

to provide for coordination of implementation of administrative simplification standards for health care information.

S. 917

At the request of Ms. COLLINS, the name of the Senator from Arkansas (Mrs. LINCOLN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 917, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to exclude from gross income amounts received on account of claims based on certain unlawful discrimination and to allow income averaging for backpay and frontpay awards received on account of such claims, and for other purposes.

S. 1200

At the request of Mr. CLELAND, the name of the Senator from Wisconsin (Mr. FEINGOLD) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1200, a bill to direct the Secretaries of the military departments to conduct a review of military service records to determine whether certain Jewish American war veterans, including those previously awarded the Distinguished Service Cross, Navy Cross, or Air Force Cross, should be awarded the Medal of Honor.

S. 1250

At the request of Mrs. CARNAHAN, the name of the Senator from Utah (Mr. HATCH) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1250, a bill to amend title 10, United States Code, to improve transitional medical and dental care for members of the Armed Forces released from active duty to which called or ordered, or for which retained, in support of a contingency operation.

S. 1274

At the request of Mr. KENNEDY, the name of the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. KERRY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1274, a bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to provide programs for the prevention, treatment, and rehabilitation of stroke.

S. 1300

At the request of Mr. SANTORUM, the name of the Senator from Georgia (Mr. MILLER) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1300, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to encourage foundational and corporate charitable giving.

S. 1326

At the request of Mr. LUGAR, the name of the Senator from Nebraska (Mr. HAGEL) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1326, a bill to extend and improve working lands and other conservation programs administered by the Secretary of Agriculture.

S. 1343

At the request of Mr. CHAFEE, the name of the Senator from California (Mrs. BOXER) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1343, a bill to amend title XIX of the Social Security Act to provide States with options for providing family planning services and supplies to individuals eligible for medical assistance under the medicaid program.

S. 1400

At the request of Mr. KYL, the name of the Senator from Massachusetts

(Mr. KENNEDY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1400, a bill to amend the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 to extend the deadline for aliens to present a border crossing card that contains a biometric identifier matching the appropriate biometric characteristic of the alien.

S. 1433

At the request of Mr. ALLEN, the name of the Senator from Illinois (Mr. FITZGERALD) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1433, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide tax relief for victims of the terrorist attacks against the United States on September 11, 2001.

S. 1434

At the request of Mr. SPECTER, the name of the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. BREAUX) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1434, a bill to authorize the President to award posthumously the Congressional Gold Medal to the passengers and crew of United Airlines flight 93 in the aftermath of the terrorist attack on the United States on September 11, 2001.

S. 1447

At the request of Mr. HOLLINGS, the names of the Senator from Alaska (Mr. STEVENS) and the Senator from Maine (Ms. SNOWE) were added as cosponsors of S. 1447, a bill to improve aviation security, and for other purposes.

S. 1454

At the request of Mrs. CARNAHAN, the names of the Senator from Virginia (Mr. WARNER), the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. KERRY), and the Senator from Louisiana (Ms. LANDRIEU) were added as cosponsors of S. 1454, a bill to provide assistance for employees who are separated from employment as a result of reductions in service by air carriers, and closures of airports, caused by terrorist actions or security measures.

S.J. RES. 18

At the request of Mr. SARBANES, the names of the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. SPECTER), the Senator from Virginia (Mr. ALLEN), the Senator from Missouri (Mr. BOND), and the Senator from Alabama (Mr. SESSIONS) were added as cosponsors of S.J. Res. 18, a joint resolution memorializing fallen firefighters by lowering the United States flag to half-staff on the day of the National Fallen Firefighters Memorial Service in Emmitsburg, Maryland.

S. RES. 160

At the request of Mr. HATCH, the names of the Senator from Montana (Mr. BURNS) and the Senator from Idaho (Mr. CRAPO) were added as cosponsors of S. Res. 160, a resolution designating the month of October 2001, as "Family History Month."

