

FITZGERALD) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1006, a bill to provide for the energy security of the United States and promote environmental quality by enhancing the use of motor vehicle fuels from renewable sources, and for other purposes.

S. 1021

At the request of Mr. LUGAR, the name of the Senator from New Hampshire (Mr. SMITH) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1021, a bill to reauthorize the Tropical Forest Conservation Act of 1998 through fiscal year 2004.

S. 1032

At the request of Mr. FRIST, the name of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. LIEBERMAN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1032, a bill to expand assistance to countries seriously affected by HIV/AIDS, malaria, and tuberculosis.

S. 1033

At the request of Ms. STABENOW, the name of the Senator from New York (Mr. SCHUMER) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1033, a bill to amend the Federal Water Pollution Control Act to protect 1/5 of the world's fresh water supply by directing the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency to conduct a study on the known and potential environmental effects of oil and gas drilling on land beneath the water in the Great Lakes, and for other purposes.

S. 1125

At the request of Mr. MCCONNELL, the names of the Senator from New Hampshire (Mr. GREGG), the Senator from Hawaii (Mr. INOUE), the Senator from Maryland (Ms. MIKULSKI), and the Senator from Alabama (Mr. SESSIONS) were added as cosponsors of S. 1125, a bill to conserve global bear populations by prohibiting the importation, exportation, and interstate trade of bear viscera and items, products, or substances containing, or labeled or advertised as containing, bear viscera, and for other purposes.

S. 1135

At the request of Mr. GRAHAM, the name of the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. JOHNSON) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1135, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide comprehensive reform of the medicare program, including the provision of coverage of outpatient prescription drugs under such program.

S. RES. 121

At the request of Mr. KERRY, the names of the Senator from Indiana (Mr. LUGAR), the Senator from Oregon (Mr. WYDEN) and the Senator from Missouri (Mrs. CARNAHAN) were added as cosponsors of S. Res. 121, a resolution expressing the sense of the Senate regarding the policy of the United States at the 53rd Annual Meeting of the International Whaling Commission.

S. CON. RES. 11

At the request of Mrs. FEINSTEIN, the name of the Senator from Maryland (Mr. SARBANES) was added as a cospon-

sor of S. Con. Res. 11, a concurrent resolution expressing the sense of Congress to fully use the powers of the Federal Government to enhance the science base required to more fully develop the field of health promotion and disease prevention, and to explore how strategies can be developed to integrate lifestyle improvement programs into national policy, our health care system, schools, workplaces, families and communities.

S. CON. RES. 34

At the request of Mr. CAMPBELL, the names of the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. SPECTER) and the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. LIEBERMAN) were added as cosponsors of S. Con. Res. 34, a concurrent resolution congratulating the Baltic nations of Estonia, Latvia, and Lithuania on the tenth anniversary of the reestablishment of their full independence.

S. CON. RES. 45

At the request of Mr. SMITH of New Hampshire, his name was added as a cosponsor of S. Con. Res. 45, a concurrent resolution expressing the sense of Congress that the Humane Methods of Slaughter Act of 1958 should be fully enforced so as to prevent needless suffering of animals.

AMENDMENT NO. 862

At the request of Mr. SCHUMER, the name of the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. JOHNSON) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 862 proposed to S. 1077, an original bill making supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 863

At the request of Mr. FEINGOLD, the names of the Senator from Illinois (Mr. DURBIN) and the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. KERRY) were added as cosponsors of amendment No. 863 proposed to S. 1077, an original bill making supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 865

At the request of Mr. VOINOVICH, the names of the Senator from Colorado (Mr. ALLARD), the Senator from Illinois (Mr. FITZGERALD), and the Senator from Nebraska (Mr. HAGEL) were added as cosponsors of amendment No. 865 proposed to S. 1077, an original bill making supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 866

At the request of Ms. STABENOW, her name was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 866.

At the request of Mr. FEINGOLD, his name was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 866, supra.

At the request of Mr. CONRAD, the name of the Senator from Missouri (Mrs. CARNAHAN) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 866, supra.

