Ortiz

Lantos

Simmons

by the gentleman from Michigan will be postponed.

PART B AMENDMENT NO. 1 OFFERED BY MR. UPTON

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. Proceedings will now resume on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. UPTON) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the yeas prevailed by voice vote.

RECORDED VOTE

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 421, noes 7, not voting 6, as follows:

[Roll No. 43]

AYES-421

Abercrombie Cooksey Green (TX) Ackerman Costello Green (WI) Aderholt Cox Greenwood Akin Coyne Grucci Allen Cramer Gutierrez Andrews Gutknecht Crane Crenshaw Hall (OH) Armey Ba.ca. Crowley Culberson Hall (TX) Bachus Hansen Cummings Harman Baird Baldwin Cunningham Hart Hastings (FL) Ballenger Davis (CA) Davis (FL) Hastings (WA) Barcia Barr Davis (IL) Haves Barrett Davis, Jo Ann Hayworth Bartlett Davis, Tom Herger Barton Dea1 Hill DeFazio Hilleary Bass Becerra DeGette Hilliard Bentsen Delahunt Hinchev Bereuter DeLauro Hinojosa Berkley DeLay Hobson DeMint Hoeffel Berman Berry Deutsch Hoekstra Biggert Diaz-Balart Holden Bilirakis Dicks Holt Dingell Honda Bishop Blagojevich Doggett Hoolev Blumenauer Dooley Horn Blunt Doolittle Hostettler Boehlert. Dovle Houghton Boehner Dreier Hoyer Duncan Hulshof Bonilla Bonior Dunn Hunter Edwards Bono Hyde Ehlers Ehrlich Inslee Boozman Borski Isakson Boswell Emerson Israel Boucher Engel Istook English Bovd Brady (PA) Eshoo Jackson (IL) Brady (TX) Etheridge Jackson-Lee Brown (FL) (TX) Evans Brown (OH) Everett Jefferson Brown (SC) Farr Jenkins Fattah Bryant John Burr Johnson (CT) Ferguson Burton Filner Johnson (IL) Johnson, E. B. Flake Buver Callahan Fletcher Johnson, Sam Calvert Foley Jones (OH) Forbes Kaniorski Camp Cannon Ford Kaptur Cantor Fossella Keller Capito Kelly Frank Frelinghuysen Kennedy (MN) Capps Capuano Frost Kennedy (RI) Gallegly Cardin Kerns Carson (IN) Kildee Ganske Kilpatrick Carson (OK) Gekas Gephardt Castle Kind (WI) Gibbons Gilchrest Chabot King (NY) Chambliss Kingston Clay Gillmor Kirk Clayton Gonzalez Kleczka Clement Goode Knollenberg Clyburn Goodlatte Kolbe Coble Gordon Kucinich Collins Goss LaFalce Graham LaHood Combest Condit Granger Lampson Conyers Graves Langevin

Larsen (WA) Osborne Skelton Larson (CT) Ose Slaughter Latham Owens Smith (MI) LaTourette Oxley Smith (NJ) Leach Pallone Smith (TX) Lee Pascrel1 Smith (WA) Levin Pastor Snyder Lewis (CA) Payne Solis Pelosi Lewis (GA) Souder Lewis (KY) Pence Spratt Peterson (MN) Linder Stark Lipinski Peterson (PA) Stearns LoBiondo Petri Stenholm Lofgren Phelps Strickland Pickering Stump Lowey Lucas (KY) Stupak Lucas (OK) Platts Sullivan Luther Pombo Sununu Lynch Pomeroy Sweeney Maloney (CT) Portman Tancredo Price (NC) Tanner Maloney (NY) Manzullo Pryce (OH) Tauscher Markey Putnam Tauzin Mascara Quinn Taylor (MS) Matheson Radanovich Taylor (NC) Matsui Rahall Terry McCarthy (MO) Ramstad Thomas McCarthy (NY) Rangel Thompson (CA) McCollum Regula Thompson (MS) McCrery Rehberg Thornberry McDermott Reyes Reynolds Thune McGovern Thurman McHugh Riley Tiahrt McInnis Rodriguez Tiberi Tiernev McIntvre Roemer Rogers (KY) McKeon Toomey McKinney Rogers (MI) Towns McNultv Rohrabacher Turner Meehan Ros-Lehtinen Udall (CO) Meek (FL) Ross Udall (NM) Rothman Meeks (NY) Upton Velazquez Menendez Roukema Mica. Roybal-Allard Visclosky Vitter Millender-Royce McDonald Rush Walden Ryan (WI) Miller, Dan Walsh Ryun (KS) Miller, Gary Wamp Miller, George Sabo Waters Watkins (OK) Miller, Jeff Sanchez Mink Sanders Watson (CA) Watt (NC) Mollohan Sandlin Watts (OK) Moore Sawver Moran (KS) Saxton Waxman Moran (VA) Schaffer Weiner Weldon (FL) Morella Schakowsky Murtha Schiff Weldon (PA) Myrick Schrock Weller Nadler Scott Wexler Sensenbrenner Whitfield Napolitano Neal Nethercutt Wicker Serrano Wilson (NM) Sessions Ney Shadegg Wilson (SC) Northup Shaw Wolf Woolsey Norwood Shavs Wu Nussle Sherman Oberstar Shimkus Wvnn Obey Young (AK) Shows Shuster Young (FL) Olver