S. CON. RES. 73

At the request of Mr. NICKLES, the names of the Senator from Texas (Mrs. HUTCHISON), the Senator from Alaska (Mr. STEVENS), the Senator from Penn-

sylvania (Mr. SPECTER), the Senator from Alabama (Mr. SESSIONS), the Senator from Illinois (Mr. DURBIN), the Senator from Alaska (Mr. MURKOWSKI), and the Senator from New Mexico (Mr. DOMENICI) were added as cosponsors of S. Con. Res. 73, a concurrent resolution expressing the profound sorrow of Congress for the deaths and injuries suffered by first responders as they endeavored to save innocent people in the aftermath of the terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon on September 11, 2001.

AMENDMENT NO. 1599

At the request of Mr. LOTT, the names of the Senator from Maine (Ms. SNOWE) and the Senator from Maine (Ms. COLLINS) were added as cosponsors of amendment No. 1599 intended to be proposed to S. 1438, a bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2002 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military constructions, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe personnel strengths for such fiscal year for the Armed Forces, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 1601

At the request of Mr. LOTT, the name of the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. DORGAN) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 1601 intended to be proposed to S. 1438, a bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2002 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military constructions, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe personnel strengths for such fiscal year for the Armed Forces, and for other purposes

STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

By Mr. BENNETT (for himself and Mr. KYL):

S. 1456. A bill to facilitate the security of the critical infrastructure of the United States, to encourage the secure disclosure and protected exchange of critical infrastructure information, to enhance the analysis, prevention, and detection of attacks on critical infrastructure, to enhance the recovery from such attacks, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Governmental Affairs.

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 1456

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Critical Infrastructure Information Security Act of 2001".

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

Congress makes the following findings:

(1) The critical infrastructures that underpin our society, national defense, economic

prosperity, and quality of life—including energy, banking and finance, transportation, vital human services, and telecommunications—must be viewed in a new context in the Information Age.

(2) The rapid proliferation and integration of telecommunications and computer systems have connected infrastructures to one another in a complex global network of interconnectivity and interdependence. As a result, new vulnerabilities to such systems and infrastructures have emerged, such as the threat of physical and cyber attacks from terrorists or hostile states. These attacks could disrupt the economy and endanger the security of the United States.

(3) The private sector, which owns and operates the majority of these critical infrastructures, and the Federal Government, which has unique information and analytical capabilities, could both greatly benefit from cooperating in response to threats, vulnerabilities, and actual attacks to critical infrastructures by sharing information and analysis.

(4) The private sector is hesitant to share critical infrastructure information with the Federal Government because—

(A) Federal law provides no clear assurance that critical infrastructure information voluntarily submitted to the Federal Government will be protected from disclosure or misuse;

(B) the framework of the Federal Government for critical infrastructure information sharing and analysis is not sufficiently developed; and

(C) concerns about possible prosecution under the antitrust laws inhibit some companies from partnering with other industry members, including competitors, to develop cooperative infrastructure security strategies.

(5) Statutory nondisclosure provisions that qualify as Exemption 3 statutes under section 552 of title 5, United States Code (commonly referred to as the Freedom of Information Act), many of them longstanding, prohibit disclosure of numerous classes of information under that Act. These statutes cover specific and narrowly defined classes of information and are consistent with the principles of free and open government that that Act seeks to facilitate.

(6) Since the infrastructure information that this Act covers is not normally in the public domain, preventing public disclosure of this sensitive information serves the greater good by promoting national security and economic stability.

SEC. 3. PURPOSE.

The purpose of this Act is to foster improved security of critical infrastructure by—

(1) promoting the increased sharing of critical infrastructure information both between private sector entities and between the Federal Government and the private sector; and

(2) encouraging the private sector and the Federal Government to conduct better analysis of critical infrastructure information in order to prevent, detect, warn of, and respond to incidents involving critical infrastructure.

SEC. 4. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) AGENCY.—The term “agency” has the meaning given that term in section 551 of title 5, United States Code.

(2) CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE.—The term “critical infrastructure”—

(A) means physical and cyber-based systems and services essential to the national defense, government, or economy of the United States, including systems essential for telecommunications (including voice and data transmission and the Internet), elec-

trical power, gas and oil storage and transportation, banking and finance, transportation, water supply, emergency services (including medical, fire, and police services), and the continuity of government operations; and

(B) includes any industry sector designated by the President pursuant to the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 401 et seq.) or the Defense Production Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. App. 2061 et seq.) as essential to provide resources for the execution of the national security strategy of the United States, including emergency preparedness activities pursuant to title VI of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5195 et seq.).

