

General Counsel to object to and to move to quash the subpoena.

Sincerely,

DAVID L. HOBSON,  
Member of Congress.

# COMMUNICATION FROM THE HON. PORTER J. GOSS, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Honorable PORTER J. GOSS, Member of Congress:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,  
Washington, DC, May 15, 2002.

Hon. DENNIS J. HASTERT,  
Speaker, House of Representatives,  
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you, pursuant to rule VIII of the Rules of the House, that I have determined that the subpoena for documents and testimony issued to me by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia is not material and relevant, nor is it consistent with the privileges and rights of the House. Accordingly, I have instructed the Office of General Counsel to object to and to move to quash the subpoena.

Sincerely,

PORTER J. GOSS,  
Member of Congress.

# APPOINTMENT OF MEMBERS TO UNITED STATES DELEGATION OF CANADA-UNITED STATES INTER-PARLIAMENTARY GROUP

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 276d and clause 10 of rule I, the Chair announces the Speaker's appointment of the following Members of the House to the United States delegation of the Canada-United States Interparliamentary Group:

Mr. HOUGHTON, New York, Chairman  
Mr. GILMAN, New York  
Mr. LAFALCE, New York  
Mr. SHAW, Florida  
Mr. LIPINSKI, Illinois  
Ms. SLAUGHTER, New York  
Mr. STEARNS, Florida  
Mr. MANZULLO, Illinois  
Mr. DAN MILLER, Florida  
Mr. SOUDER, Indiana  
Mr. ENGLISH, Pennsylvania  
There was no objection.

# APPOINTMENT OF MEMBERS TO MEXICO-UNITED STATES INTER-PARLIAMENTARY GROUP

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 276h, notwithstanding the provisions of that section regarding the chairmanship, and clause 10 of rule I, the Chair announces the Speaker's appointment of the following Members of the House to the Mexico-United States Interparliamentary Group:

Mr. KOLBE, Arizona, Chairman  
Mr. DREIER, California  
Mr. STENHOLM, Texas  
Mr. BARTON, Texas  
Mr. DOOLEY, California  
Mr. PASTOR, Arizona

Mr. FILNER, California  
Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, California  
Mr. CANNON, Utah  
Mr. REYES, Texas  
Mr. TANCREDI, Colorado  
Mr. UDALL, New Mexico  
There was no objection.

# CONTINUATION OF THE NATIONAL EMERGENCY WITH RESPECT TO BURMA—MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 107-211)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection, referred to the Committee on International Relations and ordered to be printed:

*To the Congress of the United States:*

Section 202(d) of the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1622(d)) provides for the automatic termination of a national emergency unless, prior to the anniversary date of its declaration, the President publishes in the *Federal Register* and transmits to the Congress a notice stating that the emergency is to continue in effect beyond the anniversary date. I have sent the enclosed notice, stating that the Burma emergency is to continue beyond May 20, 2002, to the *Federal Register* for publication. The most recent notice continuing this emergency was published in the *Federal Register* on May 17, 2001.

The crisis between the United States and Burma, constituted by the actions and policies of the Government of Burma, including its policies of committing large-scale repression of the democratic opposition in Burma, that led to the declaration of a national emergency on May 20, 1997, has not been resolved. These policies are hostile to U.S. interests and pose a continuing unusual and extraordinary threat to the national security and foreign policy of the United States. For this reason, I have determined that it is necessary to continue the national emergency with respect to Burma and maintain in force the sanctions against Burma to respond to this threat.

GEORGE W. BUSH.  
THE WHITE HOUSE, May 16, 2002.

# PERIODIC REPORT ON THE NATIONAL EMERGENCY WITH RESPECT TO BURMA—MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 107-212)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection, referred to the Committee on International Relations and ordered to be printed:

*To the Congress of the United States:*

As required by section 401(c) of the National Emergencies Act, 50 U.S.C.

1641(c), and section 204(c) of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act, 50 U.S.C. 1703(c), I transmit herewith a 6-month periodic report prepared by my Administration on the national emergency with respect to Burma that was declared in Executive Order 13047 of May 20, 1997.

