

he will allow inspectors back into his country knowing that any one of them might kill him? Is it the intention of the Administration to get inspectors back into Iraq and thus answers to lingering and critical questions regarding Iraq's military capabilities, or is the intent to invade that country regardless of the near total absence of information? Or actually make it impossible for Saddam Hussein to accept the inspectors.

Mr. Ritter, who as former chief UN inspector in Iraq probably knows that country better than any of us here, made some excellent points in a recent meeting with Republican members of Congress. According to Mr. Ritter, no American-installed regime could survive in Iraq. Interestingly, Mr. Ritter noted that though his rule is no doubt despotic, Saddam Hussein has been harsher toward Islamic fundamentalism than any other Arab regime. He added that any U.S. invasion to remove Saddam from power would likely open the door to an anti-American fundamentalist Islamic regime in Iraq. That can hardly be viewed in a positive light here in the United States. Is a policy that replaces a bad regime with a worse regime the wisest course to follow?

Much is made of Iraqi National Congress leader Ahmed Chalabi, as a potential post-invasion leader of Iraq. Mr. Ritter told me that in his many dealings with Chalabi, he found him to be completely unreliable and untrustworthy. He added that neither he nor the approximately 100 Iraqi generals that the US is courting have any credibility inside Iraq, and any attempt to place them in power would be rejected in the strongest manner by the Iraqi people. Hundreds, if not thousands, of American military personnel would be required to occupy Iraq indefinitely if any American-installed regime is to remain in power. Again, it appears we are creating a larger problem than we are attempting to solve.

Similarly, proponents of a US invasion of Iraq often cite the Kurds in the northern part of that country as a Northern Alliance-like ally, who will do much of our fighting on the ground and unseat Saddam. But just last week the Washington Times reported that neither of the two rival Kurdish groups in northern Iraq want anything to do with an invasion of Iraq.

In the meeting last month, Scott Ritter reminded members of Congress that a nation cannot go to war based on assumptions and guesses, that a lack of knowledge is no basis on which to initiate military action. Mr. Ritter warned those present that remaining acquiescent in the face of the Administration's seeming determination to exceed the authority granted to go after those who attacked us, will actually hurt the president and will hurt Congress. He concluded by stating that going in to Iraq without Congressionally-granted authority would be a "failure of American democracy." Those pounding the war drums loudest for an invasion of Iraq should pause for a moment and ponder what Scott Ritter is saying. Thousands of lives are at stake.

[From the Los Angeles Times, June 19, 2002]

BEHIND "PLOT" ON HUSSEIN, A SECRET AGENDA

(By Scott Ritter)

President Bush has reportedly authorized the CIA to use all of the means at its disposal—including U.S. military special operations forces and CIA paramilitary teams—to eliminate Iraq's Saddam Hussein. According to reports, the CIA is to view any such

plan as "preparatory" for a larger military strike.

Congressional leaders from both parties have greeted these reports with enthusiasm. In their rush to be seen as embracing the president's hard-line stance on Iraq, however, almost no one in Congress has questioned why a supposedly covert operation would be made public, thus undermining the very mission it was intended to accomplish.

It is high time that Congress start questioning the hype and rhetoric emanating from the White House regarding Baghdad, because the leaked CIA plan is well timed to undermine the efforts underway in the United Nations to get weapons inspectors back to work in Iraq. In early July, the U.N. secretary-general will meet with Iraq's foreign minister for a third round of talks on the return of the weapons monitors. A major sticking point is Iraqi concern over the use—or abuse—of such inspections by the U.S. for intelligence collection.

I recall during my time as a chief inspector in Iraq the dozens of extremely fit "missile experts" and "logistics specialists" who frequented my inspection teams and others. Drawn from U.S. units such as Delta Force or from CIA paramilitary teams such as the Special Activities Staff (both of which have an ongoing role in the conflict in Afghanistan), these specialists had a legitimate part to play in the difficult cat-and-mouse effort to disarm Iraq. So did the teams of British radio intercept operators I ran in Iraq from 1996 to 1998—which listened in on the conversations of Hussein's inner circle—and the various other intelligence specialists who were part of the inspection effort.

The presence of such personnel on inspection teams was, and is, viewed by the Iraqi government as an unacceptable risk to its nation's security.

