Sununu

Revnolds

Morella

Davis, Jo Ann Jackson-Lee Davis, Tom (TX) Jenkins Diaz-Balart Johnson, Sam Doolittle Jones (NC) Kelly Duncan Kennedy (RI) Ehrlich Everett Kingston Kucinich Flake Lantos Lewis (CA) Frank Gibbons Gilman LoBiondo Goode Lofgren McIntyre Graham Green (TX) McNulty Miller, Jeff Green (WI) Gutknecht Norwood Hall (TX) Obey Haves Paul Hayworth Payne Hefley Pickering Hilleary Pombo Honda Riley Houghton Rivers Rogers (MI) Hunter

Rohrabacher Ros-Lehtinen Ross Rothman Royce Sanchez Sanders Schaffer Shows Slaughter Smith (NJ) Souder Strickland Stump Tancredo Taylor (MS) Taylor (NC) Visclosky Wamp Watson (CA) Weldon (FL) Wicker Wolf Young (FL)

NAYS-338

Abercrombie DeGette Ackerman Delahunt Akin DeLauro Allen DeLav Armey DeMint Deutsch Baca Bachus Dicks Baird Dingell Doggett Baker Baldacci Dooley Baldwin Dovle Dreier Barcia Barrett Dunn Barton Edwards Bass Ehlers Becerra Emerson Bentsen Engel English Bereuter Berkley Eshoo Etheridge Berman Biggert Evans Bilirakis Farr Fattah Bishop Blagojevich Ferguson Blumenauer Filner Blunt Fletcher Boehlert Foley Boehner Forbes Bono Ford Boozman Fossella Borski Frelinghuvsen Boswell Frost Boucher Gallegly Boyd Ganske Brady (PA) Gekas Brady (TX) Gephardt Brown (FL) Gilchrest Bryant Gillmor Burr Gonzalez Callahan Goodlatte Calvert Gordon Goss Camp Granger Cannon Graves Cantor Capito Greenwood Capps Grucci Capuano Gutierrez Cardin Hall (OH) Carson (IN) Hansen Carson (OK) Harman Castle Hart Chambliss Hastings (FL) Clay Hastings (WA) Clayton Herger Hill Clement Hilliard Clyburn Combest Hinchey Condit Hinojosa Convers Hobson Cooksev Hoeffel Costello Hoekstra Coyne Holden Cramer Holt Crane Hooley Horn Hostettler Crenshaw Crowley Cubin Hoyer Cummings Hulshof Hyde Davis (CA) Inslee Davis (FL)

Davis (IL)

DeFazio

Isakson

Israel

Issa Istook Jackson (IL) Jefferson John Johnson (CT) Johnson (IL) Johnson, E. B. Kanjorski Kaptur Keller Kennedy (MN) Kerns Kildee Kilpatrick Kind (WI) King (NY) Kirk Kleczka Knollenberg Kolbe LaFalce LaHood Lampson Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Latham LaTourette Leach Lee Levin Lewis (KY) Linder Lipinski Lowey Lucas (KY) Lucas (OK) Luther Lvnch Maloney (CT) Maloney (NY) Manzullo Markey Mascara. Matheson Matsui McCarthy (MO) McCarthy (NY) McCollum McCrery McDermott McGovern McHugh McInnis McKeon McKinney Meehan Meek (FL) Meeks (NY) Menendez Mica Millender-McDonald Miller, Dan Miller, Gary Miller, George Mink

Mollohan

Moran (KS)

Moran (VA)

Moore

Murtha Rodriguez Sweeney Myrick Roemer Tanner Nadler Rogers (KY) Tauscher Napolitano Roukema Tauzin Roybal-Allard Neal Terry Nethercutt Rush Thomas Ryan (WI) Thompson (CA) Ney Northup Rvun (KS) Thompson (MS) Nussle Sabo Thornberry Oberstar Sandlin Thune Olver Sawyer Thurman Ortiz Tiahrt Saxton Schakowsky Osborne Tiberi Ose Schiff Tiernev Otter Schrock Toomey Owens Scott Towns Oxlev Sensenbrenner Turner Udall (CO) Pallone Serrano Pascrell Sessions Udall (NM) Pastor Shadegg Unton Velazquez Pence Shaw Vitter Peterson (MN) Shays Walden Peterson (PA) Sherman Petri Sherwood Walsh Phelps Shimkus Waters Watkins (OK) Pitts Shuster Platts Simmons Watt (NC) Pomeroy Simpson Watts (OK) Portman Skeen Waxman Skelton Price (NC) Weiner Pryce (OH) Smith (MI) Weldon (PA) Putnam Smith (TX) Weller Quinn Smith (WA) Wexler Radanovich Snyder Whitfield Wilson (NM) Rahall Solis Wilson (SC) Ramstad Spratt Rangel Stark Woolsev Stenholm Wu Regula Rehberg Stupak Wynn Reyes Sullivan Young (AK)

NOT VOTING-

Jones (OH) Pelosi Traficant Lewis (GA) Stearns

□ 2003

Mrs. BIGGERT changed her vote from "yea" to "nay."

