

The CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Colorado (Ms. DEGETTE) will be postponed.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word.

Mr. Chairman, I do so to engage in a friendly filibuster on behalf of the House, because what we are trying to do is to bring to the House floor a unanimous consent agreement so that Members will understand what the intention is in terms of proceeding for the rest of the evening.

The staff is in the process of writing the changes to that agreement right now, so to prevent this from getting into another protracted debate on another amendment this evening, I am simply taking this time in the hopes that by the time I sit down, we will have the required paperwork so the Committee can proceed.

I am looking around with great expectation, hoping that the staff in fact has the paperwork ready, but I think they have all fled to the cloakrooms.

Mr. SERRANO. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. OBEY. I yield to the gentleman from New York.

Mr. SERRANO. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to tell the gentleman that as he was pondering where everything was, the paper was reaching the gentleman. I think he is a much happier man now.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Chairman, I am happy we do not have to ask the Sergeant to bring in the absent staff.

If the gentleman is ready to proceed, I am happy to yield back my time so that he can propound the unanimous consent request.

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. REYNOLDS) having assumed the chair, Mr. HASTINGS of Washington, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 2500), making appropriations for the Departments of Commerce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

LIMITING AMENDMENTS DURING FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2500, DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2002

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that during further consideration of H.R. 2500 in the Committee of the Whole, pursuant to House Resolution 192, no further amendment to the bill may be offered except

1. Pro forma amendments offered by the chairman or ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations or their designees for the purpose of debate; and amendments printed in the portion of the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD of the legislative day, July 17, 2001 or any RECORD before that date, designated for the purpose specified in clause 8 of rule XVIII, which may be offered only by the Member who caused it to be printed or his designee; shall be considered as read; shall not be subject to amendment, except pro forma amendments for the purpose of debate; and shall not be subject to a demand for a division of the question in the House or the Committee of the Whole; And

2. The Clerk shall be authorized to print in the portion of the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD of the legislative day July 17, 2001 designated for that purpose in clause 8 of rule XVIII all amendments to H.R. 2500 that are at the desk and not already printed by the close of this legislative day.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Virginia?

Mr. SERRANO. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I will not object, but I just want to clarify something from the chairman.

It is clear to the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY), the ranking member and I the content of the unanimous consent. However, I want to make clear that there is an understanding that whatever discussions will take place on limitation on times are in no way referred to in this unanimous consent.

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. SERRANO. I yield to the gentleman from Virginia.

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Speaker, I would tell the gentleman, that is correct.

Mr. SERRANO. That may or may not be a discussion later on in this process.

Mr. WOLF. That is correct.

Mr. SERRANO. Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Virginia?

There was no objection.

DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2002

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 192 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 2500.

□ 2037

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 2500) making appropriations for the De-

partments of Commerce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002, and for other purposes, with Mr. HASTINGS of Washington in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIRMAN. When the Committee of the Whole rose earlier today, the bill was open for amendment from page 39, line 18, through page 39, line 24.

SEQUENTIAL VOTES POSTPONED IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

The CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, proceedings will now resume on those amendments on which further proceedings were postponed in the following order: The amendment offered by the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. LUCAS); amendment No. 2 offered by the gentleman from New York (Mr. HINCHEY); the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Colorado (Ms. DEGETTE).

The Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the time for any electronic vote after the first vote in this series.

AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MR. LUCAS OF OKLAHOMA

The CHAIRMAN. The pending business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. LUCAS) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The Clerk designated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The CHAIRMAN. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 187, noes 227, not voting 19, as follows:

[Roll No. 233]

