

wisdom, at the commissioner's Court, fighting for the people of precinct two. I thank Jim Fonteno for his service, and wish him the best as he settles into a well-deserved retirement.

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to rise in support of a great man, a great Texan, and a great fellow-Houstonian. Commissioner Jim Fonteno truly deserves the honor of having his name placed on the Pasadena Post Office Building.

Commissioner Fonteno has touched the lives of every person in East Harris County, from the youngest to the oldest. He has worked tirelessly for the youth of the area, establishing the East Harris County Youth Program, which provides wonderful opportunities for "at risk" boys and girls to attend stimulating summer camps and after-school programs. He has supported and improved the many parks, with miles of hiking and biking trails, that serve the people of precinct two.

Perhaps his greatest contribution has been in championing the Senior Citizen Centers throughout Harris County. These centers provide multiple services to seniors, and even bring seniors in to enjoy these services and help them home when they are done. That kind of service and access is difficult to find in this country, and is there because of the good work of Commissioner Fonteno.

The Commissioner has a motto: "A day's work for a day's pay." I believe the people of East Harris County have gotten more than their money's worth out of Commissioner Fonteno.

I thank my colleague from Texas for introducing this resolution. I am pleased to rise in support of it.

Mr. DAVIS of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of our time.

Mr. OSE. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BONILLA). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. OSE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 4717.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds of those present have voted in the affirmative.

Mr. DAVIS of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX and the Chair's prior announcement, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

SMALL BUSINESS PAPERWORK RELIEF ACT OF 2002

Mrs. MYRICK. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 444 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 444

Resolved, That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 327) to amend chapter 35 of title 44, United States Code, for the purpose of facilitating compliance by

small businesses with certain Federal paperwork requirements and to establish a task force to examine the feasibility of streamlining paperwork requirements applicable to small businesses, with Senate amendments thereto, and to consider in the House, without intervention of any point of order, a single motion offered by the chairman of the Committee on Government Reform or his designee that the House concur in the Senate amendments. The Senate amendments and the motion shall be considered as read. The motion shall be debatable for one hour equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Government Reform. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the motion to final adoption without intervening motion or demand for division of the question.

□ 1315

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BONILLA). The gentlewoman from North Carolina (Mrs. MYRICK) is recognized for 1 hour.

Mrs. MYRICK. Mr. Speaker, for purposes of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to my friend, the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. SLAUGHTER); pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for purposes of debate only.

This rule provides for a single motion offered by the chairman of the Committee on Government Reform to concur with the Senate amendments. The rule waives all points of order against consideration of the motion to concur with the Senate amendments, and provides for 1 hour of general debate equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking member of the Committee on Government Reform.

The purpose of this legislation is to reduce the Federal paperwork burden on small businesses. Mr. Speaker, with the plethora of regulatory mandates on small business growing to unprecedented levels, so, too, is the gigantic task of filling out required paperwork. Our Nation's 23 million small businesses spent approximately 7 billion, billion with a "B," hours filling out Federal paperwork in 1998, according to the Office of Management and Budget. The cost associated with this burdensome paperwork is estimated at \$229 billion, again billion with a "B," and that does not take into account State and local requirements.

As a one-time small businesswoman myself, I know the hurdles that our entrepreneurs face: strangling red tape, burdensome regulations, and mountains of paperwork. H.R. 327 would help to streamline small business' paperwork burden by requiring Federal agencies to publish a list of resources that small businesses could use for complying with applicable paperwork requirements so they can know exactly what is required of them.

In addition, it would require each Federal agency to establish a liaison for small business paperwork requirements and to help small businesses comply with their legal obligations,

and it would establish a task force to consider ways to streamline paperwork requirements even further.

H.R. 327 is a step in the right direction. It relieves our Nation's small businesses from an overwhelming paperwork burden that threatens to bury them. To that end I urge my colleagues to support this rule and to support the common-sense underlying legislation. It is a bicameral, bipartisan agreement that the Senate has already passed.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I thank my colleague, the gentlewoman from North Carolina, for yielding me the customary 30 minutes, and I yield myself such time as I may consume.

(Ms. SLAUGHTER asked and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the rule and in support of the underlying bill.

Mr. Speaker, at a time when large corporations and manufacturers are announcing layoffs and scaling back production, more and more regions of the country are learning what western New York already knows; that the small business sector can be the real economic engine for our communities. Small businesses generate the jobs that keep our cities and towns vibrant, they generate the opportunities that anchor our sons and daughters to family and home, and they foster the innovators who represent the brightest hope for our future.

Last month I was pleased to host the Small Business Administration's 2002 Young Entrepreneur of the Year, a young man named Aaron Zach Philips from Rochester, New York. Although only 25 years old, Zach has achieved remarkable success. He is the president of Kink BMX, a manufacturer and distributor of BMX bicycle parts and related soft goods. Since 1999, Zach has doubled his company's growth annually with sales reaching nearly \$1 million as of March 31, 2001. Zach now does business outside the United States and sells his product through distributors in Europe, Canada, Australia, and Japan. On every mailing logo, every label, every brochure or marketing tool he prints the words "Rochester Made Means Quality Made."

Zach embodies a growing trend that Congress must continue to foster. Small businesses now account for approximately 75 percent of all new jobs added to the economy and represent 99.7 percent of all employers. Small businesses provide almost one-third of the workers with their first jobs and initial on-the-job training in basic skills. The important role small businesses play in keeping our Nation competitive must not be overshadowed by corporate America's clout in this body. We must ensure that entrepreneurs like Zach are afforded the same attention and access to Washington that the large corporate interests enjoy.

A quick look at the numbers show that small businesses form the backbone of our economy. They account for half of our domestic products and contribute more than 55 percent of the innovations in such sectors as manufacturing, technology and services. During the long boom of the 1990s, small businesses forged the way for high-tech expansion and growth. They now account for almost 40 percent of the jobs in the high-technology sector.

One reason for this is that women and minorities are opening small businesses in record numbers. Women-owned businesses nearly doubled during the last decades. There are currently an estimated 6.2 million women-owned businesses, accounting for 28 percent of all privately held firms. These firms generate \$1.15 trillion in sales and employ 9.2 million workers. The number of minority-owned enterprises nearly quadrupled in the last decade, and they generally outstrip the national average in business creation and receipts. Minorities now own 15 percent of American business, and 99 percent of these businesses are small businesses.

Congress has addressed the needs of small business before. We have passed paperwork reduction legislation, such as the Paperwork Reduction Act, PRA, and the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act. Moreover, the last administration streamlined regulations by reinventing government and implementing many of the recommendations made by the White House Conference on Small Businesses. The measure before us today continues this effort to reduce unnecessary paperwork for small businesses. I know of no opposition to this measure.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. MYRICK. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from California (Mr. OSE), who is the Chair of the subcommittee.

Mr. OSE. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for yielding me this time, and I am speaking today in support of the rule for a good government bill to streamline and reduce paperwork burdens on small businesses, H.R. 327, the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act.

The predecessor to this bill were bills introduced in the 105th and 106th Congresses by the former chairman of a subcommittee of the Committee on Government Reform, Mr. David McIntosh, and those would have been H.R. 3310 and H.R. 391 respectively.

In 1999, Senator VOINOVICH introduced and held a hearing on an identical companion bill, which would be Senate 1378. In 1998 and 1999, the House passed the predecessor bills by votes of 267 to 140 and 274 to 151 respectively. The Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs did not mark up the Voinovich bill.

On January 31, 2001, the chairman of the Committee on Government Reform, the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BURTON), introduced H.R. 327. This bill in-

cludes all of the substantive provisions in the predecessor bills except those relating to the waiver of sanctions for first-time violations by small businesses of Federal paperwork requirements. On March 15, the House passed H.R. 327 by a resounding 418 to 0 vote.

On July 30, Senator VOINOVICH introduced a companion but not identical bill, S. 1271. It also does not include any provisions relating to the waiver of sanctions for first-time violations by small businesses. However, it does include provisions for biennial agency reporting on enforcement actions taken and civil penalties assessed, including actions and assessments against small businesses.

On December 17, the Senate passed S. 1271 by unanimous consent. On May 22 of this year, after bipartisan, bicameral staff-level meetings, the Senate passed an agreed-upon amended version of H.R. 327 by unanimous consent.

H.R. 327, as amended by the Senate, includes helpful provisions for small businesses, including a requirement for the Office of Management and Budget to annually publish in the Federal Register and on the Internet a list of compliance assistance resources available to small businesses, a requirement for each agency to establish a single point of contact for small businesses, a requirement for each agency to make further efforts to reduce paperwork for small businesses with fewer than 25 employees, establishment of an interagency task force to study streamlining of paperwork requirements for small businesses, and a requirement for two annual reports for fiscal years 2003 and 2004 from each agency on enforcement actions taken and civil penalties assessed, including actions and assessments against small businesses.

Despite the statutory requirements for annual reductions in paperwork burden, there have been annual increases, instead of annual decreases, in paperwork in each of the last 6 years, from 1996 to 2001. In addition, OMB's April 2002 report to Congress on Federal paperwork did not identify any interagency efforts to streamline paperwork requirements on small businesses.