AMENDMENT NO. 869

At the request of Mr. KYL, his name was added as a cosponsor of amend-

ment No. 869 proposed to S. 1077, an original bill making supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mrs. CARNAHAN, her name was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 869 proposed to S. 1077, supra.

At the request of Mr. INHOFE, his name was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 869 proposed to S. 1077, supra.

AMENDMENT NO. 870

At the request of Mr. HUTCHINSON, the name of the Senator from Oklahoma (Mr. NICKLES) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 870 proposed to S. 1077, an original bill making supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes.

#### STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

By Mr. BREAU:

S. 1158. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to modify the active business definition relating to distributions of stock and securities of controlled corporations; to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. BREAU. Mr. President, I rise today to introduce tax legislation which proposes only a small technical modification of current law, but, if enacted, would provide significant simplification of routine corporate reorganizations. The legislation is identical to S. 773 which I introduced on April 13 of last year.

This proposed change is small but very important. It would not alter the substance of current law in any way. It would, however, greatly simplify a common corporate transaction. This small technical change will alone save corporations millions of dollars in unnecessary expenses and economic costs that are incurred when they divide their businesses.

Past Treasury Departments have agreed, and I have no reason to believe the current Treasury Department will feel any differently, that this change would bring welcome simplification to section 355 of the Internal Revenue Code. Indeed, the Clinton Administration in its last budget submission to the Congress had proposed this change. The last scoring of this proposal showed no loss of revenue to the U.S. Government, and I am aware of no opposition to its enactment.

Corporations, and affiliated groups of corporations, often find it advantageous, or even necessary, to separate two or more businesses. The division of AT&T from its local telephone companies is an example of such a transaction. The reasons for these corporate divisions are many, but probably chief among them is the ability of management to focus on one core business.

At the end of the day, when a corporation divides, the stockholders simply have the stock of two corporations,

instead of one. The Tax Code recognizes this is not an event that should trigger tax, as it includes corporate divisions among the tax-free reorganization provisions.

One requirement the Tax Code imposes on corporate divisions is very awkwardly drafted, however. As a result, an affiliated group of corporations that wishes to divide must often engage in complex and burdensome preliminary reorganizations in order to accomplish what, for a single corporate entity, would be a rather simple and straightforward spinoff of a business to its shareholders. The small technical change I propose today would eliminate the need for these unnecessary transactions, while keeping the statute true to Congress's original purpose.

More specifically, section 355, and related provision of the Code, permits a corporation or an affiliated group of corporations to divide on a tax-free basis into two or more separate entities with separate businesses. There are numerous requirements for tax-free treatment of a corporate division, or "spinoff," including continuity of historical shareholder interest, continuity of the business enterprises, business purpose, and absence of any device to distribute earning and profits. In addition, section 355 requires that each of the divided corporate entities be engaged in the active conduct of a trade or business. The proposed change would alter none of these substantive requirements of the Code.

Section 355(b)(2)(A) currently provides an attribution or "look through" rule for groups of corporations that operate active businesses under a holding company, which is necessary because a holding company, by definition, is not itself engaged in an active business.

This lookthrough rule inexplicably requires, however, that "substantially all" of the assets of the holding company consist of stock of active controlled subsidiaries. The practical effect of this language is to prevent holding companies from engaging in spinoffs if they own almost any other assets. This is in sharp contrast to corporations that operate businesses directly, which can own substantial assets unrelated to the business and still engage in tax-free spinoff transactions.

In the real world, of course, holding companies may, for many sound business reasons, hold other assets, such as non-controlling, less than 80 percent, interests in subsidiaries, controlled subsidiaries that have been owned for less than five years, which are not considered "active businesses" under section 355, or a host of non-business assets. Such holding companies routinely undertake spinoff transactions, but because of the awkward language used in section 355(b)(2)(A), they must first undertake one or more, often a series of, preliminary reorganizations solely for the purpose of complying with this inexplicable language of the Code.

Such preliminary reorganizations are at best costly, burdensome, and with-

out any business purpose, and at worst, they seriously interfere with business operations. In a few cases, they may be so costly as to be prohibitive, and cause the company to abandon an otherwise sound business transaction that is clearly in the best interest of the corporation and the businesses it operates.

