

be developed by the respective Committee or Subcommittee Chairman in consultation with the appropriate Ranking Member.

2. The topic of two hearings per month (either at the full Committee or subcommittee level) may be designated by the Ranking Member of the Committee, in consultation with the Chairman of the Committee and relevant subcommittee, and such designation will be made in a timely fashion so as to meet the needs for scheduling, adequate notice of the hearing, and identification of witnesses.

3. Point 2 will not apply to any matter that could be placed on the Executive Calendar of the Senate, such as nominations and treaties.

C. Agenda of committee business meetings

The agenda for business meetings of the Committee, or of any subcommittee, will be developed by the Chairman in consultation with the appropriate Ranking Member.

TRADE AGREEMENT COMPLIANCE

Mr. BAUCUS. Mr. President, yesterday, I led a group of 11 Senators in urging President Bush to ensure that there will be full funding for the Commerce Department's International Trade Administration efforts to make sure that our Nation's trade agreements are fully implemented and followed by our trading partners. In the days leading up to the President's budget proposal, we were seriously concerned by reports that there would be deep cuts in this program. Although it appears that the fiscal 2002 budget does not include cuts, we continue to be concerned that anyone would even consider such a damaging move.

This Nation has had a serious problem over the past two decades with many of our most important trading partners who have not complied with commitments made in trade agreements. The Japanese record, for example, of compliance with trade agreements is poor. We have brought disputes against the European Union at the WTO, and won those cases, yet the EU still does not comply with its obligations. China has presented major problems in implementing agreements on intellectual property rights protection and on market access, and China's entry into the WTO will bring new and even more difficult challenges to our efforts to ensure compliance.

It is critical that our Government agencies have the resources they need to monitor compliance, and then to take the actions necessary to enforce the commitments made by other nations. Shortchanging those agencies means shortchanging the American farmer, rancher, worker, and business owner. Further, when our trading partners fail to comply with a trade agreement, it corrupts the negotiating process and leads to a loss of confidence in the entire trading system. We cannot allow that to happen.

Therefore, we 11 Senators are calling on the President to ensure that the Department of Commerce, USTR, and other agencies responsible for trade agreement compliance are fully funded to ensure that our trading partners fol-

low the rules that they have agreed to follow.

I ask unanimous consent that the letter we sent to the President be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the letter was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

FEBRUARY 28, 2001.

President GEORGE W. BUSH,
The White House,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. PRESIDENT: Over the past twenty years, the United States has negotiated hundreds of bilateral, regional and multilateral trade agreements. Unfortunately, the record of compliance by many of our trading partners is woefully inadequate. In the case of Japan, for example, the American Chamber of Commerce in Japan has concluded that barely half of our major bilateral trade agreements were fully or mostly successful. China's imminent accession to the WTO gives us an unprecedented challenge in ensuring compliance with their new commitments to open and liberalize the Chinese market.

In order to rebuild the consensus on trade in this country, it is imperative that we demonstrate, to our businesses and to our citizens, that the agreements we have concluded produce results. Agreements without full compliance debase the entire trade negotiating process. Ensuring compliance must be a top priority for the United States.

Therefore, we are distressed by recent reports that the proposal for fiscal 2002 funding for the Commerce Department's International Trade Administration will not provide sufficient resources for compliance activities. Congress provided significant new funding to USTR and the International Trade Administration to increase their compliance capabilities in fiscal 2001. It would be a serious mistake to reduce our government's ability to ensure that trade agreements fulfill their goals and that our manufacturers, farmers and ranchers, service providers, and exporters benefit.

We urge you to ensure full budgetary support for these critically important compliance efforts.

Sincerely,

Max Baucus, Jeff Bingaman, Blanche L. Lincoln, Dick Durbin, Dianne Feinstein, Ted Kennedy, Byron L. Dorgan, Bob Graham, Max Cleland, Jack Reed, Patty Murray.

TRIBUTE TO DALE EARNHARDT

Mr. THOMPSON. Mr. President, it has been almost two weeks since American sports lost one of its greatest legends. On a Sunday, just like any other Sunday, millions of NASCAR fans watched the concluding laps of the Daytona 500 race. But February 18, 2001 is a Sunday that even those who were not at the race track, or glued to their televisions, will never forget. This was the day that we lost the person who many say was the sport's fiercest competitor.