Small businesses are particularly hurt by regulatory and paperwork burden. In an October 2001 report, the Small Business Administration estimated that it cost large firms, those with over 500 employees, \$4,463 per employee to comply with Federal regulatory and paperwork requirements. However, the cost to small businesses, those with fewer than 20 employees, is nearly 60 percent higher, a staggering \$6,975 per employee.

Since introduction the staff of my subcommittee has worked with the staff of the Committee on Small Business to address concerns by this committee's majority and minority. As a consequence, as it did in the 105th Congress for the predecessor bill, that being H.R. 391, the Committee on Small Business sent a letter waiving

jurisdiction on H.R. 327. H.R. 327 has been endorsed by many organizations including the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, the National Association of Manufacturers, the National Federation of Independent Business, the National Small Business United Organization, the Small Business Coalition for Regulatory Relief, the Small Business Legislative Council, and the Small Business Survival Committee.

The Congressional Budget Office provided a preliminary estimate of the budgetary impact of H.R. 327, saying that the bill "would result in a minimal cost for Federal agencies each year. Because the bill would not affect direct spending or governmental receipts, pay-as-you-go procedures would not apply."

I support the rule to enable the House to consider a motion to concur with the Senate amendments to H.R. 327 and 1 hour of general debate evenly divided. Not only are regulatory and paperwork costs higher for small businesses, but also they are harder to absorb. Small businesses simply cannot afford to comply with Federal requirements in the same way that large businesses can. H.R. 327 should result in some much needed relief for small businesses.

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I have no request for time, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. MYRICK. Mr. Speaker, I have no further speakers.

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mrs. MYRICK. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Mr. OSE. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 444, I call up the bill (H.R. 327) to amend chapter 35 of title 44, United States Code, for the purpose of facilitating compliance by small businesses with certain Federal paperwork requirements and to establish a task force to examine the feasibility of streamlining paperwork requirements applicable to small businesses, with Senate amendments thereto, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

MOTION OFFERED BY MR. OSE

Mr. OSE. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BONILLA). The Clerk will designate the motion.

The text of the motion is as follows:

Mr. OSE moves that the House concur in the Senate amendments, as follows:

Senate amendments:

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002".

SEC. 2. FACILITATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL PAPERWORK REQUIREMENTS.

(a) REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO THE DIRECTOR OF OMB.—Section 3504(c) of title 44, United

States Code (commonly referred to as the "Paperwork Reduction Act"), is amended—

(1) in paragraph (4), by striking "and" and inserting a semicolon;

(2) in paragraph (5), by striking the period and inserting a semicolon; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

"(6) publish in the Federal Register and make available on the Internet (in consultation with the Small Business Administration) on an annual basis a list of the compliance assistance resources available to small businesses, with the first such publication occurring not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002."

(b) **ESTABLISHMENT OF AGENCY POINT OF CONTACT.**—Section 3506 of title 44, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(i)(1) In addition to the requirements described in subsection (c), each agency shall, with respect to the collection of information and the control of paperwork, establish 1 point of contact in the agency to act as a liaison between the agency and small business concerns (as defined in section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632)).

"(2) Each point of contact described under paragraph (1) shall be established not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002."

(c) **ADDITIONAL REDUCTION OF PAPERWORK FOR CERTAIN SMALL BUSINESSES.**—Section 3506(c) of title 44, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2)(B), by striking "and" and inserting a semicolon;

(2) in paragraph (3)(J), by striking the period and inserting "and"; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

"(4) in addition to the requirements of this chapter regarding the reduction of information collection burdens for small business concerns (as defined in section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632)), make efforts to further reduce the information collection burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees."

SEC. 3. ESTABLISHMENT OF TASK FORCE ON INFORMATION COLLECTION AND DISSEMINATION.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 35 of title 44, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating section 3520 as section 3521; and

(2) by inserting after section 3519 the following:

"§3520. Establishment of task force on information collection and dissemination

"(a) There is established a task force to study the feasibility of streamlining requirements with respect to small business concerns regarding collection of information and strengthening dissemination of information (in this section referred to as the 'task force').

"(b)(1) The Director shall determine—

"(A) subject to the minimum requirements under paragraph (2), the number of representatives to be designated under each subparagraph of that paragraph; and

"(B) the agencies to be represented under paragraph (2)(K).

"(2) After all determinations are made under paragraph (1), the members of the task force shall be designated by the head of each applicable department or agency, and include—

"(A) 1 representative of the Director, who shall convene and chair the task force;

"(B) not less than 2 representatives of the Department of Labor, including 1 representative of the Bureau of Labor Statistics and 1 representative of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration;

"(C) not less than 1 representative of the Environmental Protection Agency;

"(D) not less than 1 representative of the Department of Transportation;

"(E) not less than 1 representative of the Office of Advocacy of the Small Business Administration;

"(F) not less than 1 representative of the Internal Revenue Service;

"(G) not less than 2 representatives of the Department of Health and Human Services, including 1 representative of the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services;

"(H) not less than 1 representative of the Department of Agriculture;

"(I) not less than 1 representative of the Department of the Interior;

"(J) not less than 1 representative of the General Services Administration; and

"(K) not less than 1 representative of each of 2 agencies not represented by representatives described under subparagraphs (A) through (J).

"(c) The task force shall—

"(1) identify ways to integrate the collection of information across Federal agencies and programs and examine the feasibility and desirability of requiring each agency to consolidate requirements regarding collections of information with respect to small business concerns within and across agencies, without negatively impacting the effectiveness of underlying laws and regulations regarding such collections of information, in order that each small business concern may submit all information required by the agency—

"(A) to 1 point of contact in the agency;

"(B) in a single format, such as a single electronic reporting system, with respect to the agency; and

"(C) with synchronized reporting for information submissions having the same frequency, such as synchronized quarterly, semiannual, and annual reporting dates;

"(2) examine the feasibility and benefits to small businesses of publishing a list by the Director of the collections of information applicable to small business concerns (as defined in section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632)), organized—

"(A) by North American Industry Classification System code;

"(B) by industrial sector description; or

"(C) in another manner by which small business concerns can more easily identify requirements with which those small business concerns are expected to comply;

"(3) examine the savings, including cost savings, and develop recommendations for implementing—

"(A) systems for electronic submissions of information to the Federal Government; and

"(B) interactive reporting systems, including components that provide immediate feedback to assure that data being submitted—

"(i) meet requirements of format; and

"(ii) are within the range of acceptable options for each data field;

"(4) make recommendations to improve the electronic dissemination of information collected under Federal requirements;

"(5) recommend a plan for the development of an interactive Governmentwide system, available through the Internet, to allow each small business to—

"(A) better understand which Federal requirements regarding collection of information (and, when possible, which other Federal regulatory requirements) apply to that particular business; and

"(B) more easily comply with those Federal requirements; and

"(6) in carrying out this section, consider opportunities for the coordination—

"(A) of Federal and State reporting requirements; and

"(B) among the points of contact described under section 3506(i), such as to enable agencies to provide small business concerns with contacts for information collection requirements for other agencies.

"(d) The task force shall—

"(1) by publication in the Federal Register, provide notice and an opportunity for public comment on each report in draft form; and

"(2) make provision in each report for the inclusion of—

"(A) any additional or dissenting views of task force members; and

"(B) a summary of significant public comments.

"(e) Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, the task force shall submit a report of its findings under subsection (c) (1), (2), and (3) to—

"(1) the Director;

"(2) the chairpersons and ranking minority members of—

"(A) the Committee on Governmental Affairs and the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate; and

"(B) the Committee on Government Reform and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives; and

"(3) the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman designated under section 30(b) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 657(b)).

"(f) Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002, the task force shall submit a report of its findings under subsection (c) (4) and (5) to—

"(1) the Director;

"(2) the chairpersons and ranking minority members of—

"(A) the Committee on Governmental Affairs and the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate; and

"(B) the Committee on Government Reform and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives; and

"(3) the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman designated under section 30(b) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 657(b)).

"(g) The task force shall terminate after completion of its work.

"(h) In this section, the term 'small business concern' has the meaning given under section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632)."

(b) **TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for chapter 35 of title 44, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 3520 and inserting the following:

"3520. Establishment of task force on information collection and dissemination.

"3521. Authorization of appropriations."

SEC. 4. REGULATORY ENFORCEMENT REPORTS.

(a) **DEFINITION.**—In this section, the term "agency" has the meaning given that term under section 551 of title 5, United States Code.

(b) **IN GENERAL.**—

(1) **INITIAL REPORT.**—Not later than December 31, 2003, each agency shall submit an initial report to—

(A) the chairpersons and ranking minority members of—

(i) the Committee on Governmental Affairs and the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate; and

(ii) the Committee on Government Reform and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives; and

(B) the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman designated under section 30(b) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 657(b)).

(2) **FINAL REPORT.**—Not later than December 31, 2004, each agency shall submit a final report to the members and officer described under paragraph (1) (A) and (B).

