

relay, where Alan's team placed fourth. He also competed in the 800 meter race, shattering the State record in that event by 2 seconds, finishing in 1 minute 47 seconds.

Alan will be attending the University of Michigan in the fall. He realizes that he has only a few weeks left in high school and is enjoying every moment. His down-to-earth demeanor has allowed him to keep his achievements in perspective, as fans and friends now ask for pictures and autographs. He looks forward to greater success in the future.

Mr. Speaker, in closing, I ask my colleagues to join me in congratulating Alan. It is especially pleasing to have the gentleman from Kansas (Mr. RYUN) with me on the floor here today. I appreciate the class with which he has passed his torch to Alan, and I am sure Alan does as well.

Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Kansas (Mr. RYUN).

Mr. RYUN of Kansas. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Virginia for recognizing Alan Webb. It is an honor to be a part of this, and I want to congratulate Alan's parents as well, Steve and Catherine; his brother, Chris; his coach, Scott. They have all participated in a plan that has been very successful.

I met Alan about 3 years ago for the first time when he broke my then-sophomore record, and continued to watch his improvements along the way. He has developed his God-given talents to the fullest. He has a bright future, and he has also given our young people a role model. He has shown that hard work and dedication, those principles work, and with the right planning along the way, you can achieve great things.

I had the opportunity to visit with Alan almost 3 years ago. I encouraged him at that time to surround himself with those people who believed, as he did, that it could be done. There are always people that say it cannot be done. He took my advice. My congratulations to him.

Mr. TOM DAVIS of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, let me say to the gentleman from Kansas, I appreciate his being here today. For Alan and his family and all of his supporters in the South Lakes community and across the country, we join in this tribute today.

□ 1415

#### NATIONAL HOMEOWNERSHIP WEEK

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PLATTS). Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Mrs. CLAYTON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mrs. CLAYTON. Mr. Speaker, I rise to note the advantages and opportunities for homeownership in recognition of National Homeownership Week. Those of us who own a home know the joy, the satisfaction, and the peace of mind that results from owning your "piece of the rock."

Homeownership is the greatest investment many Americans will make. It offers a means of creating wealth, an appreciating asset, with certain tax benefits. It instills a sense of pride and dignity and helps to revitalize communities where people have tended to rent their dwellings. It helps to make real the American dream. Indeed, the long-term fixed-rate mortgage that so many Americans enjoy is one of the blessings and benefits of living in this great Nation. By contrast, most other nations offer only variable rates that when times are tough result in instability and even dislocations.

For many years, it has been the public policy of this Nation to promote homeownership. We have passed the laws that make available grants, loans, tax credits and deductions for housing construction and mortgage interest payments and real estate taxes. These laws and our national prosperity of the last 8 years have produced today the highest level of homeownership in the history of the Nation.

However, for many Americans, homeownership remains merely a dream deferred. The record low mortgage interest rates are not sufficient for persons who work full time but earn wages too low to qualify for a mortgage loan. The low rates do not help persons saddled with high debts or bad credit histories. They do not help people who live in communities with an insufficient stock of affordable homes, even though their income in other communities would be sufficient to buy a home. They also do not help those who do not understand the advantages and opportunities of homeownership or how to effectively negotiate the process of selecting a home, applying for and closing on a mortgage loan, and maintaining the home.

I am pleased with the leadership offered by the Congressional Black Caucus Foundation in collaboration with national partners including mortgage lenders, insurers, Realtors, leaders of faith-based institutions, government and community leaders and credit and housing counselors to help identify and overcome many of the barriers to homeownership. Two months ago, we launched a national campaign to promote homeownership and to help bridge the huge racial divide in homeownership rates. Although more than 7 out of 10 white Americans own their home, only 4 out of 10 African Americans and Hispanics own their home.

This national campaign is called With Ownership, Wealth, WOW. It will make available a variety of flexible products and services that will help to eliminate traditional barriers to homeownership, such as down payment and closing costs, and home buying and consumer credit counseling service to help maintain good credit and to repair credit histories.

