

Brown (FL)	Gutierrez	McGovern	Shows	Tanner	Vitter
Brown (SC)	Gutknecht	McHugh	Shuster	Tauscher	Walden
Bryant	Hall (OH)	McInnis	Simmons	Tauzin	Walsh
Burr	Hall (TX)	McIntyre	Simpson	Taylor (MS)	Wamp
Burton	Hansen	McKeon	Skeen	Taylor (NC)	Waters
Buyer	Harmar	McNulty	Skelton	Terry	Watkins (OK)
Callahan	Hart	Meehan	Slaughter	Thomas	Watson (CA)
Calvert	Hastert	Meek (FL)	Smith (MI)	Thompson (CA)	Watt (NC)
Camp	Hastings (FL)	Meeks (NY)	Smith (NJ)	Thompson (MS)	Watts (OK)
Cannon	Hastings (WA)	Menendez	Smith (TX)	Thornberry	Waxman
Cantor	Hayes	Mica	Smith (WA)	Thune	Weiner
Capito	Hayworth	Millender-	Snyder	Thurman	Weldon (FL)
Capps	Hefley	McDonald	Solis	Tiaht	Weldon (PA)
Capuano	Herger	Miller, Dan	Souder	Tiberi	Weller
Cardin	Hill	Miller, Gary	Spratt	Tierney	Whitfield
Carson (OK)	Hilleary	Miller, Jeff	Stearns	Toomey	Wicker
Castle	Hilliard	Mink	Stenholm	Towns	Wilson
Chabot	Hinojosa	Mollohan	Strickland	Traficant	Wolf
Chambliss	Hobson	Moore	Stump	Turner	Woolsey
Clay	Hoefel	Moran (KS)	Stupak	Udall (CO)	Wu
Clayton	Hoekstra	Moran (VA)	Sununu	Udall (NM)	Wynn
Clement	Holden	Morella	Sweeney	Upton	Young (AK)
Clyburn	Holt	Murtha	Tancredo	Viscosky	Young (FL)
Coble	Honda	Myrick			
Collins	Hooley	Napolitano			
Combest	Horn	Neal			
Condit	Hostettler	Nethercutt			
Cooksey	Houghton	Ney			
Costello	Hoyer	Northup			
Cox	Hulshof	Norwood			
Coyne	Hunter	Nussle			
Cramer	Hyde	Oberstar			
Crane	Inslee	Obey			
Crenshaw	Isakson	Olver			
Crowley	Israel	Ortiz			
Culberson	Issa	Osborne			
Cummings	Istook	Ose			
Cunningham	Jackson-Lee (TX)	Otter			
Davis (CA)	Jefferson	Oxley			
Davis (FL)	Jenkins	Pallone			
Davis (IL)	John	Pascarella			
Davis, Jo Ann	Johnson (CT)	Pastor			
Davis, Tom	Johnson (IL)	Pelosi			
Deal	Johnson, E. B.	Peterson (MN)			
DeGette	Johnson, Sam	Peterson (PA)			
DeLauro	Jones (NC)	Petri			
DeLay	Jones (OH)	Phelps			
DeMint	Jones (TX)	Pickering			
Deutsch	Kanjorski	Pitts			
Diaz-Balart	Kaptur	Platts			
Dicks	Keller	Pombo			
Dingell	Kelly	Pomeroy			
Doggett	Kennedy (MN)	Portman			
Dooley	Kennedy (RI)	Rangel			
Doolittle	Kerns	Price (NC)			
Doyle	Kildee	Pryce (OH)			
Dreier	Kilpatrick	Putnam			
Duncan	King (WI)	Radanovich			
Dunn	King (NY)	Rahall			
Edwards	Kingston	Ramstad			
Ehlers	Kirk	Rangel			
Ehrlich	Kleczka	Regula			
Emerson	Knollenberg	Rehberg			
Engel	Kolbe	Reyes			
English	Kucinich	Reynolds			
Etheridge	LaFalce	Riley			
Evans	LaHood	Rivers			
Everett	Lampson	Rodriguez			
Farr	Langevin	Roemer			
Fattah	Lantos	Rogers (KY)			
Ferguson	Largent	Rogers (MI)			
Flake	Larsen (WA)	Rohrabacher			
Fletcher	Larson (CT)	Ros-Lehtinen			
Foley	Latham	Ross			
Forbes	LaTourette	Roukema			
Fossella	Leach	Royal-Allard			
Frank	Levin	Royce			
Frelinghuysen	Lewis (CA)	Rush			
Frost	Lewis (KY)	Ryan (WI)			
Gallegly	Linder	Ryun (KS)			
Ganske	Lipinski	Sabo			
Gekas	LoBiondo	Sanchez			
Gephhardt	Lofgren	Sanders			
Gibbons	Lowey	Sandlin			
Gilchrest	Lucas (KY)	Sawyer			
Gillmor	Lucas (OK)	Saxton			
Gilman	Luther	Schaffer			
Gonzalez	Lynch	Schakowsky			
Goode	Maloney (CT)	Schiff			
Goodlatte	Maloney (NY)	Schrock			
Gordon	Manzullo	Scott			
Goss	Markey	Sensenbrenner			
Graham	Mascara	Sessions			
Granger	Matheson	Shadegg			
Graves	Matsui	Shay			
Green (TX)	McCarthy (MO)	Sherman			
Green (WI)	McCarthy (NY)	Sherwood			
Greenwood	McCollum	Shimkus			
Grucci	McCrery				

