Myrick Rogers (MI) Stump Nadler Rohrabacher Stupak Napolitano Ros-Lehtinen Sununu Nea1 Ross Sweenev Tancredo Nethercutt Rothman Ney Northup Roukema Tanner Roybal-Allard Tauscher Norwood Taylor (MS) Royce Nussle Rush Taylor (NC) Rvan (WI) Oberstar Terry Ryun (KS) Thomas Obey Olver Saho Thompson (CA) Sanchez Thompson (MS) Ortiz Osborne Sanders Thune Ose Sandlin Thurman Otter Tiahrt Sawyer Owens Saxton Tiberi Oxlev Schaffer Tiernev Schakowsky Pallone Toomey Schiff Pascrell Towns Schrock Pastor Turner Udall (CO) Paul Scott Sensenbrenner Udall (NM) Payne Pelosi Serrano Unton Pence Sessions Velazquez Visclosky Peterson (MN) Shadegg Petri Shaw Walden Phelps Walsh Shays Pickering Sherman Wamp Pitts Sherwood Waters Platts Shimkus Watkins (OK) Pombo Shows Shuster Watson (CA) Watt (NC) Pomerov Portman Simmons Watts (OK) Price (NC) Simpson Waxman Pryce (OH) Weiner Skeen Weldon (FL) Putnam Skelton Quinn Slaughter Weldon (PA) Radanovich Smith (MI) Weller Rahall Smith (NJ) Wexler Ramstad Smith (TX) Whitfield Smith (WA) Wicker Rangel Wilson (NM) Regula Snyder Rehberg Solis Wilson (SC) Souder Wolf Reyes Reynolds Woolsey Spratt Rivers Stark Wu Rodriguez Stearns Wynn Stenholm Young (AK) Roemer Rogers (KY) Strickland Young (FL)

#### NOT VOTING-14

Hobson Condit Tauzin Cooksey Jefferson Thornberry Gekas Lewis (KY) Traficant Hall (OH) Peterson (PA) Vitter Hastert

#### $\sqcap$ 1916

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table

### PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2356, BIPARTISAN CAM-PAIGN REFORM ACT OF 2001

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SWEENEY). The pending business is the question de novo on agreeing to the resolution, H. Res. 344.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 6 of House Resolution 344, House Resolution 203 is laid on the table.

COMMENDING NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRA-REGARDING TION NATIONAL CHILD PASSENGER SAFETY WEEK

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The pending business is the question of suspending the rules and agreeing to the concurrent resolution, H. Con. Res. 326. The Clerk read the title of the con-

current resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. PETRI) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the concurrent resolution, H. Con. Res. 326.

The question was taken; and (twothirds having voted in favor thereof), the rules were suspended and the concurrent resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MODIFYING SPECIAL ORDER FOR COMMITTEE OF WHOLE CONSID-ERATION OF H.R. 2356, BIPAR-TISAN CAMPAIGN REFORM ACT

Mr. REYNOLDS. Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding that the minority leader does not intend to offer amendments.

Pursuant to that, I ask unanimous consent that, one, during consideration of H.R. 2356 in the Committee of the Whole pursuant to H. Res. 344, the Chair shall alternate recognition to offer the amendments specified in section 3 between the majority leader or a designee of the majority leader and the gentleman from Connecticut (Mr. SHAYS) or the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MEEHAN) or a designee of either Member only as follows:

## □ 1930

The Majority Leader for one amend-

Representative Shays or Representative MEEHAN for one amendment;

The Majority Leader for two amendments in sequence;

Representative Shays or Representative Meehan for one amendment;

The Majority Leader for two amendments in sequence:

Representative Shays or Representative Meehan for one amendment;

The Majority Leader for two amendments in sequence:

Representative Shays or Representative MEEHAN for one amendment;

The Majority Leader for two amendments in sequence:

Representative Shays or Representative MEEHAN for one amendment; and

The Majority Leader for one amend-

ment.

