

1885, on which the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 336, nays 43, not voting 53, as follows:

[Roll No. 127]
YEAS—336

Ackerman	Engel	Lewis (CA)
Akin	English	Lewis (KY)
Allen	Eshoo	Linder
Andrews	Etheridge	Lipinski
Armey	Evans	Lofgren
Baca	Farr	Lowey
Baird	Fattah	Lucas (KY)
Baldacci	Ferguson	Lucas (OK)
Baldwin	Filner	Luther
Barcia	Flake	Maloney (CT)
Barrett	Fletcher	Maloney (NY)
Barton	Foley	Manzullo
Bass	Ford	Markey
Becerra	Frank	Mascara
Bentsen	Frelinghuysen	Matheson
Berman	Frost	Matsui
Berry	Galleghy	McCarthy (MO)
Biggert	Gekas	McCarthy (NY)
Billirakis	Gephardt	McCollum
Bishop	Gibbons	McCreery
Blagojevich	Gilchrest	McDermott
Blunt	Gillmor	McGovern
Boehlert	Gilman	McHugh
Boehner	Gonzalez	McInnis
Bonilla	Goss	McIntyre
Bonior	Graham	McKeon
Bono	Granger	McKinney
Borski	Green (TX)	McNulty
Boswell	Green (WI)	Meehan
Boucher	Greenwood	Meek (FL)
Boyd	Grucci	Meeks (NY)
Brady (PA)	Gutierrez	Menendez
Brady (TX)	Hall (OH)	Millender-
Brown (FL)	Hall (TX)	McDonald
Brown (OH)	Harman	Miller (FL)
Brown (SC)	Hastings (FL)	Miller, Gary
Bryant	Hastings (WA)	Miller, George
Burr	Hayworth	Mink
Buyer	Hilliard	Moore
Callahan	Hinojosa	Moran (KS)
Calvert	Hoeffel	Moran (VA)
Camp	Hoekstra	Morella
Cannon	Holden	Murtha
Cantor	Holt	Myrick
Capito	Honda	Nadler
Capps	Hooley	Napolitano
Capuano	Horn	Northup
Cardin	Houghton	Nussle
Carson (IN)	Hoyer	Oberstar
Carson (OK)	Hutchinson	Obey
Castle	Hyde	Olver
Chabot	Inslee	Ortiz
Clayton	Isakson	Osborne
Clement	Israel	Ose
Clyburn	Issa	Otter
Collins	Istook	Oxley
Condit	Jackson (IL)	Pallone
Conyers	Jackson-Lee	Pastor
Cooksey	(TX)	Paul
Costello	Jefferson	Payne
Cramer	Jenkins	Pelosi
Crane	John	Pence
Crenshaw	Johnson (CT)	Peterson (MN)
Crowley	Johnson (IL)	Petri
Cummings	Johnson, E. B.	Pickering
Cunningham	Jones (OH)	Pitts
Davis (CA)	Kanjorski	Platts
Davis (FL)	Kaptur	Pombo
Davis (IL)	Keller	Pomeroy
Davis, Jo Ann	Kennedy (MN)	Portman
Davis, Tom	Kennedy (RI)	Price (NC)
DeFazio	Kildee	Pryce (OH)
DeGette	Kilpatrick	Quinn
Delahunt	Kind (WI)	Radanovich
DeLauro	King (NY)	Ramstad
DeLay	Kirk	Rangel
DeMint	Kleczka	Regula
Deutsch	Knollenberg	Rehberg
Diaz-Balart	Kolbe	Reyes
Dicks	Kucinich	Reynolds
Dingell	LaFalce	Rivers
Doggett	LaHood	Rodriguez
Dooley	Lampson	Roemer
Doolittle	Langevin	Rogers (MI)
Doyle	Larsen (WA)	Ros-Lehtinen
Dreier	Larson (CT)	Ross
Dunn	Latham	Rothman
Edwards	LaTourrette	Roybal-Allard
Ehlers	Leach	Rush
Ehrlich	Lee	Ryan (WI)

Ryun (KS)	Smith (NJ)
Sabo	Smith (TX)
Sandin	Smith (WA)
Sawyer	Snyder
Schakowsky	Solis
Schiff	Souder
Schrock	Spratt
Scott	Stark
Sensenbrenner	Stenholm
Serrano	Stupak
Shadegg	Sununu
Shaw	Tanner
Shays	Tauscher
Sherman	Tauzin
Sherwood	Terry
Shimkus	Thomas
Shows	Thompson (CA)
Shuster	Thompson (MS)
Simmons	Thornberry
Skeen	Thurman
Skelton	Tiahrt
Slaughter	Tierney
Smith (MI)	Trafficant

