

course, that drove up demand and drove up price. Now that that is up there, the companies will tell you the reason we do not have enough fuel at reasonable prices is because we do not have enough refineries.

Now they are looking for the triple play. Instead of producing more and getting that in the pipeline and having more refineries, they now want to do away with environmental regulations. This is not something we should allow to happen. We should keep our eye on that industry and make sure we get something done for the consumer.

CALIFORNIA ENERGY CRISIS

(Mr. HERGER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HERGER. Mr. Speaker, we have an energy crisis. Eight years of Clinton-Gore no-growth energy and Federal environmental policies have left us facing frequent shortages.

In my home State of California, the population has grown by 4 million people over 10 years. The economy has doubled in half that time. Sadly, the radical environmentalists have prevented the construction of new power plants.

The equation is simple: more people and no power plants equal blackouts. Rather than place blame, President Bush has proposed a responsible solution that seeks to address our dire situation, increase supply while offering incentives to reduce demand.

While California is already the most energy efficient State in the country, the President's comprehensive policy will promote new power plant construction. It is not necessarily political, but it recognizes that there are no quick fixes to the years of policies that forced us deep into the dark.

SUPPORT BIPARTISAN PATIENT PROTECTION ACT

(Ms. DELAURO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, Americans need a Patients' Bill of Rights. Every single day we hear stories of patients whose health has been seriously jeopardized because their health plan has denied coverage. Each day 35,000 patients experience a delay in needed care and 7,000 patients per day are denied referral to a medical specialist.

Doctors are unable to make the best medical decisions for their patients because their hands are tied by the insurance companies. What we need to do is to return those medical decisions back to doctors and patients and out of the hands of insurance companies. We need a Patients' Bill of Rights that grants access to specialists, allows patients to choose their own doctors, lifts physician gags that prohibit doctors from talking about medical options, allows

for access to emergency rooms, and, yes, holds HMOs accountable for negligent actions.

These patient protections are long overdue. The Republican leadership has watered down meaningful bipartisan legislation to protect another special interest, the managed care organizations. They want to give HMOs special protection from lawsuits, while weakening patients' ability to hold health plans accountable.

Vote for Dingell-Norwood. Support the bipartisan Patient Protection Act. In the long run, it will help the American people.

BECOMING ENERGY SELF-RELIANT

(Mr. REHBERG asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. REHBERG. Mr. Speaker, let us put all the political posturing and gamesmanship aside and be honest: the major causes of high energy prices this summer will be the lack of domestic energy production and the absence of new investments in the electricity generation facilities needed to meet the growth experienced over the last decade.

That is why becoming more energy self-reliant is so important. If we want an uninterrupted supply of energy, then we need more American oil, American gas, and clean coal. In Montana alone, we have several hundred years' worth of natural gas and coal deposits. Current estimates place coal resources for eastern Montana at about 50 billion tons, two-thirds of which is low-sulfur, clean-burning coal.

In developing these resources, it is important that we keep in mind that America has some of the highest environmental standards and most advanced technology in the world. Our strict laws do a good job of ensuring our environment is protected.

The bottom line is this: relying upon our own energy resources is cleaner and safer than importing energy from countries with inferior technology and scant environmental oversight.

SUPPORT A REAL PATIENTS' BILL OF RIGHTS

(Ms. CARSON of Indiana asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. CARSON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, as many of you know, when I was elected to the United States Congress, prior to being sworn in, I had to walk into a hospital in Indianapolis, Indiana, and announce that I believed I was on the verge of a heart attack. Because I was an elected Member of Congress, I did not have to get permission from anybody to get the best medical services that Indianapolis, Indiana, had to offer. That is why I stand before you today on behalf of all of the people who seek the services from HMOs who do

not happen to be a Member of the United States Congress.

The President of the United States claims credit for the HMO reform bill that passed in Texas when he was Governor. You would think that a person who claims credit for an issue would work hard to put it into practice at his new job.

It is not right for the HMOs to take money from people they are supposed to serve and then deny them the service when those same people need help.

We need to pass the Patients' Bill of Rights bill that would hold health plans accountable when they harm a patient, protect patients from paying out of pocket for emergency room services, provide an independent appeal process, and guarantee that treatment decisions are based on medical, and not financial, concerns. Those were included in the Texas law.

The President needs to stop trying to negotiate away from his own law, and support the same bill he said he supported in Texas, the Dingell-Ganske-Norwood Patients' Bill of Rights.

A BALANCED APPROACH TO ENERGY

(Mrs. WILSON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. WILSON. Mr. Speaker, it costs \$1.60 cents a gallon when I filled up my tank on the corner of Alameda and 4th Street this weekend. Anybody in this country that pays a utility bill or put gas in the tank within the last month knows we have an energy crunch in this country. It is worse in the West, but it affects everybody.

I think everybody, most everybody, knows that Band-aids are not answers, and there are not any quick fixes that are going to solve the problems of energy in this country. We need a balanced, long-term approach, no Band-aids, no quick fixes, to give us stability in our energy markets.

I think it is too important to do anything but the right thing. That is going to require all of us to work together to do the right thing. We need to start with conservation. We made tremendous progress in this country with conservation in the last 20 years; and we are not going back, and nobody wants to. But we also have to increase the supplies of energy in this country, responsibly explore for energy in nonpark land, and give ourselves a mix of supply. It is only the balanced approach that will give us the energy that we need.

BAN DRILLING FOR OIL AND GAS UNDER GREAT LAKES

(Mr. STUPAK asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. STUPAK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to remind my colleagues that

today as we do the energy and water bill there will be an amendment by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. BONIOR), the gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. KAPTUR), the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. LATOURETTE), and myself to ban the practice of drilling for gas and oil underneath the Great Lakes.