As early as 1992, the Iraqis viewed the teams I led inside Iraq as a threat to the safety of their president. They were concerned that my inspections were nothing more than a front for a larger effort to eliminate their leader.

Those concerns were largely baseless while I was in Iraq. Now that Bush has specifically authorized American covert-operations forces to remove Hussein, however, the Iraqis will never trust an inspection regime that has already shown itself susceptible to infiltration and manipulation by intelligence services hostile to Iraq, regardless of any assurances the U.N. secretary-general might give.

The leaked CIA covert operations plan effectively kills any chance of inspectors returning to Iraq, and it closes the door on the last opportunity for shedding light on the true state of affairs regarding any threat in the form of Iraq weapons of mass destruction.

Absent any return of weapons inspectors, no one seems willing to challenge the Bush administration's assertions of an Iraqi threat. If Bush has a factual case against Iraq concerning weapons of mass destruction, he hasn't made it yet.

Can the Bush administration substantiate any of its claims that Iraq continues to pursue efforts to reacquire its capability to produce chemical and biological weapons, which was dismantled and destroyed by U.N. weapons inspectors from 1991 to 1998? The same question applies to nuclear weapons. What facts show that Iraq continues to pursue nuclear weapons aspirations?

Bush spoke ominously of an Iraqi ballistic missile threat to Europe. What missile threat is the president talking about? These questions are valid, and if the case for war is to be made, they must be answered with more than speculative rhetoric.

Congress has seemed unwilling to challenge the Bush administration's pursuit of

war against Iraq. The one roadblock to an all-out U.S. assault would be weapons inspectors reporting on the facts inside Iraq. Yet without any meaningful discussion and debate by Congress concerning the nature of the threat posed by Baghdad, war seems all but inevitable.

The true target of the supposed CIA plan may not be Hussein but rather the weapons inspection program itself. The real casualty is the last chance to avoid bloody conflict.

TRIBUTE TO GEOFF MALEMAN

HON. JANE HARMAN

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, June 24, 2002

Ms. HARMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to commend the achievements of my friend and constituent Geoff Maleman, of Westchester, California.

As the President of the Westchester/LAX/Marina del Rey Chamber of Commerce, Geoff is a tireless leader in the business and greater community.

Following the tragic events of September 11th, Geoff spearheaded an effort with other local Chambers of Commerce to develop a task force to address challenges facing the business community. The travel industry surrounding Los Angeles International Airport (LAX) is beginning to recover, in no small part, due to Geoff's leadership.

Geoff is a great communicator. We have co-hosted numerous forums together in my Congressional District. Last October, Geoff and I spoke to hundreds of residents and business owners about security at Los Angeles International Airport, an issue of great concern to the neighboring communities. Geoff was both informative and reassuring in addressing the challenging and frightening issue.

Most importantly, Geoff and his wife Nicole are proud new parents of a beautiful baby girl, Kaitlyn Michelle Maleman—born during his term as President, on December 6, 2001.

Mr. Speaker, as Geoff's tenure as President of the Westchester/LAX/Marina del Rey Chamber of Commerce comes to an end, I appreciate this opportunity to share how proud and fortunate I am to have Geoff Maleman in my Congressional District.

ON HILLSBORO, OREGON'S RECEIPT OF THE INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR PUBLIC PARTICIPATION'S CORE VALUES PROJECT OF THE YEAR AWARD

HON. DAVID WU

OF OREGON

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, June 24, 2002

Mr. WU. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to rise today to honor Hillsboro, Oregon for its receipt of the International Association for Public Participation's Core Values Project of the Year Award for its Hillsboro 2020 Vision Project.

During the past 20 years, Hillsboro has experienced significant residential and economic growth. The community has become economically self-sufficient with a strong and diverse industrial base, and vital retail areas. It has grown geographically to more than double its

physical size and has started to take in unincorporated neighborhoods and commercial areas to the east. A consequence of this growth and change in community character has been an emerging need to redefine the City's identity and help set a course for the future that reflects the values of its citizens. Recognizing this challenge, the City of Hillsboro conducted an extensive public discussion to develop a vision and action plan for the next 20 years.

