

Mr. BONILLA, Chairman pro tempore of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 4664) to authorize appropriations for fiscal years 2003, 2004, and 2005 for the National Science Foundation, and for other purposes, pursuant to House Resolution 432, he reported the bill back to the House with an amendment adopted by the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on the amendment to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute adopted by the Committee of the Whole? If not, the question is on the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute.

The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

#### RECORDED VOTE

Ms. WOOLSEY. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 397, noes 25, not voting 12, as follows:

[Roll No. 212]

AYES—397

Abercrombie	Brady (TX)	Davis (FL)
Ackerman	Brown (FL)	Davis (IL)
Aderholt	Brown (OH)	Davis, Jo Ann
Akin	Brown (SC)	Davis, Tom
Allen	Bryant	DeFazio
Andrews	Burr	DeGette
Armey	Burton	Delahunt
Baca	Buyer	DeLauro
Bachus	Calvert	DeLay
Baird	Camp	DeMint
Baker	Cannon	Deutsch
Baldacci	Cantor	Diaz-Balart
Baldwin	Capito	Dicks
Ballenger	Capps	Dingell
Barcia	Capuano	Doggett
Barr	Cardin	Dooley
Barrett	Carson (IN)	Doolittle
Bartlett	Carson (OK)	Doyle
Barton	Castle	Dreier
Bass	Chabot	Duncan
Becerra	Chambliss	Dunn
Bentsen	Clay	Edwards
Bereuter	Clayton	Ehlers
Berkley	Clement	Ehrlich
Berman	Clyburn	Emerson
Berry	Coble	Engel
Biggert	Combest	English
Bilirakis	Condit	Eshoo
Bishop	Conyers	Etheridge
Blumenauer	Cooksey	Evans
Blunt	Costello	Everett
Boehlert	Cox	Farr
Boehner	Coyne	Fattah
Bonilla	Cramer	Ferguson
Bonior	Crane	Finer
Bono	Crenshaw	Fletcher
Boozman	Crowley	Foley
Borski	Cubin	Forbes
Boswell	Culberson	Ford
Boucher	Cummings	Fossella
Boyd	Cunningham	Frank
Brady (PA)	Davis (CA)	Frelinghuysen

Frost	Lewis (GA)	Ross
Galleghy	Lewis (KY)	Rothman
Ganske	Linder	Roukema
Gekas	Lipinski	Roybal-Allard
Gephardt	LoBiondo	Rush
Gibbons	Loftgren	Ryan (WI)
Gillmor	Lowey	Ryun (KS)
Gilman	Lucas (KY)	Sabo
Gonzalez	Lucas (OK)	Sanchez
Goode	Luther	Sanders
Goodlatte	Lynch	Sandlin
Gordon	Maloney (CT)	Sawyer
Goss	Maloney (NY)	Saxton
Graham	Manzullo	Schaffer
Granger	Markey	Schakowsky
Graves	Mascara	Schiff
Green (TX)	Matheson	Schrock
Green (WI)	Matsui	Scott
Greenwood	McCarthy (MO)	Serrano
Grucci	McCarthy (NY)	Sessions
Gutierrez	McCollum	Shaw
Gutknecht	McCrery	Shays
Hall (OH)	McDermott	Sherman
Hall (TX)	McGovern	Sherwood
Hansen	McHugh	Shimkus
Harman	McInnis	Shows
Hart	McIntyre	Shuster
Hastings (FL)	McKeon	Simmons
Hastings (WA)	McKinney	Simpson
Hayes	McNulty	Skeen
Hayworth	Meehan	Skelton
Hefley	Meek (FL)	Smith (MI)
Hill	Menendez	Smith (NJ)
Hilleary	Mica	Smith (TX)
Hinchee	Millender-	Smith (WA)
Hinojosa	McDonald	Snyder
Hobson	Miller, Dan	Solis
Hoeffel	Miller, George	Souder
Hoekstra	Miller, Jeff	Spratt
Holden	Mink	Stark
Holt	Moore	Stenholm
Honda	Moran (KS)	Strickland
Hooley	Moran (VA)	Stupak
Horn	Murtha	Sullivan
Houghton	Myrick	Sununu
Hoyer	Nadler	Sweeney
Hulshof	Napolitano	Tanner
Hunter	Neal	Tauscher
Inslee	Nethercutt	Tauzin
Isakson	Ney	Taylor (NC)
Israel	Northup	Thomas
Issa	Nussle	Thompson (CA)
Istook	Oberstar	Thompson (MS)
Jackson (IL)	Obey	Thornberry
Jackson-Lee	Oliver	Thune
(TX)	Osborne	Thurman
Jefferson	Ose	Tiahrt
Jenkins	Otter	Tiberi
John	Owens	Tierney
Johnson (CT)	Oxley	Toomey
Johnson (IL)	Pallone	Towns
Johnson, E. B.	Pascarella	Turner
Johnson, Sam	Pastor	Udall (CO)
Jones (OH)	Payne	Udall (NM)
Kanjorski	Pelosi	Upton
Kaptur	Pence	Velazquez
Keller	Phelps	Visclosky
Kelly	Pickering	Vitter
Kennedy (MN)	Pitts	Walden
Kennedy (RI)	Platts	Walsh
Kildee	Pombo	Wamp
Kilpatrick	Pomeroy	Waters
Kind (WI)	Portman	Watkins (OK)
King (NY)	Price (NC)	Watson (CA)
Kirk	Pryce (OH)	Watt (NC)
Kleczka	Putnam	Watts (OK)
Knollenberg	Quinn	Waxman
Kolbe	Radanovich	Weiner
Kucinich	Rahall	Weldon (PA)
LaHood	Ramstad	Weller
Lampson	Rangel	Wexler
Langevin	Regula	Whitfield
Lantos	Rehberg	Wicker
Larsen (WA)	Reyes	Wilson (NM)
Larson (CT)	Reynolds	Wilson (SC)
Latham	Rivers	Wolf
LaTourette	Rodriguez	Woolsey
Leach	Roemer	Wu
Lee	Rogers (KY)	Wynn
Levin	Rogers (MI)	Young (AK)
Lewis (CA)	Ros-Lehtinen	Young (FL)