There is no tax policy reasons, tax advisors agree, to require the reorganization of a consolidated group that is clearly engaged in the active conduct of a trade or business, as a condition to a spinoff. Nor is there any reason to treat affiliated groups differently than single operating companies. Indeed, no one has ever suggested one. The legislative history indicates Congress was concerned about non-controlled subsidiaries, which is elsewhere adequately addressed, no consolidated groups.

For many purposes, the Tax Code treats affiliated groups as a single corporation. Therefore, the simple remedy I am proposing today for the problem created by the awkward language of section 355(b)(2)(A) is to apply the active business test to an affiliated group as if it were a single entity.

I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 1158

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

# SECTION 1. MODIFICATION OF ACTIVE BUSINESS DEFINITION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 355(b)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (defining active conduct of a trade or business) is amended by adding at the end the following: "For purposes of subparagraph (A), all corporations that are members of the same affiliated group (as defined in section 1504(a)) shall be treated as a single corporation."

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to distributions or transfers after the date of the enactment of this Act.

By Ms. COLLINS (for herself and Ms. SNOWE):

S. 1159. A bill to direct the Secretary of the Army to repair and expand a wave attenuation system to protect fishermen and other boaters and promote the welfare of the town of Lubec, Maine; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

Ms. COLLINS. Mr. President, I rise today to introduce the Lubec Safe Harbor Act of 2001.

Small communities up and down the coast of Maine literally depend upon the sea for their survival. From the rich fishing grounds that supply Maine's great fishing industry to the beautiful coastlines that draw tourist by both land and water, the sea provides Maine's coastal communities with their livelihoods.

But while the sea provides life and income to Maine's coastal communities, it can also take back what it gives.

One small community in Maine that has been particularly hard hit by the sea's fury is Lubec. In 1997, a winter storm took the lives of two Lubec fishermen.

Earlier this year, storms destabilized the existing wave attenuation system in Lubec and consequently caused extensive damage to the Lubec marina. The destruction has been very difficult for this small town, whose existence, like many coastal Maine communities, is largely dependent on fishing and tourists who arrive by boat. Without the attenuator, the marina, the pier, and the harbor will cease to function effectively. Without a harbor, Lubec can neither support its fishing industry nor provide landing capacity for tour boats. Without a safe berth for their boats, the lives of Lubec's fishermen are further at risk.

Today, I am introducing legislation that directs the Army Corps of Engineers to construct a wave attenuation system for the Town of Lubec. For the sake of the safety of the fishermen of Lubec and the well being of the community, this legislation directs the Army Corps to begin work immediately. My legislation authorizes \$2.2 million dollars for the Army Corps to complete this project.

I call upon my colleagues to recognize the urgency of this situation. The longer Lubec goes without a safe harbor, the greater the risk to the lives of Lubec's fishermen, and the greater the threat to the economic well-being of this coastal community. I ask my colleagues to help me pass this legislation as soon as possible.

I am pleased to be joined in this effort by my colleague from Maine, Senator SNOWE. I know she will also work very hard on behalf of the people of Lubec to see this legislation enacted.

By Mr. ROCKEFELLER:

S. 1160. A bill to amend section 1714 of title 38, United States Code, to modify the authority of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to provide dog-guides to blind veterans and authorize the provision of service dogs to hearing-impaired veterans and veterans with spinal cord injuries, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

Mr. ROCKEFELLER. Mr. President, I am pleased to introduce legislation today that would make guide dogs more available to veterans in need.

Service dogs, or "guide dogs", have traditionally been viewed as being helpful only to those who are visually impaired. However, in recent years, primarily as a result of the Americans With Disabilities Act, there has been a push to find alternative methods of providing assistance to people with various kinds of disabilities. While there have been many technological developments in this field, there still remains a need for long-term assistance that allows for the most possible independence on the part of the disabled individual.

Specifically, my legislation would enable the Department of Veterans Affairs to provide hearing-impaired veterans and veterans with spinal cord injury or dysfunction, in addition to blind veterans, the ability to obtain service dogs to assist them with everyday activities.