NOES-7

Skeen

 $\begin{array}{ll} Baker & Otter \\ Hefley & Paul \\ Jones (NC) & Simpson \end{array}$

NOT VOTING-

Baldacci Gilman Sherwood Cubin Rivers Traficant

□ 1518

Messrs. Hefley, Otter, Baker and Skeen changed their vote from "aye" to "no."

Mr. McInnis and Mr. Evans changed their vote from "no" to "aye."

So the amendment was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Mr. TAUZIN. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. LATOURETTE) having assumed the chair, Mr. LINDER, Chairman pro tem-

pore of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 1542) to deregulate the Internet and high speed data services, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

REQUEST TO MAKE IN ORDER AMENDMENT NUMBER 3 AS AMENDMENT TO THE BILL DURING FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1–542, INTERNET FREEDOM AND BROADBAND DEPLOYMENT ACT OF 2001

Mr. TAUZIN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that during further consideration in the Committee of the Whole of the bill, H.R. 1542, pursuant to House Resolution 350, that the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BUYER) be permitted to offer amendment No. 3 printed in House Report 107–361 as an amendment to the bill, as amended.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Louisiana?

Mr. BUYER. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, since the Buyer-Towns amendment was an amendment to an amendment not made in order, and the committee has now risen, I would ask of the chairman of the Committee on Energy and Commerce to explain to the gentleman from New York (Mr. Towns) and me what he intends to

Mr. TAUZIN. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BUYER. I yield to the gentleman from Louisiana.

Mr. TAUZIN. Apparently, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) and the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CANNON) have decided in the Committee of the Whole not to offer their amendment, and since the amendment drafted by the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BUYER) and the gentleman from New York (Mr. Towns) is an amendment to their amendment, I must seek unanimous consent to have it offered as an amendment to the main bill in the Committee of the Whole, and that is why I have asked for this unanimous consent request.

Absent the granting of this unanimous consent request, it is my understanding the only way that we can get the Buyer-Towns amendment up would be if we defeated the previous question on the motion to recommit, in which case we will do so, if we are not granted this unanimous consent.

Mr. BUYER. Mr. Speaker, further reserving the right to object, I am hopeful that no one does object.

Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Louisiana?

Mr. MARKEY. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the right to object in order to make this point to Members, which is that we have reached a juncture here whereby two amendments, the one made by the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CANNON) and the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS), and the one made by the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BUYER) and the gentleman from New York (Mr. TOWNS), each have a right, in my opinion, to have a vote on the House floor.

The way the rule is structured is there will not be a vote on the Cannon-Conyers amendment. What we are trying to do through this device is to have a straight up or down vote on the amendment, which all the competing companies in America want to have as their up or down vote; and then everyone is free to vote with the Bells or all the competitors. One vote, that is all they want; pick sides, straight up or down. We are not allowed that under the rule that came out of the committee last night.

So that is all we are trying to set up right now. We hope by the end of this process, and on the vote on the previous question, by the way, Members will have that chance to decide, one way or another, to come down forever on competition or with this old monopolistic view.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. MARKEY. I yield to the gentleman from Michigan.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding, and I concur with his observation.

Mr. Speaker, could I just make this point: Why can we not just have a straight up or down vote on Cannon-Conyers and on Buyer-Towns? That has been spoken about among our leadership. I think it would be agreeable to many of the principals here on this bill, and I think it would make things move a lot more quickly.

