

Costello	Honda	Murtha
Cox	Hooley	Myrick
Coyne	Horn	Nadler
Cramer	Hostettler	Napolitano
Crane	Houghton	Nethercutt
Crenshaw	Hoyer	Ney
Crowley	Hulshof	Northup
Culberson	Hunter	Norwood
Cummings	Hyde	Nussle
Cunningham	Inslee	Oberstar
Davis (CA)	Isakson	Obey
Davis (FL)	Israel	Oliver
Davis (IL)	Issa	Ortiz
Davis, Jo Ann	Istook	Osborne
Davis, Tom	Jackson (IL)	Ose
Deal	Jefferson	Otter
DeFazio	Jenkins	Owens
DeGette	John	Oxley
Delahunt	Johnson (CT)	Pallone
DeLauro	Johnson (IL)	Pascarell
DeLay	Johnson, E. B.	Pastor
DeMint	Johnson, Sam	Paul
Deutsch	Jones (NC)	Payne
Diaz-Balart	Jones (OH)	Pelosi
Dicks	Kanjorski	Pence
Dingell	Kaptur	Peterson (MN)
Doggett	Keller	Peterson (PA)
Dooley	Kelly	Petri
Doolittle	Kennedy (MN)	Phelps
Doyle	Kennedy (RI)	Pickering
Dreier	Kerns	Pitts
Duncan	Kildee	Platts
Dunn	Kilpatrick	Pombo
Edwards	Kind (WI)	Pomeroy
Ehlers	King (NY)	Portman
Ehrlich	Kingston	Price (NC)
Emerson	Kirk	Pryce (OH)
Engel	Klecicka	Putnam
English	Knollenberg	Quinn
Eshoo	Kolbe	Radanovich
Etheridge	Kucinich	Rahall
Evans	LaFalce	Ramstad
Everett	LaHood	Rangel
Farr	Lampson	Regula
Fattah	Langevin	Rehberg
Ferguson	Lantos	Reyes
Fligner	Larsen (WA)	Reynolds
Flake	Larson (CT)	Riley
Fletcher	Latham	Rivers
Foley	LaTourette	Rodriguez
Forbes	Leach	Roemer
Ford	Lee	Rogers (KY)
Fossella	Levin	Rogers (MI)
Frank	Lewis (CA)	Rohrabacher
Frelinghuysen	Lewis (GA)	Ros-Lehtinen
Frost	Lewis (KY)	Ross
Ganske	Linder	Rothman
Gekas	Lipinski	Roukema
Gephardt	LoBiondo	Roybal-Allard
Gibbons	Lowe	Royce
Gilchrest	Lucas (KY)	Rush
Gillmor	Lucas (OK)	Ryan (WI)
Gilman	Luther	Ryun (KS)
Gonzalez	Lynch	Sabo
Goode	Maloney (CT)	Sanders
Goodlatte	Maloney (NY)	Sandlin
Gordon	Manzullo	Sawyer
Goss	Markey	Saxton
Graham	Mascara	Schaffer
Granger	Matheson	Schakowsky
Graves	Matsui	Schiff
Green (TX)	McCarthy (MO)	Schrock
Green (WI)	McCarthy (NY)	Scott
Greenwood	McCollum	Sensenbrenner
Grucci	McCrery	Serrano
Gutierrez	McDermott	Sessions
Gutknecht	McGovern	Shadegg
Hall (OH)	McHugh	Shaw
Hall (TX)	McInnis	Shays
Hansen	McIntyre	Sherman
Harman	McKeon	Sherwood
Hart	McKinney	Shimkus
Hastert	McNulty	Shows
Hastings (FL)	Meehan	Shuster
Hastings (WA)	Meeke (NY)	Simmons
Hayes	Menendez	Simpson
Hayworth	Mica	Skeen
Hefley	Millender	Skelton
Heger	McDonald	Slaughter
Hill	Miller, Dan	Smith (MI)
Hilleary	Miller, Gary	Smith (NJ)
Hilliard	Miller, George	Smith (TX)
Hinchey	Miller, Jeff	Smith (WA)
Hinojosa	Mink	Snyder
Hobson	Mollohan	Souder
Hoefl	Moore	Spratt
Hoekstra	Moran (KS)	Stark
Holden	Moran (VA)	Stearns
Holt	Morella	Strickland