There are numerous ways in which service dogs can assist their owners. Tasks such as opening and closing doors, turning switches on and off, carrying bags, and dragging a person to safety in the case of an emergency are just a few of the standard duties for service dogs. Their ability to perform these types of duties makes them invaluable to those who require day-to-day aid. Having this sort of assistance can make a big difference in terms of offering not only physical support, but companionship as well.

Various types of evidence illustrate the value of companion pets, not just to the disabled, but to everyone. The Journal of the American Medical Association published a trial study a few years ago that examined the impact of service dogs on the lives of people with disabilities—both in terms of economic and social impacts.

With regard to social considerations, researchers found that all participants had increased levels of self-esteem, independence, and community integration. The economic benefit was exemplified through a sharp decrease in the number of paid assistance hours. Overall, the JAMA study concluded that service dogs can greatly improve the quality of life for the disabled.

In closing, I extend my thanks to the Paralyzed Veterans Association, who assisted me invaluable in preparing this legislation. Their hard work and dedication to this issue have been a great help, and I am proud to have worked with them to develop this bill.

I urge my Senate colleagues to join me in seeking to provide greater accessibility to assistance for disabled veterans. They have sacrificed for all of us, and deserve every effort we can make to restore their sense of independence.

I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 1160

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

**SECTION. 1. MODIFICATION AND ENHANCEMENT OF AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE DOG-GUIDES AND SERVICE DOGS TO VETERANS WITH DISABILITIES.**

(a) **ENHANCEMENT OF AUTHORITY.**—Subsection (b) of section 1714 of title 38, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(b)(1) The Secretary may provide any blind veteran who is entitled to disability compensation with—

“(A) a dog-guide trained for the aid of the blind; and

“(B) mechanical or electronic equipment for aid in overcoming the disability of blindness.

“(2) The Secretary may provide a service dog to the following:

“(A) Any hearing-impaired veteran who is entitled to disability compensation.

“(B) Any veteran with a spinal cord injury or dysfunction who is entitled to disability compensation.

“(3) In providing a dog-guide or service dog to a veteran under this subsection, the Secretary may pay travel and incidental expenses (under the terms and conditions set forth in section 111 of this title) of the veteran to and from the veteran's home and incurred in becoming adjusted to the dog-guide or service dog, as the case may be.”.

(b) **CONFORMING AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.**—(1) The section heading of that section is amended to read as follows:

**“§ 1714. Fitting and training in use of prosthetic appliances; dog-guides and service dogs”.**

(2) The table of section at the beginning of chapter 17 of that title is amended by striking the item relating to section 1714 and inserting the following new item:

“1714. Fitting and training in use of prosthetic appliances; dog-guides and service dogs.”.

By Mr. CRAIG (for himself, Mr. McCONNELL, Mr. COCHRAN, Mr. ENZI, Mr. BURNS, Mr. FRIST, and Mr. HUTCHINSON):

S. 1161. A bill to amend the Immigration and Nationality Act to streamline procedures for the admission and extension of stay of nonimmigrant agricultural workers; to provide a stable, legal, agricultural work force; to extend basic legal protections and better working conditions to more workers; to provide for a system of one-time earned adjustment to legal status for certain agricultural workers; and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. CRAIG. Mr. President, I am pleased to have joined several colleagues this week in introducing a new, improved version of the Agricultural Job Opportunity, Benefits, and Security Act, the “AgJOBS” bill.

We are facing a growing crisis, for both farm workers and growers.

We want and need a stable, predictable, legal work force in American agriculture.

Willing American workers deserve a system that puts them first in line for available jobs with fair, market wages. We want all workers to receive decent treatment and equal protection under the law.

Consumers deserve a safe, stable, domestic food supply.

American citizens and taxpayers deserve secure borders and a government that works.

Yet Americans are being threatened on all these counts, because of a growing labor shortage in agriculture, while the only program currently in place to respond, the H-2A Guest Worker Program, is profoundly broken.

The problem is only growing worse. Therefore, we are introducing a new, improved bill. The name of the bill says it all—“AgJOBS”.

Our farm workers need this reform bill.

There is no debate about whether many, or most, farm workers are aliens.

They are. And they will be, for the foreseeable future. The question is whether they will be here legally or illegally.

Immigrants not legally authorized to work in this country know they must work in hiding.