GEORGE W. BUSH.  
THE WHITE HOUSE, May 16, 2002.

# RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12 of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

Accordingly (at 2 o'clock and 45 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

□ 1516

# AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON) at 3 o'clock and 16 minutes p.m.

# PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY, WORK, AND FAMILY PROMOTION ACT OF 2002

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House of Wednesday, May 15, 2002, proceedings will now resume on the bill (H.R. 4737) to reauthorize and improve the program of block grants to States for temporary assistance for needy families, improve access to quality child care, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE  
OFFERED BY MR. CARDIN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pending is the amendment in the nature of a substitute offered by the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. CARDIN).

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. All time for debate on the amendment has expired.

Pursuant to House Resolution 422, the previous question is ordered on the bill and on the amendment in the nature of a substitute offered by the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. CARDIN).

The question is on the amendment in the nature of a substitute offered by the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. CARDIN).

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the yeas appeared to have it.

Mr. CARDIN. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 198, nays 222, not voting 14, as follows:

[Roll No. 168]

## YEAS—198

Abercrombie Gephardt Nadler  
Ackerman Gilman Napolitano  
Allen Gonzalez Neal  
Andrews Gordon Oberstar  
Baca Green (TX) Obey  
Baird Gutierrez Oliver  
Baldacci Hall (OH) Ortiz  
Baldwin Hall (TX) Owens  
Barcia Harman Pallone  
Barrett Hastings (FL) Pascarell  
Becerra Hill Pastor  
Bentsen Hilliard Payne  
Berkley Hinchey Pelosi  
Berman Hinojosa Peterson (MN)  
Berry Hoeft Phelps  
Bishop Holden Pomeroy  
Blagojevich Holt Price (NC)  
Blumenauer Rahall  
Bonior Hooley Rangel  
Borski Hoyer Reyes  
Boswell Insee Rivers  
Boucher Israel Rodriguez  
Boyd Jackson-Lee Roemer  
Brady (PA) (TX) Ros-Lehtinen  
Brown (FL) Jefferson Ross  
Brown (OH) John Rothman  
Capps Johnson, E. B. Roybal-Allard  
Capuano Jones (OH) Sabo  
Cardin Kanjorski Sanchez  
Carson (IN) Kaptur Sandlin  
Carson (OK) Kennedy (RI) Sawyer  
Clay Kildee Schakowsky  
Clayton Kilpatrick Schiff  
Clement Kind (WI) Scott  
Clyburn Kleczka Serrano  
Condit LaFalce Sherman  
Conyers Langevin Skelton  
Costello Lantos Slaughter  
Coyne Larsen (WA) Smith (WA)  
Cramer Larson (CT) Snyder  
Crowley Levin Solis  
Cummins Lewis (GA) Spratt  
Davis (CA) Lipinski Stark  
Davis (FL) Lofgren Stenholm  
Davis (IL) Lowey Strickland  
DeFazio Luther Stupak  
DeGette Lynch Tauscher  
Delahunt Maloney (NY) Taylor (MS)  
DeLauro Markey Thompson (CA)  
Deutsch Matheson Thompson (MS)  
Diaz-Balart Matsui Thurman  
Dicks McCarthy (MO) Tierney  
Dingell McCarthy (NY) Towns  
Doggett McCollum Turner  
Dooley McDermott Udall (CO)  
Doyle McGovern Udall (NM)  
Edwards McIntyre Velazquez  
Engel McNulty Visclosky  
Eshoo Meehan Watson (CA)  
Etheridge Meek (FL) Waxman  
Evans Meeks (NY) Weiner  
Farr Menendez Wexler  
Fattah Miller, George Woolsey  
Filner Mollohan Wu  
Ford Moore Wynn  
Frank Moran (VA)  
Frost Morella