Stump	Tiberi	Watts (OK)
Stupak	Tierney	Waxman
Sullivan	Toomey	Weiner
Sununu	Towns	Weldon (FL)
Sweeney	Turner	Weldon (PA)
Tancredo	Udall (CO)	Weller
Tanner	Udall (NM)	Whitfield
Tauscher	Upton	Wicker
Tauzin	Velazquez	Wilson (NM)
Taylor (NC)	Visclosky	Wilson (SC)
Terry	Vitter	Wolf
Thomas	Walden	Woolsey
Thompson (CA)	Walsh	Wu
Thompson (MS)	Wamp	Wynn
Thornberry	Waters	Young (AK)
Thune	Watkins (OK)	Young (FL)
Thurman	Watson (CA)	
Tiahrt	Watt (NC)	

## NAYS—3

Boyd	Stenholm	Taylor (MS)
------	----------	-------------

## NOT VOTING—15

Barton	Gallegly	Sanchez
Bentsen	Jackson-Lee	Solis
Blagojevich	(TX)	Trafficant
Calvert	Lofgren	Wexler
Condit	Meek (FL)	
Cubin	Neal	

□ 1246

Mr. BERRY changed his vote from “nay” to “yea”.

So the motion was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Ms. SOLIS. Mr. Speaker, during rollcall vote No. 52 on H.R. 3090, to provide tax incentives for economic recovery I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted “yea.”

## PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. SANCHEZ. Mr. Speaker, I was attending an important business meeting in Mexico with President Vicente Fox on March 7th dealing with International Women's Day.

Had I been present and voting, I would have voted “nay” on rollcall No. 51 and “yea” on rollcall No. 52.

## GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on the motion just agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LATOURETTE). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

## LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Ms. PELOSI asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, I rise to inquire about the schedule for next week.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, will the gentlewoman yield?

Ms. PELOSI. I yield to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to announce that the House has completed its legislative business for the week.

The House will next meet for legislative business on Tuesday, March 12, at 12:30 p.m. for morning hour and 2 p.m. for legislative business. The House will consider a number of measures under suspension of the rules, a list of which will be distributed to Members' offices tomorrow. Mr. Speaker, that list will include the Born Alive Infant Protection Act.

On Tuesday, recorded votes will be postponed until 6:30. On Wednesday and on Thursday, I have scheduled H.R. 2341, the Class Action Fairness Act of 2002, for consideration in the House. I would also like to note that the Committee on the Judiciary has completed its markup of H.R. 2146, the Two Strikes and You're Out Child Protection Act; and I will be expecting to put that bill on the floor next week as well.

I thank the gentlewoman for yielding.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, reclaiming my time, I thank the gentleman for the schedule, but could he be more specific about the day that the Class Action Fairness Act of 2002 will be brought up?

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, if the gentlewoman will continue to yield, we expect that bill to be on the schedule for Wednesday. I think we would plan on that.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for that specific answer.

ADJOURNMENT TO MONDAY,  
MARCH 11, 2002

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 2 p.m. on Monday next.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LAHOOD). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

HOUR OF MEETING ON TUESDAY,  
MARCH 12, 2002

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns on Monday, March 11, 2002, it adjourn to meet at 12:30 p.m. on Tuesday, March 12, for morning hour debates.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

DISPENSING WITH CALENDAR  
WEDNESDAY BUSINESS ON  
WEDNESDAY NEXT

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the business in order under the Calendar Wednesday rule be dispensed with on Wednesday next.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

PERMISSION FOR COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY TO HAVE UNTIL 7 P.M., MONDAY, MARCH 11, 2002, TO FILE A REPORT ON H.R. 2341, CLASS ACTION FAIRNESS ACT OF 2001

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on the Judiciary have until 7 p.m. on Monday, March 11, 2002, to file a report to accompany the bill (H.R. 2341) to amend the procedures that apply to consideration of interstate class actions to assure fairer outcomes for class members and defendants, to outlaw certain practices that provide inadequate settlements for class members, to assure that attorneys do not receive a disproportionate amount of settlements at the expense of class members, to provide for clearer and simpler information in class action settlement notices, to assure prompt consideration of interstate class actions, to amend title 28, United States Code, to allow the application of the principles of Federal diversity jurisdiction to interstate class actions, and for other purposes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY COMMITTEE ON RULES REGARDING AMENDMENTS TO H.R. 2341, CLASS ACTION FAIRNESS ACT OF 2001

(Mr. DREIER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, the Committee on Rules may meet on Tuesday, March 12, 2002, to grant a rule for the consideration of H.R. 2341, the Class Action Fairness Act.