In addition to this national campaign, we will continue to conduct regional housing summits like we held in North Carolina in July of 1999, in Cali-

fornia last year, and in New York earlier this year. Members of the Congressional Black Caucus also will sponsor in their districts starting this month housing and home buyer fairs. In my district, I will sponsor a home buyer fair next Saturday, June 16. We will help our citizens better understand how to become homeowners.

I greatly appreciate the concerns and commitment displayed by our partners and by my colleagues in the Congressional Black Caucus. I commend this effort to each Member of Congress to join us in promoting homeownership. Help us to bridge the racial disparity in homeownership rates. Together, we can combine public and private resources to help remove barriers to homeownership for many Americans across the Nation. Together, we can make real for many Americans the dream of owning their own home and realizing the American dream.

#### STANDARD TRADE NEGOTIATING AUTHORITY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. ENGLISH) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. ENGLISH. Mr. Speaker, I rise on a topic that is of central importance to our economy for many years to come, a topic which Congress is going to be called upon to consider in the near future, and I think has to consider in a bipartisan way in thinking outside of the box, thinking outside of their traditional ways of approaching it. I am referring here, of course, to the topic of trade and trade negotiating authority for the President.

Mr. Speaker, more than 200 years ago, Benjamin Franklin wisely observed that no Nation was ever ruined by trade. Back then, the United States was a small part of the global economy. By far, the largest portion of the wealth of the world lay outside of our borders. Franklin was simply expressing that which was obvious to most Americans, the wealthiest and most powerful nations on Earth were the great trading powers. If the U.S. were ever to live up to its potential, we had to plug in, we had to participate in the global economy. An island, even one of continental scale, could not expect to prosper by sealing its borders to the commercial opportunities that lie abroad.

But today, Mr. Speaker, all that has changed. Or has it?

Following World War II, the U.S. temporarily was an economic colossus such as the world had never seen. By some measures, we accounted for over 50 percent of world economic output. Gradually, however, the old balance was restored. Europe and East Asia were rebuilt, international trade soared as the nightmare effects of the war and depression-causing tariff walls were swept away, economies prospered, and tens of millions were lifted from poverty. Today, 75 percent of the world economy is outside of our borders.

Some would suggest, even after the experience of the last 5 decades, that all economic growth abroad comes at our expense. They seem to think this is a zero sum game. They seem to think that there is a finite amount of money in the world and that for someone to win, someone else must lose.

I categorically reject that argument. In the complex web of international trade, other nations are not simply competitors, although that is certainly an important component of our relationship. They are also our customers. They are our suppliers. And, more than occasionally, they are our partners in joint ventures. We depend on them and they depend on us. Or can they?

For 6 years now, the President of the United States, the leader of the free world and representative of the largest single economy on the planet, has lacked the authority to negotiate trade agreements, agreements that could pry open foreign markets, reduce and even eliminate unfair trading practices and create and preserve more jobs here at home. All of this is beyond the reach of the President of the United States.

How did we get into this mess? How did we reach a situation where our government lacks the same ability to protect and advance our interests that even the smallest international player takes for granted?

While I supported many of the trade policies of the last administration, particularly their efforts to preserve our antidumping and countervailing duty laws, the sad fact is that they forfeited America's leadership role by simple default. None of this would matter if the rest of the world were standing still, but the rest of humanity is impatient for economic progress.

All around us, our trading partners, tired of U.S. excuses and delays, are joining and forming new trade alliances without us. Europe is forming new trade pacts all across Latin America, South America and North Africa. The nations of East Asia are actively working to form a new regional combine. America is not even a party to these discussions. It is time to break through the either/or, dead-end fast track debate and move beyond the current stalemate to allow for full consideration of the legitimate issues that confront us in trade negotiating authority.

To restore the President's ability to advance our interests, I have introduced H.R. 1446, the Standard Trade Negotiating Authority Act, as a new approach to trade promotion authority. Over the course of the next several weeks, I will describe in greater detail the most important sections of this bill. But today I would like to outline some of its basic provisions for the House.

