

African-Americans and Hispanics have been disproportionately affected by the AIDS epidemic. Although 52 percent of reported AIDS cases occurred among African-Americans and Hispanics, these groups represent only 13 and 10 percent respectively of the total U.S. population.

Among women and children with AIDS, African-Americans and Hispanics have been especially affected, representing approximately 75 percent of reported cases among women and 80 percent among children.

In my District, reported AIDS cases in Blacks increased from 24 to 40 percent within the last 5 years. While reported AIDS cases in Whites decreased from 64 to 44 percent. From 1990 to 1998, the percentage of Blacks in Houston/Harris County diagnosed with AIDS increased from 27 to 53 percent.

The key to fighting this virus must involve a comprehensive approach that includes prevention, education, and support of a health care infrastructure. HIV prevention efforts must take into account not only the multiracial and multicultural nature of our society, but also other social and economic factors, such as poverty, underemployment, and poor access to the health care system, that impact health status and disproportionately affect African and Hispanic populations.

We, as Members of Congress, must continue to fight the struggle and persist in obtaining increased funding of the global AIDS response. This is one of the great challenges of our time and of this generation.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. JEFF MILLER of Florida). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New York (Mr. TOWNS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. TOWNS addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

REMEMBERING THE LIVES OF  
REVEREND CHARLES H. SHYNE,  
JR., AND HIS WIFE, MRS.  
VERLENA PRUITT SHYNE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. DAVIS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. DAVIS of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, there were 16,653 alcohol-related fatalities in the year 2000, 40 percent of the total traffic fatalities for that year. Driving under the influence of alcohol continues to be one of our major domestic problems and issues and we must continue to work towards finding lasting solutions to this major problem.

About a week ago, a driver under the influence of alcohol smashed out the lives of two of my community's most beloved citizens, Reverend Charles H. Shyne, Junior, and his wife of 54 years, Mrs. Verlena Pruitt Shyne. Reverend Shyne, at the time of his death, was serving as pastor of the Hamlet-Isom Christian Methodist Episcopal Church on West Division Street in Chicago. Mrs. Verlena Pruitt Shyne was a retired teacher who had worked for the Chicago public schools and other dis-

tricts, who at the time of her death was serving as first lady of Hamlet-Isom and providing voluntary leadership to many local church initiatives and programs as well as denominational activities and functions.

Reverend and Mrs. Shyne were both college educated, he at Grambling High School, Central State University, Roosevelt University in Chicago, and received his seminary training at Payne Theological Seminary in Wilberforce, Ohio. Mrs. Shyne also attended Grambling High School and graduated from Roosevelt University with a degree in early childhood education and taught for 15 years in the Chicago public school system and retired in 1999.

She was the first lady of Hamlet-Isom CME Church and served on the missionary and stewardess boards. She was past president of the Ministers Spouses of the Chicago District. Mrs. Shyne is survived by two sisters, Ida Mae and Mildred Gipson, and one brother-in-law, Mr. Clarence Mamone. She loved and was loved by children and devoted much of her life and work to them.

Before coming to Hamlet-Isom, Reverend Shyne served as pastor of Beede Chapel CME Church in Ripley, Ohio; Cleaves Temple in Omaha, Nebraska; and Central CME Church in Detroit, Michigan, where he also served as pastor of Bray Temple and director of Bray Temple Daycare Center. He was subsequently appointed presiding elder of the Chicago District, Southeast Missouri, Illinois and Wisconsin Conference in 1985.

After several years of service in that capacity, he was pastor of Jubilee Temple. He retired in 1999, but agreed to serve as supply pastor at Hamlett Isom, where he remained until his untimely and tragic death.

He is survived by one brother, Joe Shyne of Shreveport, Louisiana, and three sisters, Ozeal Brown of Washington, D.C., Mildred Bennett of Grambling, Louisiana, and Florence Bowers of Washington, D.C., and three brothers-in-law, Reverend Arlester Brown, Benny Bennett, and the Honorable Judge Shelli F. Bowers.

The lives of Reverend and Mrs. Charles H. Shyne, Jr. will be cherished by all of us who knew them, and especially their seven loving children, five daughters and two sons: Gregory Shyne of Arlington, Virginia; Sharon Bowman of Detroit, Michigan; Jacqueline Robertson of Southfield, Michigan; Charlotte Shyne of Chicago, Illinois; Howard Shyne of Fairfax, Virginia; Robin Reddick of Memphis, Tennessee; and Rosalind Curry of Chicago.