#### NOES—25

Collins	Jones (NC)	Paul
Deal	Kerns	Peterson (MN)
Flake	Kingston	Petri
Herger	Miller, Gary	Rohrabacher
Hostettler	Mollohan	Royce
Hyde	Norwood	Sensenbrenner

Shadegg	Tancredo	Weldon (FL)
Stearns	Taylor (MS)	
Stump	Terry	

#### NOT VOTING—12

Blagojevich	LaFalce	Peterson (PA)
Callahan	Meeks (NY)	Riley
Gilchrest	Morella	Slaughter
Hilliard	Ortiz	Trafficant

□ 1615

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. ORTIZ. Mr. Speaker, on final passage of H.R. 4664, Investing in America's Future Act, I was on the House Floor and cast an "aye" vote for H.R. 4664.

I later learned my vote was not recorded. I wanted to advise the House that had my vote been recorded, I would have voted "aye" on final passage for H.R. 4664.

#### PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I was unable to be present for rollcall votes 209, 210, 211, and 212. Had I been present, I would have voted "aye" on each of them. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that my statement appear in the permanent RECORD immediately following this vote.

#### GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H.R. 4664, the bill just passed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Washington?

There was no objection.

#### REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2143, PERMANENT DEATH TAX REPEAL ACT OF 2001

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 107-494) on the resolution (H. Res. 435) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 2143) to make the repeal of the estate tax permanent, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

#### ANNOUNCEMENT OF INTENTION TO OFFER RESOLUTION RAISING QUESTION OF PRIVILEGES OF THE HOUSE

Mr. KUCINICH. Mr. Speaker, I give notice of my intention to raise a question of the privileges of the House under rule IX of the rules of the House.

The form of the resolution is as follows:

Whereas the President's constitutional duty is to faithfully execute the laws of the United States, and

Whereas, under the Constitution, treaties have the status of "supreme law of the land," equally with other laws, and

Whereas, the President does not have the authority to repeal laws, and

Whereas, the President is not authorized to withdraw unilaterally from treaties in general, and the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty in particular, without the consent of Congress, and

Whereas, the President unilaterally withdrew the United States of America from the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty of 1972 without seeking or obtaining the consent of either house of Congress;

Therefore be it resolved,

That the President should respect the Constitutional role of Congress and seek the approval of Congress for the withdrawal of the United States of America from the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under rule IX, a resolution that is offered from the floor by a Member other than the majority leader or minority leader as a question of the privileges of the House has immediate precedence only at a time designated by the Chair within two legislative days after the resolution is properly noticed.

Pending that designation, the form of the resolution noticed by the gentleman from Ohio will appear in the RECORD at this point.

The Chair does not at this point determine whether or not the resolution constitutes a question of privilege. That determination will be made at the time designated for consideration of the resolution.

#### AUTHORIZING SPEAKER TO DECLARE RECESS ON WEDNESDAY, JUNE 12, 2002, FOR PURPOSE OF RECEIVING IN JOINT MEETING THE HONORABLE JOHN HOWARD, PRIME MINISTER OF AUSTRALIA

Mr. DUNCAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that it may be in order at any time on Wednesday, June 12, 2002, for the Speaker to declare a recess subject to the call of the Chair for the purpose of receiving in joint meeting the Honorable John Howard, Prime Minister of Australia.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Tennessee?