(3) **CONTENT.**—The initial report under paragraph (1) shall include information with respect to the 1-year period beginning on October 1, 2002, and the final report under paragraph (2) shall include information with respect to the 1-year period beginning on October 1, 2003, on each of the following:

(A) The number of enforcement actions in which a civil penalty is assessed.

(B) The number of enforcement actions in which a civil penalty is assessed against a small entity.

(C) The number of enforcement actions described under subparagraphs (A) and (B) in which the civil penalty is reduced or waived.

(D) The total monetary amount of the reductions or waivers referred to under subparagraph (C).

(4) **DEFINITIONS IN REPORTS.**—Each report under this subsection shall include definitions selected at the discretion of the reporting agency of the terms “enforcement actions”, “reduction or waiver”, and “small entity” as used in the report.

Amend the title so as to read: “An Act to amend chapter 35 of title 44, United States Code, for the purpose of facilitating compliance by small business concerns with certain Federal paperwork requirements, to establish a task force to examine information collection and dissemination, and for other purposes.”.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 444, the gentleman from California (Mr. OSE) and the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. TIERNEY) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California (Mr. OSE).

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. OSE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on H.R. 327.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

Mr. OSE. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, H.R. 327, the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act, was introduced by Committee on Government Reform Chairman Burton on January 31, 2001. This good government bill continues congressional efforts to streamline and reduce paperwork burdens on small businesses. On March 15, 2001, the House passed H.R. 327 by a 418 to 0 vote. On December 17 the Senate passed Senator VOINOVICH's companion bill, S. 1271, by unanimous consent. On May 22 of this year, the Senate passed an amended version of H.R. 327 by unanimous consent.

During the 105th and 106th Congresses, the Committee on Government Reform reported out bills that passed the House by 267 to 140 and 274 to 151.

□ 1330

Those bills were H.R. 3310 and H.R. 391, respectively. These earlier bills included additional provisions relating to the waiver of sanctions for first-time violations of small businesses of Federal paperwork requirements. During the May 21, 2002, Senate floor debate on the amended version of H.R. 327, Democratic cosponsor Senator BLANCHE LINCOLN stated, “Our thought behind suspending fines for first-time violators was that a majority of small business owners who neglect to file a certain form are simply overwhelmed with paperwork and don't realize their error. We thought that small business owners

should be given a chance to correct the problem before they were slapped with a fine. I am disappointed that this final version does not include the fine suspension.”

Mr. Speaker, I agree with Senator LINCOLN and hope that these helpful provisions will be enacted by Congress in the future.

The amended version of H.R. 327 before the House today includes the following helpful provisions for small businesses: first, a requirement for the Office of Management and Budget to annually publish in the Federal Register and on the Internet a list of compliance assistance resources available to small businesses;

Second, a requirement for each agency to establish a single point of contact for small businesses;

Third, a requirement for each agency to make further efforts to reduce paperwork for small businesses having fewer than 25 employees;

Fourth, a requirement for each agency to submit two reports, each with data for a 1-year period on enforcement actions in which a civil penalty was assessed and the penalty amounts reduced or waived for small businesses;

Fifth, establishment of an interagency task force to study streamlining of paperwork requirements for small businesses.

Under the amended version of H.R. 327, this task force will identify ways to integrate the collection of information across Federal agencies and programs and will examine the feasibility of requiring the agencies to consolidate reporting requirements in order that each small business may submit all information required by the agency to one point of contact at the agency, in a single format or using a single electronic reporting system, and with synchronized reporting.

During the May 21 Senate floor debate on the amended version of H.R. 327, Senator JOE LIEBERMAN inserted in the Senate record a document, coauthored by Senator VOINOVICH, entitled, “H.R. 327: Consensus Amendment, Purposes and Summary, Section-by-Section Description, and Legislative History.” This document constitutes only part of the legislative history of the amended version of H.R. 327.

The task force will also examine the benefits to small businesses of publishing a list of information collections organized by the North American Industrial Classification System codes or in another manner by which small businesses can more easily identify requirements with which they are expected to comply.

Last October, the subcommittee provided OMB with a road map for OMB to easily prepare such a NAICS code listing, which will be printed in the RECORD at the end of my statement.

In addition, later in this debate, I will engage in a colloquy with the chairman of the Committee on Small Business, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. MANZULLO), about the utility of a NAICS-code listing.

Additionally, the task force will develop recommendations for systems for interactive electronic reporting. The definition of “small business” in this bill is the one used in the Small Business Act at 15 USC subsection 631 et seq.

Senator VOINOVICH's companion bill, which passed the Senate by unanimous consent last December, included an every-2-year reporting requirement on the number of enforcement actions in which a civil penalty is assessed, the number of such actions in which a civil penalty is assessed against a small entity, the number of enforcement actions in which the civil penalty is reduced or waived, and the total monetary amount of reductions or waivers. Unfortunately, the amended version of H.R. 327 today only includes a requirement for agencies to report this information two times. However, if there is practical utility to this information, this Federal agency reporting requirement can and should be continued.

H.R. 327 amends the Paperwork Reduction Act, which is the successor to the Federal Reports Act of 1942, which began the requirement for OMB approval before paperwork could be imposed on nine or more members of the public. The 1980 Paperwork Reduction Act, which established the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs in the office of OMB, began by stating: “Information needed by Federal agencies shall be obtained with a minimum burden upon business enterprises, especially small business enterprises, and other persons required to furnish the information, and at a minimum cost to the government.” The 1995 reauthorization of the Paperwork Reduction Act set 10 percent and 5 percent goals for paperwork reduction each year from 1996 to 2001.

OMB's most recent estimate of Federal paperwork burden on the public is 7.7 billion hours annually, at a cost of \$230 billion per year. Despite the statutory requirements for annual reductions in paperwork burden, there have actually been annual increases in paperwork in each of the last 6 years, from 1996 to 2001. OMB's April 2002 report to Congress entitled “Managing Information Collection and Dissemination: Fiscal Year 2002,” does not identify any interagency efforts to streamline paperwork requirements on small businesses. Also, although Congress required OMB to provide an analysis of impacts of Federal regulation on small business, OMB's December 2001 report entitled “Making Sense of Regulation: 2001 Report to Congress on the Costs and Benefits of Regulations and Unfunded Mandates on State, Local, and Tribal Entities,” devotes less than one page to the impact of Federal regulatory and paperwork burdens on small businesses.

H.R. 327 has been endorsed by the United States Chamber of Commerce, National Association of Manufacturers, National Federation of Independent Business, National Small Business

United, Small Business Coalition for Regulatory Relief, Small Business Legislative Council, Small Business Survival Committee, Academy of General Dentistry, Agricultural Retailers Association, American Farm Bureau Federation, American Road and Transportation Builders Association, Associated Builders and Contractors, Associated General Contractors, Automotive Parts and Service Alliance, Food Marketing Institute, GrassRoots Impact, Inc., National Association of Convenience Stores, National Automobile Dealers Association, National Business Association, National Pest Management Association, National Restaurant Association, Nation Roofing Contractors Association, National Tooling and Machining Association, North American Equipment Dealers Association, and the Society of American Florists.

Small businesses are particularly hurt by regulatory and paperwork burden. In an October 2001 report, the Small Business Administration estimated that it cost large firms, those with over 500 employees, \$4,463 per employee to comply with Federal regulatory and paperwork requirements.

However, the cost to small businesses, those with fewer than 20 employees, is nearly 60 percent higher, a staggering \$6,975 per employee. Not only are such costs higher for small businesses, but they are also much harder to absorb. Small businesses simply cannot afford to comply with Federal requirements in the same way that large businesses can. The high cost of such requirements often makes it impossible for small businesses to expand; it threatens their ability to stay afloat or prevents them from opening in the first place.

During the May 21, 2002, floor debate on the amended version of H.R. 327, Senator LINCOLN stated, "I have been told that Federal paperwork burdens rank just behind taxes and the cost of health care as the top problems facing members of the National Federation of Independent Businesses." H.R. 327 should result in some needed relief for small businesses.

STEPS TO ADD NAICS CODES TO OMB/OIRA'S EXISTING COMPUTERIZED PAPERWORK DATABASE

1. NAICS information. Decide what NAICS codes information should be included in OMB/OIRA's existing computerized paperwork database. First, examine the SF-83 (Rev. 9-80) item #21 to see if that approach is desirable, especially since the software was previously developed for it. This item required agencies to indicate up to ten 3-digit SIC codes or to check "multiple" or "all." Besides deciding on the approach, OMB needs to decide on the number of NAICS digits—the first 2 digits are used for sectors, the 3rd digit is for sub-sectors, the 4th digit is for industry group, etc.—which would be most useful for the public to identify applicable paperwork and for OMB and the agencies to reduce duplicative paperwork and paperwork without any practical utility.

2. Other new information. Decide if any other information should be added to OMB/OIRA's paperwork database so that the agencies could be asked to provide this informa-

tion for all currently-approved information collections at the same time as NAICS codes information. Alternatively, the agencies could be asked to provide this information only for new agency requests for OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act. First, examine the 16 other items on the SF-83 (Rev. 9-80) which were deleted, including #4 (3-digit functional code, which is used in Executive and Legislative Branch budgeting). The software for some of these items was also previously developed. However, some were previously only textual fields, such as #28 (authority for agency for information collection—indicate statute, regulation, judicial decree, etc.). Since 1980, the Regulatory Information Service Center (RISC) has made some progress in coding some of this information.