(Mr. CONYERS addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. SOUDER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. SOUDER addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from the District of Columbia (Ms. NORTON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. NORTON addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Iowa (Mr. GANSKE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. GANSKE addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

□ 2200

GLUCOPHAGE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. PALLONE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Speaker, I rise on the House floor to express my deep concerns regarding the lobbying efforts of Bristol-Myers-Squibb to block access to affordable generic alternatives to their blockbuster diabetes drug Glucophage.

The FDA's Office of Generic Drugs has numerous generic versions of this diabetes drug awaiting approval. However, the office is unable to allow these generics onto the market due to Bristol's monopoly. There are no patents blocking the approval of generics in this case. The only obstacle is a result in the loophole in the Waxman-Hatch exclusivity. It allows Bristol to obtain 3 years of Waxman-Hatch exclusivity in addition to 6 months of pediatric exclusivity for a new indication, the use of this drug for treatment of Type 2 diabetes in pediatric patients ages 10 to 16 years.

Mr. Speaker, the pediatric research conducted on this drug has yielded useful results for pediatric use. However, Bristol should not be allowed a total of 3 years plus 6 months of exclusivity for changing its label to indicate pediatric use. This only leads to 3 years and 6 months more of keeping valuable generics off the market.

The FDA regulations authorize a generic manufacturer to carve out of its labeling indications that are protected by patents or exclusivity. Therefore, there does not seem to be any reason why the generic forms of this diabetes drug cannot be approved now without the pediatric indication.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 3323

Mr. McDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to have my name removed as a cosponsor of H.R. 3323.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Washington?

There was no objection.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2001, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

This specific drug is effective for millions of Americans with Type 2 diabetes. Type 2 diabetes affects the minority population disproportionately, many of whom cannot afford to pay the higher monopoly prices for this life-saving drug. Access to more affordable generic versions of this drug will undoubtedly serve as a life-saving option.

Mr. Speaker, there is currently a legislative fix in place in the House and Senate version of the pediatric exclusivity bill that would close this loophole and allow generic versions of this diabetes drug to compete with Bristol's Glucophage. As Members commence conferencing on this bill, it is crucial that this language remain intact.

Bristol-Meyers-Squibb is sweeping through key offices on Capitol Hill in an effort to retain its exclusive marketing monopoly on its near 80-year-old profitable drug, Glucophage, which reaps about \$1.8 billion in annual sales.

Mr. Speaker, I encourage my colleagues working on the pediatric exclusivity bill to keep the current language regarding this important issue in place and not to lose this battle with the drug industry. We have lost it too many times, and given the current circumstances, let us do something for once that will help the consumers of America, who not only have to deal with the weak economy, but also a life-threatening illness such as diabetes.

Let us fight against Bristol-Myers-Squibb and close the Waxman-Hatch loophole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Mr. ROHRABACHER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. ROHRABACHER addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. LANGEVIN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. LANGEVIN addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

THE SLIPPERY SLOPE OF HUMAN CLONING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. PENCE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. PENCE. Mr. Speaker, I come to the well of the House today to call my colleagues' attention to recent developments in biotechnology research.