My bill provides ongoing negotiating authority for the President but differs from fast track by requiring preauthorization from the Congress for a specific country for a specific negotiation before the President enters into

negotiations. Legitimate concerns regarding environmental and labor standards are addressed during the preauthorization process through the creation of a new commission which will draft specific recommendations to be included in the negotiation goals. This ensures that blue and green concerns are considered, where appropriate, as part of a trade negotiation. When negotiations are complete, the President will submit the agreement along with a plan for implementation and enforcement to Congress for final approval. He must also outline any costs that accompany the plan.

This bill is an attempt to demystify the stale debate surrounding trade agreements, open the process to greater public and congressional scrutiny, making it more transparent, provide for a way to address real blue and green concerns and restore the U.S. to its leadership role on the international stage.

A few weeks ago, the President submitted his trade proposal to Congress. In my view, he correctly outlined his goals to expand our export markets while leaving Congress with a great deal of discretion for determining the best way to proceed. My legislation answers this challenge by creating a framework that provides for appropriate oversight of trade agreements before, during and after their completion.

I urge my colleagues to set aside partisan rancor, set aside traditional ideological classifications and consider this bill carefully. I would welcome their efforts to join with me to build a bipartisan coalition to take a new approach to trade in America.

#### YOU'RE A GOOD MAN, CHARLES SCHULZ

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. KENNEDY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. KENNEDY of Minnesota. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to rise today to honor a Minnesotan whose life work has been enjoyed by children, both young and old, for decades, cartoonist Charles Schulz. Schulz is best known for creating the most successful comic strip ever, the lovable Peanuts comic strip. Since Peanuts was first published in October of 1950, literally millions of people all over the world have been entertained by Schulz. I myself have fond childhood memories of reading about the adventures of Charlie Brown, Lucy, Snoopy, Linus, Pigpen and the whole Peanuts gang.

I would like to thank Charles Schulz for his contributions to society and the joy and the laughter that he has brought to us all. Schulz is being honored here today at a ceremony in the Capitol Rotunda where he will be posthumously presented with a gold medal on behalf of Congress.

As a tribute, I would like to say, "You're a good man, Charles Schulz."

#### THE PRESIDENT'S TAX CUT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2001, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. CULBERSON) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the majority leader.

Mr. CULBERSON. Mr. Speaker, as a new Member of Congress representing the west side of Houston, Texas following in the footsteps of Bill Archer, the former chairman of the Committee on Ways and Means, I rise today to remind the Nation, the Congress, to go through some of the details of a remarkable achievement that President Bush, our former Governor of Texas, achieved today in signing a \$1.35 trillion tax cut, fulfilling the keystone of President Bush's campaign pledge to the Nation that he would return to American taxpayers a portion of that tax surplus that they have paid into the U.S. Treasury in excess of the needs of the Federal Government.

Because first and foremost it is a tax surplus, the money that the American people have earned and pay into the Federal Treasury does not belong to the United States Government, it belongs first to the American taxpayer. I took great pride in sitting alongside Chairman Archer today at the ceremony at which President Bush signed that \$1.35 trillion tax cut into law.

First, Mr. Speaker, I think it is important for the listening audience, those in the gallery here today as well as those in the listening audience there watching C-Span today to put the tax cut, the Bush tax cut, into perspective. In today's dollars, President Ronald Reagan's tax cut of 1981 would be equivalent to \$5.5 trillion, that 1981 tax cut placed into today's equivalent dollars in 2001. By comparison, of course, President Bush's tax cut was only \$1.35 trillion. In fact, the Bush tax cut that was signed into law today was, as a percentage of government revenue, even smaller than the tax cut proposed by President Kennedy in 1963.

□ 1430

In fact, another way to look at it would be that the Bush tax cut, which was signed into law today, will reduce government revenues by less than 5 percent versus current law over the next 10 years, or less than a nickel for every dollar collected by the Federal Government. So the tax cut, which took effect today, which those of us who are fiscal conservatives would like to have seen be larger, which President Bush would have like to have seen be larger, but as a result of compromise and working its way through the legislative process, was finally determined to be a \$1.35 trillion tax cut, that tax cut will only be essentially a nickel out of every dollar collected by the Federal Government.

Even after this tax cut, Mr. Speaker, the tax surplus will be large enough to protect 100 percent of the Social Security and Medicare trust funds. The tax surplus after the tax cut will be large