Turner	Udall (CO)
Udall (NM)	Upton
Velazquez	Vitter
Walden	Walsh
Watkins	Watt (NC)
Weldon (PA)	Weller
Wexler	Whitfield
Wicker	Wilson
Wolf	Woolsey
Wynn	Young (AK)
Young (FL)	

NAYS—43

Aderholt	Goodlatte
Bachus	Graves
Baker	Gutknecht
Balleger	Hayes
Bartlett	Hefley
Bereuter	Herger
Burton	Hunter
Chambliss	Johnson, Sam
Coble	Jones (NC)
Combest	Kerns
Culberson	LoBiondo
Deal	Mica
Duncan	Nethercutt
Everett	Norwood
Goode	Putnam

Rohrabacher
Roukema
Royce
Saxton
Schaffer
Sessions
Spence
Stearns
Stump
Tancredo
Taylor (MS)
Visclosky
Weldon (FL)

NOT VOTING—53

Abercrombie	Hostettler	Rogers (KY)
Barr	Hulshof	Sanchez
Berkley	Kelly	Sanders
Blumenauer	Kingston	Scarborough
Clay	Lantos	Simpson
Cox	Largent	Strickland
Coyne	Levin	Sweeney
Cubin	Lewis (GA)	Taylor (NC)
Emerson	Moakley	Thune
Fossella	Mollohan	Tiberi
Ganske	Neal	Toomey
Gordon	Ney	Towns
Hansen	Owens	Wamp
Hart	Pascrell	Waters
Hill	Peterson (PA)	Watts (OK)
Hilleary	Phelps	Waxman
Hinchey	Rahall	Weiner
Hobson	Riley	

□ 1842

Ms. SCHAKOWSKY and Mrs. JONES of Ohio changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. THUNE. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall Nos. 126 and 127, I was detained due to flight problems. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea" on both.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. SANCHEZ. Mr. Speaker, during rollcall votes numbered 126 and 127, I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea" on both.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Mr. Lundregan, one of its clerks, an-

nounced that the Senate has passed with amendment in which the concurrence of the House is requested, a bill of the House of the following title:

H.R. 1696. An act to expedite the construction of the World War II memorial in the District of Columbia.

The message also announced that pursuant to Public Law 106-286, the Chair, on behalf of the President of the Senate, and after consultation with the Majority Leader, appoints the following Members to serve on the Congressional-Executive Commission on the People's Republic of China—

- the Senator from New Hampshire (Mr. SMITH);
- the Senator from Kansas (Mr. BROWNBACK);
- the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. HUTCHINSON);
- the Senator from Oregon (Mr. SMITH);
- and
- the Senator from Nebraska (Mr. HAGEL), Chairman.

The message also announced that pursuant to Public Law 102-246, the Chair, on behalf of the Majority Leader, in consultation with the Democratic Leader, appoints Leo Hindery, Jr., of California, to the Library of Congress Trust Fund Board, vice Adele Hall, of Kansas.

The message also announced that pursuant to sections 276d-276g of title 22, United States Code, as amended, the Chair, on behalf of the Vice President, appoints the following Senators as members of the Senate Delegation to the Canada-United States Interparliamentary Group during the First Session of the One Hundred Seventh Congress, to be held in Canada, May 17-21, 2001:

The Senator from South Carolina (Mr. HOLLINGS).

The Senator from Vermont (Mr. LEAHY).

The Senator from Maryland (Mr. SARBANES).

The Senator from Hawaii (Mr. AKAKA).

The message also announced that pursuant to sections 276d-276g of title 22, United States Code, as amended, the Chair, on behalf of the Vice President, appoints the following Senators as members of the Senate Delegation to the Canada-United States Interparliamentary Group during the First Session of the One Hundred Seventh Congress, to be held in Canada, May 17-21, 2001:

The Senator from Iowa (Mr. GRASSLEY).

The Senator from Ohio (Mr. VOINOVICH).

The message also announced that in accordance with sections 1928a-1928d of title 22, United States Code, as amended, the Chair, on behalf of the Vice President, appoints the following Senators as members of the Senate Delegation to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Parliamentary Assembly during the First Session of the One Hundred Seventh Congress, to be held in Vilnius, Lithuania, May 27-31, 2001—

the Senator from Ohio (Mr. VOINOVICH);
the Senator from Maryland (Mr. SARBANES);
the Senator from Maryland (Ms. MIKULSKI); and
the Senator from Illinois (Mr. DURBIN),

□ 1845

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 73

Mr. FLAKE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that my name be removed as a cosponsor of House Concurrent Resolution 73.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. ISAKSON). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Arizona?

There was no objection.