AYES—187

Aderholt	Davis (CA)	Hilleary
Andrews	Davis (FL)	Hilliard
Baca	Davis, Jo Ann	Hinchey
Baird	Deal	Holden
Baldacci	DeFazio	Hooley
Barcia	DeGette	Hostettler
Barrett	Dicks	Hulshof
Barton	Doggett	Hutchinson
Becerra	Doolley	Inslee
Bentsen	Doolittle	Israel
Berkley	Duncan	Istook
Berry	Dunn	Jefferson
Blagojevich	Edwards	John
Blumenauer	Emerson	Johnson (CT)
Bonior	Etheridge	Johnson (IL)
Bono	Evans	Kelly
Boswell	Fattah	Kennedy (MN)
Boyd	Filner	Kerns
Brady (PA)	Foley	Kind (WI)
Brown (SC)	Ford	LaFalce
Bryant	Gallegly	Lampson
Burr	Goodlatte	Langevin
Camp	Gordon	Largent
Capito	Graves	Larsen (WA)
Carson (OK)	Green (WI)	Lee
Chabot	Gutierrez	Lewis (GA)
Clay	Gutknecht	Lewis (KY)
Clayton	Hansen	LoBiondo
Clement	Harman	Lucas (OK)
Coble	Hart	Luther
Condit	Hastings (WA)	Maloney (CT)
Costello	Hayworth	Maloney (NY)
Cummings	Heffley	Manzullo
Cunningham	Hill	Matheson

Rogers (KY)	Shuster	Thornberry
Rogers (MI)	Simmons	Thurman
Rohrabacher	Simpson	Tiaht
Ros-Lehtinen	Skeen	Tiberi
Ross	Skelton	Toomey
Roukema	Smith (MI)	Traficant
Royal-Allard	Smith (TX)	Turner
Royce	Smith (WA)	Upton
Ryan (WI)	Snyder	Visclosky
Ryun (KS)	Souder	Vitter
Sanchez	Spratt	Walden
Saxton	Stearns	Walsh
Scarborough	Stenholm	Wamp
Schaffer	Stump	Watkins (OK)
Schiff	Sununu	Watts (OK)
Schrock	Sweeney	Weldon (FL)
Sensenbrenner	Tancredo	Weller
Serrano	Tauscher	Wicker
Sessions	Tauzin	Wolf
Shadegg	Taylor (MS)	Wu
Shays	Taylor (NC)	Young (AK)
Sherwood	Terry	Young (FL)
Shimkus	Thomas	
Shows	Thompson (CA)	

NOT VOTING—17

Ballenger	Delahunt	Myrick
Bishop	Ehrlich	Reyes
Blunt	Gephardt	Riley
Boehner	McDermott	Sandlin
Boucher	McHugh	Spence
Chambliss	Meeks (NY)	

□ 2113

Mr. KIRK changed his vote from “aye” to “no.”

Messrs. ENGLISH, BECERRA, HULSHOF and BACA changed their vote from “no” to “aye.”

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

(Mr. ARMEY asked and was given permission to speak out of order.)

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Chairman, in just a minute I will yield time to the distinguished chairman of the Committee on Appropriations to complete this announcement, but for the moment let me say, Mr. Chairman, that after this next vote there will be no further business in the House tonight.

□ 2115

I should say, Mr. Chairman, if I may, we will begin in the morning with the rule for the faith-based initiative. We will complete the work on the faith-based initiative, after which we will return to work on the existing Commerce-Justice-State appropriations with the goal of finishing the bill tomorrow night.

While that may sound foreboding to some people, I believe the distinguished chairman of the Committee on Appropriations can share with us insight that will help us to understand that even tomorrow night I think the committee will have been able to work this out to where we will be able to retire from our work tomorrow evening at a decent hour.

I yield to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. YOUNG).

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

I would remind Members that the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY) and I have both made an announcement that was followed up by a unanimous-consent agreement that the only amendments to be considered further

in this bill tomorrow are ones that will have been printed up to and including today. By the time we get to the consideration of this bill again tomorrow, hopefully soon rather than late, we expect to have a unanimous-consent proposal to offer that would place realistic time limits on those amendments and hopefully expedite our business so that we can leave at a reasonable hour tomorrow evening.