## NAYS—222

Aderholt Cantor Ferguson  
Akin Capito Flake  
Armey Castle Fletcher  
Bachus Chabot Foley  
Baker Chambliss Forbes  
Ballenger Coble Fossella  
Barr Collins Frelinghuysen  
Bartlett Cooksey Gallegly  
Bass Cox Ganske  
Bereuter Crane Gekas  
Biggart Crenshaw Gibbons  
Bilirakis Cubin Gilchrist  
Blunt Culberson Gillmor  
Boehlert Cunningham Goode  
Boehner Davis, Jo Ann Goodlatte  
Bonilla Deal Goss  
Bono DeLay Graham  
Boozman DeMint Granger  
Brady (TX) Doolittle Graves  
Brown (SC) Dreier Green (WI)  
Bryant Duncan Greenwood  
Burr Dunn Grucci  
Burton Ehlers Gutknecht  
Callahan Ehrlich Hansen  
Calvert Emerson Hart  
Camp English Hastings (WA)  
Cannon Everett Hayes

Hayworth McKinney Shadegg  
Hefley Mica Shaw  
Herger Miller, Dan Shays  
Hilleary Miller, Gary Sherwood  
Hobson Miller, Jeff Shimkus  
Hoekstra Mink Shuster  
Horn Moran (KS) Simmons  
Hostettler Myrick Simpson  
Houghton Nethercutt Skeen  
Hulshof Ney Smith (MI)  
Hunter Northup Smith (NJ)  
Hyde Nussle Smith (TX)  
Isakson Osborne Souder  
Issa Ose Stearns  
Istook Otter Stump  
Jackson (IL) Oxley Sullivan  
Jenkins Paul Sununu  
Johnson (CT) Pence Sweeney  
Johnson (IL) Peterson (PA) Tancredo  
Johnson, Sam Petri Tauzin  
Jones (NC) Pickering Taylor (NC)  
Keller Pitts Terry  
Kelly Platts Thomas  
Kennedy (MN) Portman Thornberry  
Kerns Pryce (OH) Thune  
Kingston Putnam Tiahrt  
Kirk Quinn Tiberi  
Knollenberg Radanovich Toomey  
Kolbe Ramstad Upton  
Kucinich Regula Vitter  
LaHood Rehberg Walden  
Latham Reynolds Walsh  
LaTourette Riley Wamp  
Leach Rogers (KY) Waters  
Lee Rogers (MI) Watkins (OK)  
Lewis (CA) Rohrabacher Watt (NC)  
Lewis (KY) Roukema Watts (OK)  
Linder Royce Weldon (FL)  
LoBiondo Rush Weldon (PA)  
Lucas (KY) Ryan (WI) Weller  
Lucas (OK) Ryun (KS) Whitfield  
Maloney (CT) Sanders Wicker  
Manzullo Saxton Wilson (NM)  
McCrery Schaffer Wilson (SC)  
McHugh Schrock Wolf  
McInnis Sensenbrenner Young (AK)  
McKeon Sessions Young (FL)

## NOT VOTING—14

Barton Lampson Norwood  
Buyer Mascara Pombo  
Combest Millender Shows  
Davis, Tom McDonald Tanner  
King (NY) Murtha Traficant

□ 1540

Messrs. NUSSLE, OTTER, TIBERI, CUNNINGHAM, MORAN of Kansas, GARY G. MILLER of California, WATKINS of Oklahoma, COX and RUSH and Mrs. NORTHUP changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Mr. GONZALEZ changed his vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Mr. SHOWS. Mr. Speaker, I was away from the House floor on official business on Thursday, May 16, 2002, and was unable to cast a recorded vote on rollcall 168, the Cardin substitute to H.R. 4737. Had I been present, I would have voted “yea.”

