

difficult day. It caused me to see the importance of those many souls on March 7, 1965 who took the heroic step to walk across the Edmund Pettus Bridge in Selma, Alabama.

It was heroic because they were marching into danger unforeseen. The simple request was to allow people to vote, to be able to capture the essence of the Constitution; and in the Declaration of Independence we all are created equal. We had the good fortune this weekend, as I have done for the past 3 years, to join John Lewis, one of those along with Hosea Williams and Bernard Lafayette and many, many others on that fateful day, March 7, 1965 to begin that walk of no return.

We commemorated it, by our walk, and we walked tall. We saw media, we had throngs, and we were not beaten. Those 36 years ago, however, those individuals who were brave enough to do it, were putting their life on the line. They were beaten, beaten to unconsciousness. They were bloodied, but they were unbowed.

After what we have gone through in this last election year, this past weekend was even more riveting and more emotional. It showed me even more the sacrifice made for those of us who now stand here today.

The gentleman from Alabama (Mr. HILLIARD) returned home after being educated at Morehouse and finishing his law degree to serve his community. I pay tribute to him because he lived that life and fought that fight. We must never forget March 7, 1965.

We must never forget that bloody Sunday, we must never forget the courage of those who came back, Dr. Martin Luther King came back on, I believe, March 21, and we should commit ourselves, Republicans and Democrats alike, never to allow the fundamental right to vote to be diminished. That is why I propose a national holiday for all Americans to vote in Presidential years and the Secure Democracy Act that will establish the kind of systems that will allow all Americans to vote.

I believe this is extremely important as we acknowledge as well this month the celebration of women in America's history. So many women who shared their life with the civil rights movement, so many women who are our first teachers, so many women who braved obstacles to be able to serve their country in the United States military. Yet we still have many miles to travel.

Mr. Speaker, on behalf of those who wish to vote, on behalf of women, and as I close, on behalf of our children, for I join my previous colleague, the gentlewoman from the District of Columbia (Ms. NORTON) to say how many more times will we apologize to the parents of dead children.

We must in fact take the bravery of men and women who went forward in the civil rights movement and women who paved the way for those of us who stand here to pass real gun safety legislation, to hold adults accountable, to

find ways to heal the broken hearts of children who find no other way to exhibit their anger than to take a 22 rifle and shoot 30 rounds of ammunition out of the 40 that the child secured.

When is this Congress going to be brave enough, similar to those men and women who took those steps across the Edmund Pettus Bridge some 36 years ago, willing to offer their lives so that America might be free and have the right to vote. When will we stand as Republicans and Democrats on behalf of our children to stop the bloodletting of children going to school and killing children because we have a love affair with arms. We know we can certainly protect the second amendment and protect our children as well.

LOWERING THE ELIGIBILITY AGE FOR THE EARNED INCOME TAX CREDIT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Hawaii (Mrs. MINK) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mrs. MINK of Hawaii. Mr. Speaker, I rise to introduce a bill that lowers the minimum age for individuals without children to be eligible for the earned income tax credit.

In 1975, the earned income tax credit was established to provide aid to working parents with low incomes. In 1994, the credit was extended to include low-income workers with no children.

This credit provides struggling workers age 25 or over a financial boost by reducing their tax liability or providing an actual cash benefit.

But the earned income tax credit discriminates against younger workers. It is inherently unfair to deprive some the benefits of the tax credit simply because he or she is under the age of 25.

Congress justified the age requirement to prevent students, who are supported by their parents, from becoming eligible for the credit. Yet in our inner cities and rural areas many young men and women cannot afford to go to college. Upon high school graduation, they are thrust into the workforce. But many of the jobs available to them do not pay a living wage.

My bill helps these individuals by lowering the minimum age requirement of the earned income tax credit to 21 years of age.

I urge my colleagues to cosponsor this legislation.

36-YEAR ANNIVERSARY OF MARCH ACROSS EDMUND PETTUS BRIDGE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Alabama (Mr. HILLIARD) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. HILLIARD. Mr. Speaker, on the 36th anniversary of Bloody Sunday, I stand to say thanks to the Members of Congress from both sides of the aisle, the Republicans and Democrats, who came this past weekend to Alabama to participate in the reenactment of the march across the Edmund Pettus Bridge.