3. Data specifications. After #1 and #2 are settled, outline the data specifications for a computer contractor. After the contractor is on-board for the project, OIRA should work with him to design the data format and a minimum number of data edits. For example, the contractor probably does not need to check if each 3-digit (or whatever level is chosen) NAICS code entered by an agency is a valid one but the contractor probably should check that there is some NAICS information for every data collection which significantly impacts on small entities (OMB-83-I #5) or which affects business or other for-profits or farms (OMB-83-I #11 b & d).

4. Output formats. OIRA and the contractor also need to design the output formats, including: the OMB webpage which includes NAICS information, including links to each agency's consolidated webpage, which, at a minimum, should include links to each of the agency's approved forms (available in HTML or read-only PDF formats) and their accompanying instructions; and (2) the full paper-copy listing by NAICS code. The agency webpages could also include additional information, such as links to the applicable regulations underpinning the recordkeeping requirements and any non-binding guidance documents. Unfortunately, many currently-approved agency forms are not yet available on the Internet so this step may require some agency effort, which is worthwhile with or without the addition of NAICS information.

5. Availability. After consultation with the Hill and interest groups (such as NFIB), OMB should decide if all Federal Register publication annually makes sense or just a Federal Register Notice of Document Availability for OMB's full paper-copy listing.

6. Agency training. OIRA (including its Statistical Policy experts) needs to train the agencies about NAICS. If agencies are in doubt which NAICS codes apply, they could call a few of their respondents since businesses all know which NAICS code applies to them since they are routinely asked to provide this information by various Federal agencies (e.g., the Census Bureau and the SEC).

7. Agency input. After OMB and the contractor have agreed on an approach (in step #3 above) and the agencies are trained (in step #6 above), OMB needs to ask each agency with one or more currently approved information collections (i.e., including the independent regulatory commissions and the bank regulatory agencies) to provide the new information—for each of the 7,780 currently-approved information collections—in the precise format which OMB will be using for all new agency requests for OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act. OMB could ask agencies to directly input this information electronically into the database, with the rest of the data elements in OMB's database kept as read-only items which can-

not be changed by the agencies. Alternatively, OMB could ask the agencies to e-mail the information (in a format calling only for the 8-digit OMB number and then the NAICS information) for OMB's contractor to merge into the OMB database. OMB does not second guess the agency input for other items (such as #11, affected public) on the OMB-83-I (Rev. 10/95) so OMB should not be required to verify the accuracy of agency input for NAICS information.

8. Quality control. Have the contractor perform edit checks on the consolidated (agency-provided) new information in OMB/OIRA's paperwork database (as determined in step #3 above) and test each of the links from OMB's webpage to each of the agency's webpages.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. TIERNEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to thank the gentleman from California (Mr. OSE), the chairman of the subcommittee, and the Senate Governmental Affairs Committee for their willingness to negotiate the amendments to H.R. 327 that we are considering today.

H.R. 327 is a substantial improvement over the small business paperwork bills that were considered by the House in the last two Congresses.

The controversial penalty provisions have been removed, and the bill includes provisions suggested by the Democratic minority that will reduce the paperwork burden on small businesses.

Mr. Speaker, small businesses are the backbone of the economy and are where the new jobs are being created. However, many small and family-opened businesses spend a great deal of their resources learning about and complying with applicable laws.

I am pleased that we are looking at ways to make it easier for small businesses to understand what information they are required to provide to the government and ways to simplify and streamline the paperwork process.

H.R. 327, as amended, requires OMB to annually produce a list of compliance assistance resources available to small businesses. This list must be printed in the Federal Register and posted on the Internet. This bill also requires each agency to establish one point of contact to act as a liaison with small businesses.

H.R. 327 requires agencies to make efforts to further reduce paperwork required of businesses with fewer than 25 employees.

The bill establishes a task force to make recommendations for electronic reporting and improving information dissemination. And H.R. 327 requires agencies to report on the number of enforcement actions they take and the number of instances when they reduce and waive penalties.

Mr. Speaker, 4 years ago we considered similar provisions when the House considered H.R. 3310. Unfortunately, H.R. 3310 also contained provisions that would have prohibited agencies from penalizing businesses for most

first-time information-related violations. These provisions would have removed agency discretion and created a safe haven for willful, substantial, and long-standing violations. They were strongly opposed by the Clinton administration, labor, environmental, consumer, senior citizen, health, trade, and firefighter groups, as well as by some State attorneys general.

The gentleman from Ohio (Mr. KUCINICH) and I offered an amendment to address these concerns. However, the amendment failed.

Because of the surrounding controversy, the bill was never considered in the Senate and we lost the chance to implement the provisions we are considering today. The bill was resubmitted in the next Congress as H.R. 391. The Kucinich amendment, which fixed the controversial provisions, narrowly failed by a vote of 214–210. Again, because the controversial provisions remained in the bill, it never became law.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to see that H.R. 327 does not include the controversial penalty provisions, and it will likely become law. I am pleased to say that this version of H.R. 327 includes suggestions made by the Democratic minority of the Committee on Government Reform. For instance, the focus of the bill is on compliance assistance. The bill helps businesses figure out what information they need to provide to which agencies and makes it easier for them to provide the information.

Furthermore, the task force will make recommendations for implementing interactive systems for information collection requirements and electronic reporting. This will allow small businesses to identify applicable requirements over the Internet and get immediate feedback on electronic submissions in order to help ensure that they submit consistent and usable data.

Moreover, the task force will recommend ways to strengthen information dissemination so that agencies can more efficiently share the information they gather with other agencies and the public.

□ 1345

In addition, the original bill required agencies to provide an annual list of paperwork requirements by statistical code. However, this list likely would not be used by small businesses, and it would merely provide a statistical analysis of the quantity of information regulations.

Mr. Speaker, the purpose of this bill is not to count regulations, but to help small businesses understand and comply with the information collection requirements. The bill directs a task force to study the feasibility of such a list and whether such a list would actually benefit small businesses. And the bill requires a useful annual list of compliance assistance resources. While I understand, Mr. Speaker, that there

will be a colloquy between the chairman of the Committee on Small Business and the gentleman from California (Mr. OSE), that information that is shared with us is, of course, their opinion and is not part of the legislative history.

H.R. 327 includes a provision suggested by the gentleman from Vermont (Mr. SANDERS) and adopted 4 years ago that focuses paperwork reduction on small businesses with fewer than 25 employees. This amendment helps direct our efforts to truly small businesses that need our help the most. The definition of small businesses that was incorporated into H.R. 327 originally was so broad that it included numerous businesses that many do not consider small. It included petroleum refineries with up to 1,500 employees, pharmaceutical companies with up to 750 employees, and banks with up to \$100 million in assets. Thus, the bill helps most businesses, not just small businesses. Therefore, I believe it is appropriate to focus agency efforts on businesses that really are small.

Mr. Speaker, information collection is one of the most important jobs of the Federal Government. It allows the government to enforce the law without burdening businesses with in-depth site investigations. Nevertheless, it is difficult for small businesses to fully understand what is required of them. And many businesses have expressed frustration with the fact that they have provided similar information to more than one source in government.

I believe the government should help small businesses understand their responsibilities and streamline the information collection process. This bill serves both purposes without jeopardizing the underlying protections. Furthermore, it should help us take advantage of the information age by using the Internet to gather and disseminate information. These changes have been suggested by numerous sources, including the General Accounting Office.

I urge my colleagues to support this motion.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. OSE. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to yield 6 minutes to the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BURTON), the distinguished chairman of the Committee on Government Reform.

(Mr. BURTON of Indiana asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BURTON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding time.

Let me start off by thanking the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. TIERNEY), the gentleman from California (Mr. OSE) and the gentleman from California (Mr. WAXMAN) who worked with me to get this piece of legislation to the floor. This is an extremely important piece of legislation because if there is one thing that small businesspeople across the country are very chagrined about, it is the amount

of paperwork that they have to deal with on a regular basis. As a matter of fact, the cost to a small businessperson runs about \$7,000 per employee to deal with the paperwork that faces them from the Federal Government. If you have got 20 employees, that is a \$140,000 burden that you have to deal with, and it simply is not necessary.

This legislation is designed to streamline that effort to make sure that small businesspeople do not suffer from a tidal wave of paperwork that makes the profitability of their business almost impossible. I think my colleagues have covered this very, very well. The gentleman from California (Mr. OSE) has worked very hard on this. The gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. TIERNEY) has as well. I think they have covered all of the provisions of the bill and the problems we had in getting this bill drafted and to the floor.

I would just like to say that it is high time that we got this job done. If there is one thing that small business and business in America needs, it is a reduction of the amount of paperwork and regulation that they have to deal with on a daily basis with the Federal Government. I believe this is going to save them money, it is going to streamline the effort to comply with government regulations, and it is a giant step in the right direction.

