The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. THORNBERRY). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

PRIVILEGES OF THE HOUSE—IN-TEGRITY OF PROCEEDINGS AS PRESCRIBED BY THE CONSTITU-TION

Ms. CARSON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I rise to a question of the privileges of the House, and offer a privileged resolution that I noticed yesterday pursuant to rule IX, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the resolution.

The Clerk read as follows:

Whereas under Article I, Section IX, of the Constitution states no money shall be drawn from the Treasury, but in Consequence of Appropriations made by law.

Whereas it is the fiscal duty of the Congress to appropriate annually the funds needed to support the execution of the programs and operations of the Federal Government.

Whereas to date the House has only consid-

ered five Appropriations bills.

Whereas President George W. Bush has ignored the requests of Amtrak for an Appropriation of \$1.2 billion, and has instead proposed only \$521 million in funding.

Whereas the House Appropriations Committee gutted funding for Amtrak with every Republican member of the Committee voting to cut funding, despite the dire impact this will have on their own districts.

Whereas instead of strong support and consistent growth in support for the nation's passenger rail system the President's FY 2003 Budget seeks to strangle Amtrak so that the Administration can begin to implement plans to privatize the system.

Whereas Amtrak provided a critical transportation need in the months after the terrorist attacks of September 11th, and has seen consistent growth in ridership despite continued levels of inadequate funding.

Whereas Amtrak serves more than 500 stations in 46 states and employs over 24,000 people, and Amtrak passengers on Northeast corridor trains would fill 250 planes daily or over 91,000 flights each year.

Resolved, That it is the sense of the House of Representatives that the Congress should complete action on the Fiscal Year (FY) 2003 Transportation Appropriations, with an allocation of \$1.2 billion for Amtrak.

□ 1400

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. THORNBERRY). The Chair will hear briefly from the gentlewoman from Indiana as to whether the resolution constitutes a question of privileges of the House under rule IX.

Ms. CARSON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, article 1, section 9, of the Constitution states that no money shall be drawn from the Treasury but in consequence of appropriations made by law. It is the fiscal duty of the Congress to appropriate the money necessary to provide the funds needed to support the execution of programs and operations of the Federal Government. To date, only five of the 13 appropriations measures have been considered. Mr. Speaker, this inaction has hampered this body's constitutional duty and called into question its integrity.

The failure of this unrealistic budget resolution is especially true in respect to the fiscal year 2003 transportation appropriations bill in its funding for Amtrak. This inaction has hampered this body's constitutional duty. After the events of September 11, our Naair transportation tion's ground to a halt. After the Federal Aviation Administration grounded all flights following the terrorist attacks, travelers turned to Amtrak. Whether people had to travel for business, to help with rescue efforts or just to get home, Amtrak kept Americans moving during a time of national emergency. Amtrak ridership and revenues skyrocketed, led by the Northeast Corridor, which had a 13.5 percent revenue growth and a 4.6 percent ridership growth in 2001. For the system as a whole, revenue rose 8.2 percent and ridership 4.3 percent. The situation not only proved that Amtrak works but that passenger rail is a critical part of our transportation infrastructure.

Despite this, Mr. Speaker, we continue to drastically underfund Amtrak, jeopardizing not only the safety and security of this country but the jobs and the livelihoods of tens of thousands of Americans. We have been told that if Amtrak receives the full \$1.2 billion that both it and the Department of Transportation has recommended it receive, they will be able to begin to revitalize their operations, they will be able to revitalize and build upon the successes they have seen in the Northeast Corridor, they will be able to revitalize and build on rail service to areas of the country currently underserved by rail and, Mr. Speaker, they will be able to revitalize operations at their Beech Grove maintenance facility, which is in my district. They will be able to rehire the 228 employees who were furloughed back in February and rejuvenate a facility that has served this country since 1905. Workers at the plant right now are working 7 days a week to keep the facility running.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair requests the gentlewoman confine her remarks to the issue of whether the resolution constitutes a question of privileges of the House.

Ms. CARSON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, my question of privilege regards the integrity of our proceedings as a House as prescribed by the Constitution. The United States Constitution conveys upon this body the power to originate appropriation measures. It is not only our responsibility but our duty and obligation to restate this message in this legislation about the importance of Amtrak.

I believe that we have probably not been in accordance with our constitutional responsibilities concerning appropriations and would argue that their continued inaction on such urgent priorities, as full funding of Amtrak, meets the test for privileged resolutions.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair is prepared to rule on the ques-

tion of whether the resolution offered by the gentlewoman from Indiana constitutes a question of privileges of the House under rule IX.