They cannot even claim basic legal rights and protections. They are vulnerable to predation and exploitation. They sometimes have been stuffed inhumanly into dangerously enclosed truck trailers and car trunks, in order to be transported, hidden from the view of the law.

In fact, they have been known to pay “coyotes”, labor smugglers, \$1,000 and more to be smuggled into this country.

In contrast, legal workers have legal protections.

They can assert wage, safety, and other legal protections. They can bargain openly and join unions. H-2A workers, in fact, are even guaranteed housing and transportation.

Clearly, the status quo is broken.

Domestic American workers simply are not being found to fill agricultural jobs.

Our own government estimated that half of the total 1.6 million agricultural work force are not legally authorized to work in this country.

That estimate is probably low; it's based on self-disclosure by illegal workers to government interviewers.

Some actually have suggested that there is no labor shortage, because there are plenty of illegal workers. This is not an acceptable answer.

Congress has shown its commitment over the past few years to improve the security of our borders, both in the 1996 immigration law and in subsequent appropriations.

Between computerized checking by the Social Security Administration and audits and raids by the Immigration and Naturalization Service, more and more employers are discovering they have undocumented employees; and more and more workers here illegally are being discovered and evicted from their jobs.

Outside of H-2A, employers have no reliable assurance that their employees are legal.

It's worse than a Catch-22, the law actually punishes the employer who could be called “too diligent” in inquiring into the identification documents of prospective workers.

The H-2A status quo is slow, bureaucratic, and inflexible. It does nothing to recognize the uncertainties farmers face, from changes in the weather to global market demands.

The H-2A status quo is complicated and legalistic. DOL's compliance manual alone is 325 pages.

The current H-2A process is so hard to use, it will place only about 40,000 legal guest workers this year, 2 to 3 percent of the total agricultural work force.

Finally, the grower can't even count on his or her government to do its job.

A General Accounting Office study found that, in more than 40 percent of the cases in which employers filed H-2A applications at least 60 days before the date of need, the DOL missed statutory deadlines in processing them.

The solution we need is the AgJOBS Act of 2001.

This is win-win legislation.

It will elevate and protect the rights, working conditions, and safety of workers. It will help workers, first domestic American workers, then other workers already here, then foreign guest workers, find the jobs they want and need.

It will assure growers of a stable, legal supply of workers, within a program that recognizes market realities. The adjusted-worker provisions also will give growers one-time assistance in adjusting to the new labor market realities of the 21st Century.

It will assure all Americans of a safe, consistent, affordable food supply.

The nation needs AgJOBS. I invite the rest of my colleagues to join us as cosponsors; and I urge the Senate and the House to act promptly to enact this legislation into law.

I ask unanimous consent that a summary of this bill be included in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the summary was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

**THE AGRICULTURAL JOB OPPORTUNITY, BENEFITS, & SECURITY ACT OF 2001—SUMMARY**

AgJOBS II is legislation reforming the current, cumbersome H-2A agricultural guest worker program and, for non-H-2A agricultural workers, creating a program in which farmworkers now in the U.S. without legal documentation could adjust to legal status.

This bill builds on the significant progress made last year, in legislation, hearings, and extensive discussions among Members of Congress, the Administration, and the agriculture community. This new bill chooses from among the best ideas in similar legislation introduced in the 106th Congress (S. 1814, the original Agricultural Job Opportunity, Benefits, and Security Act (AgJOBS)) and other proposals and ideas discussed before and since.

Enactment of H-2A reform and adjustment of status legislation is critically important to the continued health of American agriculture. Reform is needed to provide a stable, legal workforce and to extend basic legal protections and better conditions to more workers.

According to the federal government's own estimates, about half of our 1.6 million agriculture work force is not legally authorized to work here. This is certain to be a low estimate, because it is based upon self-disclosure by illegal workers to government interviewers.

**Highlights of reforms to the H-2A program**

American workers should have the first opportunity to hold American jobs. When enough domestic farmworkers are not available for upcoming work, growers currently are required to go through a lengthy and uncertain process of demonstrating that fact to the satisfaction of the federal government. A GAO study found that, under the current system, the Department of Labor misses processing deadlines 40 percent of the time,

which increases costly delays and discourages use of the program.