We have already saved ourselves hours of time by foreclosing the debate. If we just have these two votes, we would be able to bring this very important piece of legislation to a conclusion.

Mr. MARKEY. Mr. Speaker, I object. The SPEAKER pro tempore. Objection is heard.

□ 1530

INTERNET FREEDOM AND BROADBAND DEPLOYMENT ACT OF 2001

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LATOURETTE). Pursuant to House Resolution 350 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 1542.

□ 1531

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 1542) to deregulate the Internet and high-speed data services, and for other purposes, with Mr. LAHOOD (Chairman pro tempore) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. When the Committee of the Whole rose earlier today, the amendment offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. UPTON) had been disposed of.

It is now in order to consider Amendment No. 2 printed in Part B of House Report 107–361.

Is there any Member in the Chamber wishing to offer that amendment?

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRIES

Mr. TAUZIN. Mr. Chairman, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. TAUZIN. Who may offer that amendment under the rule?

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. The gentleman from Utah (Mr. CANNON) or his designee.

Mr. TAUZIN. No one else can offer that amendment but the gentleman from Utah?

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. The gentleman from Louisiana is correct: The gentleman from Utah or his designee.

Mr. TAUZIN. I thank the Chair.

Mr. BUYER. Mr. Chairman, I have a parliamentary inquiry.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. The gentleman will state it.

Mr. BUYER. The gentleman from New York (Mr. Towns) and I had an amendment to the Conyers-Cannon amendment. If these two gentlemen or their designee do not offer that amendment, then I have no opportunity to do that, other than we defeat the previous question, and then I have an opportunity to make an amendment on the motion to recommit. Would that be correct?

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. The Chair is not able to address the Committee questions that may arise in the House.

Mr. BUYER. I thank the Chair.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. Does any Member wish to offer the amendment?

If not, under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. LATOURETTE) having assumed the chair, Mr. LAHOOD, Chairman pro tempore of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 1542) to deregulate the Internet and high-speed data services, and for other purposes, pursuant to House Resolution 350, he reported the bill, as amended pursuant to that rule, back to the House with a further amendment adopted by the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

The question is on the amendment.

The amendment was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT OFFERED BY MR. MARKEY

Mr. MARKEY. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mr. MARKEY. I am opposed to the bill in its present form, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Markey moves to recommit the bill H.R. 1542 to the Committee on Energy and Commerce with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith with the following amendment:

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 1542, AS REPORTED

OFFERED BY MR. MARKEY

Strike section 4 and insert the following:

SEC. 4. LIMITATION ON AUTHORITY TO REGULATE HIGH SPEED DATA SERVICES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Part I of title II of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 201 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"SEC. 232. PROVISION OF HIGH SPEED DATA SERVICES.

"(a) FREEDOM FROM REGULATION.—Except to the extent that high speed data service, Internet backbone service, and Internet access service are expressly referred to in this Act, the Commission shall have no authority to regulate the rates, charges, terms, or conditions for, or entry into the provision of, any high speed data service, Internet backbone service, or Internet access service, or to regulate any network element to the extent it is used in the provision of any such service; nor shall the Commission impose or require the collection of any fees, taxes, charges, or tariffs upon such service.

"(b) SAVINGS PROVISION.—

"(1) STATE AUTHORITY.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit or affect the authority of any State, nor affect the rights of cable franchise authorities to establish requirements that are otherwise consistent with this Act.

"(2) Existing rules and competition pre-SERVED.—Notwithstanding the limitations on Commission and State authority contained in the Internet Freedom Broadband Deployment Act of 2001 (including the amendments made by such Act), in order to preserve and promote fair competition, innovation, economic investment, and consumer choice, no provision of such Act or amendments shall restrict or affect in any way the application and enforcement of the Federal and State rules in effect on the date of enactment of such Act relating to the rates, charges, terms, and conditions for the purchasing or leasing of telecommunications services and network elements by competitive telecommunications carriers.

"(3) ADDITIONAL COMMISSION AUTHORITY PRESERVED.—Notwithstanding the limitations on Commission authority contained in the Internet Freedom and Broadband Deployment Act of 2001 (including the amendments made by such Act), such Act and amendments shall not restrict or affect in

"(A) the authority of the Commission to adopt regulations to prohibit unsolicited commercial e-mail messages;

"(B) the authority of the Commission to regulate changes in subscriber carrier selections or the imposition of charges on telephone bills for unauthorized services; or

"(C) the authority of the Commission—