

Kristofor Stonesifer, and he is the son of Rick and Ruth Stonesifer from Bucks County, Pennsylvania.

Mr. Stonesifer, Jr., was a pretty extraordinary young man. He knew from a very young age that what he wanted to do was to be the best combat soldier this country had to offer. He left his service in ROTC because he wanted a greater challenge, and when he joined the Army Rangers, he found that challenge indeed.

This was a young man who was aboard a helicopter in Pakistan, prepared to extract our special forces, when as we know tragically that helicopter crashed and he lost his life.

Mr. Speaker, we will undoubtedly lose more lives in this, what will probably be a protracted war, but the first of them was among the finest young men that we had to offer, and I on behalf of the House would like to extend my condolences and our condolences to his parents and remind ourselves as a House of Representatives, as a Congress and as a Nation that it is only because of the likes of Kristofor Stonesifer and his willingness to train and prepare for battle that we, in fact, can authorize force and can have a force that will prevail and will protect this country.

BEING A GOOD SAMARITAN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON-LEE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I join my colleague in acknowledging the loss of our fine young men and offer to their families my deepest sympathy.

Mr. Speaker, I am reminded of the biblical verses that tell the story of the Good Samaritan. In that instance, a person of goodwill and caring attitude came upon a brutalized and broken person, having been attacked by those who would do evil. The person did not look around to secure help from anyone else but took that battered soul to a place of refuge, indicated to the innkeeper that whatever the expenses might be to secure him and to make him whole the Good Samaritan would return and pay for it.

It comes to mind that on September 11 it generated the opportunity for this government and this Congress to be good Samaritans, to heal our land and to embrace Americans and to respond to their very needs, the needs of securing America, the needs of ensuring that we had the military personnel and resources to fight against terrorism.

Today, Mr. Speaker, I was sorely disappointed in the legislation that was brought to the floor of the House in the name of stimulus, in the name of helping, when all it did was the simply take from a dying man.

The headline in the USA Today said it well, special interests payback. The stimulus package that was passed today was not worthy of its name. In

fact, I would say to those who have paid attention to this debate it was shameful, and as evidenced by the 216 to 214 vote, merely two votes that cast and made this legislation or caused this legislation to pass, it gives me reason to come before this House and to explain to the American people what we did today.

First of all, we are not secure at the passage of this legislation. No one single American has been made more secure. Not one single child has been educated. Not one single school has been built. Not one employee over a period of time will get immediate relief. In the Republican bill, workers will not see relief for some 6 months.

Listening to Daniels of the OMB, he made a statement about President Bush's main priorities. His quote, as I paraphrase as such, President Bush cares about agriculture, but if he cares about any two issues he cares about these two: Conquering international terrorism, I agree; and protecting Americans at home, I absolutely agree.

Let me tell you what the Republican stimulus package does. My son was born in 1985. He is 16 years old. The Republicans' stimulus package provides an elimination of the permanent repeal of the corporate alternative minimum tax, and what that does is it retroactively gives that corporation dollars for over 15 years, almost \$20 billion. Seven corporations alone will have a \$3 billion gift.

Does that provide airline security? No, it does not. Does it give the men and women of the postal service, two that have lost their lives, the kind of equipment, the kind of protection or the kind of instruction that will allow them to continue to deliver the mail safely? No, it does not. Does it infuse energy into our public health systems, our county hospitals, our private clinics? Does it help private practitioners in rural America and urban America be sensitive to the potential threat of smallpox and anthrax? Does it provide vaccinations for 200 plus million Americans? No, it does not.

What it does do is it provides a permanent reduction of capital gains taxes. Seventy-two percent of the benefit of that reduction are to be enjoyed by 2 percent of the Nation's citizens.

Let me say this to my friends. I certainly believe that we should help businesses, small and large. I think we should help them provide opportunities for jobs. Most Americans would want to support those who are creating new jobs.

This past week I rode home with a constituent who indicated to me that there is a silent recession going on in this country. Four hundred people were laid off in one of our large accounting firms, investment firms, Pricewaterhouse. This is happening all over the country. Will giving corporations \$3 billion, \$20 billion by eliminating the alternative minimum tax, help anybody? Absolutely not.