Now, there is a proposal that Michigan is currently moving forward which would allow directional drilling under the Great Lakes.

□ 1045

Why Michigan would do this to the 18 percent of the world's freshest waters found in the Great Lakes; 90, 95 percent of all of the fresh water in the United States is found at the Great Lakes, and it serves the homes of over 34 million people. Why we would threaten the vitality of the Great Lakes for a few drops of gas and oil, even during these energy needs, is unconscionable.

If we take a look, the reserves are there. Even if we tap with 30 new wells, they propose 30 new wells, we would have enough oil for only 3 weeks, and we would have enough natural gas for 5 weeks. Only Michigan seeks to do this. The Governor of Ohio recently said, no oil and gas drilling. The Wisconsin State Senate has passed resolutions in the past saying no oil and gas drilling underneath our Great Lakes.

So I am asking my colleagues today as we do the energy and water bill to please take a look at what we are doing. We have to conserve, we have to be resourceful, but let us not drill for oil and gas in the Great Lakes. Join this bipartisan amendment.

IT IS TIME FOR ENERGY SOLUTIONS

(Mr. BALLENGER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BALLENGER. Mr. Speaker, it is very unfortunate that Californians have to go through these blackouts, and it is unfair to the people in California. But what is really unfair is that Californians have a Governor who refuses to take leadership and responsibility for this problem.

California politicians have done a disservice to the Californians. Gray Davis has been asleep at the switch. It is time to stop pointing fingers and start solving problems. Instead of spending \$30,000 a month on political consultants and polls, and instead of pointing fingers, Gray Davis needs to find solutions to increasing electricity in his State to stop blackouts. Governor Davis should put people before politics.

Mr. Speaker, blackouts in California leave the State's economy dead. When California dies, America's economy becomes seriously ill. What we need is answers and solutions, not partisan, attack-style politics. We all need to work together, both Democrats and Republicans, to solve California's problems. Creating a balanced, fair and com-

prehensive energy plan for the future that utilizes our coal and our natural gas will safeguard our national economy and secure an adequate livelihood for all Americans.

AS GOES CALIFORNIA GOES THE COUNTRY

(Ms. WOOLSEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. WOOLSEY. Mr. Speaker, with two oilmen in the White House, it is no surprise that this administration has turned its back on consumers and sided with big oil special interests, but that certainly does not make it acceptable.

What is acceptable is this: recognizing that we need to increase renewable energy sources while reducing demand for electricity. We can do this by promoting and using more efficient energy technologies. These are the policies that will protect our environment, will guarantee a better future for our children.

Since passing the National Energy Policy Act in 1992, Congress has generally ignored energy issues, but power problems in California and higher prices for natural gas and oil are going to impact our entire country. These changes have brought energy back to the top of our Nation's agenda.

The energy shortage we are experiencing in California is a signal to the rest of our Nation. As goes California goes the country.

COMPREHENSIVE ENERGY POLICY

(Mrs. BIGGERT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. BIGGERT. Mr. Speaker, when politicians talk about needing a comprehensive energy policy instead of price controls, I bet a lot of Americans wonder what we are talking about.

Well, consider this fact: ninety-seven percent of the power plants currently under construction are natural gas-fired power plants needed to meet the increased demand for electricity. Natural gas that is typically produced during the summer for storage and later used during the winter is, instead, being used for electricity generation. Basically, we use natural gas to keep our electricity rates lower in the summer, but in the end we pay higher rates on our natural gas use in the summer. Not a very comprehensive policy, is it?

President Bush has proposed the first comprehensive energy plan in a decade that will increase efficiency, improve how our energy is delivered, diversify our energy sources, protect the environment, and assist low-income Americans through these current price increases.

Americans want affordable energy and a clean, safe environment.

WORKING TO SOLVE CALIFORNIA'S ENERGY CRISIS

(Ms. SOLIS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. SOLIS. Mr. Speaker, I would just like to let our colleagues know that today in the State of California, one of our newest generators just went online. Governor Gray Davis has done a tremendous job in trying to make sure that the energy and our lights do not go out in the State of California. He visited with us last week and met with the Senate Committee on Energy Oversight and talked about all the earnest effort that he has made, and Californians, to conserve energy.

Now, we deserve more attention and support by FERC and this administration. We should provide more energy funding for renewable energy, for conservation, and obviously provide relief for those ratepayers, the people that pay the bills. We expect to see a refund. Maybe it will not be the \$9 billion that Gray Davis is asking for, but surely the people of California and the Western States that are suffering from this energy crisis deserve the very best attention. They are grappling with this problem. They need to have our support.

Mr. Speaker, I ask all Members today to sign the discharge petition, because it is necessary for us to send a message to all citizens of the United States that we are with them on the energy conservation measures.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SHAW). Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the pending business is the question of the Speaker's approval of the Journal.

The question is on the Speaker's approval of the Journal.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. McNULTY. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 368, nays 49, answered “present” 1, not voting 15, as follows:

	[Roll No. 195]
	YEAS—368
Abercrombie	Barr
Ackerman	Barrett
Akin	Bartlett
Allen	Barton
Andrews	Bass
Armey	Becerra
Baca	Bentsen
Bachus	Bereuter
Baker	Berkley
Baldacci	Berman
Baldwin	Berry
Ballenger	Biggert
Barcia	Bilirakis
	Bishop
	Blagojevich
	Blumenauer
	Blunt
	Boehlert
	Boehner
	Bonilla
	Bono
	Boswell
	Boucher
	Boyd
	Brady (TX)
	Brown (FL)