U.S. TRADE AND INVESTMENT POLICY TOWARD SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AFRICAN GROWTH AND OPPORTUNITY ACT—MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 107-73)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection, referred to the Committee on Ways and Means and ordered to be printed:

To the Congress of the United States:

As required by section 106 of title I of the Trade and Development Act of 2000 (Public Law 106-200), I transmit herewith the 2001 Comprehensive Report of the President on U.S. Trade and Investment Policy toward Sub-Saharan Africa and Implementation of the African Growth and Opportunity Act.

GEORGE W. BUSH,
THE WHITE HOUSE, May 18, 2001.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2001, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from the District of Columbia (Ms. NORTON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. NORTON addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

A BRIEF DISCUSSION OF PART OF THE PRESIDENT'S PROPOSED NATIONAL ENERGY POLICY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFAZIO) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, I come to the floor this evening for a brief discussion of a part of the President's proposed national energy policy, the document of May, 2001.

This goes to the issue of electricity and electricity supply. If we look in Appendix I, way in the back of the report here under "Summary of Recommendations," there are a couple of things which I think Members of the House and members of the public should pay attention to.

At the top of this unnumbered page, in Appendix I it says, "The NEPD Group recommends the President direct the Secretary of Energy to propose comprehensive electricity legislation that promotes competition, protects consumers, enhances reliability, promotes renewable energy, improves efficiency, and repeals," there is the key part, "the Public Utility Holding Company Act and reforms the Public Utility Regulatory Policy Act."

What does that mean? That means national deregulation. Now, of course there is a little problem in proposing national deregulation. We have the California model, where this year the same amount of electricity will be sold as 2 years ago. Two years ago, that electricity sold for \$7 billion. This year that same amount of electricity, despite the myths about huge increases in the demand and all that, the same electricity as 2 years ago will sell for \$70 billion, a 1,000 percent increase in the price in 2 years.

That money has to be going somewhere, and it is. A good deal of it is flowing to a number of large energy companies based in Houston, Texas. They are saying this is such a successful model. The lights were on in parts of California for part of the day yesterday, and most people still can afford to pay their energy bills, although they are about to get a retroactive 47 percent-plus rate increase and tiered rates, which will penalize anybody with an all-electric home.

The President, under the guise of the summary buried in the back of this report, wants to take that across the Nation. People will say, that is not fair. The California plan was poorly written. Look at some of the other great models of deregulation. Let us look at some of the other great models of deregulation.

We have Montana, right near my State. Montana, until 2 years ago, had the sixth cheapest electricity in the United States of America. They were producing 150 percent, 1½ times their peak demand, on their own hydro power; affordable, cheap, reliable. But what happened? They deregulated. Montana Power sold all of its generation resources to PP&L, Pennsylvania Power & Light, who now controls the generation in Montana.

Pennsylvania Power & Light finds they can sell Montana's electricity more lucratively elsewhere, and they have lifted the cap on industrial customers, so industry after industry in Montana is closing. They are laying

people off. They are saying they cannot afford the huge increase in electric rates.

Luckily for residential consumers, their prices are capped for another year. But a year from today, it will hit them, too. They will say, Montana did not work out too well, California did not work out too well, but look at the deregulation in Pennsylvania. Look how well it is working.

First off, dereg is supposed to give us choice. I have yet to have a consumer come up to me and say, Congressman, I want to choose my energy company. I am tired of this company that just delivers the electricity day in, day out, reliably at a low price. I would like to choose, to gamble. I would like to see what would happen. Nobody, nobody wants that except a few big energy companies that are getting filthy rich off this scheme.

So they gave choice to Pennsylvanians, and very few of them chose it. Now, even though they had rate caps, and that is why people say it is a success, rates did not go up; yes, if we have capped rates. What happens when the caps go away? The same thing that has happened in California, the same thing that is happening in Montana: huge increases in price.

This is nothing but a scheme to extract more money from tens of millions of Americans and small businesses and big businesses across this country, and move that money to a few big energy companies.

So I would hope that this Congress, as it has in the last two Congresses when President Clinton proposed national energy, as they want to call it now, restructuring, because deregulation has become a dirty word, we cannot use that. It is like around here we do not talk about the estate tax, but we call it the death tax. Now they call deregulation restructuring, as does this report.

It is a scam on the American public. Let us not have it perpetrated under the guise of this report.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Hawaii (Mrs. MINK) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mrs. MINK of Hawaii addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

REMARKS OF THE VICE PRESIDENT CONCERNING THE CALIFORNIA ENERGY CRISIS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Mr. SHERMAN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. SHERMAN. Mr. Speaker, this weekend I was disappointed by the comments of the Vice President in talking about the California energy crisis.

Vice President CHENEY put forward the theory that California made a mistake with its deregulation, and therefore, California should suffer without