There was no objection.

#### SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2001, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

#### RECOGNIZING WOMEN WHO HAVE SERVED IN THE ARMED FORCES THROUGHOUT AMERICA'S HISTORY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. GEKAS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. GEKAS. Mr. Speaker, recently, back in my district in central Pennsylv-

vania, I had occasion in connection with the armed services holidays and celebrations to appear with a group of women right in the center of the action of Harrisburg, at the capital area, who were celebrating long service on the part of women in the Armed Forces of the United States.

What was brought to bear at that function was the memory of Oveta Culp Hobby, who was from Texas and who was the first Women's Army Corps general; she did not make general, but she was commander of the Women's Army Corps. That is one of the first visions we have had of actual women serving in the service in the modern era.

But women have served in the Armed Forces ever since the Revolution. Many of them served, of course, as nurses throughout all the conflicts, and they were Army and Navy and Air Force nurses, actually, so they were part of the Armed Forces. But we have had many, many different examples in the Revolutionary War, in the Mexican War, in the Civil War, and all the modern wars, so to speak, of women posing as men for the sole privilege on their part of wielding a weapon and engaging in fierce combat. Hundreds and maybe thousands of such cases can be found in the history of armed conflict in the United States.

The remainder of the function in which we participated was to give recognition to modern day women participants in the current ranks of the Armed Forces, so it was a splendid day.

One thing that was evident throughout all of this was that the women exhibited extreme pride in their current status as members of the Armed Forces and in the reverence with which they spoke about their predecessors, and the same women about whom I have made reference in the history of armed conflict in the history of our country.

So we ought to know that when we celebrate the national holidays, like the one now coming up, Independence Day on July 4, that we include in our celebration the thought and memory of the gallant women, as well as our men; the women who, from the Declaration of Independence until the current season of the war on terrorism, when women are flying combat missions, women are participating in practically every form of armed conflict or preparation therefor; and that we should not anymore, throughout the remainder of the history of the Nation, conduct the holiday and celebrate our history without due concern and mention and recollection of the deeds of the women of our society who plunged themselves into armed conflict along with the men that we have honored for so many years.

#### INNOVATIVE SOLUTION TO PROBLEM OF SCARCITY OF NATIONAL BURIAL SPACE FOR VETERANS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Mr. FILNER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, I want to speak for a few minutes to a problem that I know faces congressmen all over this Nation. That is the lack of national burial space for our veterans of our Armed Forces.

I live in San Diego County, where we have almost 300,000 veterans. The national cemetery at Fort Rosecrans is out of space. There is no place for an honorable burial of a veteran in his or her hometown. We have to drive 100 miles or so to Riverside County, and that is just not what most families want to do with their loved ones.

We have figured out an innovative solution in San Diego County that I want to share with my colleagues and hope that they help us pass a resolution from this Congress which would instruct the Department of Veterans Affairs to help us with this innovative solution.

I have introduced H.R. 4806, the Honorable Burial for Veterans Act, along with my colleagues and the San Diego County delegation, the gentleman from California (Mr. CUNNINGHAM), the gentlewoman from California (Mrs. Davis), and the gentleman from California (Mr. ISSA).

Each and every veteran in our county is concerned that upon his or her demise, interment may become a source of frustration for family and close friends. Many families are left with an impossible dilemma: cremation, where only a few spaces actually exist in the columbarium, or a ground burial at a cemetery a 2-hour drive away.

We should not force this decision on the families of our Nation's veterans. When we called on them to serve, they did not hesitate. Now, in their last hour, a grateful Nation should not hesitate to assist their families.

My colleagues and I want to build a second National Cemetery in San Diego, and we are on the list to do that. In fact, it may take a decade or more before we get around to doing that cemetery on the VA list. In the meantime, we should not abandon our veterans' families in their time of grief.

My bill would provide San Diego with an interim solution. A local effort among the private sector and local authorities and veterans' organizations has produced what I would consider to be an excellent pilot program. Two parcels of land, about 20 acres each, have been identified in the northern and southern parts of our county in what are now private cemeteries. They have offered this land to the Veterans Administration free of charge to become what we will call satellite cemeteries to the National Cemetery in our county.

We have a generous offer of land from the Service Corporation International which would be donated to a 501(c)(3) organization, the Veterans Memorial Center and Museum in San Diego, who will then turn that over to the Department of Veterans Affairs.

I thank all the folks who have tried to come up with this solution back in