The resolution offered by the gentlewoman from Indiana expresses the sense of the House that the Congress should complete action on a legislative measure. Specifically, the resolution calls upon the Congress to complete action on a general appropriation bill with regard to prescribed funding for

As the Chair ruled yesterday and earlier today, a resolution expressing the sentiment that Congress should act on a specified measure does not constitute a question of the privileges of the House under rule IX.

The mere invocation of the general legislative power of the purse provided in the Constitution coupled with a fiscal policy end does not meet the requirements of rule IX and is really a matter properly initiated through introduction in the hopper under clause 7 of rule XII.

Accordingly, the resolution offered by the gentlewoman from Indiana does not constitute a question of the privileges of the House under rule IX and may not be considered at this time.

Ms. CARSON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I appeal the ruling of the Chair.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is. Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the judgment of the House?

MOTION TO TABLE OFFERED BY MR. ROGERS OF MICHIGAN

Mr. ROGERS of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, I move to lay the appeal on the table.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to table offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. Rogers).

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Ms. CARSON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker. I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 203, noes 192, not voting 36, as follows:

[Roll No. 4411 AYES-203

Aderholt Buver Diaz-Balart Akin Calvert Doolittle Bachus Cannon Duncan Ballenger Cantor Dunn Capito Ehlers Bartlett Castle. Ehrlich Chabot Emerson Barton Chambliss Bass English Bereuter Coble Everett Collins Biggert Ferguson Bilirakis Combest Flake Blunt. Cox Fletcher Boehlert Crane Foley Crenshaw Forbes Bonilla Bono Cubin Fossella Boozman Culberson Frelinghuysen Gallegly Brady (TX) Cunningham Brown (SC) Davis, Jo Ann Gekas Bryant Davis, Tom Gibbons DeLav Gilchrest Burr Burton DeMint Gillmor

Gilman Goode Goodlatte Goss Graham Graves Green (WI) Greenwood Grucci Gutknecht Hansen Hart Hastings (WA) Hayes Hayworth Heflev Herger Hobson Hoekstra Horn Hostettler Houghton Hulshof Hunter Hvde Isakson Issa. Istook Johnson (CT) Johnson (IL) Johnson, Sam Jones (NC) Kellv Kennedy (MN) Kerns King (NY) Kingston Kirk Knollenberg Kolbe LaHood Latham LaTourette Leach Lewis (KY) LoBiondo

Ney

Ose

Saxton

Schaffer

Lucas (OK) Sensenbrenner Manzullo Sessions McCrery Shadegg McHugh Shaw McKeon Shavs Mica Sherwood Miller Dan Shimkus Miller, Gary Shuster Miller, Jeff Simmons Moran (KS) Simpson Morella Skeen Myrick Smith (MI) Nethercutt Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Northup Souder Norwood Stearns Sullivan Osborne Sununu Sweenev Otter Tancredo Paul Tauzin Pence Taylor (NC) Peterson (PA) Terry Petri Thomas Pickering Thornberry Pitts Thune Platts Tiahrt Pombo Tiberi Portman Toomey Pryce (OH) Upton Putnam Vitter Quinn Walden Radanovich Walsh Ramstad Regula Wamp Watkins (OK) Rehberg Riley Watts (OK) Rogers (KY) Weldon (FL) Weldon (PA) Rogers (MI) Rohrabacher Weller Ros-Lehtinen Wicker Wilson (NM) Royce Ryan (WI) Wilson (SC) Rvun (KS) Wolf

Young (AK)

Young (FL)

NOES-192 Abercrombie Dovle Lewis (GA) Edwards Lipinski Ackerman Allen Engel Lofgren Andrews Eshoo Lowey Lucas (KY) Etheridge Baca Baird Evans Luther Baldacci Farr Lvnch Maloney (NY) Filner Baldwin Barrett Ford Markey Becerra. Frank Matheson Bentsen Frost Matsui Berkley Gephardt McCarthy (MO) Berman Gonzalez McCarthy (NY) Gordon McCollum Berry Bishop Gutierrez McDermott Blagojevich Hall (TX) McGovern Blumenauer Harman McIntyre Bonior Hill McNulty Hilliard Borski Meehan Meek (FL) Boswell Hinchey Hinojosa Hoeffel Boucher Meeks (NY) Bovd Menendez Brady (PA) Holden Millender-Brown (FL) Holt McDonald Brown (OH) Honda Miller, George Mollohan Capps Hooley Capuano Hoyer Moore Moran (VA) Cardin Inslee Carson (IN) Israel Murtha Jackson (IL) Carson (OK) Nadler Clay Jackson-Lee Napolitano Clayton Neal Clyburn Jefferson Oberstar Condit John Obey Johnson, E. B. Olver Conyers Costello Jones (OH) Ortiz Kanjorski Coyne Owens Cramer Kaptur Pallone Kennedy (RI) Crowley Pascrell Cummings Kildee Pastor Kilpatrick Davis (CA) Payne Davis (FL) Kind (WI) Pelosi Peterson (MN) Davis (IL) Kleczka DeFazio Phelps Kucinich Delahunt LaFalce Pomeroy DeLauro Langevin Price (NC) Deutsch Lantos Rahall Larsen (WA) Dicks Rangel Dingell Larson (CT) Reyes