That pretty much sums up where we are on the schedule. A lot of it will depend on that unanimous-consent agreement that we will propound tomorrow.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. ARMEY. I yield to the gentleman from Wisconsin.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman for yielding. I would just like to emphasize two things: as the gentleman from Florida indicated, if Members want to have their amendments considered, those amendments need to be filed tonight. If Members have already submitted those amendments to the Clerk, then the Clerk will see to it that they are printed. But Members need to know that if they want consideration of amendments, they need to be filed tonight.

I would also ask another favor of Members. We, on several occasions now, have had the bill read past the point where Members were eligible to offer their amendments. If Members have amendments that they intend to have offered, they need to be on the floor when we reach that point in the bill for consideration of their amendments, because there is no intention on either side of the aisle to go back into the bill to make an opportunity for amendments to be offered if Members have not been here at the proper time to offer their amendments.

We will, as the gentleman indicates, try to take all the amendments that we know of and put them in reasonable order with a reasonable time limit. We need the cooperation of every Member to do that.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Chairman, if I could just make one final comment. The program is clearly announced. All Members who will have amendments can expedite the proceedings on the remainder of this bill if they will work with the chairman and the ranking member to work out those time arrangements. I am confident that we will have a productive and happy conclusion of this bill tomorrow evening. I thank the Members for their time.

AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MS. DEGETTE

The CHAIRMAN. The pending business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Colorado (Ms. DEGETTE) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The Clerk designated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The CHAIRMAN. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The CHAIRMAN. This will be a 15-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 169, noes 253, not voting 11, as follows:

[Roll No. 235]

AYES—169

Abercrombie	Gonzalez	Mink
Ackerman	Gordon	Moran (VA)
Allen	Green (TX)	Morella
Andrews	Greenwood	Nadler
Baca	Gutierrez	Napolitano
Baird	Harman	Olver
Baldacci	Hastings (FL)	Owens
Baldwin	Hilliard	Pallone
Barrett	Hinchey	Pastor
Bass	Hinojosa	Payne
Becerra	Hoefel	Pelosi
Bentsen	Holt	Price (NC)
Berkley	Honda	Rangel
Berman	Hooley	Rivers
Biggert	Horn	Rodriguez
Blagojevich	Houghton	Rothman
Blumenauer	Inslee	Roukema
Boehlert	Israel	Royal-Allard
Boswell	Jackson (IL)	Rush
Boucher	Jackson-Lee	Sabo
Brady (PA)	(TX)	Sanchez
Brown (FL)	Jefferson	Sanders
Brown (OH)	Johnson (CT)	Sandlin
Capps	Johnson, E. B.	Sawyer
Capuano	Jones (OH)	Schakowsky
Cardin	Kelly	Schiff
Carson (IN)	Kennedy (RI)	Scott
Clay	Kilpatrick	Serrano
Clayton	Kind (WI)	Shays
Clyburn	Kirk	Sherman
Condit	Lantos	Simmons
Conyers	Larsen (WA)	Slaughter
Coyne	Larson (CT)	Smith (WA)
Cummings	Lee	Solis
Davis (CA)	Levin	Spratt
Davis (FL)	Lewis (GA)	Stark
Davis (IL)	Lofgren	Strickland
DeFazio	Lowey	Tanner
DeGette	Luther	Tauscher
DeLauro	Maloney (CT)	Thomas
Deutsch	Maloney (NY)	Thompson (CA)
Dicks	Markey	Thompson (MS)
Dingell	Matheson	Thurman
Doggett	Matsui	Tierney
Dooley	McCarthy (MO)	Towns
Engel	McCarthy (NY)	Udall (CO)
Eshoo	McCullum	Velazquez
Evans	McDermott	Visclosky
Farr	McGovern	Waters
Fattah	McKinney	Watson (CA)
Filner	Meehan	Watt (NC)
Ford	Meek (FL)	Waxman
Frank	Meeks (NY)	Weiner
Frelinghuysen	Menendez	Wexler
Frost	Millender-	Woolsey
Gilchrest	McDonald	Wu
Gilman	Miller, George	Wynn