Ms. MILLENDER-MCDONALD. Mr. Speaker, I ask that the RECORD reflect that on rollcall 168, had I been here, I would have voted “yea.” I was detained by my constituents, and therefore, I was unable to get to the floor in time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read the third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT OFFERED BY MR. MALONEY OF CONNECTICUT

Mr. MALONEY of Connecticut. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mr. MALONEY of Connecticut. I am opposed, in its present form.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. MALONEY of Connecticut moves to recommit the bill (H.R. 4737) to the Committee on Ways and Means, with instructions to report the bill back to the House promptly, with the following amendment:

Strike section 208 of the bill and insert the following:

## SEC. 208. ENTITLEMENT FUNDING.

Section 418(a)(3) (42 U.S.C. 618(a)(3)) is amended—

(1) by striking “and” at the end of subparagraph (E);

(2) by striking the period at the end of subparagraph (F) and inserting a semicolon; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(G) \$3,967,000,000 for fiscal year 2003;

“(H) \$4,467,000,000 for fiscal year 2004;

“(I) \$4,967,000,000 for fiscal year 2005;

“(J) \$5,467,000,000 for fiscal year 2006; and

“(K) \$5,967,000,000 for fiscal year 2007.”.

Mr. MALONEY of Connecticut (during the reading). Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the motion be considered as read and printed in the RECORD.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Connecticut?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Connecticut is recognized for 5 minutes in support of his motion.

Mr. MALONEY of Connecticut. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to H.R. 4737 in its current form. The bill the proponents have brought to the floor today is totally inadequate in regard to family child care.

H.R. 4737 puts families in an entirely untenable position between their desire and need to work, on the one hand, and their need for quality child care during their working hours on the other hand. In addition, the bill places very costly unfunded mandates on the States.

Currently there are 15 million children in America who are eligible for child care assistance but lack coverage because States do not have the financial resources. Many States are facing budget deficits arising from the recession of 2001–2002.

In my home State of Connecticut, for example, the government is already experiencing a deficit in excess of \$500 million, and, accordingly, child care assistance for low-income families who have been off welfare for 2 years or more has already been frozen. Even worse, as of June 1, Connecticut will no longer be able to provide child care assistance to families just leaving welfare. Regardless of income, they will not receive any child care assistance at all.

The unfunded mandates created in this bill add to the States’ child care burden without providing the resources required to meet this critical need. Indeed, the child care funding in H.R.

4737 is barely enough to keep up with inflation, never mind provide for the roughly 35 percent increase in work hours called for in this bill.

CBO estimates that the unfunded mandates in this bill will require States to spend an additional \$11 billion over the next 5 years. Of that, \$3.8 billion is mandated for child care, four times more than the proponents are willing to provide. Connecticut's share will be \$66.5 million over 5 years, substantially adding to Connecticut's deficit.

The bill's proponents say that they support child care, but their rhetoric is meaningless when they do not provide the necessary resources.

□ 1545

Without providing more money for child care, this bill will actually keep families and States from meeting the new child welfare requirements and, most regrettably, keep families from getting off and staying off welfare, defeating the very purpose of this legislation.

I supported the original passage of welfare reform in 1996. Work requirements are not the issue. The issue is more work requires more child care. Yet what the proponents ask is more work without child care when every working family knows they need child care if they are going to work. My motion to commit would help more working families afford quality child care.

I support strengthening work requirements, but we must provide families with appropriate child care resources to allow parents to increase their time at work without leaving a child at home alone. I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on this motion to recommit so that the bill can be returned to us containing the child care funding our families and our States so clearly need.

Mr. Speaker, I yield the remaining time to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. SOLIS).

Ms. SOLIS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me this time.

Mr. Speaker, we need to send this bill back and report a new one out that really meets the child care needs of families struggling to escape poverty. The Republican bill ignores the fact that only one in seven of the children in this country who need child care are actually getting it. Their proposal sorely underfunds child care at a time when States are faced with huge deficits and waiting lists go on. In fact, I have a list here that says in my own State of California, 280,000 children are now awaiting child care services, and the list continues to grow daily. States are crying out for support and for help in this matter.

How can my friends on the other side of the aisle turn their backs on these children and these families? I urge them to recognize the child care needs of working families and increase child care funding by \$11 billion over a 5-year period by voting for this motion to re-

commit. Voting for this motion will serve an additional 2 million children by the year 2007.