Lee

Levin

Rivers

Rodriguez

Doggett

Dooley

Udall (CO) Roemer Shows Ross Skelton Udall (NM) Rothman Smith (WA) Velazquez Roybal-Allard Snyder Visclosky Rush Solis Waters Sabo Spratt Watson (CA) Sanchez Stenholm Watt (NC) Strickland Sanders Waxman Sandlin Tauscher Weiner Taylor (MS) Schakowsky Wexler Thompson (CA) Schiff Woolsey Scott Thompson (MS) Wu Tierney Serrano Wynn Sherman

NOT VOTING-

Baker Hastings (FL) Revnolds Barcia Hilleary Roukema Boehner Jenkins Sawyer Schrock Callahan Keller Clement Lampson Slaughter Cooksey Lewis (CA) Stark Deal Linder Stump DeGette Maloney (CT) Stupak Fattah Mascara Tanner Ganske McInnis Thurman Granger McKinney Green (TX) Oxley Whitfield

□ 1436

Mr. HALL of Texas and Mr. WYNN changed their vote from "aye" to "no." So the motion to table was agreed to. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. MALONEY of Connecticut, Mr. Speaker. I was detained on Thursday, October 3, 2002, and missed rollcall votes Nos. 440 and 441. Had I been present, I would have voted "no" on rollcall No. 440 and "no" on rollcall No. 441.

I request that my statement appear in the RECORD at the appropriate place.

APPOINTMENT OF CONFEREES ON INTELLIGENCE 4628, AU-THORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2003

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 4628) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2003 for intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the United States Government, the Community Management Account, and the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes, with a Senate amendment thereto, disagree to the Senate amendment, and agree to the conference asked by the Senate.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. THORNBERRY). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida? The Chair hears none and, without objection, appoints the following conferees:

From the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, for consideration of the House bill and the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference: Messrs. Goss, BE-REUTER, CASTLE, BOEHLERT, GIBBONS, LAHOOD, CUNNINGHAM, HOEKSTRA, BURR of North Carolina, CHAMBLISS, EVER-ETT, Ms. Pelosi, Mr. Bishop, Ms. Har-MAN, and Messrs. CONDIT, ROEMER,

HASTINGS of Florida, REYES, BOSWELL, PETERSON of Minnesota, and CRAMER.

From the Committee on Armed Services, for consideration of defense tactical intelligence and related activities: Messrs. Stump. Hunter, and Skel-TON.

There was no objection.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, I may not have heard properly, but that list did not exactly conform to the list I submitted, and I want to make sure we remove any doubt.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Clerk properly read the list which was submitted by the Speaker. The Chair will take the gentleman's comments under advisement and make further adjustments in the future as needed.

PERMISSION TO HAVE UNTIL MID-NIGHT, MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 2002 TO FILE CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 4628, INTELLIGENCE AU-THORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL **YEAR 2003**

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the managers may have until midnight on Monday, October 7, 2002, to file the conference report on the bill (H.R. 4628) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2003 for intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the United States Government, the Community Management Account, and the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Ms. PELOSI asked and was given permission to address the House for 1

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, I yield to gentleman from Ohio (Mr. PORTMAN) for the purpose of inquiring about the schedule for next week.

Mr. PORTMAN. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the gentlewoman's indulgence, and I appreciate her yielding on the schedule.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to announce the House has completed its legislative business for the week. No votes are expected in the House tomorrow in order to allow Members to attend the funeral service for the Honorable Patsy Mink, our former colleague from the State of Hawaii.

The House will meet for legislative business on Monday, October 7, at 9:30 a.m. for morning hour and 11 a.m. for legislative business. The majority leader will schedule a number of measures under suspension of the rules, a list of which will be distributed to the Members' offices tomorrow. Recorded votes on Monday will be postponed until 6:30