(2) Under section 3(a) of House Resolution 344, a Member listed in section 3(b) may designate another Member to announce, in accordance with section 3(c), the intention to offer any amendment allotted to him under section

SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SWEENEY). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

#### ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will entertain 1-minute requests.

CONGRATULATIONS AND THANKS TO LUCY ESPINEL AND REGINE FERNANDEZ-CACIEDO

(Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. Mr. Speaker, today I rise to congratulate two constituents of my congressional district, Lucy Espinel and Regine Fernandez-Caciedo, for their selfless work on behalf of the neediest folks in south Florida.

Lucy and Regine oversee, without compensation, the "Wish Book" of the Miami Herald charities, featuring those who are not receiving desperately needed assistance.

What is wonderful about the work of these two remarkable women is that they get personally involved with all to understand their unique individual needs. With respect and compassion, Lucy and Regine try to fulfill every wish, whether it be for food, toys for children, medical equipment, medication or furniture.

Lucy and Regine take time out of their work and personal lives; and during these difficult times, when we have been affected in so many ways by tragedies, it is encouraging to know that there are kind individuals like Lucy and Regine to make someone more comfortable.

Mr. Speaker. I wish to extend our congratulations to them; and I thank another constituent of my district, Angel Pardo, for informing me of their work. Please join me in celebrating the contributions of these two humanitarians to our south Florida community, and indeed, to our great Nation.

#### SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. CULBERSON). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2001, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. DAVIS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. DAVIS of Illinois addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gen-Pennsylvania tleman from (Mr. TOOMEY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. TOOMEY addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

# MUSHARRAF'S VISIT TO THE UNITED STATES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. Pallone) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Speaker, I rise this evening to discuss my concerns with H. Con. Res. 322, a resolution introduced by the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. PITTS) this afternoon that commends General Musharraf of Pakistan for his leadership and friendship and welcomes him to the United States

Mr. Speaker, I agree that General Musharraf was faced with a difficult decision when he was asked, and he cooperated, with the United States in the fight against terrorism. There is much civil unrest throughout Pakistan, and I do believe that there was a risk involved when Musharraf decided to side with the United States.

However, there have been some major shortcomings in Musharraf's promises to root out the Taliban, al Qaeda and certain terrorist groups in Kashmir that are linked to al Qaeda. I sent a letter to President Bush today outlining these shortcomings, and I will include that in the RECORD at this point.

Congress of the United States, House of Representatives, Washington, DC, February 11, 2002.

Hon. George W. Bush,

President of the United States, Washington, DC. DEAR MR. PRESIDENT: I understand that you, along with other officials in your administration, will be meeting with General Pervez Musharraf on Wednesday during his visit to the United States. I am writing to explain why I continue to oppose lifting the ban on military assistance to Pakistan and the proposal in your budget to provide \$50 million in such assistance.

Since September 11 and Musharraf's supposed willingness to fight against terrorism, Pakistani-based militant groups have been carrying out violent cross-border terrorist attacks on innocent civilians throughout Kashmir on a daily basis. In addition, the largest symbol of democracy, the Indian Parliament, was attacked on December 13, 2001 by the same terrorist groups operating out of Pakistan near the Kasmir border.

Musharraf has claimed to crack down on terrorists operating in Pakistan since the attack on the Parliament, however it remains my concern that this is not the case. Although he has arrested nearly 1600 individuals, there is no assurance that these individuals are criminals and there is no notice of whether these individuals are terrorist fighters. In addition, there has been no progress on Pakistan's part to quell the violence taking place in Kasmir. In fact, the Kashmir Solidarity Day last Musharraf delivered a speech, which I found to incite violence among these terrorist groups that he refers to as "freedom fighters". Pakistan has openly acknowledged that it provides logistical and moral support to these groups, however, the support extends beyond that to arms and weapons transfers. It is clear that Musharraf is in fact supporting terrorist activities under the guise of calling these groups "freedom fighters". When you asked Congress last fall to lift

When you asked Congress last fall to lift the ban on military assistance to Pakistan, there were no plans to provide any such assistance to General Musharraf. State Department representatives appeared before the House International Relations Committee at the time, and in response to my question, stated that no military aid to Pakistan was anticipated.