NOES—253

Aderholt	Calvert	Deal
Akin	Camp	DeLay
Armen	Cannon	DeMint
Bachus	Cantor	Diaz-Balart
Baker	Capito	Doolittle
Barcia	Carson (OK)	Doyle
Barr	Castle	Dreier
Bartlett	Chabot	Duncan
Barton	Chambliss	Dunn
Bereuter	Clement	Edwards
Berry	Coble	Ehlers
Bilirakis	Collins	Ehrlich
Boehner	Combest	Emerson
Bonilla	Cooksey	English
Bonior	Costello	Etheridge
Bono	Cox	Everett
Borski	Cramer	Ferguson
Boyd	Crane	Flake
Brady (TX)	Crenshaw	Fletcher
Brown (SC)	Crowley	Foley
Bryant	Cubin	Forbes
Burr	Culberson	Fosseila
Burton	Cunningham	Gallegly
Buyer	Davis, Jo Ann	Ganske
Callahan	Davis, Tom	Gekas

Gibbons	Linder	Royce
Gillmor	Lipinski	Ryan (WI)
Goode	LoBiondo	Ryun (KS)
Goodlatte	Lucas (KY)	Saxton
Goss	Lucas (OK)	Scarborough
Graham	Manzullo	Schaffer
Granger	Mascara	Schrock
Graves	McCrary	Sensenbrenner
Green (WI)	McInnis	Sessions
Grucci	McIntyre	Shadegg
Gutknecht	McKeon	Shaw
Hall (OH)	McNulty	Sherwood
Hall (TX)	Mica	Shimkus
Hansen	Miller (FL)	Shows
Hart	Miller, Gary	Shuster
Hastings (WA)	Mollohan	Simpson
Hayes	Moore	Skeen
Hayworth	Moran (KS)	Skelton
Hefley	Murtha	Smith (MI)
Herger	Neal	Smith (NJ)
Hill	Nethercutt	Smith (TX)
Hilleary	Ney	Snyder
Hobson	Northup	Souder
Hoekstra	Norwood	Stearns
Holden	Nussle	Stenholm
Hostettler	Oberstar	Stump
Hulshof	Obey	Stupak
Hunter	Ortiz	Sununu
Hutchinson	Osborne	Sweeney
Hyde	Ose	Tancredo
Isakson	Otter	Tauzin
Issa	Oxley	Taylor (MS)
Istoek	Pascarella	Taylor (NC)
Jenkins	Paul	Terry
John	Pence	Thornberry
Johnson (IL)	Peterson (MN)	Thune
Johnson, Sam	Peterson (PA)	Tiahrt
Jones (NC)	Petri	Tiberi
Kanjorski	Phelps	Toomey
Kaptur	Pickering	Traficant
Keller	Pitts	Turner
Kennedy (MN)	Platts	Udall (NM)
Kerns	Pombo	Upton
Kildee	Pomerooy	Vitter
King (NY)	Portman	Walder
Kingston	Pryce (OH)	Walsh
Kleckzka	Putnam	Wamp
Knoilenberg	Quinn	Watkins (OK)
Kolbe	Radanovich	Watts (OK)
Kucinich	Rahall	Weldon (FL)
LaFalce	Ramstad	Weldon (PA)
LaHood	Regula	Weller
Lampson	Rehberg	Whitfield
Langevin	Reynolds	Wicker
Largent	Roemer	Wilson
Latham	Rogers (KY)	Wolf
LaTourette	Rogers (MI)	Young (AK)
Leach	Rohrabacher	Young (FL)
Lewis (CA)	Ros-Lehtinen	
Lewis (KY)	Ross	

NOT VOTING—11

Ballenger	Gephardt	Reyes
Bishop	Hoyer	Riley
Blunt	McHugh	Spence
Delahunt	Myrick	

□ 2135

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. SHIMKUS) having assumed the chair, Mr. HASTINGS of Washington, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 2500) making appropriations for the Departments of Commerce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of Jan-

uary 3, 2001, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

IN HONOR OF MAISIE DEVORE AND THE PEOPLE OF ESKRIDGE, KANSAS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Kansas (Mr. MORAN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. MORAN of Kansas. Mr. Speaker, I rise this evening in honor of one of my constituents, Maisie DeVore, of Eskridge, Kansas. Her story, that I want to describe here in a few moments, demonstrates what one determined person can do to make a difference in the lives of others and in the life of her community.