The new bill would replace the current quagmire with a streamlined "attestation" process like the one now used for H-1B high-tech workers, speeding up certification of H-2A employers and the hiring of guest workers.

The new bill sets the prevailing wage as the standard, minimum wage for guest workers admitted under the H-2A program, instead of the unrealistic "premium" wage currently mandated on H-2A employers (called the Adverse Economic Wage Rate), that often combines completely dissimilar worker categories in computing one wage rate.

Participating employers would continue to furnish housing and transportation for H-2A workers. Other current H-2A labor protections for both H-2A and domestic workers would be continued.

**Highlights of the new status adjustment program**

To qualify for adjustment to legal status, an incumbent worker must have worked in the United States in agriculture for at least 150 days in any 12-month period in the last 18 months. (The average non-casual farm worker works 150 days a year.) The bill creates a one-time adjustment opportunity, only for experienced and valued workers who are already in the United States by July 4, 2001.

To earn adjustment of status and the right to stay and work legally in the United States, a qualified worker must continue to work in U.S. agriculture at least 150 days a year, in each of 4 of the next 6 years.

During this 4-6 year period, the adjusting worker would have non-immigrant status and would be required to return to his or her home country for at least 2 months a year, unless he or she is the parent of a child born in the United States (i.e., a U.S. citizen), gainfully employed, actively seeking employment, or prevented by a serious medical condition from returning home. The worker may also work in another industry, as long as the agriculture work requirement is satisfied. The worker would have to check in once a year with the INS to verify compliance with the law and report his or her work history.

Upon completion of the status adjustment program, the adjusted worker would be eligible for legal permanent resident status. Considering the time elapsed from when a worker first applies to enter the adjustment process, this gives adjusting workers no advantage over regular immigrants beginning the legal immigration process at the same time.

By Mr. SARBANES (for himself, Mr. BIDEN, Mr. MCCAIN, Mr. CAMPBELL, Ms. MIKULSKI, and Mr. CARPER):

S.J. Res. 18. A joint resolution memorializing fallen firefighters by lowering the United States flag to half-staff on the day of the National Fallen Firefighters Memorial Service in Emmitsburg, Maryland; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. SARBANES. Mr. President, today I am introducing legislation, together with my colleagues Senators BIDEN, MCCAIN, CAMPBELL, MIKULSKI and CARPER, to recognize the courage and commitment of America's fire service and to pay special tribute to those firefighters who have made the ultimate sacrifice in the line of duty. Specifically, this legislation requires that the United States flag be flown at half-staff at all Federal facilities on

the occasion of the annual National Fallen Firefighters Memorial Service at Emmitsburg, MD.

Our Nation's firefighters are among our most dedicated public servants. Indeed, few would question the fact that our fallen firefighters are heroes. Throughout our Nation's history, we have recognized the passing of our public servants by lowering our Nation's flag to half-staff in their honor. In the past, this list has included elected officials, members of the Armed Services and America's peace officers. In my view, our fallen firefighters are equally deserving of this high honor.

For the past nineteen years, a memorial service has been held on the campus of the National Fire Academy in Emmitsburg, to honor those firefighters who have given their lives while protecting the lives and property of their fellow citizens. Since 1981, the names of 2,081 fallen firefighters have been inscribed on plaques surrounding the National Fallen Firefighters Memorial, a Congressionally designated monument to these brave men and women. On October 7, at the 20th Annual National Fallen Firefighters Memorial Service, an additional 93 names will be added.

Over the years, I have worked very closely with the National Fallen Firefighters Foundation to ensure that the National Fallen Firefighters Memorial Service is an occasion befitting the sacrifices that these individuals have made. In my view, lowering the United States flag to half-staff is an essential component of this "Day of Remembrance." It will be a fitting tribute to the roughly 100 men and women who die each year performing their duties as our Nation's career and volunteer firefighters. It will also serve to remind us of the critical role played by the 1.2 million fire service personnel who risk their lives every day to ensure our safety and that of our communities.

I ask unanimous consent that this joint resolution be printed in the RECORD and urge my colleagues to support its swift passage.