The Committee on Rules may grant a rule which would require amendments be printed in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD prior to their consideration on the floor. Any Member wishing to offer an amendment should submit 55 copies of the amendment and one copy of a brief explanation of the amendment to the Committee on Rules in room H-312 in the Capitol no later than 1 p.m. on Tuesday, March 12.

The Committee on the Judiciary intends to file its report on the bill on Monday, March 11. The Committee on Rules will post the Committee on the Judiciary version of the bill on the Web site of the Committee on Rules as soon as it becomes available. Members should draft their amendments to the bill as reported by the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. Speaker, Members should use the Office of Legislative Counsel to ensure their amendments are properly drafted and should check with the Office of the Parliamentarian to make sure their amendments comply with the rules of the House.

PROVIDING AMOUNTS FOR FURTHER EXPENSES OF PERMANENT SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE IN SECOND SESSION OF 107TH CONGRESS

Mr. NEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on House Administration be discharged from further consideration of the resolution (H. Res. 359) providing amounts for further expenses of the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence in the second session of the One Hundred Seventh Congress, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Ohio?

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I take this time to ask the distinguished chairman of the Committee on House Administration for an explanation of his unanimous consent request.

Mr. NEY. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. HOYER. I yield to the gentleman from Ohio.

Mr. NEY. Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 359 is a supplemental funding resolution for the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence.

Mr. Speaker, as my colleagues know, each Congress passes a committee funding resolution to authorize committee spending. My colleague and I, the gentleman from Maryland, passed a very good and effective committee funding resolution that keeps the House in proper movement.

This process normally occurs at the beginning of each Congress. The committee funding process for the 107th Congress was completed in March of 2001 with the overwhelming passage and overwhelming bipartisan agreement of House Resolution 84, a bipartisan initiative which not only provided committees with the necessary resources to carry out their important work, but which also set new standards for allocating funds between majority and minority staffs.

I want to again thank the gentleman from Maryland and all the members of the committees, both sides of the aisle, ranking members and Chairs of the committees, for their tremendous and great cooperation.

Those funds more than adequately provided for committees to do the necessary work which they are charged to do in the 107th Congress. Unfortunately, because of the tragic and devastating attacks of September 11, we find it necessary to come to the House floor to seek additional spending authority for the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence.

The increased spending authority would be used to fund a joint bicameral inquiry with our counterparts from the other body into the activities of the intelligence community before, during, and since the September 11 terrorist attacks. Among the purposes of this

joint effort is ascertaining why the intelligence community did not learn of the conspiracy to launch the September 11 attacks in advance and to identify what, if anything, might be done to better position the intelligence community to warn of and prevent future terrorist attacks and other threats in the 21st century.

The investigation will principally focus on the U.S. intelligence agencies and their activities, as well as the interaction between intelligence agencies and nonintelligence entities associated with our national security. Based on their findings, the committee may seek to enact changes in order to remedy any systemic deficiencies revealed by the joint inquiry.

The decision to conduct a bicameral bipartisan review by the two intelligence committees is supported by both the gentleman from Florida (Mr. Goss), chairman, and the gentlewoman from California (Ms. PELOSI), the ranking member of the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, along with the chairman and ranking member of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence.

Review of the activities of the intelligence community through this joint effort is necessary for several reasons. To begin with, the two intelligence committees, House and Senate, are best suited by experience and practice to protect classified information. Since a significant portion of the investigation must include review and access to highly sensitive classified materials in order to fully understand intelligence actions, review by both committees is appropriate and needed.

Secondly, due to the fact that our Nation will be involved for some time in the war against terrorism, a bicameral review will allow the most effective use of time and manpower for those agencies that are still involved in protecting, investigating, and compiling information for our continued campaign against terror.

Third, by offering to approach the investigation in this manner, the committees have secured White House assurances that access to critical information necessary to do a thorough job will absolutely be provided.

And, finally, the unprecedented nature of the terrorist attacks demands an unprecedented response. An inquiry by the elected representatives of the people will ensure that we give the American people the explanation they deserve regarding the events of that infamous day.

The inquiry will consist of joint hearings, both open and closed, and will be conducted once the initial data gathering and interviews are completed. The inquiry is expected to last through the 107th Congress and could quite possibly extend into the next Congress, though this resolution only authorizes funds for this Congress.

Should the work continue into the 108th Congress, the House rules regarding interim committee funding will be