Mr. Speaker, this today was a tragedy before this Nation. No one, Mr.

Speaker, has been helped today. No American has been secured. No military has been funded. No military personnel has been supported. No indigent people have been helped, and no medical care has been given to those who are in need. Where was our heart today? I believe at the bottom of our sleeve.

I would simply say, Mr. Speaker, that this is an important time in America's history, a time that we could rise to the occasion and be the Good Samaritan. Tragically we have taken from that laying down, broken person, dying on the side of the street, we have taken from them. We have not given to them.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Washington (Mr. INSLEE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. INSLEE addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

SCREENING LUGGAGE AT AIRPORTS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. STRICKLAND) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. STRICKLAND. Mr. Speaker, I would like to speak this evening about this matter of the airline security, because most Americans when they buy an airline ticket believe that when they get on that plane that the luggage that has been loaded into the belly of that airplane has been screened for explosive devices, and the fact is that it has not. Probably less than 10 percent of all the luggage that is put on passenger planes is screened for explosive devices.

□ 1715

Last week, this House left town on Wednesday evening. We returned this Tuesday at 6 o'clock p.m. We went into session at 10 o'clock this morning. We completed work before 5 o'clock this afternoon. And tomorrow we are told to be prepared to leave town by 2 o'clock in the afternoon. It has been 43 days since those two planes were hijacked and tore into the World Trade towers in New York City. It has been 43 days since the Pentagon was attacked and all those lives were lost. It has been 43 days since those innocent people went down in that plane in Pennsylvania. And we still have not passed an airline security bill in this House of Representatives.

Two weeks ago, the Senate passed an airline security bill 100-to-nothing. Every Senator joined together to vote to protect the traveling public. Yet this House has not acted. Why have we not acted? It is because the leadership here is opposed to making the people who work in our airports, to provide the security for our traveling public,

Federal employees. And they know the American people want this. They know that Republican and Democrat Senators alike wanted it, and they know if it comes to this floor for a vote, it will pass, because a vast majority of the Members of this House believe that those employees should be Federal employees, well-trained, well-equipped, well-paid professional people who are charged with the responsibility of keeping us safe when we fly.

Many Americans are shocked to learn that in some of the major airports in this country, up to 80 percent of the employees who provide this security are noncitizens. They are noncitizens of this country. They receive little more than minimum wage. They received a day or two of training. Some of them receive less training than they would receive if they were hired by Starbuck's to sell coffee in our airports. Yet they are charged with keeping our airports safe and making it safe for us and our families and our loved ones to board those planes.

It is shameful in my judgment that we are wasting so much time in this House, that we are completing work before 5 o'clock in the evening, that we are leaving town tomorrow in the early afternoon and not returning until 6 o'clock next Tuesday without acting on this airline security bill.

We do not want Americans to be afraid to fly but Americans have a right to know. They have a right to know that today when they get on an airplane, it is likely that 95 percent of the luggage that is in the belly of that airplane has not been checked for explosives. They need to know that as they make decisions about themselves and their families and whether or not they want to fly. And we need to understand that if we want this economy to go downward, we will lose another plane or two and people just simply will refuse to get on our airliners.

We can do this. The technology is there to check for explosive devices. We just simply do not have the will to make the decision to make it happen. Yesterday my friend the gentleman from Washington (Mr. INSLEE) and I went to the Committee on Rules. We wanted a part of this stimulus package to provide the financial resources to enable our airports to have these devices that could check for explosives. That certainly was not made a part of today's package which passed here on the floor of this House. But if we lose an airliner as a result of an explosive device being placed on that airliner, the responsibility is going to be in this House and it is especially going to be on the leadership of this House if they do not move this bill forward. Bring it to the floor, let us debate it, let us vote. We owe this to the American people. The American people want it, and I believe as they become increasingly aware of the dangers they face that they will demand it.

Mr. Speaker, we ought to do this and we ought to do it this week rather than waiting to some later time.