In your FY 2003 budget proposal you have

In your FY 2003 budget proposal you have requested \$50 million in military assistance to Pakistan. Frankly, I don't see that the situation has changed in Pakistan to justify such a turnaround. It is alarming that you are proposing military assistance to a country that verbally condemns terrorism on a global level, but that actively supports terrorist activities in its own backyard.

I agree that Pakistan needs extensive aid to rebuild its economy, education system and social structure. However, I cannot support a proposal that funds military assistance to Pakistan given its current leadership under a dictator and its continued backing of militant groups. Historically, U.S. military assistance to Pakistan has been used to arm cross-border terrorists in their attacks on Indian civilians in Kashmir and throughout the nation. There is continued evidence that terrorist groups operating in Pakistan are linked to Al-Qaeda and that their attacks on India are experiments for future attacks on the United States. I do not believe it is in our best interest to provide military assistance to Pakistan, despite their agreement to help in our war on terrorism. South Asia is a very volatile, unstable region and given the current military standoff between Pakistan and India, \$50 million worth of U.S. weapons will only aid future conflict in that region.

Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely,

### FRANK PALLONE, JR.

However, tonight, Mr. Speaker, I would like to focus on democracy, or the lack of democracy, in Pakistan. In the Pitts resolution, there is mention of President Musharraf's pursuit of a return to democracy and civil society, in addition to his adherence to the timetable for restoring democratic elections to Pakistan. I do not support this resolution because the opposite is true. Mr. Speaker, Musharraf has made no concrete attempt to restore democracy in Pakistan, and I urge the Congress and the administration to be very wary of any guarantees of a return to civilian rule in Pakistan.

In 1999, General Pervez Musharraf overthrew the civilian-elected government of Pakistan in a military coup and since then has governed Pakistan under military rule. General Musharraf has shown no steps toward returning Pakistan to democratic rule and, in fact, has moved in the opposite direction.

On June 20 of last year, Musharraf declared himself President of Pakistan, which is a clear indication of his desire to maintain a dictatorial stronghold. Musharraf's past actions include dissolving Pakistan's National Assembly, or parliament, and four provincial assemblies. He has claimed that he will hold fair national elections by October of 2002. However, there are no indications that this is likely to occur. October is only 9 months away. As a self-

proclaimed president, Musharraf may be seen with more credibility in the eyes of the international community at large, but the fact remains that the people of his nation have never elected him.

Mr. Speaker, on October 16 of last year, the House debated lifting section 508 that would allow military assistance to Pakistan. The United States prohibited the export of U.S. weapons and military assistance under section 508 to countries whose duly elected head of government is deposed.

Today the House debated the Pitts resolution which praises Musharraf for his steps toward returning Pakistan to democracy.

If and when Pakistan exemplifies steps towards establishing a democracy with a civilian-elected government, perhaps then section 508 discussion would have been relevant and perhaps the Pitts resolution would be relevant. But until then, Mr. Speaker, it is crucial for Congress to indicate its support for a restoration to democracy and civilian rule in Pakistan.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. Frelinghuysen) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentle-woman from Georgia (Ms. McKinney) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. McKINNEY addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

## A TRIBUTE TO GENERAL OMAR NELSON BRADLEY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. HULSHOF) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. HULSHOF. Mr. Speaker, I rise to pay tribute to one of America's most respected war heroes. In my congressional district, the citizens of Moberly, Missouri, have a lot to be proud of today as they gather to honor the memory of one of its favorite sons, Five Star General Omar Nelson Bradley. It is fitting that at this time of war, we take time out to remember the virtues that he exemplified: honor, dignity, patience, humility, and love of country.

The son of a Randolph County school teacher, Bradley was born on this date, February 12, in 1893 in a log cabin near Moberly, Missouri. After the death of his father when he was 14, Bradley and his mother moved to Moberly where his formative years were spent, and it was during his days at Moberly High School as a star baseball player that Bradley began to develop the leadership skills that would later serve him as a leader of the Allied Forces in World War II.