There being no objection, the joint resolution was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

**S.J. RES. 18**

Whereas 1,200,000 men and women comprise the fire service in the United States;

Whereas the fire service is considered one of the most dangerous jobs in the United States;

Whereas fire service personnel selflessly respond to over 16,000,000 emergency calls annually, without reservation and with an unwavering commitment to the safety of their fellow citizens;

Whereas fire service personnel are the first to respond to an emergency, whether it involves a fire, medical emergency, spill of hazardous materials, natural disaster, act of terrorism, or transportation accident; and

Whereas approximately 100 fire service personnel die annually in the line of duty: Now, therefore, be it

*Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That each year, the*

United States flags on all Federal facilities will be lowered to half-staff on the day of the National Fallen Firefighters Memorial Service in Emmitsburg, Maryland.

## SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

### SENATE RESOLUTION 124—CONGRATULATING THE UNIVERSITY OF THE PACIFIC, AND ITS FACULTY, STAFF, STUDENTS, AND ALUMNI ON THE UNIVERSITY'S 150TH ANNIVERSARY

Mrs. FEINSTEIN (for herself and Mrs. BOXER) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

#### S. RES. 124

Whereas the University of the Pacific, founded in 1851 as California's first chartered university, includes 11 schools and colleges on 3 different campuses with 130 majors and programs of study, including 18 graduate programs;

Whereas the University of the Pacific has gained national recognition as a pioneering independent university;

Whereas the University of the Pacific has remained, throughout its history, devoted to the teaching and development of students by a faculty of outstanding scholars;

Whereas the University of the Pacific's devotion to student learning and development has prepared more than 60,000 graduates for lasting achievements and responsible leadership in their careers and communities;

Whereas in the spirit of its pioneering heritage, the University of the Pacific was the first university to enroll women and to introduce coeducation and women's athletics in the West;

Whereas in 1871, the University of the Pacific established California's first school of medicine, known today as the Pacific Medical Center of San Francisco;

Whereas the University of the Pacific established the first Conservatory of Music in the West;

Whereas the University of the Pacific was the first university in the Nation to offer an undergraduate teacher corps;

Whereas the University of the Pacific was the first degree-granting university to be established in California's San Joaquin Valley;

Whereas the University of the Pacific's alumni are leaders in California and the western States in the professions of government, dentistry, pharmacy, law, education, religion, musical and theatrical performance, business, and engineering; and

Whereas in recognition of the historic chartering of the University of the Pacific by the California Supreme Court, the Chief Justice of California is joining with others to recognize fulfillment of the University of the Pacific's Charter of Establishment: Now, therefore, be it

*Resolved*, That the Senate—

(1) recognizes the University of the Pacific as a leader and pioneering innovator in higher education; and

(2) congratulates the University of the Pacific, and its faculty, staff, students, and alumni on the occasion of the Sesquicentennial Anniversary of the granting of the University of the Pacific's charter.

### SENATE RESOLUTION 125—COMMEMORATING THE MAJOR LEAGUE BASEBALL ALL-STAR GAME AND CONGRATULATING THE SEATTLE MARINERS

Ms. CANTWELL (for herself and Mrs. MURRAY) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

#### S. RES. 125

Whereas the City of Seattle and the Seattle Mariners franchise are honored to host the Major League Baseball All-Star Game (in this resolution referred to as the "All-Star Game") for the second time, and the first time at beautiful Safeco Field;

Whereas the game of baseball is widely considered America's pastime, inspiring, challenging, and bringing together generations of all backgrounds;

Whereas the 72nd All-Star Game on July 10, 2001, is the fans' tribute to the skill, work ethic, dedication, and discipline of the best players in the game of baseball;

Whereas the players selected for the All-Star Game are an inspiration to baseball fans across the world;

Whereas 4 Seattle Mariners players (Bret Boone, Edgar Martinez, John Olerud, and Ichiro Suzuki) were selected by fans from around the world to start for the American League in the All-Star Game, and American League All-Star Game Manager Joe Torre chose three Mariners pitchers (Freddie Garcia, Jeff Nelson, and Kazuhiro Sasaki), and one Mariners fielder (outfielder Mike Cameron) to be on the All-Star Game roster, and Mariners Manager Lou Piniella to be an assistant coach;