Maisie DeVore is 82 years old. Thirty years ago, Maisie decided that her community of Eskridge, population 530, needed a swimming pool; and she set about raising the funds to build one.

Over the course of 3 decades, Maisie earned a few dollars at a time by collecting aluminum cans, selling homemade jelly, and auctioning off her homemade afghans. Over the years, Maisie's hard work earned her more than \$100,000, which, coupled with a \$73,000 granted from the State of Kansas, provided the funds necessary to make her vision a reality.

The Eskridge Community Pool officially opened this past Saturday, July 14, 2001. Maisie was telling me this past Saturday that when she started this project, her kids were 7 and 12. They are now adults living in another community; but, still, the pool was opened.

Fittingly, Maisie was the first person in the pool. She was soon followed by about 50 of the younger residents of Eskridge. I was fortunate to be in Eskridge to share this city-wide celebration that was declared Maisie DeVore Day.

At the completion of her many years of work, Maisie's accomplishment has drawn the attention of State and national media and will be featured this Sunday on the CBS Sunday Morning Show.

Maisie's commitment to the welfare of her community and neighbors is a great example of service and leadership. More than the accomplishment of a personal goal, Maisie's success is a uniting theme for an entire community. Her story demonstrates that one individual, one individual, can bring a community together and truly make a difference in the lives of others.

The completion of this project marks a major achievement for Maisie DeVore and for the community of Eskridge. This facility promises to be a tremendous asset and a source of pride for this small community.

This story is about small-town America and what the life of one individual can do to benefit his or her neighbors.

So I rise tonight on the floor of the House of Representatives to commend Maisie DeVore for her unending work,

her vision, and her completion of this community project. I salute Maisie DeVore and the community of Eskridge.

EXPLAINING THE DANGERS OF FAST TRACK TRADE PROPOSALS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. BONIOR) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I rise this evening first of all to thank my colleague, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. BROWN), for arranging a discussion this evening on the important issue of trade, especially the fast track procedure that is making its way through this community. It is essential for the American people to truly understand what this fast track trade proposal is all about and how damaging it can be to each and every one of our individual lives.

Now, the procedure that is known as fast track puts our trade laws and everything that is associated with them on a rush course through Congress. It limits the time we can spend on important issues that deal with food safety, with agriculture, with the environment, and worker laws and worker protections. It allows only an up-or-down vote, and no amendments, on huge trade bills, like the GATT bill in 1995 or the NAFTA bill in 1993. It leaves Congress with little power to stop the bad parts of trade legislation from becoming law.

I would remind my colleagues, Mr. Speaker, that this whole idea of fast track is something that is relatively new. It was only in 1974 when Richard Nixon first proposed it. It has only been used five times. In fact, during the last administration, the Clinton administration, we did 200 trade deals around the world successfully without fast track.

This is a huge usurpation of the authority given to the United States House of Representatives and the Congress by the Constitution of the United States. By doing so, it not only threatens the work that we do here on behalf of the American people on food safety, on labor law, on the environment and all kinds of other important issues; but it also affects what happens to the activity at the local level, in the village, in the city, in the township or at the State level. Those laws are in jeopardy as well.

Now, let me say this, Mr. Speaker: we have worked very hard over the last 100 years in this country to put into law these protections. There was a time that we did not have food safety laws. Upton Sinclair wrote the wonderful novel called "The Jungle," and it alerted the American people to what was happening in food safety and food spoilage. There was a movement called the Progressive Movement, and a lot of things flowed from that.

The labor movement flowed at the beginning of the century, so people