All of the small businesspeople in America that may be watching this right now, you can take heart. We are moving in the right direction. There is a lot more that needs to be done, but this is a great first step.

Mr. Speaker, today we have before us a piece of legislation that's going to help small businesses navigate the maze of Federal forms that they have to fill out.

This is a serious problem for small businesses. If you talk to any small business owner, they'll tell you that Federal regulations, Federal mandates, and Federal paperwork are a serious burden. It's hard to figure out what rules have to be complied with and what forms have to be filled out. It's time-consuming and expensive.

Last year, the Small Business Administration estimated that small businesses spend close to \$7,000 per employee on Federal paperwork. Think about that—\$7,000 per employee. For a company that has 20 employees, that's \$140,000. That's a serious drain on the resources of a small business.

When we passed the Paperwork Reduction Act many years ago, the goal was to reduce the Federal paperwork burden. Unfortunately, it hasn't been very successful. Over the last six years, the paperwork burden on the American people has not shrunk—it's grown every year.

This bill isn't going to reverse that tide all by itself. But I think it will help small businesses cope with the problems they're having. It will give them more resources so they can get assistance when they need it.

This bill requires every Federal agency to have a single point of contact for small businesses. If a small businessman in Indiana or Ohio doesn't understand what forms he has to fill out, there should be one office in each

agency where he can pick up the phone and get help. This bill does that.

It requires the Office of Management and Budget to post on its website every year an up-to-date list of all of the resources that are available to help small businesses with paperwork problems.

It requires every Federal agency to make additional efforts to reduce paperwork for the smallest businesses—businesses with fewer than 25 employees.

This bill sets up an inter-agency task force. This task force will develop a plan to consolidate reporting requirements and make them more uniform. Many small businesses have to report the same information to several different agencies. We should have a system that would allow a small businessman to submit that information once, in electronic form. That would be the job of this task force.

It would also look at whether we could have interactive reporting systems, so businesses could get immediate feedback if there is a problem. These things would be very valuable to small businesses around the country.

Last but not least, this bill would require Federal agencies to report to Congress on the penalties they impose on individuals and small businesses. They would be required to file two annual reports on the number of civil actions they take, the number of those actions that were taken against small businesses, the number of times they've reduced penalties imposed by the agency, and the number of penalties that were reduced specifically on small businesses.

We've never had that kind of information before. We need to get a better handle on how many penalties are being imposed on small businesses, and for what kind of offenses. These reports will help us do that.

When we first started working on this bill several years ago, we had a provision that required agencies to waive first-time penalties against small businesses for inadvertent paperwork errors. I thought that was a very good idea. It was approved twice in the House. Unfortunately, we couldn't get it passed in the other body. We tried for about three years, and it just wasn't doable. So we compromised. Nobody got everything they wanted in this bill—but it's a good compromise. These reports on penalties being imposed on small businesses will give us more information and help us understand what's happening.

We've worked very hard with Members of both bodies to get to this point. I want to thank my friends on the Government Reform Committee, Mr. OSE, Mr. WAXMAN, and Mr. TIERNEY for working with me to get this bill done.

I also want to thank our friends in the other body for their assistance—particularly Senator LIEBERMAN, Senator VOINOVICH and Senator THOMPSON. We couldn't have gotten to this point without their help.

Let me conclude by saying this—I was a small businessman before I came to Congress. Mr. OSE was a small businessman before he came to Congress. Many Members of the House ran their own businesses before they decided to run for Congress. We understand how difficult it is to start your own business, and to make it successful. We understand how difficult it is to comply with Federal mandates and Federal tax laws, and to make sure you've filled out the right forms. And we also understand how important small busi-

nesses are to our economy. They're the lifeblood of our economy.

So any time we have an opportunity to develop legislation that will make it a little easier to deal with the Federal bureaucracy, we should do it. That's what this bill is meant to do. It won't make all the problems that small businesses face go away, but it's a good start. We're going to continue to look for opportunities to pass legislation that will help small businessmen and women.

I urge all of my colleagues to support this good piece of legislation.

Mr. TIERNEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Maine (Mr. BALDACC).

Mr. BALDACC. Mr. Speaker, I would like to thank the gentleman from Massachusetts for his leadership on this issue and helping to bring this very important piece of legislation to the floor. This is something that concerns an awful lot of small businesses in the State of Maine. I know how crucial it is. Over 97 percent of the businesses are represented by small businesses in our State. We have over 40,000 of them in all. These enterprises face a maze of regulations and requirements that impose a heavy burden in time and expense. The Federal Government alone has over 7,000 forms that are required for one activity or another. State and local regulations add a further layer of almost equal complexity and cost. How can small businesses compete, innovate and grow to their fullest potential when they have to devote so much time and energy and resources just to figuring out what forms to fill out?

I know how difficult this situation is for small businesses. I know because I am a small business owner myself, and I have personally experienced the frustration of trying to navigate the system. I do believe that the innovations in this bill will make the process easier. It will make compliance assistance resources more readily available. It will require agencies to find ways to further reduce paperwork for smaller businesses. And it will establish a single point of contact for small businesses in each of the Federal agencies, something that is sorely needed.

Mr. Speaker, this bill is a good start. I look forward to bringing this assistance to small businesses. However, as we all know, there is more work that we need to do. We need to find ways to help agencies to better coordinate their efforts both at the Federal level and between the State and local levels to make these services more seamless. Ideally, we should have a single point of contact for all small business so they can quickly and easily find what they need. Small businesses do not have the resources of big corporations, but they should have the same chance to compete.

This bill is a good step towards having a level playing field. I urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

Mr. OSE. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. MANZULLO).

Mr. MANZULLO. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 327, the Small

Business Paperwork Relief Act as amended by the Senate. The bill represents the first effort in reducing the paperwork burdens that are swamping millions of small businesses. If we can get them out from under this deluge, they can devote themselves to hiring workers, investing in capital, moving the economy forward and cooking spaghetti, which is what my brother does in his Italian restaurant. The gentleman from Maine (Mr. BALDACC) does the same thing.

Cooks would rather make spaghetti sauce than fill out Federal forms. One of the reasons for this bill is to allow the chefs to spend more time cooking Italian food at our restaurants as opposed to filing all these stupid government forms. People do not go to chef school to fill out forms. They go there to make people happy, to present a good balance of herbs and spices, to be able to know what is on the menu, to be able to change the menu according to people's tastes. But when all the chefs in the small restaurants and all the like-minded small businesspeople in the country have to fill out papers for the Federal Government, then they spend too much time doing that.

Twenty years after the passage of the Paperwork Reduction Act, there is no evidence that the government has reduced the amount of paperwork on small business. Dr. John Graham, who is the current Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, and who is doing a great job, has begun efforts to reduce paperwork burdens. Even with these efforts, the Federal Government still requires the filing of more than 7,700 forms resulting in nearly 66 million responses with a total burden of more than 7.5 billion man-hours. These paperwork burdens annually cost Americans at least \$61 billion. Convenience stores that sell gasoline may have to prepare as many as 46 different forms accompanied by 250 pages of instructions. Physicians seeking to provide service under the Medicare program send a 30-page application to CMS, while private insurers enroll physicians after a one-page application.

We ask ourselves, is all of this information for small business necessary? Will the government find the information useful? Can the government obtain the necessary information in a less burdensome way? The Small Business Paperwork Relief Act will initiate a process to help answer these questions.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to engage in a colloquy with the gentleman from California (Mr. OSE), the chairman of the Subcommittee on Energy Policy, Natural Resources and Regulatory Affairs.

Mr. OSE. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. MANZULLO. I yield to the gentleman from California.

Mr. OSE. I am happy to engage in a colloquy with the gentleman from Illinois, who is the distinguished chairman of the Committee on Small Business.

Mr. MANZULLO. I thank the gentleman from California for agreeing to engage in this colloquy. I think it is absolutely imperative that the task force created by the bill obtains input from the small business community. I am sure the gentleman from California agrees.

Mr. OSE. I concur with the gentleman from Illinois. I cannot understand how a task force that is designed to reduce the paperwork burdens on small businesses could accomplish its goal without obtaining input from the small businesses that are buried by Federal reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Mr. MANZULLO. I thank the gentleman from California for clarifying that issue. I also note that the bill would require that the Office of Management and Budget, OMB, publish in the Federal Register and make available on the Internet an annual listing of the compliance assistance resources available to small businesses. I agree that this would make the information more accessible. However, I believe that more can be done. I think that OMB should establish a link on its Website to each agency's single point of contact. Each agency's Website would then have links to each relevant paperwork required for small businesses. I would like the opinion of the gentleman from California on this point.

Mr. OSE. I agree with the gentleman from Illinois. The bill is intended to make information available in a user-friendly format, which means making it easy for small businesses to find the relevant paperwork requirements on the Internet. That would include providing appropriate links on the Office of Management and Budget's Website to the single points of contact established by the bill. In addition, I would expect links on the Office of Management and Budget's Website to other general access points, such as the FirstGov Website and the Small Business Administration's Website.

I look forward to working with the distinguished gentleman from Illinois to ensure that Federal agencies provide appropriate links to this critical information.