(3) CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE INFORMATION.—The term “critical infrastructure information” means information related to—

(A) the ability of any protected system or critical infrastructure to resist interference, compromise, or incapacitation by either physical or computer-based attack or other similar conduct that violates Federal, State, or local law, harms interstate commerce of the United States, or threatens public health or safety;

(B) any planned or past assessment, projection, or estimate of the security vulnerability of a protected system or critical infrastructure, including security testing, risk evaluation, risk management planning, or risk audit;

(C) any planned or past operational problem or solution, including repair, recovery, reconstruction, insurance, or continuity, related to the security of a protected system or critical infrastructure; or

(D) any threat to the security of a protected system or critical infrastructure.

(4) INFORMATION SHARING AND ANALYSIS ORGANIZATION.—The term “Information Sharing and Analysis Organization” means any formal or informal entity or collaboration created by public or private sector organizations, and composed primarily of such organizations, for purposes of—

(A) gathering and analyzing critical infrastructure information in order to better understand security problems related to critical infrastructure and protected systems, and interdependencies of critical infrastructure and protected systems, so as to ensure the availability, integrity, and reliability of critical infrastructure and protected systems;

(B) communicating or disclosing critical infrastructure information to help prevent, detect, mitigate, or recover from the effects of a problem related to critical infrastructure or protected systems; and

(C) voluntarily disseminating critical infrastructure information to entity members, other Information Sharing and Analysis Organizations, the Federal Government, or any entities which may be of assistance in carrying out the purposes specified in subparagraphs (A) and (B).

(5) PROTECTED SYSTEM.—The term “protected system”—

(A) means any service, physical or computer-based system, process, or procedure that directly or indirectly affects a facility of critical infrastructure; and

(B) includes any physical or computer-based system, including a computer, computer system, computer or communications network, or any component hardware or element thereof, software program, processing instructions, or information or data in transmission or storage therein (irrespective of storage medium).

(6) VOLUNTARY.—The term “voluntary”, in the case of the submittal of information or records to the Federal Government, means the submittal of the information or records

in the absence of an agency’s exercise of legal submission.

SEC. 5. PROTECTION OF VOLUNTARILY SHARED CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE INFORMATION.

(a) PROTECTION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, critical infrastructure information that is voluntarily submitted to a covered Federal agency for analysis, warning, interdependency study, recovery, reconstitution, or other informational purpose, when accompanied by an express statement specified in paragraph (3)—

(A) shall not be made available under section 552 of title 5, United States Code (commonly referred to as the Freedom of Information Act);

(B) may not, without the written consent of the person or entity submitting such information, be used directly by such agency, any other Federal, State, or local authority, or any third party, in any civil action arising under Federal or State law, unless such information is submitted in bad faith; and

(C) may not, without the written consent of the person or entity submitting such information, be used for a purpose other than the purpose of this Act, or disclosed by any officer or employee of the United States, except pursuant to the official duties of such officer or employee pursuant to this Act.

(2) COVERED FEDERAL AGENCY DEFINED.—In paragraph (1), the term “covered Federal agency” means the following:

(A) The Department of Justice.

(B) The Department of Defense.

(C) The Department of Commerce.

(D) The Department of Transportation.

(E) The Department of the Treasury.

(F) The Department of Health and Human Services.

(G) The Department of Energy.

(H) The Environmental Protection Agency.

(I) The General Services Administration.

(J) The Federal Communications Commission.

(K) The Federal Emergency Management Agency.

(L) The National Infrastructure Protection Center.

(M) The National Communication System.

(3) EXPRESS STATEMENT.—For purposes of paragraph (1), the term “express statement”, with respect to information or records, means—

(A) in the case of written information or records, a written marking on the information or records as follows: “This information is voluntarily submitted to the Federal Government in expectation of protection from disclosure under the provisions of the Critical Infrastructure Information Security Act of 2001.”; or

(B) in the case of oral information, a statement, substantially similar to the words specified in subparagraph (A), to convey that the information is voluntarily submitted to the Federal Government in expectation of protection from disclosure under the provisions of this Act.

(b) INDEPENDENTLY OBTAINED INFORMATION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit or otherwise affect the ability of the Federal Government to obtain and use under applicable law critical infrastructure information obtained by or submitted to the Federal Government in a manner not covered by subsection (a).