I am, of course, speaking of Dale Earnhardt, a man who was aptly described as both "NASCAR's greatest driver" and "the Intimidator." As fans, friends and family continue to mourn his death, he is also remembered by labels such as "devoted husband" and "loving father" whose fearlessness on the track was eclipsed only by the size of his heart.

Adults and children alike are searching for the reasons why their hero was taken from them. Dale Earnhardt brought these strangers together, week after week, as a family devoted to following his career and celebrating his many victories. He became part of our lives through sports broadcasts and the media. He was only months away from his 50th birthday. He will not get to see his son follow in his footsteps and become a champion. But fans know that his devotion to the sport was so great that he was doing what he loved until the last moment.

A week after this tragedy, before all of the tears had dried, NASCAR continued with the racing season, but Dale Earnhardt was far from forgotten. The respect for this man was so great that drivers and crewman, men who raced against him for years, wore black, red and silver caps with Earnhardt's number three on them to honor their fallen comrade.

No one was ready to let Dale Earnhardt go. A man who had such spirit for the race of life as well as for the competition on the track will not easily fade into the past. His spectacular career statistics will certainly not let us forget and the way he lived his 49 years will be an even greater remembrance. He was admired in life and he will continue to be admired now that he has left us. He will continue to be a role model for drivers and fans alike. Dale Earnhardt will always be with us in our hearts, every time someone strives for greatness and every time someone takes the checkered flag.

TESTING FOR DEOXYNIVALENOL IN BARLEY

Mr. CONRAD. Mr. President, I believe the Senator from Indiana, the chairman of the Agriculture Committee, is aware that barley growers are concerned about the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration testing of deoxynivalenol, or DON, levels in malting barley. Is that correct?

Mr. LUGAR. The Senator from North Dakota is correct. Identifying the presence of DON in malting barley is important because the presence of DON reduces the price producers receive for their barley. Malting barley purchasers are affected because DON can affect the characteristics of the products they make with that barley.

Mr. CONRAD. Many malting barley growers believe that current GIPSA measurement standards are unacceptable. When the Congress reauthorized the Grain Standards Act late last year, the Senator and I discussed these measurement standards. The Senate suggests that the Federal Grain Inspection Program Grain Standards division of GIPSA consider new technology that would allow for the more accurate measurement of DON in barley.

Mr. LUGAR. We also suggest that GIPSA consider ceasing the use of the

"Optional Procedure," under which they measure to the tenth of one part per million, and use only the "Standard Procedure," where measurements are rounded to the nearest whole number.

MARCH IS EYE DONOR MONTH

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I rise today to bring to the attention of my colleagues and the public that March is National Eye Donor Month.

National recognition of Eye Donor Month dates back to the very early days of transplantation, when corneas were the only human transplants. Now, transplantations are common medical procedures by which people may give, so that others can live better, fuller, healthier lives.

National Eye Donor Month honors the thousands of Americans who, over the past 55 years, have each left behind a priceless legacy, their eyes. Since the first transplant agency was founded in New York City in 1944, sight has been restored to over half a million individuals by means of cornea transplantation.

Eye Donor Month is also about increasing public awareness of the continuing need for donors. Many people are still unaware of how easy it is to become an eye donor. All a donor needs to do is sign a card and announce to his or her family the intent to leave behind this special gift.

I am confident that if more Americans realized the true extent of the need for transplants, many more would willingly donate their corneas, once they can no longer use them. More than 46,000 Americans will need cornea transplants this year. Thousands of researchers will need donor eye tissue to explore prevention and treatment of blinding diseases.

Our Nation's eye banks, non-profit agencies operating under the umbrella of the Eye Bank Association of America, have done a heroic job of restoring sight to blind people. Today, cornea transplantation is the most common transplant procedure performed, with an extremely high success rate of nearly 90 percent.

This incredible success rate is due in part to a meticulous screening process that separates out corneas unsuitable for transplantation. These may be used for research purposes in surgical training and medical education. So, while each donated eye is put to good use, such a selective screening process must be supported by a large number of donations.

Right now, there are simply not enough donors. We must change that. I want to encourage my colleagues to celebrate National Eye Donor Month by working closely with our Nation's eye banks to educate the American public about how they can help others to see. Let us all aim to increase the number of eyes available for transplantation, so that we may illuminate the darkness for so many of our fellow citizens.