Mr. MANZULLO. I thank the gentleman from California for clarifying that issue. I also note that the amended bill is silent on reducing the frequency of small business reporting which would lessen paperwork burdens on small businesses. Since H.R. 327 is primarily intended to reduce paperwork burdens, should not OMB, the agencies and the task force consider reducing periodicity wherever possible?

Mr. OSE. I agree with the gentleman from Illinois that reducing reporting frequency would be an effective way to help small businesses. To ensure no unintended consequences under the Paperwork Reduction Act, any proposed changes in periodicity would be subject to public notice and comment.

Mr. MANZULLO. I thank the gentleman for entering into the colloquy.

Mr. TIERNEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume, just to briefly say that the record should reflect, Mr. Speaker, that that colloquy, of course, reflects the personal opinions of the two Representatives involved and is not the opinion of the committee as a whole or of the House, and also just to indicate that small businesses, and this will put the gentleman's mind at ease, I think, small businesses certainly are included in the process through the provision for public comment of the task force draft report. This committee and the committees over in the Senate did a lot of time negotiating out the resulting provisions of this bill, and we are pleased with that. It has come to a general agreement that I believe is going to pass in the form that is printed.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. OSE. Mr. Speaker, I just want to be sure that I am clear in terms of my colloquy with the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. MANZULLO) in the sense that we did enter it into the RECORD, and it is going to show up in the Journal and what have you, and it will be a part of the legislative record as a part of the recorded record that the transcriptionists and others are taking part in, just to clarify that point.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BONILLA). The gentleman is correct. All of the exchange as spoken between both gentlemen will be recorded.

Mr. OSE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. MANZULLO). We inadvertently left out a couple of items of the record that we are attempting to establish here.

Mr. MANZULLO. Mr. Speaker, I am sorry that I left out a point in our colloquy that is quite important.

Finally, I would like to clarify one point. H.R. 327 as introduced required OMB to annually publish a list of requirements applicable to small businesses organized by North American Industrial Classification System, NAICS, codes and industrial/sector description. In the amended version of H.R. 327 as passed by the Senate, this requirement is modified substantially.

□ 1400

Instead of requiring OMB to annually publish such a listing, it allows the task force to examine the feasibility and benefits to small businesses of publishing lists organized by NAICS code, industrial/sector description, or in another manner by which small businesses can more easily identify requirements with which they are expected to comply.

I would ask the gentleman from California (Mr. OSE), is it your opinion that the best method for classifying the information remains by NAICS codes because that would enable small businesses to best identify the paperwork burdens associated with their businesses?

Mr. OSE. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. MANZULLO. I yield to the gentleman from California.

Mr. OSE. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for coming back to the podium to address this issue and for raising this critical point. I believe that the information should be organized by NAICS codes. Otherwise a small business searching for information on its paperwork burdens might not find the information most applicable to its business. By using NAICS codes, restaurants could easily find information relevant for restaurants, not information for steel manufacturers.

In conclusion, I fully agree with the gentleman from Illinois on this point, and I thank him for helping me make it part of the record.

Mr. TIERNEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I in no way intended to imply that this colloquy would not appear on the Journal. However, it will not be part of the history of this particular bill, having come through committees and subcommittees and been negotiated.

I daresay that there was no part of that colloquy to which the minority was privy. They were not given the courtesy of an advance copy of that colloquy through the subcommittee. I do not know what the reason for that was, but certainly I do not want to leave it with the public or the Speaker the impression that that was part of the legislative history, the negotiations between the subcommittees, the committees, the Senate or the House, in having the bill come before us.

I would also like to clarify a point that was made by my colleagues during their little discussion, and that is that the task force is required to consider whether publishing a list of the information collection requirements applicable to small businesses would actually be feasible and would actually help small businesses. This bill does not require publication of a list.

The task force should also consider different opinions for organizing such a list if they find it would be feasible and beneficial to small businesses. The bill leaves it up to the task force to consider whether any such list should be organized by NAICS codes or in some other manner that makes it easier for small businesses to identify applicable requirements.

Some people are concerned that such a list will be too unwieldy for anyone to use, and because businesses do not fit neatly into precise categories, businesses will still have to figure out which requirements listed for a given category actually apply to them. So we have asked the task force to look at and see if this would be helpful and to report back to us.

The key point here is that the bill clearly leaves it up to the task force to consider whether publishing any such list makes sense, and, if so, to determine what would be the best way to organize it. It would then be up to Congress to consider the task force findings, colloquies notwithstanding.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. OSE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. PENCE).

Mr. PENCE. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me time.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act.

Mr. Speaker, I serve as chairman of the Subcommittee on Regulatory Reform and Oversight of the Committee on Small Business, and I have spent countless hours listening to small businesses of America plead with Congress to restrain the egregious rulemaking and paperwork requirements of Federal agencies.

Small businesses, as we all know, Mr. Speaker, are on the front lines every day dealing with the real-world implications of overzealous bureaucrats that seldom take into consideration the impact of their rules on the small business sector. Despite the fact that small businesses account for 50 percent of America's employers and two-thirds to three-quarters of net new jobs in the United States, few people inside the Federal Government are listening on an average day. Federal regulation continues to balloon, costing small businesses with fewer than 20 employees \$6,975 per employee to comply.

The Small Business Paperwork Relief Act will, Mr. Speaker, help small businesses face the regulatory burden placed upon them by requiring that compliance assistance resources be made available on the Internet. It will require that agencies have a single paperwork point of contact for small businesses, and that agencies make greater strides to reduce paperwork burdens on small businesses. H.R. 327 will also require the establishment of a task force to study streamlining reporting requirements for small businesses.

Mr. Speaker, nowhere is that paperwork burden more evident than in the Environmental Protection Agency. My subcommittee recently held a hearing on the EPA's TRI Lead rule. This was a classic case of an executive agency subverting the regulatory reform measures that have been put in place over the years.

For example, the EPA failed to do a proper analysis of its impact on small businesses, they failed to do an independent peer review of the science behind the rule, and they failed to do proper small business outreach. All of this will result in a cost of over \$80 million per year to small businesses, and the paperwork regulation that will follow will not in any way reduce the lead released into our environment.

This simply cannot continued. America's small business owners are suffering death by 1,000 paper cuts. They go into work every day armed with the entrepreneurial spirit, with the goal of building a business that will be successful, and what they have found is one of their largest obstacles to success

is not a faulty business plan or a poor economy, but the paperwork and reporting requirements that the Federal Government imposes.

I urge all of my colleagues today to stand by those who make their daily trek into work, to stand by the small business owner, and make it today just a little bit less burdensome. Pass the Paperwork Relief Act.

Mr. TIERNEY. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. OSE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from West Virginia (Mrs. CAPITO).

Mrs. CAPITO. Mr. Speaker, I thank my colleague from California for his leadership on this issue.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today and urge all of my colleagues to support H.R. 327, the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act. This plan has the ability to really fuel our economy to new heights by reducing the costs and improving the levels of efficiencies for our small businesses, thereby allowing them to expand and create new jobs.

In my home State of West Virginia, over 80 percent of our businesses are small businesses. In our State, good jobs are at a premium, and economic growth is our continual goal. This plan will support our State and other States in their goal to reach for more job creation and a stronger economy by helping small businesses thrive and perhaps even helping a small business begin.

Mr. Speaker, small business has always been and will continue to be the key to the American dream, but by erecting and ignoring the government barriers that hinder the success of small business, this slows the creation and stifles growth.

We have heard a lot of figures today, but I have a new one. According to recent figures by the Office of Management and Budget, American businesses spend 7.7 million hours each year complying with Federal paperwork at an astounding cost of \$230 billion a year. Just think how many additional people could be employed or how many additional health benefits could be afforded with that much money.

Passing the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act will free the hands of our small business owners by removing the unnecessary regulations that prevent them from doing things that I have mentioned, offering expanded health benefits, employing new employees. All these things could be done with the cost they expend on filling out the mountains of paperwork.

We need to work quickly and pass this so that our constituents will not be cheated and our economy will not be stifled by depriving our businesses of many talented and capable workers. I urge my colleagues to recognize the tremendous benefits of this plan and to pass H.R. 327.

Mr. TIERNEY. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. OSE. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Idaho (Mr. OTTER), the vice chairman.

Mr. OTTER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairman of our subcommittee for yielding me time, and I also thank him for the leadership that he has shown in an effort to reduce not just the paperwork, but all the burdensome government regulations on all of our small businesses, and, in fact, on the private sector in general.

We already know and we have heard many of the virtues and the merits that this H.R. 327 is going to provide for the private sector. I am hopeful, Mr. Speaker, that this is simply the first in an evolutionary process that we will have in reducing many more of the burdensome regulations not only on paperwork, but of the other rules and regulations that we have on the private sector, and especially the small businesses.

The U.S. Small Business Administration Office of Advocacy recently issued a report called *The Impact of Regulatory Costs on Small Firms*. In this report it is stated, "To comply with Federal regulations, Americans spent \$843 billion in the year 2000. Had every household received a portion of that bill," every family received a proportional share of that bill, each household, it would have cost \$8,164, each household.

