

be too unstable to be of much use anyway, unless they are produced in huge numbers. But there is no such evidence that adult stem cells are unstable.

Adult stem cell research holds great promise. Adult stem cell research promises to help us find cures to diseases that have plagued mankind for centuries. Let us fund adult stem cell research, and fund it generously.

CHINA DOES NOT DESERVE TO HOST 2008 OLYMPIC GAMES

(Mr. TRAFICANT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Speaker, China has executed 1,781 citizens in the last 90 days. That is more executions than the entire world performed over the last 3 years. China is now even executing citizens for pimping and prostitution. It is getting so bad that Chinese citizens, Chinese lovers, in fact, are afraid to kiss in public. Meanwhile, China says it is necessary to ensure "social stability."

Now, if that is not enough to power surge your electric chair, China is in line to host the 2008 Olympic games.

Beam me up. The Olympic games are designed to be a celebration of life, not death. China does not deserve to host these games.

I yield back the human rights abuses, the death and dying at the hand of Communist Chinese dictators.

1015

GOVERNMENT SPENDING CAUSES DEFICIT SPENDING

(Mr. BRADY of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BRADY of Texas. Mr. Speaker, Washington is out of touch with the real world again. Tax relief does not cause deficit spending, as we hear; spending causes deficit spending.

Washington spends every dime we send up here. That is the reason why this Congress stopped deficit spending in America. That is why this Congress stopped 40 years of dipping into the Social Security and Medicare Trust Funds, and that is why this Congress has started to pay down a good amount of the national public debt. Mr. Speaker, make no mistake. The very reason we sent money back home to the people is because we will spend every dime of it.

Look what we spend. Let us talk about the outhouse, the \$1 million, two-seater outhouse that our National Parks and Wildlife built a year ago. Let us talk about the salmon. We spend \$5 billion a year helping salmon swim upstream to their spawning grounds. We could put each fish in a first-class ticket seat and fly them to the top of the river each year and still save money. We have enough dollars for the

priorities of America. What we do not have is enough for the priorities of silliness. Tax relief does not cause deficit spending, spending causes deficit spending.

STEM CELL RESEARCH IS PRO-LIFE

(Ms. HARMAN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. HARMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to urge strong support in Congress and the administration for a vital field of medical research. Federal funding for embryonic stem cell research should not be caught up in the abortion debate. As many antichoice proponents have courageously noted, stem cell research is pro-life. It will save lives, not take them.

Let me review what we know about stem cell research.

First, research using embryonic stem cells is helping us understand and treat not just Parkinson's disease, spinal cord injuries, and Alzheimer's, but possibly heart disease, arthritis and cancer.

Second, stem cell research is going on today and should be subject to Federal guidelines. Research of the type described in the lead story in today's Washington Post is not permitted under NIH's ethical standards.

Third, adult stem cells are not able to develop into as many kinds of tissue as embryonic cells.

Fourth, the embryos used in stem cell research would otherwise be destroyed by fertility clinics.

Mr. Speaker, if the embryos used in this research are simply discarded, we discard with them the hope of patients across the country and the promise of a new generation of medical cures.

HYDROPOWER FOR CLEAN AND SAFE ENERGY

(Mr. STEARNS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. STEARNS. Mr. Speaker, we in the House will be marking up an energy policy this week, and part of this policy will include hydropower. Hydropower provides a clean and safe source of energy. Hydropower is the fourth largest source of total generation, making it an important part of America's energy supply mix. In addition to providing sustainable power at a low cost, hydropower production has significant environmental benefits. Hydropower production has no emissions. Every kilowatt of power that is produced from hydropower reduces the need to burn oil and coal to produce the same amount of energy.

I am pleased that the Republican energy package will include elements to assure that we maximize the potential of our existing hydropower facilities. While we work to implement policies

and strategies to conserve energy, we must also work to increase energy supply to keep pace with growing demand. Mr. Speaker, I believe that maximizing the benefits of our hydropower resources is an important part of meeting that challenge.

CHOOSING TO BE RELEVANT TO SCIENCE

(Mr. WU asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WU. Mr. Speaker, stem cell research offers the prospect for cures for diseases such as diabetes, Alzheimer's, and Parkinson's disease. It is a development of such great historic significance that I want to hearken back to another era when science was under threat from a theocracy.

About 400 years ago, Galileo Galilei was forced to recant the evidence of his eyes that the moons and the planets revolved around each other rather than all of them revolving around the Earth, as the church then insisted that we all believe. But even as the theocracy forced Galileo to recant his views, he was heard to mutter, "But the planets do move."

Mr. Speaker, just as the planets move, stem cell research will go forward. The only question is whether it goes forward in this country or in foreign countries; with government support or without government support; subject to NIH guidelines or subject to no ethical guidelines whatsoever.

Our choice here is not about stem cell research or not. Just as no theocracy can prevent the planets from moving, no theocracy can prevent stem cell research from going on. The only choice is whether we choose to be relevant to science.

AMERICA IS A NATION OF THE PEOPLE, BY THE PEOPLE, AND FOR THE PEOPLE

(Mr. SAM JOHNSON of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SAM JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, do my colleagues know what? Our taxes were lowered on July 1. That means we will take home more of our own money. We can thank President Bush for that.

When I was home in Texas over July 4, I met Kris and Melissa Kelly who are constituents of mine, and I asked them, what are you going to do with that tax refund? They said they are going to put a down payment on a brand-new minivan for their family. Is that not what America is all about?

Instead of allowing the Federal Government to keep our hard-earned money, creating new and expensive government programs, we gave the people their own money back so they can buy the things they need.

So I salute President Bush for all he has done for the hard-working people of

this great Nation. America really is a Nation of the people, by the people, for the people.