□ 2030

Mr. Speaker, this journey was begun some 36 years ago. The journey for

freedom and for the right to vote is still going on. It will not stop until every facet of our lives are free from prejudice and discrimination. But in order for that to take place, Mr. Speaker, each one of us must rededicate our lives to the proposition that all men are created equal and that they have certain inalienable rights.

Mr. Speaker, we as Members of Congress must make sure that we join the common man not only in rededicating himself to the principles of democracy, but we must make sure that our laws are in accordance with our democratic principles.

Mr. Speaker, the reenactment of the march across the Edmund Pettus Bridge is not just a celebration but it is a cause celebre. It is a time to remember and to reflect upon those persons who 36 years ago put their lives at the mercy of others who were opposed to them taking such action for the principle that everyone in our country should have the right to vote. It was an honor to participate in that reenactment with such greats as the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. LEWIS) and Bernard Lafayette, and others who participated at that time.

Mr. Speaker, all of us have our Edmund Pettus bridges to cross. We still discriminate in this country against the disabled, against gays, against people who may not speak in our native tongue. We still have a long way to go in our society to make sure that everyone has the opportunity to vote and to make sure that every vote is counted.

So it is not just remembering what took place; but, Mr. Speaker, we have to do something about the inequities that still exist in our society. The reenactment keeps the public aware of the past atrocities in our history. It keeps them reflecting on the fact that we still must fight for those things that are dear to our democracy. We hope that the reenactment will cause all of us to learn from the past but also to cause us to be able to profit from the mistakes of the past, to correct those problems of the past, to correct the problems of the present so that the future will be safe and secure for all to enjoy.

REMEMBERING THE 1965 MARCH ACROSS THE EDMUND PETTUS BRIDGE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. CANTOR). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. LEWIS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, like my colleagues, I rise today to pay tribute to the brave and courageous men and women and a few young children that attempted to march from Selma to Montgomery 36 years ago today, March 7, 1965.

Just think, Mr. Speaker, 36 years ago, in many parts of the American South, 11 States of the Old Confederacy, from Virginia to Texas it was almost impossible for people of color to

register to vote. As a matter of fact, in a State like the State of Mississippi, in 1965 the State had a black voting-age population of more than 450,000 and only about 16,000 blacks were registered to vote. There was one county in Alabama, between Selma and Montgomery, Lowndes County, where the county was more than 80 percent African American; yet there was not a single registered African American voter in the county. In the little county of Selma, only 2.1 percent of blacks of voting age were registered to vote.

People of color not only had to pay a poll tax, they had to pass a so-called literacy test. Interpreting sections of the Constitution of the United States, the constitution of the State of Alabama, the constitution of the State of Georgia and the State of Mississippi, there were black men and women teaching in colleges and universities, black lawyers and black doctors being told they could not read or write well enough. On one occasion, a black man had a Ph.D. degree in philosophical theology and he flunked a so-called literacy test. On another occasion, a man was asked to give the number of bubbles in a bar of soap.

The drive, the movement for the right to vote came to a head in Selma, Alabama. For many months people had gone down to the courthouse to be turned back. They were arrested. Some were jailed. On March 7, 1965, about 600 black men and women, and a few young children, attempted to march from Selma, Alabama, to Montgomery, to the State capital, to dramatize to the Nation and to the world that people of color wanted to register to vote. They were beaten with night sticks, bull whips, trampled by horses, and tear gassed.

That day became known as Bloody Sunday. There was a sense of righteous indignation all across America when people saw what happened to these 600 men and women and young children in Selma. Eight days later, after what became known as Bloody Sunday, President Johnson came to this hall and spoke to a joint session of the Congress, and he started that speech off on March 15, 1965, by saying: "I speak tonight for the dignity of man and for the destiny of democracy." President Johnson went on to say: "At times, history and fate come together to shape a turning point in man's unending search for freedom. So it was more than a century ago at Lexington and at Concord. So it was at Appomattox. So it was last week in Selma, Alabama."