(c) TREATMENT OF VOLUNTARY SUBMITTAL OF INFORMATION.—The voluntary submittal to the Federal Government of information or records that are protected from disclosure by this section shall not be construed to constitute compliance with any requirement to submit such information to a Federal agency under any other provision of law.

(d) PROCEDURES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall, in consultation with appropriate representatives of the National Security Council and the Office of Science and Technology Policy, establish uniform procedures for the receipt, care, and storage by Federal agencies of critical infrastructure information that is voluntarily submitted to the Federal Government. The procedures shall be established not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) ELEMENTS.—The procedures established under paragraph (1) shall include mechanisms regarding—

(A) the acknowledgement of receipt by Federal agencies of critical infrastructure information that is voluntarily submitted to the Federal Government, including confirmation that such information is protected from disclosure under this Act;

(B) the marking of such information as critical infrastructure information that is voluntarily submitted to the Federal Government for purposes of this Act;

(C) the care and storage of such information; and

(D) the protection and maintenance of the confidentiality of such information so as to permit, pursuant to section 6, the sharing of such information within the Federal Government, and the issuance of notices and warnings related to protection of critical infrastructure.

SEC. 6. NOTIFICATION, DISSEMINATION, AND ANALYSIS REGARDING CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE INFORMATION.

(a) NOTICE REGARDING CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE SECURITY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—A covered Federal agency (as specified in section 5(a)(2)) receiving significant and credible information under section 5 from a private person or entity about the security of a protected system or critical infrastructure of another known or identified private person or entity shall, to the extent consistent with requirements of national security or law enforcement, notify and convey such information to such other private person or entity as soon as reasonable after receipt of such information by the agency.

(2) CONSTRUCTION.—Paragraph (1) may not be construed to require an agency to provide specific notice where doing so would not be practicable, for example, based on the quantity of persons or entities identified as having security vulnerabilities. In instances where specific notice is not practicable, the agency should take reasonable steps, consistent with paragraph (1), to issue broadly disseminated advisories or alerts.

(b) ANALYSIS OF INFORMATION.—Upon receipt of critical infrastructure information that is voluntarily submitted to the Federal Government, the Federal agency receiving such information shall—

(1) share with appropriate covered Federal agencies (as so specified) all such information that concerns actual attacks, and threats and warnings of attacks, on critical infrastructure and protected systems;

(2) identify interdependencies; and

(3) determine whether further analysis in concert with other Federal agencies, or warnings under subsection (c), are warranted.

(c) ACTION FOLLOWING ANALYSIS.—

(1) AUTHORITY TO ISSUE WARNINGS.—As a result of analysis of critical infrastructure information under subsection (b), a Federal agency may issue warnings to individual companies, targeted sectors, other governmental entities, or the general public regarding potential threats to critical infrastructure.

(2) FORM OF WARNINGS.—In issuing a warning under paragraph (1), the Federal agency

concerned shall take appropriate actions to prevent the disclosure of the source of any voluntarily submitted critical infrastructure information that forms the basis for the warning.

(d) STRATEGIC ANALYSES OF POTENTIAL THREATS TO CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The President shall designate an element in the Executive Branch—

(A) to conduct strategic analyses of potential threats to critical infrastructure; and
(B) to submit reports on such analyses to Information Sharing and Analysis Organizations and such other entities as the President considers appropriate.

(2) STRATEGIC ANALYSES.—

(A) INFORMATION USED.—In conducting strategic analyses under paragraph (1)(A), the element designated to conduct such analyses under paragraph (1) shall utilize a range of critical infrastructure information voluntarily submitted to the Federal Government by the private sector, as well as applicable intelligence and law enforcement information.

(B) AVAILABILITY.—The President shall take appropriate actions to ensure that, to the maximum extent practicable, all critical infrastructure information voluntarily submitted to the Federal Government by the private sector is available to the element designated under paragraph (1) to conduct strategic analyses under paragraph (1)(A).

(C) FREQUENCY.—Strategic analyses shall be conducted under this paragraph with such frequency as the President considers appropriate, and otherwise specifically at the direction of the President.