FEMA'S PROJECT IMPACT

Mr. AKAKA. Mr. President, I was dismayed and confused to learn that the President's fiscal year 2002 budget proposal would eliminate the Federal Emergency Management Agency, FEMA, initiative, Project Impact. I draw my colleagues' attention to this nationwide program that works with cities and counties to help reduce the destructive effects of natural disasters because so many of their citizens have benefitted from these successful partnerships.

The very first Project Impact designated community was Deerfield Beach, FL, which joined in 1997 in response to the devastating effects of hurricanes. Another pilot community, Seattle, WA, uses Project Impact funds to ensure an earthquake-resistant community by retrofitting school buildings and bridges, identifying zones of vulnerability, training homeowners, and reinforcing hundreds of Seattle-area homes. Seattle formed neighborhood disaster teams and brought in local businesses to help.

It is important to note that Project Impact is a major reason why damage to Seattle during yesterday's earthquake was minimal. Only last April, Seattle held its eighth "Disaster Saturday" at a school that had been retrofitted with non-structural seismic retrofits as part of the city's "Project Impact's School Retrofit" program. I share Senator MURRAY's appreciation for FEMA's work, as well as her concern over the proposed cancellation of this important disaster mitigation program.

Since its inception in 1997, nearly 250 community partners and 2,500 business partners across the country have joined with Project Impact. In my own State of Hawaii, all four counties are community partners to Project Impact. The 50th State is vulnerable to risks from hurricanes, torrential rains and flooding, tsunamis, droughts, earthquakes, and even wildland fires. Urban areas like Houston, TX and Tulsa, OK, as well as rural communities, like Fremont County, WY, largely rural area of about 38,000 residents, and Virginia's Central Shenandoah Valley Planning District, have joined.

Kenai Peninsula Borough and Soldotna, AK are educating their citizens about mitigation measures that can be taken to prevent damage from earthquakes, wildfires and floods. The city of Buffalo, which lies on a major fault, has joined Project Impact to help with earthquake mitigation, as well damage from snow storms and floods. A few months ago, North Carolina was named the Outstanding Disaster-Resistant State in recognition for all the work that has been done in communities across the State. In Colorado, a \$150,000 grant to a coalition in San Luis Valley was leverage into a \$268,000 Emergency Preparedness Fund. Other Colorado communities that have benefitted include Fort Collins, Delta and Clear Creek, Morgan and El Paso coun-

ties. In Elgin, IL, Project Impact helped start a pilot program to mitigate the effects of tornadoes.

Project Impact's full title is "Project Impact: Building Disaster-resistant Communities." The initiative works by empowering communities to fashion hazard mitigation responses to local concerns and needs. FEMA helps communities carry out a detailed risk assessment and create disaster resistant strategies. Communities turn these strategies into policy by revising local building and land use codes and passing bond issues to construct prevention measures that will impact the entire community.

Project Impact operates on three simple principles: preventive action must be decided at local levels, private sector participation is vital, and long-term efforts and investments in prevention measures are essential. Project Impact takes resources from a Federal agency and gives it to the communities, helping them to become stronger and self-reliant.

Since its inception, Project Impact partners have revamped their local emergency management plans, elevated flood prone properties, developed mobile demonstration models for hazard resistant construction techniques and upgraded storm water drainage systems. In addition, Project Impact communities are encouraged to exchange ideas with each other. As former FEMA director James Lee Witt stated, "... participants know that Project Impact empowers them to save lives, protect property, protect their economies, livelihoods and save their citizens from the heartache of disaster."

Everything that I hear about Project Impact points to its successes. NASA, the U.S. Geological Survey, the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, and the Humane Society have all become Project Impact signatories in the past few months. Although the President's budget proposal states that Project Impact has not been effective, it is unclear how that conclusion was reached. We should not eliminate a program without reviewing its successes or failures. In order to evaluate Project Impact, I am requesting that the General Accounting Office review the program and measure its performance. It is only right that there be an audit of this program, which so many communities believe is an important government partnership, before eliminating its funding.

FEMA estimates that for every dollar spent on disaster mitigation, two dollars are saved in disaster response and recovery. I sincerely hope that the Project Impact communities will not be left without any Federal assistance for disaster mitigation. Roger Faris, a Seattle homeowner who thanked Project Impact for his home surviving Wednesday's earthquake without damage, said, "This is one of these non-partisan success programs that should have been expanded, not shut off."