should not even be necessary.

My legislation to completely eliminate the offset between military retired pay and VA disability compensation has received strong bipartisan support in both Houses of Congress. In