(3) REPORTS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Each report under paragraph (1)(B) shall contain the following:

(i) A description of currently recognized methods of attacks on critical infrastructure.

(ii) An assessment of the threats to critical infrastructure that could develop over the year following such report.

(iii) An assessment of the lessons learned from responses to previous attacks on critical infrastructure.

(iv) Such other information on the protection of critical infrastructure as the element conducting analyses under paragraph (1) considers appropriate.

(B) FORM.—Reports under this paragraph may be in classified or unclassified form, or both.

(4) CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to modify or alter any responsibility of a Federal agency under subsections (a) through (c).

(e) PLAN FOR STRATEGIC ANALYSES OF THREATS TO CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE.—

(1) PLAN.—The President shall develop a plan for carrying out strategic analyses of threats to critical infrastructure through the element in the Executive Branch designated under subsection (d)(1).

(2) ELEMENTS.—The plan under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) A methodology for the work under the plan of the element referred to in paragraph (1), including the development of expertise among the personnel of the element charged with carrying out the plan and the acquisition by the element of information relevant to the plan.

(B) Mechanisms for the studying of threats to critical infrastructure, and the issuance of warnings and recommendations regarding such threats, including the allocation of personnel and other resources of the element in order to carry out those mechanisms.

(C) An allocation of roles and responsibilities for the work under the plan among the Federal agencies specified in section 5(a)(2), including the relationship of such roles and responsibilities.

(3) REPORTS.—

(A) INTERIM REPORT.—The President shall submit to Congress an interim report on the plan developed under paragraph (1) not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(B) FINAL REPORT.—The President shall submit to Congress a final report on the plan developed under paragraph (1), together with a copy of the plan, not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 7. ANTITRUST EXEMPTION FOR ACTIVITY INVOLVING AGREEMENTS ON CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE MATTERS.

(a) ANTITRUST EXEMPTION.—The antitrust laws shall not apply to conduct engaged in by an Information Sharing and Analysis Organization or its members, including making and implementing an agreement, solely for purposes of—

(1) gathering and analyzing critical infrastructure information in order to better understand security problems related to critical infrastructure and protected systems, and interdependences of critical infrastructure and protected systems, so as to ensure the availability, integrity, and reliability of critical infrastructure and protected systems;

(2) communicating or disclosing critical infrastructure information to help prevent, detect, mitigate, or recover from the effects of a problem related to critical infrastructure or protected systems; or

(3) voluntarily disseminating critical infrastructure information to entity members, other Information Sharing and Analysis Organizations, the Federal Government, or any entities which may be of assistance in carrying out the purposes specified in paragraphs (1) and (2).

(b) EXCEPTION.—Subsection (a) shall not apply with respect to conduct that involves or results in an agreement to boycott any person, to allocate a market, or to fix prices or output.

(c) ANTITRUST LAWS DEFINED.—In this section, the term “antitrust laws”—

(1) has the meaning given such term in subsection (a) of the first section of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 12(a)), except that such term includes section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 45) to the extent such section 5 applies to unfair methods of competition; and

(2) includes any State law similar to the laws referred to in paragraph (1).

SEC. 8. NO PRIVATE RIGHT OF ACTION.

Nothing in this Act may be construed to create a private right of action for enforcement of any provision of this Act.

By Mr. FEINGOLD:

S. 1458. A bill to facilitate the voluntary provision of emergency services during commercial air flights; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

Mr. FEINGOLD. Mr. President, I rise today to introduce the Volunteers For Safe Skies Act of 2001. This bill will allow our Nation's firefighters, law enforcement officials, and emergency medical technicians, EMTs, to serve voluntarily on commercial aircraft to help ensure the safety of the flying public. In many cases, these public servants already notify the crew when they board that they are fully trained for emergencies and are willing to help out in the event they are needed.

This bill would simply streamline and organize this practice by requiring the Federal Aviation Administration to create a program through which

these officials can register voluntarily and confidentially with the airlines. Our Nation's law enforcement officials, firefighters, and EMTs are trained to respond to and keep calm during emergencies and can be of great assistance to an airline crew.

When I was back in Wisconsin following the vicious attacks on our country, I was proud of the outpouring of support and the number of people who wanted to help the victims, their families, and the rescue workers in the attacks. Across Wisconsin and the country, we have all heard the stories of people lining up to donate blood and food, of charities being flooded with donations of goodwill. People are searching for ways to help.