Let us be clear. Let us be clear. Caring for children is not a partisan issue. It does not matter if one has an R or a D behind their name. It is about the kids. It is about the future of our economy and getting these working mothers back on their feet. These mothers want to work. Let us help them get there.

In conclusion, I would just state that I would hope that my friends on the other side of the aisle would understand that the women that I see on welfare now want to have that dignity and respect. Will my Republican colleagues not allow them to have the courtesy to have funding so that when they do choose to spend 40 hours or 35 hours at a work site, that they do not have to leave those children with someone or somewhere that is not a qualified child care center?

Mr. Speaker, I think our children and our families deserve a break and I think now is the time to do it. In fact, many of our Governors across this country support the notion of providing more funding for child care.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). The gentleman from California (Mr. THOMAS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, I will tell my colleagues I will not take 5 minutes.

We have heard a lot of numbers thrown around. Let me provide my colleagues an accurate picture of exactly what is going on.

As of September of last year, granted it was September of 2001, there was \$7.4 billion of TANF money under my committee's jurisdiction that had not been spent. In this legislation we said, you can use whatever is left for child care. As a matter of fact, if you examine this legislation and you utilize TANF money and if the comments of the gentleman from Connecticut and the gentlewoman from California are accurate and the States wish to use the TANF money for child care, they have available \$170 billion for child care if they choose to make that decision.

Now, what my colleagues are saying is that they want to put \$11 billion additionally in the bill. What my colleagues need to know is that there is \$24 billion in this bill already. What we have advocated is taking it to \$27.4 billion. They are advocating taking it to \$35 billion.

The difference between our position and their position? Ours is paid for and theirs is not. If my colleagues want to fund a program that is not paid for, vote for their motion to recommit. If my colleagues want to have a responsible and appropriate program that is paid for, vote "no" on the motion to recommit and vote for the passage of the bill.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

#### RECORDED VOTE

Mr. MALONEY of Connecticut. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, the Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote on the question of passage.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 207, noes 219, not voting 9, as follows:

[Roll No. 169]

#### AYES—207

Abercrombie	Gordon	Mink
Ackerman	Green (TX)	Mollohan
Allen	Gutierrez	Moore
Andrews	Hall (OH)	Moran (VA)
Baca	Hall (TX)	Nadler
Baird	Harman	Napolitano
Baldacci	Hastings (FL)	Neal
Baldwin	Hill	Oberstar
Barcia	Hilliard	Obey
Barrett	Hinchey	Olver
Becerra	Hinojosa	Ortiz
Bentsen	Hoeffel	Owens
Berkley	Holden	Pallone
Berman	Holt	Pascarell
Berry	Honda	Pastor
Bishop	Hoolley	Payne
Blagojevich	Hoyer	Pelosi
Blumenauer	Inslee	Peterson (MN)
Bonior	Israel	Phelps
Borski	Jackson (IL)	Pomeroy
Boswell	Jackson-Lee	Price (NC)
Boucher	(TX)	Rahall
Boyd	Jefferson	Rangel
Brady (PA)	John	Reyes
Brown (FL)	Johnson, E. B.	Rivers
Brown (OH)	Jones (OH)	Rodriguez
Capps	Kanjorski	Roemer
Capuano	Kaptur	Ross
Cardin	Kennedy (RI)	Rothman
Carson (IN)	Kildee	Roybal-Allard
Carson (OK)	Kilpatrick	Rush
Clay	Kind (WI)	Sabo
Clayton	Kleczka	Sanchez
Clement	Kucinich	Sanders
Clyburn	LaFalce	Sandlin
Condit	Langevin	Sawyer
Conyers	Lantos	Schakowsky
Costello	Larsen (WA)	Schiff
Coyne	Larson (CT)	Scott
Cramer	Lee	Serrano
Crowley	Levin	Sherman
Cummings	Lewis (GA)	Shows
Davis (CA)	Lipinski	Skelton
Davis (FL)	Lofgren	Slaughter
Davis (IL)	Lowe	Smith (WA)
DeFazio	Lucas (KY)	Snyder
DeGette	Luther	Solis
Delahunt	Lynch	Spratt
DeLauro	Maloney (CT)	Stark
Deutsch	Maloney (NY)	Stenholm
Dicks	Markey	Strickland
Dingell	Matheson	Stupak
Doggett	Matsui	Tauscher
Dooley	McCarthy (MO)	Taylor (MS)
Doyle	McCarthy (NY)	Thompson (CA)
Edwards	McCollum	Thompson (MS)
Engel	McDermott	Thurman
Eshoo	McGovern	Tierney
Etheridge	McIntyre	Towns
Evans	McKinney	Turner
Farr	McNulty	Udall (CO)
Fattah	Meehan	Udall (NM)
Filner	Meek (FL)	Velazquez
Ford	Meeks (NY)	Visclosky
Frank	Menendez	Waters
Frost	Millender	Watson (CA)
Gephardt	McDonald	Watt (NC)
Gonzalez	Miller, George	