Also cherishing their memories are one son-in-law, Michael Robinson, husband of Jacqueline; 11 grandchildren, Nicole White, Tracy Bowman, Leslie Bowman, Damien and Jason Shyne, Jessica Curry, Jennifer and Janis Robertson, Iris, Rose and Samuel Roddick; three great grandchildren, Elijah Herron, Dylan, and Donovan White, and a host of nieces, nephews, and other relatives and friends.

Mr. Speaker, here is another example of where two outstanding citizens who have devoted their lives to serving others have had their own lives cut short as a result of overuse of alcohol while operating a mechanized vehicle, an individual driving without any concern for the safety and welfare of others.

We must all join together to find more effective solutions to this problem of people driving under the use of alcohol.

We commend the Shynes for their outstanding work on behalf of humankind.

Mr. Speaker, another subject, I too just want to acknowledge that today is indeed World AIDS Day. I join with all of those who have spoken relative to the tremendous need to make sure that every effort is made to continue to supply resources, come up with programs and activities to make sure that we combat this deadly disease.

Mr. Speaker, as we recognize the 13th anniversary of World AIDS Day, it is noted that the theme for this years Day is; I care. Do you? Mr. Speaker, yes, we care. World AIDS Day emerged from the call by the World Summit of Ministers of Health on Programmes for AIDS Prevention in January 1988 to open channels of communication, strengthen the exchange of information and experience, and forge a spirit of social tolerance. Since then, it has received the support of many notable organizations world-wide. Notably, the AIDS campaign started on September 1, 2001, and ends on December 1, 2001, which is World AIDS Day.

Every single day more than 8,000 people die of AIDS. Every hour almost 600 people become infected and every single minute, a child dies with the virus. World-wide, the AIDS epidemic has become an extremely difficult battle to combat. While many nations' health care systems lag behind the increasing demand for the supply of drugs that treat AIDS and the virus associated with the disease. Many of the infected cannot afford the drugs or may not be able to obtain insurance that will assist during the treatment of the disease. We must continue to visit the issue with extreme importance and caution. Before the terrorist attacks, we were making progress to develop strategies to combat and control the spread of AIDS. We must continue to work with that same passion while balancing the importance of our country's security. Today, more than 40 million people are now living with the virus. A vast majority of these victims are from sub-Saharan Africa, where the spread of AIDS is moving at an alarming rate. Other countries such as Asia, Eastern Europe and parts of the Caribbean have experienced the hardship of the disease's progression.

As the spread of AIDS grows, the importance of treatment must be made a top priority. Now more than ever, more pregnant women are carrying the disease affecting their unborn children. The future of the World's children depends on how precise we are in our judgment, our prognosis and our preparation in the fight against AIDS. Over the past 20 years, AIDS have claimed the lives of 58 million people, killing 22 million of them. "Safe-Sex" messages are simply not enough. A combined effort of education, realization and information is the only answer to detour the spread of the disease.

I urge that we spare no effort to combat this dreadful nuisance.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SHUSTER). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New York (Mr. ENGEL) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. ENGEL addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

#### JUMPSTARTING THE ECONOMY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2001, the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. TOOMEY) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the majority leader.

Mr. TOOMEY. Mr. Speaker, today I would like to engage in a discussion about the economic situation we find ourselves in, the state of our economy and what it is that we are going to do about it, what we have done about it in the House, what needs to be done by the other body.

I would like to begin by just summarizing, reflecting briefly on something I hope we all understand, I hope we all appreciate, and that is the very difficult situation that we find ourselves in today. The fact is our economy had been in a slowdown mode. We had been slowing down the rate of growth of our economic output for over a year prior to September 11, 2001, and certainly since September 11 the downturn has accelerated. It has gotten to the point now where we know by various experts, government and private sector economists, that we no longer have economic growth that we can talk about. Today we are experiencing economic contraction.

The consensus is almost a half, four-tenths of a percent, anyway, of actual economic contraction in the third quarter of this year. There is very little reason to believe that the fourth quarter is going to turn around and show growth. Many believe that we started the contraction back in March. In any case, in all likelihood we are in a recession right now, and we are going to be in a recession for some time going forward.