When I held one of my listening sessions last week, Fire Chief James Reseburg and Deputy Police Chief Charles Tubbs of Beloit, WI, came up to me with an idea that they thought would help make our skies safer. Part of this idea was to create a registration system through which law enforcement officials, firefighters, and EMTs could register voluntarily to serve in the event of an emergency on a commercial airplane. For example, if an official was going on vacation on an airplane, he would register with the airline beforehand to notify them that they would have a trained public safety official on that flight. Like the sky marshals, only the crew would know when one of these volunteers was on the plane.

Keep in mind that this would strictly be a volunteer program. This bill will help make our skies safer while at the same time making it easier for our police officers, firefighters, and EMTs to serve their country.

As many of my colleagues have stated, if the airline industry is to recover fully from the events of September 11, 2001, we must make the flying public feel safe once again in our skies. The Volunteers For Safe Skies Act would help us do just that.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 1617. Mr. SANTORUM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2002 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military constructions, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe personnel strengths for such fiscal year for the Armed Forces, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1618. Mr. TORRICELLI (for himself, Mr. CARPER, and Mr. CORZINE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1619. Mr. SANTORUM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1620. Mrs. FEINSTEIN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1621. Mr. DAYTON submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him

to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1622. Mr. BUNNING (for himself, Mr. LOTT, Mr. DOMENICI, Mr. BINGAMAN, Mr. CRAIG, Mr. BURNS, Mr. HUTCHINSON, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. INHOFE, Mr. SMITH, of New Hampshire, Ms. SNOWE, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. COCHRAN, Mr. CONRAD, Mrs. HUTCHISON, Mr. STEVENS, Mrs. CLINTON, and Mr. DORGAN) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1438, supra.

SA 1623. Mr. BINGAMAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1624. Mr. BINGAMAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1625. Mr. KERRY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1626. Mrs. LINCOLN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1627. Mr. DAYTON submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1628. Mr. DORGAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1629. Mr. BOND (for himself and Mr. KERRY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1630. Mr. STEVENS (for himself and Mr. INOUYE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1631. Mr. BROWNBACK submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1632. Mr. SANTORUM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1633. Mr. HAGEL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1634. Mrs. HUTCHISON (for herself, Mr. INOUYE, Mr. STEVENS, Mr. DEWINE, Mr. BENNETT, Mr. HATCH, Mr. CRAIG, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mr. SARBANES, Mr. VOINOVICH, and Mr. CRAPO) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1635. Mr. STEVENS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1636. Mr. HELMS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1637. Ms. COLLINS (for herself, Ms. LANDRIEU, and Mr. ALLARD) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1638. Mr. BUNNING submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1438 submitted by Mr. Feingold and intended to be proposed to the bill (S. 1246) to respond to the continuing economic crisis adversely affecting American agricultural producers; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1639. Mr. ALLARD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2002 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for mili-

tary constructions, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe personnel strengths for such fiscal year for the Armed Forces, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1640. Mr. HUTCHINSON (for himself and Mrs. LINCOLN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1641. Mr. DOMENICI (for himself, Mr. THURMOND, Mr. MURKOWSKI, Mr. BINGAMAN, Mr. LUGAR, and Mr. HOLLINGS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1642. Mr. DOMENICI (for himself, Mr. HAGEL, Mr. LUGAR, and Mr. BINGAMAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1643. Mr. DOMENICI submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1644. Mr. DOMENICI submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1645. Mr. DOMENICI (for himself and Mr. BINGAMAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1646. Mr. DOMENICI submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1647. Mr. DOMENICI submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1648. Mr. DOMENICI submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1649. Mr. DOMENICI submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1650. Mr. DOMENICI submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1651. Mr. DOMENICI submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1652. Mr. NELSON of Florida submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1653. Mr. DOMENICI submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1654. Mr. SESSIONS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1655. Mr. DOMENICI (for himself and Mr. REID) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1656. Mr. DOMENICI submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1657. Mr. BROWNBACK submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1658. Mr. LOTT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1438, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1659. Mr. LOTT submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the