Waxman  
Weiner

Wexler  
Woolsey

Wu  
Wynn

## NOES—219

Aderholt  
Akin  
Armey  
Bachus  
Baker  
Ballenger  
Barr  
Bartlett  
Barton  
Bass  
Bereuter  
Biggart  
Bilirakis  
Blunt  
Boehlert  
Boehner  
Bonilla  
Bono  
Boozman  
Brady (TX)  
Brown (SC)  
Bryant  
Burr  
Burton  
Callahan  
Calvert  
Camp  
Cannon  
Cantor  
Capito  
Castle  
Chabot  
Chambliss  
Coble  
Collins  
Cooksey  
Cox  
Crane  
Crenshaw  
Cubin  
Culberson  
Cunningham  
Davis, Jo Ann  
Davis, Tom  
Deal  
DeLay  
DeMint  
Diaz-Balart  
Doolittle  
Dreier  
Duncan  
Dunn  
Ehlers  
Ehrlich  
Emerson  
English  
Everett  
Ferguson  
Flake  
Fletcher  
Foley  
Forbes  
Fossella  
Frelinghuysen  
Gallegly  
Ganske  
Gekas  
Gibbons  
Gilchrest  
Gillmor  
Gilman  
Goode  
Goodlatte

Peterson (PA)  
Petri  
Pickering  
Pitts  
Platts  
Portman  
Pryce (OH)  
Putnam  
Quinn  
Radanovich  
Ramstad  
Regula  
Rehberg  
Reynolds  
Riley  
Rogers (KY)  
Rogers (MI)  
Rohrabacher  
Ros-Lehtinen  
Roukema  
Royce  
Ryan (WI)  
Ryun (KS)  
Saxton  
Schaffer  
Schrock  
Sensenbrenner  
Sessions  
Shadegg  
Shaw  
Shays  
Sherwood  
Shimkus  
Shuster  
Simmons  
Simpson  
Skeen  
Smith (MI)  
Smith (NJ)  
Smith (TX)  
Souder  
Stearns  
Stump  
Sullivan  
Sununu  
Sweeney  
Tancredo  
Tauzin  
Taylor (NC)  
Terry  
Thomas  
Thornberry  
Thune  
Tiahrt  
Tiberi  
Toomey  
Upton  
Vitter  
Walden  
Walsh  
Wamp  
Watkins (OK)  
Watts (OK)  
Weldon (FL)  
Weldon (PA)  
Weller  
Whitfield  
Wicker  
Wilson (NM)  
Wilson (SC)  
Wolf  
Young (AK)  
Young (FL)

## NOT VOTING—9

Buyer  
Combest  
King (NY)

Lampson  
Mascara  
Murtha

Pombo  
Tanner  
Traficant

□ 1609

Mr. GREENWOOD changed his vote from “aye” to “no.”