Now, of course, one of the very most unfortunate, tragic things about a recession is the job losses that always result. Unemployment now is at a 5-year high, about 5.4 percent. Our Nation has lost literally hundreds of thousands of jobs since September 11 alone, when this downturn accelerated. Consumer confidence fell for the fifth straight month. It is now at its lowest level since 1994.

The bottom line is, the translation of all of that is people are out of work. People who want to be working and productive and supporting their families have lost their jobs and they are wondering how they will get back to work. Layoffs are impacting just about everywhere in our country and, as best

as I can gather, certainly hitting my district. Good solid companies that have provided great jobs for years have had to lay off workers, and I know they do that reluctantly. And I hope those openings will come back, those jobs will come back. But for now, folks have been laid off at Kraft, at Rodale, at Lanco, at Pabst, Agere, all across my district. Good companies. Jobs have been lost. Nationally there are all kinds of job losses, Gateway, IBM. Boeing announced huge losses of jobs. Solid companies laying off thousands of workers, hundreds of thousands of workers all across the country.

So the question is what are we doing about this? What are we doing about this in the House? What have we already done about it in the House? What are our colleagues in the other body going to do about it, if anything?

I think we have got a responsibility to create an environment that maximizes the opportunity for our constituents to get back to work, for this economy to pick up steam, for companies to begin to hire back the people that they have laid off.

I think most of my colleagues share that view that that is our responsibility. I think one of things that divides us, one of the points on which we disagree, unfortunately, is how do you go about that. How do you best encourage that economic growth? And to simplify things a bit, but I do not think it is unfair, I think it is a reasonable simplification of the debate that has been carried on in this town, there are two schools of thought, maybe two major philosophies about how we ought to go about getting this economy moving again and getting people back to work.

One is the school that says the way you do this is government spending, big government spending program, new program on all kinds of things helps to get the economy going again. Some would describe that as priming the pump. There are lots of other expressions, but some think that is the way we ought to go. That has been proposed. Especially it had been advocated by the leadership of the other Chamber as the main thrust of how we ought to go forward here.

There are others who believe that there is an alternative that is a better, more effective, more constructive way to get the economy moving again, and that is major immediate tax relief, and that that would be much more effective both in the near term and in the long term than even more government spending.

□ 1715

So let us take a look at these alternatives. Let us discuss this a little bit. On the side of those who favor more government spending, it seems that that is the traditional approach taken by those who hold the Keynesian economic view, the demand-side model for how an economy works. And one of the ways to look at the premise behind that philosophy is that, in a way, it

holds the view that the slowdown, an economic slowdown, is generally caused when a demand for goods and services is just too low; there is just not enough demand. That is what it is called the demand-side model sometimes. But this is a Keynesian idea. And if the demand is too low, then the way to solve the problem is to increase the demand. And the easiest way to increase demand is to flood the economy with money, so that people can go out and spend it. That creates demand. And we hear people talking about getting money out in the people's pockets as a way to get the economy going again.

Of course, for many who subscribe to this theory, they would, rather than have individuals have more money in their pockets to spend, they would rather just have the government do the spending. Because the government is part of the demand; government expenditure contributes to the total demand in the economy. So a lot of folks will say, just short-circuit the whole process, go right to a big government spending program, and that will get the economy going again.

Now, it is interesting to note that this, of course, is a convenient theory. It can be used to justify and rationalize some other objectives that some people might have. For instance, some people would like to redistribute income, to a very large degree, in our society. They like to take money from some people and give it to others, and they like to be in control of that process. Well, you can justify that a little bit better if you argue that this is all good for the economy too. And so often this becomes a convenient theory for those who really have ulterior motives.

But without getting into motives, because I do not want to dwell on that, I want to look at the question of whether this is really the best thing for the economy. Is a wave of government spending going to increase the demand? Is that going to solve our problem? Well, I suspect not, and I suspect not for several reasons, the most simple of which is that this model, this way of viewing the economy, just has not held up very well. The bottom line is I think that there has never been a strong correlation. I do not think anyone has been able to prove a correlation, much less a causation, between increases in government spending and economic growth and prosperity. The correlation does not exist. So that ought to give us some real pause.

Now, there are specific periods in times in history where we can look at this and examine what has happened and what has not happened. One case that comes to mind is the whole stagflation of the 1970s. Now, under the Keynesian model, high inflation and high unemployment are supposed to be impossible to occur at the same time. You could have one or the other, but you would not have both. And the reason is because of the idea that inflation is a manifestation of excess demand. If there is too much demand for products