Whereas Ichiro Suzuki, in his first year in Major League Baseball, received more votes to play in the All-Star Game than any other player;

Whereas the Seattle Mariners have reached the All-Star break with a record of 63-24, the fourth best record at such point in the season in the history of Major League Baseball;

Whereas this remarkable record has been reached not only because of the individual efforts of the team's 8 All-Stars, but because of the teamwork and timely contributions of every teammate and an extraordinary coaching staff led by Manager Lou Piniella;

Whereas the teamwork, work ethic, and dedication of the players and coaches of the Seattle Mariners have been an inspiration to baseball fans across the world; and

Whereas it is appropriate and fitting to congratulate every All-Star Game participant and member of the Seattle Mariners baseball team for the records and accolades they have achieved: Now, therefore, be it

*Resolved*, That the Senate congratulates—

(1) every player participating in the 2001 Major League Baseball All-Star Game; and

(2) the Seattle Mariners team for their remarkable achievements and the skill, discipline, and dedication necessary to reach such heights.

## AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

**SA 876.** Mr. BYRD (for himself, Mr. STEVENS, and Mr. HUTCHINSON) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1077, making supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes.

## TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

**SA 876.** Mr. BYRD (for himself, Mr. STEVENS, and Mr. HUTCHINSON) proposed an

amendment to the bill S. 1077, making supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes; as follows:

On page 31, after line 3, insert the following:

#### STATE AND PRIVATE FORESTRY

For an additional amount for "State and Private Forestry" to repair damage caused by ice storms in the States of Arkansas and Oklahoma, \$10,000,000, to remain available until expended.

For an additional amount for "State and Private Forestry", \$750,000 to be provided to the Kenai Peninsula Borough Spruce Bark Beetle Task Force for emergency response and communications equipment and \$1,750,000 to be provided to the Municipality of Anchorage for emergency fire fighting equipment and response to wildfires in spruce bark beetle infested forests, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That such amounts shall be provided as direct lump sum payments within 30 days of enactment of this Act.

#### NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM

For an additional amount for the "National Forest System" to repair damage caused by ice storms in the States of Arkansas and Oklahoma, \$10,000,000, to remain available until expended."

On page 31, after line 14, insert the following:

"For an additional amount for "Capital Improvement and Maintenance" to repair damage caused by ice storms in the States of Arkansas and Oklahoma, \$4,000,000, to remain available until expended."

On page 13, after line 23, insert the following:

#### NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE WATERSHED AND FLOOD PREVENTION OPERATIONS

For an additional amount for "Watershed and Flood Prevention Operations", to repair damages to waterways and watersheds, resulting from natural disasters occurring in West Virginia on July 7 and July 8, 2001, \$5,000,000, to remain available until expended.

On page 14, after line 25, insert the following:

SEC. 2106. Of funds which may be reserved by the Secretary for allocation to State agencies under section 16(h)(1) of the Food Stamp Act of 1977 to carry out Employment and Training programs, \$38,500,000 made available in prior years are rescinded and returned to the Treasury.

On page 14, after line 25, insert the following:

SEC. 2107. In addition to amounts otherwise available, \$2,000,000 from amounts pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 713a-4 for the Secretary of Agriculture to make available financial assistance related to water conservation to eligible producers in the Yakima Basin, Washington, as determined by the Secretary.

On page 41, between lines 6 and 7, insert the following:

#### SEC. 2703. IMPACT AID.

(a) LEARNING OPPORTUNITY THRESHOLD PAYMENTS.—Section 8003(b)(3)(B)(iv) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7703(b)(3)(B)(iv) (as amended by section 1806(b)(2)(C) of the Impact Aid Reauthorization Act of 2000 (as enacted by law by section 1 of Public Law 106-398)) is amended by inserting "or less than the average per-pupil expenditure of all the States" after "of the State in which the agency is located".

(b) FUNDING.—The Secretary of Education shall make payments under section 8003(b)(3)(B)(iv) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 from the \$882,000,000 available under the heading "Impact Aid" in title III of the Departments of