So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

## RECORDED VOTE

Mr. CARDIN. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 229, noes 197, not voting 9, as follows:

[Roll No. 170]

## AYES—229

Aderholt  
Akin  
Armey  
Bachus  
Baker  
Ballenger  
Barcia  
Barr  
Bartlett  
Barton  
Bass  
Bereuter  
Biggart  
Bilirakis  
Blunt  
Boehlert  
Boehner  
Bonilla  
Bono  
Boozman  
Boyd  
Brady (TX)  
Brown (SC)  
Bryant  
Burr  
Burton  
Callahan  
Calvert  
Camp  
Cannon  
Cantor  
Capito  
Castle  
Chabot  
Chambliss  
Coble  
Collins  
Cooksey  
Cox  
Cramer  
Crane  
Crenshaw  
Cubin  
Culberson  
Cunningham  
Davis, Jo Ann  
Davis, Tom  
Deal  
DeLay  
DeMint  
Diaz-Balart  
Doolittle  
Dreier  
Duncan  
Dunn  
Edwards  
Ehlers  
Ehrlich  
Emerson  
English  
Everett  
Ferguson  
Flake  
Fletcher  
Foley  
Forbes  
Fossella  
Frelinghuysen  
Gallegly  
Ganske  
Gekas  
Gibbons  
Gilchrest  
Gillmor  
Gilman  
Goode  
Goodlatte

## NOES—197

Abercrombie  
Ackerman  
Allen  
Andrews  
Baca

Baird  
Baldacci  
Baldwin  
Barrett  
Becerra

Blagojevich  
Blumenauer  
Bonior  
Borski  
Boswell  
Boucher  
Brady (PA)  
Brown (FL)  
Brown (OH)  
Capps  
Capuano  
Cardin  
Carson (IN)  
Carson (OK)  
Clay  
Clayton  
Clement  
Clyburn  
Condit  
Conyers  
Costello  
Coyne  
Crowley  
Cummings  
Davis (CA)  
Davis (FL)  
Davis (IL)  
DeFazio  
DeGette  
Delahunt  
DeLauro  
Deutsch  
Dicks  
Dingell  
Doggett  
Dooley  
Doyle  
Engel  
Eshoo  
Etheridge  
Evans  
Farr  
Fattah  
Filner  
Ford  
Frank  
Frost  
Gephardt  
Gonzalez  
Gordon  
Green (TX)  
Gutierrez  
Hall (OH)  
Harman  
Hastings (FL)  
Hill  
Hilliard  
Hinchey  
Hinojosa  
Hoeffel  
Holt  
Honda

Buyer  
Combest  
King (NY)

Hooley  
Hostettler  
Hoyer  
Inslee  
Israel  
Jackson (IL)  
Jackson-Lee  
(TX)  
Jefferson  
John  
Johnson, E. B.  
Jones (OH)  
Kanjorski  
Kaptur  
Kennedy (RI)  
Kerns  
Kildee  
Kilpatrick  
Kind (WI)  
Klecza  
Kucinich  
LaFalce  
Langevin  
Lantos  
Larsen (WA)  
Larson (CT)  
Lee  
Levin  
Lewis (GA)  
Lipinski  
Lofgren  
Lowey  
Lynch  
Maloney (CT)  
Maloney (NY)  
Markey  
Matheson  
Matsui  
McCarthy (MO)  
McCarthy (NY)  
McCollum  
McDermott  
McGovern  
McIntyre  
McKinney  
McNulty  
Meehan  
Meek (FL)  
Meeks (NY)  
Menendez  
Millender  
McDonald  
Miller, George  
Mink  
Mollohan  
Moore  
Moran (VA)  
Morella  
Nadler  
Napolitano  
Neal  
Oberstar

## NOT VOTING—9

Lampson  
Mascara  
Murtha

□ 1620

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

**AUTHORIZING THE CLERK TO MAKE CORRECTIONS IN THE ENGROSSMENT OF H.R. 4737, PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY, WORK, AND FAMILY PROMOTION ACT OF 2002**

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Clerk have the authority to make technical and conforming changes in the engrossment of H.R. 4737, the bill just passed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.