This community-wide effort, the Hillsboro 2020 Vision Project, was conducted over three years (1997–2000) and involved hundreds of citizens and dozens of community interests including business, environment, neighborhoods, social services, healthcare, education, government, and many others. The product of this endeavor was a Vision Statement, describing Hillsboro in 2020, and an Action Plan identifying the programs and projects necessary to achieve that vision.

The project involved an extensive public participation program including a citizen task force that advised the City on the project and developed the recommended Vision and Action Plan. In addition, the general public and various interest groups were engaged through a broad range of outreach activities such as public workshops and forums, newsletters, presentations to community groups, and focus groups. Over 1500 citizens participated in the Vision planning process.

The Action Plan lists 114 actions and 46 strategies to bring the Vision to life. The plan outlines opportunities to enhance community identity, connections, and livability—ranging from such projects as a historic downtown district with a public square to an expanded system of pedestrian and bike paths and many others. During the plan's development, 18 community partners agreed to take the lead on one or more of the actions. Many of these actions will require the formation of public-private partnerships. Implementation of the Hillsboro 2020 Vision implementation will be a community-wide effort.

A 21-member citizen-led committee, appointed by the City Council, will monitor and facilitate the Vision's implementation, assuring that the Vision will transition from a plan, to a reality.

I commend the City of Hillsboro for its vision and hard work to ensure that Hillsboro re-

mains a wonderful place to live and work. Congratulations on your receipt of this prestigious award!

CELEBRATING THE 75th JUBILEE
OF THE CARMELITE SISTERS OF
THE MOST SACRED HEART OF
LOS ANGELES

HON. HILDA L. SOLIS

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, June 24, 2002

Ms. SOLIS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to celebrate and congratulate the Carmelite Sisters of the Most Sacred Heart of Los Angeles on their 75th Jubilee.

Founded in Mexico in 1921 by Mother Luisa Josefa of the Most Blessed Sacrament, the Carmelite Sisters arrived in Los Angeles in 1927. Their mission since inception has been to devote their lives to works of charity, specifically in the fields of health care, education, child care, and retreat work.

In its 75 years, the Carmelite Sisters have provided numerous services to the Los Angeles community, especially residents of the 31st Congressional District. The Carmelite Sisters are responsible for the vitality of critical institutions such as Santa Teresita Hospital, five parochial schools and two high schools, as well as a child care facility and a retreat house. These institutions provide services that are essential to my district where adequate access to health care, education, and child care is a major concern.

Once again, I congratulate and commend the Carmelite Sisters of the Most Sacred Heart of Los Angeles on their 75th Jubilee, and for serving the health, educational, and child-care needs of the residents of the San Gabriel Valley.

PAYING TRIBUTE TO JOANN FALK

HON. SCOTT McINNIS

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, June 24, 2002

Mr. McINNIS. Mr. Speaker, it is with a profound sense of appreciation and pride that I

bring to your attention the good works of JoAnn Falk of Pueblo, Colorado: the devotion she has shown to the students and educators of Pueblo District 70 has proven her to be a shining example of the power of education in the lives of our nation's youth. JoAnn was recently awarded the National Education Association's 'Education Support Professional (ESP) of the Year Award,' itself a moving tribute to the value of her nearly thirty years of work for public education in my state.

JoAnn has dedicated her life to causes greater than her own self-interest. As an educator, she has fought for the rights and respect her fellow education support professionals deserve. JoAnn has worked hard to recruit school board candidates responsive to the needs of classified employees and continually held the needs of her students as her top priority. She has been persistent in these undertakings, never allowing the word 'no' to stop her from striving for what she knows in her heart is right.

JoAnn Falk is, herself, a tribute to the many hardworking education support professionals throughout our nation. Over her many years of work in Pueblo District 70, JoAnn has demonstrated a commitment to the development of school programs and the role of ESP employees. Among her accomplishments is the establishment of an innovative new substitute teacher program as well as her creation of the first Elementary School Media Center in all of Pueblo.

Mr. Speaker, it is with a grateful heart that I rise to pay tribute to the work done by JoAnn Falk on behalf of the children and educators of Pueblo District 70. Her career in education is a testament to the values, sacrifice and commitment that make Colorado, and America, great. She richly deserves the recognition she has recently received from the National Education Association. I am proud to convey to JoAnn the respect and praise of this body of Congress.