Mr. HAYES changed his vote from to "yea." 'nay'

So the joint resolution was not passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PRO-VIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF 4628, INTELLIGENCE AU-THORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2003

Mrs. Myrick, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 107-607) on the resolution (H. Res. 497) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 4628) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2003 for intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the United States Government, the Community Management Account, and the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

REPORT onRESOLUTION PRO-VIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 4965, PARTIAL-BIRTH ABOR-TION BAN ACT OF 2002

Mrs. Myrick, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 107-608) on the resolution (H. Res. 498) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 4965) to prohibit the procedure commonly known as partialbirth-abortion, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO OFFER RESOLUTION ON QUESTION OF PRIVILEGES OF THE HOUSE

Ms. SANCHEZ. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to rule IX, I hereby notify the House of my intention to offer a resolution as a question of the privileges of the House. The text of my resolution is identical to the resolution reported by the Ethics Committee and reads as follows.

In the matter of James A. Trafi-CANT, Jr., resolved that pursuant to article 1, section 5, clause 2 of the United States Constitution, Representative JAMES A. TRAFICANT, Jr., be, and he hereby is, expelled from the House of Representatives.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). Under rule IX, a resolution offered from the floor by a Member other than the majority leader or the minority leader as a question of the privileges of the House has immediate precedence only at a time designated by the Chair within 2 legislative days after the resolution is properly noticed.

Pending that designation, the form of the resolution noticed by the gentlewoman from California will appear in the RECORD at this point.

The Chair will not, at this point, determine whether the resolution constitutes a question of privilege. That determination will be made at the time designated for consideration of the resolution.

LIMITATION ON DEBATE ON CER-AMENDMENTS DURING TAIN **FURTHER** CONSIDERATION THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE OF H.R. 5120, TREASURY AND GENERAL GOVERNMENT APPRO-PRIATIONS ACT, 2003

Mr. ISTOOK. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that during further consideration of H.R. 5120 in the Committee of the Whole pursuant to House Resolution 488, debate on the following amendments, and any amendments thereto, be limited to the time specified equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent as follows:

The amendment printed in the House Report 107-585 shall be debatable for 12 additional minutes.

The amendment printed in the Con-GRESSIONAL RECORD and numbered 1 shall be debatable for 30 minutes.

The amendment printed in the Con-GRESSIONAL RECORD and numbered 5 shall be debatable for 20 minutes.

The amendments printed in the CON-GRESSIONAL RECORD and numbered 9 and 20 each shall be debatable for 10 minutes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

Mr. MENENDEZ. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, and it is not my intention to object but to clarify, the gentleman's proposition here, on unanimous consent, is that the 12 minutes on the Goss amendment are to be divided 6 apiece.

Mr. ISTOOK. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. MENENDEZ. I yield to the gentleman from Oklahoma under my reservation of objection.

Mr. ISTOOK. The gentleman's understanding is correct.

Mr. MENENDEZ. Mr. Speaker, it is my further understanding that of those 6 minutes, the Chair is going to be instructed as to how those 6 minutes are going to be divided.

Mr. ISTOOK. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will continue to yield, the UC request specifies divided equally between an opponent and a proponent of it. The UC request does not identify specific Members who would claim that time.

Mr. MENENDEZ. Mr. Speaker, continuing under my reservation of objection, let me ask an inquiry of the Chair. How will the Chair recognize individuals for those time frames on each side?

It is my understanding that of the 6 minutes to each side, I was to receive 3 of those 6, and I just want to make sure that that in fact take place.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. MENENDEZ. I yield under my reservation of objection to the gentleman from Maryland.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, it is my presumption that, as the ranking member, I would be recognized, and I would tell the gentleman that I will yield him the 3 minutes.

Mr. MENENDEZ. Reclaiming my time, Mr. Speaker, based upon that, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

TREASURY AND GENERAL GOVERNMENT APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2003

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 488 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 5120.