As I was preparing to return to Washington, D.C. on Sunday morning, I was shocked, along with the overwhelming majority of Members of this body, to learn that a company in Massachusetts was loudly touting its recent decision to clone a human being for medical research.

Despite the overwhelming vote in this Chamber on the subject, this rogue company and perhaps others have

rushed to get ahead of our deliberations, breaking a heretofore established barrier of scientific ethics. I fear, Mr. Speaker, that this action may be the beginning of the end for medical ethics in our country.

No matter what one's position on the issue of human life or abortion or a woman's right to choose, 88 percent of the public today is opposed to the cloning of human beings. We should all be troubled by the fact that scientists are attempting to thwart the political will of the country and the consensus of the medical community in advancing this research ahead of legislation.

When faced with a similar claim of the benefits of what was known as eugenics in his time, the great moralist G.K. Chesterton remarked, "Eugenacists have discovered how to combine the hardening of the heart with the softening of the head."

There is no doubt that we have entered a new area of the debate over this issue, Mr. Speaker. Rather than speaking hypothetically about using some human beings to serve the needs of others, for-profit entities are actively defending this as science on the evening news.

This Faustian bargain is the same sort of dilemma that has faced humanity, and particularly civilized societies, for some time. We in the western tradition have consistently embraced the principle, and no matter how attractive the benefits, it is impermissible to experiment on the helpless. We must guard this important principle.

It is hard for us to grapple with the moral implications of a human life that is only seconds from conception. We cannot look at a cloned embryo in the face to confront this moral chasm. It takes a particularly keen sense of moral seriousness to grasp the implications of these recent developments.

One person who does understand this is my good friend and colleague, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. WELDON), who authored the legislation, along with my friend and colleague, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. STUPAK), who I joined today at a press conference where we stepped in to say that the will of the people of the United States, informed by conscience, ought to lead American ethics in research, and not these amoral biotechnical firms.

Tonight, Mr. Speaker, I come to the floor to urge immediate action to stop the slide towards reductionist thinking on medical technology and the research that makes it possible. Yes, we want to heal the sick and prevent crippling disease. Therapies to make life longer and better are affecting every family. Who would not want more time with their parents and fewer trips to the pediatrician?

It is truly amazing what God has allowed our scientific community to reap in this area. However, it is clear from the debate that these events have triggered across the country that Americans understand the moral implica-

tions of the experimentation that I have described here this evening. Cloning human embryos is a step too far. I urge my colleagues to move quickly to place these practices where they belong: beyond the pale of the law.

Ever since witnessing the disaster that was the eugenics movement, civilized societies have recognized that involuntary experimentation on human beings is utterly indefensible. Let us as elected leaders of the foremost civilized society in the world today reconfirm our commitment to this principle.

Today, Mr. Speaker, the House Chaplain began our proceedings with a prayer in which he mentioned the fabled tower of Babel. This was a tower rising to the skies, the pride of its time, a testament to the human technology of the day, but it eventually destroyed its builders and their very civilization.

I submit tonight that the creation of human life for research or for vanity is such a tower of Babel. It threatens to tear the fabric of our society, our law, and indeed, our very civilization, and it must be stopped.

FAST TRACK

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. BROWN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, many people in the Chamber know about the problems of LTV, one of the third-largest integrated steel-makers in the United States, and its announcement that it may in fact close operations in Cleveland and other places across the country.

Despite the overwhelming passage of a sense of Congress urging the President to keep U.S. antidumping laws off of the negotiating table, the World Trade Organization in Qatar, U.S. Trade Representative Bob Zoellick did just the opposite. We needed help in this country from the USTR, the steel industry needed help from the USTR, LTV needed help from the USTR, but the United States Trade Representative, President Bush's man in Qatar, has remained open to further weakening the rules on trade dumping, further jeopardizing American steel, further threatening American jobs.

Many of us have been concerned about Qatar long before these negotiations began. It is a country that does not allow free elections, it is a country that does not allow freedom of expression, it is a country where women are treated not much differently from the way women have been treated by the Taliban, and it is a country where public worship by non-Muslims is banned.

The message that that meeting of the World Trade Organization sends to people around the world, the trade ministers are meeting in a city and country where public protest is not allowed, where free speech is not allowed, public expression is not allowed, freedom of