STEM CELL RESEARCH

(Mr. EVANS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. EVANS. Mr. Speaker, there should really be no debate about stem cell research, given the immense promise that it holds for a number of diseases. This is an issue that is of paramount importance to millions of Americans who stand to benefit from this groundbreaking research. I know, because I am one of them. I suffer from Parkinson's disease.

This debate is being mired down in the politics of abortion, but it has nothing to do with abortion. This is an issue of medicine. Stem cells are never derived from an embryo that a woman intends to be implanted into her womb, nor are embryos ever created for their use in stem cell research. Researchers only use embryos which were scheduled to be discarded.

Clearly, these embryos can be put to better use. The scientific promise of embryonic stem cells offer hope that simply did not exist a few years ago. We cannot afford to literally throw away such potential. Every day that we continue research brings with it astonishing possibilities for enhanced treatments and cures for now-irreversible diseases and injuries.

Let us come together as a body in support of stem cell research.

SUPPORT ETHICAL AND RESPONSIBLE STEM CELL RESEARCH

(Mr. RYUN of Kansas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. RYUN of Kansas. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of ethical stem cell research and in opposition to the destruction of human life. I firmly believe that we have a responsibility to respect and protect life at every stage.

The issue we face is not whether we allow this research. Both the ethical adult stem cell research that I support and the controversial embryonic research will continue on.

However, we must now decide if we are going to force taxpayers to fund this controversial embryonic research. Allocating Federal dollars for research that retires destruction of human embryos would require many Americans to fund something that they morally oppose. I urge the President and my colleagues to join me in supporting responsible and ethical stem cell research and standing for what is right and moving ahead with this research.

JULIAN C. DIXON POST OFFICE

(Ms. WATSON of California asked and was given permission to address

the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. WATSON of California. Mr. Speaker, on December 8, 2000, Julian Dixon, a Member of Congress, died of a heart attack at age 66. On that day, Congress lost an experienced leader, and California lost a tireless advocate. But the loss of Julian Dixon was felt the hardest in the 32nd Congressional District of California where Angelenos lost a beloved friend and neighbor.

Yesterday, I introduced a bill to rename a post office in the 32nd district as the "Congressman Julian C. Dixon Post Office." This one small effort pales in comparison to the years of devoted service Julian provided to his community.

But as a friend and a school chum of Julian Dixon, I know that my neighbors in the 32nd Congressional District would be proud to have Julian remembered in this way. What an appropriate way to honor him, since he was well known for corresponding with his constituents by mail.

Mr. Speaker, I ask the entire California delegation, as well as any other Member, to join me in cosponsoring this piece of legislation.

FROZEN EMBRYOS ARE BEING ADOPTED

(Mr. SMITH of New Jersey asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, Hannah is a happy 2½-year-old little girl. She is a normal, healthy toddler discovering the joys of life. In a few days I hope to meet Hannah and when I do, I will reassure her that there is no such thing as a "spare" or "leftover" person.

Although she may not yet understand what that means, her parents sure do. They understand perfectly, because little Hannah used to be a frozen embryo in an invitro fertilization clinic. She was what those who support embryonic stem cell research—research that destroys human embryos—callously call "spare" and "leftover" embryos.

But Hannah is neither "spare" nor "leftover," despite the fact that she spent a considerable amount of time in a deep-freeze tank that served as her frozen orphanage. The perky toddler could have been fodder for researchers, but instead today is talking a blue streak, and in a few years will go to school.

Mr. Speaker, the story of Hannah and other adopted embryos underscores why we should not spend Federal tax dollars to destroy human embryos to steal their precious stem cells. These stem cells are not ours to take. And given the breathtaking discoveries from adult stem cell research, which does not rely on destroying human embryos, arguments for federally funding embryonic stem cells is less persuasive than ever.

PUT POLITICS ASIDE AND SUPPORT STEM CELL RESEARCH

(Ms. WOOLSEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. WOOLSEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of stem cell research. It is time for people on all points of the political spectrum to come together, support efforts to make stem cell research safe, legal and ethical. Stem cell research has the potential to unlock the door to medical knowledge for a host of diseases. We cannot allow America's health to be held hostage to politics, while medical research stagnates.

For people suffering from Alzheimer's or Parkinson's, or for those who have loved ones with these diseases, including cancer and juvenile diabetes, stem cell research represents hope for a cure. Yet by banning this research, either adult or embryonic research, we foreclose the possibility of improving or saving many, many lives. And who will pay the price? A mother fighting Parkinson's or a child battling juvenile diabetes. That is why I strongly urge my colleagues to put politics aside, support the promising scientific research of stem cell research.

□ 1030

RESEARCH MONEY SHOULD GO TOWARD ADULT STEM CELL RESEARCH

(Mr. WELDON of Florida asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WELDON of Florida. Mr. Speaker, prior to coming to the United States Congress, I practiced internal medicine for 15 years, including treating many patients with diabetes, Alzheimer's disease, and Parkinson's disease. For that reason, I was very interested in this issue of stem cell research.

I have reviewed the medical literature on this issue. Today, most of the people advocating for the use of embryonic stem cells are bench researchers who like to use them because they tend to proliferate very nicely in the U.S. culture. That very same property makes them very problematic in using them in clinical applications.

There is today the use of adult stem cells in treating diseases. There is no use of embryonic stem cells in treating any diseases. Indeed, there is not even an animal model where we can take a rat with a disease and treat it with an embryonic stem cell.

Using embryonic stem cells in clinical applications is very problematic for the very same reason that the bench researchers like to use it, the cells tend to proliferate and behave like malignancies. It is not only ethical to use adult stem cells, it makes the most sense, and it is where the research money should be going.