□ 2008

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 5120) making appropriations for the Treasury Department, the United States Postal Service, the Executive Office of the President, and certain Independent Agencies, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2003, and for other purposes, with Mrs. BIGGERT (Chairman pro tempore) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. When the Committee of the Whole rose earlier today, pending was the amendment printed in House Report 107–585 by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. Goss), and the bill was open from page 75, line 11, through page 103, line 10.

Pursuant to the order of the House of today, debate on the following amendments, and any amendments thereto, will be limited to the time specified, equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent as follows:

The amendment printed in House Report 107-58 offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. Goss) shall be debated for 12 additional minutes;

the amendment printed in the CON-GRESSIONAL RECORD and numbered 1 shall be debatable for 30 minutes:

the amendment printed in the CON-GRESSIONAL RECORD and numbered 5 shall be debatable for 20 minutes; and

the amendments printed in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD and numbered 9 and 20 each will be debated for 10 minutes.

Pursuant to the order of the House of today, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. Goss) and a Member opposed, the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER) each will control 6 minutes on the Goss amendment.

Mr. HOYER. Madam Chairman, I want to clarify, because it is not fair for me to claim all 6 minutes in opposition, A, because I am not in opposition.

Madam Chairman, because the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. MENEN-DEZ) was concerned under the unanimous consent that he might not get the time to speak, and he is not a member of the Committee on Appropriations, in fairness, my understanding with the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. ISTOOK), and I think everybody's understanding, was that the proponents would have 6 minutes and the opponents would have 6 minutes, so that my only intent, Madam Chairman, is to ensure that the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. MENEN-DEZ) get his 3 minutes. I also want to ensure that the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. ROEMER) gets his 3 minutes. So I am not claiming the time.

Mr. ROEMER. Madam Chairman, we need a clarification. I think the gentleman from Maryland rose to claim the time in opposition to yield 3 of the 6 minutes to the gentleman from (Mr. MENENDEZ).

The gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. MENENDEZ) is a proponent of Goss and not in opposition to Goss. So we may need a unanimous consent agreement here to agree that the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. MENENDEZ) gets 3 minutes; that the gentleman from Florida (Mr. DIAZ-BALART) gets 3 minutes in supporting the Goss amendment; that the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. Flake) and the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. ROEMER) get 3 minutes each in opposition to the Goss amendment.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. The Chair mistook the attitude of the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER).

Does any Member rise in opposition to the amendment?

Mr. ROEMER. Madam Chairman, I rise in opposition to the Goss amendment.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. The gentleman from Indiana (Mr. ROEMER) will control 6 minutes.

Mr. ROEMER. I thank the Chairman. Mr. DIAZ-BALART. Madam Chairman, as the designee of the proponent of the amendment, am I correct that I will, as the person controlling the 6 minutes, have the right to close?

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. In the absence of a committee Member in opposition; that is correct.

Mr. DIAZ-BALART. As the designee of the proponent of the amendment, do I have the right to close?

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. Without objection, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. DIAZ-BALART) will control 6 minutes as the designee of the proponent of the amendment.

Mr. HOYER. Reserving the right to object, Madam Chairman, I want to make clear that a unanimous consent has been propounded, which I think is a fair one, and what that does, it gives one Democrat a proponent of the Goss amendment and one Democrat who is an opponent 3 minutes apiece; and on the other side, one Republican who is a proponent gets 3 minutes and one Republican who is an opponent gets 3 minutes.

I am not going to seek any time. I am for the proposed unanimous consent irrespective of who closes or not. The proponent of the amendment, I presume, under the rules, would have the right to close.

Mr. DIAZ-BALART. Madam Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. HOYER. Madam Chairman, under my reservation of objection, I yield to the gentleman from Florida.

Mr. DIAZ-BALART. I am still trying to get an answer as to whether the proponent of the amendment has the right to close. That is the first question I would like answered. As the proponent of the amendment, do I get the right to close?

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. Members will suspend for a moment.

Mr. HOYER. Madam Chairman, it is my perception there is not opposition to the unanimous consent request, but I may be wrong.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. The Chair will state her current understanding. The 6 minutes in opposition will be controlled by the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. ROEMER), the 6 minutes for the proponent will be controlled by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. DIAZ-BALART). The gentleman from Florida (Mr. DIAZ-BALART) will have the right to close.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Florida (Mr. DIAZ-BALART).

Mr. HOYER. Madam Chairman, as I understand, there was a unanimous consent request propounded subsequent to the first unanimous consent, and that unanimous consent was of the