REPUBLICAN STIMULUS PACKAGE IN JEOPARDY

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. FLAKE). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFAZIO) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, about a week ago, the Secretary of the Treasury, Secretary O'Neill, referred to the Republican so-called stimulus package as a showboat plan. He implied that it was going nowhere with the administration, that it did not support many of its provisions. I guess I would say after the vote on the floor of the House today, we could say that the showboat is listing, taking on a lot of water and about to sink. By the narrowest of margins, despite the larger Republican majority, the bill passed the House by three votes today.

It is not going anywhere. Why is that? Is that because the Members of the United States House of Representatives do not care about the economy, do not care about the millions of people who have lost their jobs, do not have continuation of their health insurance? No, it is because they knew that this bill was a charade, a farce. This bill does nothing to help average Americans, working families, those who have lost their jobs, the small businesses that have been hit by the recession and are struggling to make ends meet. No, it goes and gifts the largest, most profitable corporations in America, those who have to have a special provision in the tax bill, that have been able to shelter so much income that they do not have any apparent taxes, they have to pay something called the corporate alternative minimum tax. This was a reform put through by a Republican Senate, a Democratic House and signed into law by Ronald Reagan because of the outrages of the 1980s, when the largest, most profitable corporations of the world were not paying any taxes, who in fact were getting rebates for taxes they had not paid. So this loophole was shut.

Guess what? They just blasted it back open again. This bill would provide \$25 billion, paid for out of the Social Security Trust Fund, in retroactive tax rebates to the largest, most profitable corporations in the world. That is an outrage. \$2.3 billion to the Ford Motor Company, \$1.4 billion to IBM, \$833 million to GM, \$671 million to GE, with no requirement they pass on a penny to their workers, the workers they have laid off because of the recession, without a single word saying, they might cover the health insurance of those they have laid off because of the recession.

No, in fact this money is a retroactive gift under the Republican version of a stimulus package which will do nothing to stimulate the economy, do nothing to help those workers or their families, do nothing to help small businesses who are crying out for relief.

There are even more outrages in the bill. The bill also has \$20 billion of tax

incentives for corporations to make investments overseas. I guess the Republican majority is concerned about burgeoning unemployment in the Third World or in Europe or Japan or elsewhere but not here in the United States of America. They have given a bigger pile of money to corporations as a tax break, \$20 billion, for overseas investments than they put in here to help out America's working families and small businesses who have been hit so hard in this tumbling economy. This is outrageous.

This follows on the heels, of course, of the \$16 billion airline bailout bill which, of course, did not contain a penny for workers or workers' health insurance or extended unemployment or even aviation security. None of those things are in the bill. But we were told at the time when I raised objection, offered a motion to recommit on the floor, wait till next week. Well, it is 5 weeks later. Guess what? We are still waiting for some assistance to those airlines workers and people in related industries and small businesses like the travel agents who have been hit so hard. Nothing has been done for them. We are still waiting for one penny to be appropriated by this House of Representatives for aviation security. We are still waiting for a comprehensive aviation security bill. All those things can wait. But a retroactive repeal of a tax provision that closed a loophole cannot wait. That had to be rushed through this House today.

We just cannot wait to see the way those corporations will spend the money. I am sure they will put millions to work. Well, maybe not. Maybe they will give the money in dividends to stockholders, maybe they will give bonuses to the CEOs because they were able to maneuver this kind of a tax break through the Congress. It is not likely it will flow into the pension funds that have been raided by IBM and others. It is not likely that it will flow to the workers who have lost their jobs. It is not going into extended unemployment benefits. It is not going to give health insurance coverage to those people. This is simply an outrage.

That is why this was such a narrowly divided vote in this House of Representatives. Not because we do not care, that we do not want to do what is right by the American people and the economy. We do. That requires a combination of assistance to people who have lost their jobs and small businesses that have been hit hard. That should have been one element of the bill; targeted tax cuts, those that would increase investment, increase jobs; and, third, investment in America, the transportation infrastructure of this country in a fiscally responsible way. That would have been a true recovery package. Maybe we can still get there if the Senate has the guts to stand up to the minor part of the majority here in the House.