I submit, Mr. Speaker, that it did cost each and every one of those households \$8,164. Of course, that is to be added to the \$19,613 that the Federal revenueurs already collect from each and every household.

Why do I say that the households themselves had to pay \$8,164 each? Because, Mr. Speaker, all you can do when you have a cost accruing from the government to a business and to a value-added product is pass that on to the customer. So we politicians sit down here and we pontificate about how we are not going to tax the people, we are not going to make the people obey the regulations, we are just going to make the businesses do it.

And, quite frankly, businesses pay no taxes. Those that do go bankrupt. There is all kinds of lists of those. But who does pay the taxes are the taxpayers. They are the ones that pay the taxes, each and every one. You want to increase the price of Idaho french fries? Tomorrow morning I will guarantee all the french fry joints in this great Nation of ours you will see the price of french fries go up, because businesses have to collect those taxes.

But it is the sleight of hand. It is the shadowy little area that we always deal in with rules and regulations and taxes in this Congress.

Let us be honest with ourselves and let us tell these folks that not only are we giving the small businesses relief from the paperwork burden, but we are giving the taxpayers, the purchasers, the consumers, those who would consume the services and the value-added goods from our small businesses in this country, we are giving them the relief as well. I think you will see how much more competitive we can become in

this world marketplace for all of our products with this bill.

I would encourage all my colleagues to join the rest of us and pass H.R. 327.

Mr. TIERNEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time. I would just like to make a comment in closing, and that is I think we are doing the exact right thing here today in passing this Small Business Paperwork Relief Act. But I would be remiss if I did not respond somewhat to a lot of the hyperbole that we have heard on the other side.

Nobody wants small businesses to be overburdened with regulations, but certainly I think in the days of Enron and Global Crossing and Tyco and right on down the line, we can all appreciate the damage that has been done in the past couple of decades as we threw regulation after regulation away or loosened them to the point where some corporations, particularly large corporations, have sort of missed their mission and their responsibility to the American people.

In that sense it calls upon government to have the kind of governance that we have always had in this country, and that is a balanced governance. It is a free market with the hand of government regulation balancing it.

The obvious goal here is to strike that balance so it does not overburden business, but still protects the people in the way it should and the way they want it to protect them, whether it is about their health, about collecting taxes that are necessary for public goods and services or so on down the line.

The nameless or faceless bureaucrats that people take to task on the other side of the aisle sometimes are people that are working as hard as they can to do the best job that they can do to provide good public services, and I think they should be commended.

The responsibility lies here. The responsibility lies in this body to make sure that we give them the tools to work with as they craft the regulations, that we have the kind of oversight that is necessary to make sure that when they craft those regulations, they are, in fact, as uncumbersome as possible and get right to the point.

That is part of what this bill is all about today. I think that is why it will pass with an overwhelming majority. I think we have started to do that job, take on some responsibility and give some guidance to the people who craft those regulations and help small businesses, because truly they do need help to have those regulations apply to help the American people and them, but have them do so in the least onerous way possible.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. OSE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I want to briefly note for the RECORD the deep appreciation I have for the chairman of the full com-

mittee and for the ranking member in sitting down and working out the differences that existed on this bill and allowing it to move forward in an expeditious fashion. To that list I would like to add my compliments to the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. TIERNEY), who was kind enough to host me in his district yesterday and for which I am grateful.

□ 1415

He has been an able advocate and a staunch supporter of trying to bring some relief to small businesses, and I am grateful for the opportunity to work with him in all six of these issues. I do look forward to working with all three as this bill moves through the process and future bills come before our committee.

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, small businesses spend millions of hours annually meeting federal paperwork and record-keeping requirements. The time and effort spent by businesses and taxpayers to meet paperwork demands are estimated to equal almost 10% of the nation's Gross Domestic Product. Small businesses spend approximately 7 billion hours annually filling out federal paperwork. This paperwork burden costs small businesses over \$20 billion annually. According to the Small Business Administration, the nation's small businesses have a disproportionate share of the regulatory burden.

H.R. 327, Small Business Paperwork Relief Act, would ease the regulatory paperwork burdens on small businesses. The Act would streamline the regulatory paperwork process of small business owners and family farmers. The bill would also require the government to make a list of compliance assistance resources available on the Internet and would require each government agency to establish a central point of contact for small businesses. With small businesses spending an estimated \$5,100 per employee to comply with various federally mandated paperwork requirements, it is essential that we act on this bill.

Knowing the importance of small businesses to our economy and our communities, I believe that Congress must support small business expansion across America. An estimated 25.5 million small businesses a nationwide employ more than half the country's private work force. They create three of every four new jobs, and generate a majority of American innovations. As the backbone of our economic well-being, all assistance to the growth of small businesses is important to ensure our economic development. Therefore, I urge my colleagues to support H.R. 327, Small Business Paperwork Relief Act.

Mr. OSE. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). All time for debate has expired. Pursuant to House Resolution 444, the previous question is ordered.

The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. OSE).

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. OSE. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, following the vote on this motion, the Chair will put the question on motions to suspend the rules and on the approval of the Journal on which further proceedings were postponed earlier today. Those votes will be taken in the following order: H.R. 4794, by the yeas and nays; H.R. 4717, by the yeas and nays; the Journal vote will be de novo.

The Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the time for any electronic vote after the first vote in this series.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 418, nays 0, not voting 16, as follows:

[Roll No. 233]

YEAS—418

Abercrombie	Coble	Gilchrest
Ackerman	Collins	Gillmor
Aderholt	Combest	Gilman
Akin	Condit	Gonzalez
Allen	Cooksey	Goode
Andrews	Costello	Goodlatte
Armey	Cox	Gordon
Baca	Coyne	Goss
Baird	Cramer	Graham
Baker	Crane	Granger
Baldacci	Crenshaw	Graves
Baldwin	Crowley	Green (TX)
Ballenger	Cubin	Green (WI)
Barcia	Culberson	Greenwood
Barr	Cummings	Grucci
Barrett	Cunningham	Gutierrez
Bartlett	Davis (CA)	Gutknecht
Barton	Davis (FL)	Hall (OH)
Bass	Davis (IL)	Hall (TX)
Becerra	Davis, Jo Ann	Hansen
Bentsen	Davis, Tom	Harman
Bereuter	Deal	Hart
Berkley	DeFazio	Hastings (FL)
Berman	DeGette	Hastings (WA)
Berry	Delahunt	Hayes
Biggart	DeLauro	Hayworth
Bilirakis	DeLay	Hefley
Bishop	DeMint	Herger
Blumenauer	Deutscher	Hill
Blunt	Diaz-Balart	Hilleary
Boehlert	Dicks	Hinchey
Boehner	Dingell	Hinojosa
Bonilla	Doggett	Hobson
Bonior	Dooley	Hoefel
Bono	Doolittle	Hoekstra
Boozman	Doyle	Holden
Borski	Dreier	Holt
Boswell	Duncan	Honda
Boucher	Dunn	Hooley
Boyd	Edwards	Horn
Brady (PA)	Ehlers	Hostettler
Brady (TX)	Ehrlich	Houghton
Brown (FL)	Emerson	Hulshof
Brown (OH)	Engel	Hunter
Brown (SC)	English	Hyde
Bryant	Eshoo	Inslee
Burr	Etheridge	Isakson
Burton	Evans	Israel
Buyer	Everett	Issa
Callahan	Farr	Istook
Calvert	Fattah	Jackson (IL)
Camp	Ferguson	Jackson-Lee
Cannon	Filner	(TX)
Cantor	Flake	Jefferson
Capito	Fletcher	Jenkins
Capps	Foley	John
Capuano	Forbes	Johnson (CT)
Cardin	Ford	Johnson (IL)
Carson (IN)	Fossella	Johnson, E. B.
Carson (OK)	Frank	Johnson, Sam
Castle	Frelinghuysen	Jones (NC)
Chabot	Frost	Jones (OH)
Chambliss	Galleghy	Kanjorski
Clay	Ganske	Kaptur
Clayton	Gekas	Keller
Clement	Gephardt	Kelly
Clyburn	Gibbons	Kennedy (MN)