And in that speech on March 15, 1965, President Johnson condemned the violence in Selma, introduced the Voting Rights Act; and before he closed that speech he said over and over again: "And we shall overcome." The Congress passed the Voting Rights Act, and it was signed into law on August 6, 1965, 36 years ago.

Because of the courage of these men and women and these young children,

Mr. Speaker, we have witnessed a non-violent revolution in America, a revolution of values, a revolution of ideas. Because of this march, because of this attempted march, we are on our way toward the building of what I like to call the "beloved community," toward the building of a truly interracial democracy. By marching, by standing up, these young men and women, these young children, on March 7, 1965, and the Members of Congress back in 1965, helped to expand our democracy, helped to open up the democratic process and let hundreds of thousands and millions of our citizens come in.

We live in a better country. We live in a better place because a few men and women and a few young children got in, what I call, the way to make America different, to make America better. Today, Mr. Speaker, I stand here to salute these brave men and women, men and women, with courage, who dared to sail against the wind on March 7, 1965.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. JEFFERSON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. JEFFERSON addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. CLYBURN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. CLYBURN addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

CONCERNED ABOUT A TAX CUT BILL BEFORE A BUDGET BILL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Iowa (Mr. BOSWELL) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BOSWELL. Mr. Speaker, I come tonight at this late hour troubled somewhat about an event that I think needs some attention. I kind of hesitate talking about it after those wonderful words said by the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. LEWIS) about a very important thing. This is on another subject; but I appreciate what the gentleman said tonight, and I want to thank him for it.

Tomorrow, according to our majority leader, we are going to be dealing with the first round of our tax bill, and I am concerned about that. A few days ago President Bush came up to Nemaacolin and talked to our caucus, and we enjoyed that visit very much. We appreciated it. And in the process we asked him, Can we see a budget first? Can we see the budget? For me, that was very real, because before I came here there was a time when I was in our State legislature and had a very significant role to play in working up a balanced budget and getting our State out of bondage and out of debt. So I am very conscious of that. So we appreciated him saying that.

So he sent the document, as he said he would. I thank him for that. I did not expect it to be a perfect thing. It does not have to be, because we have the legislative process. So the document came and we laid it side by side with what our staff has, and I have had for some bit of time, and things just do not quite jive in the sense of what it does for agriculture and what it does for education and some of the things I am very concerned about, the construction in some of our research centers and so on. I think it needs some attention.

I thought, well, that is okay, we have a process. The gentleman from Iowa (Mr. NUSSLE), along with the ranking member, the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. SPRATT), will bring us a document that we can look at, and it will have the refinement of their work, and that will be good, it will be helpful. But that is not going to happen, so I am told, and that is wrong. It is very wrong.

I just have to reflect on what we do in our own families. I travel across my district; and when families sit at the table and talk about what they are going to do with their resources, they want to pay off their debts, if they are planning a vacation, they have to be sure that they have things in order; that the kids are ready for school, they have their clothes, all those things. They see their budget before they spend that which they may not have to spend.

County and city government, I have dealt a lot with them. In our States they have to deal with property tax. That is how they run most of county and city government. Everybody would like to have relief from property tax, me too; but they would not think of declaring a property tax relief until they considered the needs of the budget for that entity. They just would not think of it. Yet here we are about to embark on this.

In 1981, 20 years ago, when the tax bill of that day was passed, I was talking to my accountant, Mr. Chuck Church, down in Des Moines, Iowa, he is a CPA there, and we discussed this. We thought, well, this is pretty good, but then we started thinking about some of the other things that could take place. Now, I bring this up for comparison, budget first, because things are much different than it was 20 years ago.

Twenty years ago, we only had \$1 trillion in debt. Now we have \$5.7 trillion. The service of the debt now is quite a contrast. If we made a mistake then, we had the strength and so on to recover from it. Do we today, if we make a mistake? I do not know. I am concerned about it. I do not think that in those days they were thinking about the baby boomers coming on. They are coming. Now they are just 8 years away before they start entering into the fray, and we have to deal with that. Twenty years ago they were not giving that much attention. And I think that needs attention.