Kennedy (RI)	Nethercutt	Shows
Kerns	Ney	Shuster
Kildee	Northup	Simmons
Kilpatrick	Norwood	Simpson
Kind (WI)	Nussle	Skeen
King (NY)	Oberstar	Skelton
Kingston	Obey	Slaughter
Kirk	Oliver	Smith (MI)
Klecza	Ortiz	Smith (NJ)
Knollenberg	Osborne	Smith (TX)
Kolbe	Ose	Smith (WA)
Kucinich	Otter	Snyder
LaFalce	Owens	Solis
LaHood	Oxley	Souder
Lampson	Pallone	Spratt
Langevin	Pascrell	Stark
Lantos	Pastor	Stearns
Larsen (WA)	Paul	Stenholm
Larson (CT)	Payne	Strickland
Latham	Pelosi	Stump
LaTourette	Pence	Stupak
Leach	Peterson (MN)	Sullivan
Lee	Peterson (PA)	Sununu
Levin	Petri	Sweeney
Lewis (CA)	Phelps	Tancredo
Lewis (GA)	Pickering	Tanner
Lewis (KY)	Pitts	Tauscher
Linder	Platts	Tauzin
Lipinski	Pombo	Taylor (MS)
LoBiondo	Pomeroy	Taylor (NC)
Lofgren	Portman	Terry
Lowey	Price (NC)	Thomas
Lucas (KY)	Pryce (OH)	Thompson (CA)
Lucas (OK)	Quinn	Thompson (MS)
Luther	Radanovich	Thornberry
Lynch	Rahall	Thune
Maloney (CT)	Ramstad	Thurman
Maloney (NY)	Rangel	Tiahrt
Manzullo	Regula	Tiberi
Markey	Rehberg	Tierney
Mascara	Reyes	Toomey
Matheson	Reynolds	Towns
Matsui	Rivers	Turner
McCarthy (MO)	Rodriguez	Udall (CO)
McCarthy (NY)	Roemer	Udall (NM)
McCollum	Rogers (KY)	Upton
McCrery	Rogers (MI)	Velazquez
McDermott	Rohrabacher	Visclosky
McGovern	Ros-Lehtinen	Vitter
McHugh	Ross	Walden
McInnis	Roybal-Allard	Walsh
McKeon	Royce	Wamp
McKinney	Rush	Watkins (OK)
McNulty	Ryan (WI)	Watson (CA)
Meehan	Ryun (KS)	Watt (NC)
Meek (FL)	Sabo	Watts (OK)
Meeks (NY)	Sanchez	Waxman
Menendez	Sandlin	Weiner
Mica	Sawyer	Weldon (FL)
Miller, Dan	Saxton	Weldon (PA)
Miller, Gary	Schaffer	Weller
Miller, George	Schakowsky	Wexler
Miller, Jeff	Schiff	Whitfield
Mink	Schrock	Wicker
Mollohan	Scott	Wilson (NM)
Moore	Sensenbrenner	Wilson (SC)
Moran (KS)	Serrano	Wolf
Morella	Sessions	Woolsey
Murtha	Shadegg	Wu
Myrick	Shaw	Wynn
Nadler	Sherman	Young (AK)
Napolitano	Sherwood	Young (FL)
Neal	Shimkus	

NOT VOTING—16

Bachus	Millender	Roukema
Blagojevich	McDonald	Sanders
Conyers	Moran (VA)	Shays
Hilliard	Putnam	Trafficant
Hoyer	Riley	Waters
McIntyre	Rothman	

□ 1440

So the motion was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the minimum time for electronic vot-

ing on each additional motion to suspend the rules on which the Chair has postponed further proceedings.

RONALD C. PACKARD POST OFFICE BUILDING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The pending business is the question of suspending the rules and passing the bill, H.R. 4794.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. OSE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 4794, on which the yeas and nays are ordered.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 418, nays 0, not voting 16, as follows:

[Roll No. 234]

YEAS—418

Abercrombie	Coble	Gilchrest
Ackerman	Collins	Gillmor
Aderholt	Combest	Gilman
Akin	Condit	Gonzalez
Allen	Cooksey	Goode
Andrews	Costello	Goodlatte
Arney	Cox	Gordon
Baca	Coyne	Goss
Baird	Cramer	Graham
Baker	Crane	Granger
Baldacci	Crenshaw	Graves
Baldwin	Crowley	Green (TX)
Ballenger	Cubin	Green (WI)
Barcia	Culberson	Greenwood
Barr	Cummings	Grucci
Barrett	Cunningham	Gutierrez
Bartlett	Davis (CA)	Gutknecht
Bass	Davis (FL)	Hall (OH)
Barton	Davis (IL)	Hall (TX)
Becerra	Davis, Jo Ann	Hansen
Bentsen	Davis, Tom	Harman
Bereuter	Deal	Hart
Berkley	DeFazio	Hastings (FL)
Berman	DeGette	Hastings (WA)
Berry	Delahunt	Hayes
Biggert	DeLauro	Hayworth
Bilirakis	DeLay	Hefley
Bishop	DeMint	Herger
Blumenauer	Deutsch	Hill
Blunt	Diaz-Balart	Hilleary
Boehlert	Dicks	Hinchey
Boehner	Dingell	Hinojosa
Bonilla	Doggett	Hobson
Bonior	Dooley	Hoeffel
Bono	Doolittle	Hoekstra
Boozman	Doyle	Holden
Borski	Dreier	Holt
Boswell	Duncan	Honda
Boucher	Dunn	Hooley
Boyd	Edwards	Horn
Brady (PA)	Ehlers	Hostettler
Brady (TX)	Ehrlich	Houghton
Brown (FL)	Emerson	Hulshof
Brown (OH)	Engel	Hunter
Brown (SC)	English	Hyde
Bryant	Eshoo	Inslee
Burr	Etheridge	Isakson
Burton	Evans	Israel
Buyer	Everett	Issa
Callahan	Farr	Istook
Calvert	Fattah	Jackson (IL)
Camp	Ferguson	Jackson-Lee
Cannon	Filner	(TX)
Cantor	Flake	Jefferson
Capito	Fletcher	Jenkins
Capps	Foley	John
Capuano	Forbes	Johnson (CT)
Cardin	Ford	Johnson (IL)
Carson (IN)	Fossella	Johnson, E. B.
Carson (OK)	Frank	Johnson, Sam
Castle	Frelinghuysen	Jones (NC)
Chabot	Frost	Jones (OH)
Chambliss	Gallegly	Kanjorski
Clay	Ganske	Kaptur
Clayton	Gekas	Keller
Clement	Gephardt	Kelly
Clyburn	Gibbons	Kennedy (MN)

Kennedy (RI)	Nethercutt	Shows
Kerns	Ney	Shuster
Kildee	Northup	Simmons
Kilpatrick	Norwood	Simpson
Kind (WI)	Nussle	Skeen
King (NY)	Oberstar	Skelton
Kingston	Obey	Slaughter
Kirk	Oliver	Smith (MI)
Klecza	Ortiz	Smith (NJ)
Knollenberg	Osborne	Smith (TX)
Kolbe	Ose	Smith (WA)
Kucinich	Otter	Snyder
LaFalce	Owens	Solis
LaHood	Oxley	Souder
Lampson	Pallone	Spratt
Langevin	Pascrell	Stark
Lantos	Pastor	Stearns
Larsen (WA)	Paul	Stenholm
Larson (CT)	Payne	Strickland
Latham	Pelosi	Stump
LaTourette	Pence	Stupak
Leach	Peterson (MN)	Sullivan
Lee	Peterson (PA)	Sununu
Levin	Petri	Sweeney
Lewis (CA)	Phelps	Tancredo
Lewis (GA)	Pickering	Tanner
Lewis (KY)	Pitts	Tauscher
Linder	Platts	Tauzin
Lipinski	Pombo	Taylor (MS)
LoBiondo	Pomeroy	Taylor (NC)
Lofgren	Portman	Terry
Lowey	Price (NC)	Thomas
Lucas (KY)	Pryce (OH)	Thompson (CA)
Lucas (OK)	Quinn	Thompson (MS)
Luther	Radanovich	Thornberry
Lynch	Rahall	Thune
Maloney (CT)	Ramstad	Thurman
Maloney (NY)	Rangel	Tiahrt
Manzullo	Regula	Tiberi
Markey	Rehberg	Tierney
Mascara	Reyes	Toomey
Matheson	Reynolds	Towns
Matsui	Rivers	Turner
McCarthy (MO)	Rodriguez	Udall (CO)
McCarthy (NY)	Roemer	Udall (NM)
McCollum	Rogers (KY)	Upton
McCrery	Rogers (MI)	Velazquez
McDermott	Rohrabacher	Visclosky
McGovern	Ros-Lehtinen	Vitter
McHugh	Ross	Walden
McInnis	Roybal-Allard	Walsh
McKeon	Royce	Wamp
McKinney	Rush	Watkins (OK)
McNulty	Ryan (WI)	Watson (CA)
Meehan	Ryun (KS)	Watt (NC)
Meek (FL)	Sabo	Watts (OK)
Meeks (NY)	Sanchez	Waxman
Menendez	Sandlin	Weiner
Mica	Sawyer	Weldon (FL)
Miller, Dan	Saxton	Weldon (PA)
Miller, Gary	Schaffer	Weller
Miller, George	Schakowsky	Wexler
Miller, Jeff	Schiff	Whitfield
Mink	Schrock	Wicker
Mollohan	Scott	Wilson (NM)
Moore	Sensenbrenner	Wilson (SC)
Moran (KS)	Serrano	Wolf
Morella	Sessions	Woolsey
Murtha	Shadegg	Wu
Myrick	Shaw	Wynn
Nadler	Sherman	Young (AK)
Napolitano	Sherwood	Young (FL)
Neal	Shimkus	

NOT VOTING—16

Bachus	Millender	Roukema
Blagojevich	McDonald	Sanders
Conyers	Moran (VA)	Shays
Hilliard	Putnam	Trafficant
Hoyer	Riley	Waters
McIntyre	Rothman	

□ 1450

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

JIM FONTENO POST OFFICE BUILDING

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). The pending business is the