

fought in Desert Storm and the families who supported and prayed for them back at home. Families much like the Hart's from my district, who went without a father for almost two years. Steve Hart was not designated to fight in the Gulf Crisis, but rather volunteered to go overseas to protect American values and beliefs. Upon his return, he was welcomed back with a hero's reception as were all of our deserving soldiers. Perhaps the greatest reward was given to him recently, when his son wrote a tribute to him and his colleagues. I would like to submit that tribute, written by Steve's son David. I think it speaks for itself.

#### THE PRIDE OF AN ARMY SON

As a young adult blessed with the opportunity to have been born and raised in the United States of America, I feel it is essential for every American citizen to reflect on the fact that the many freedoms, which we enjoy, were bought with a price.

The Declaration of independence issued by our forefathers reflected centuries of struggle for freedom from England. From the battlefields at Lexington, Concord, and Yorktown, came our Constitution of the United States and a form of government that provides Americans freedom, opportunity, and justice under the law.

However, neither the victory at Yorktown nor the Constitution would have come about without the perseverance, dedication, and ingenuity of the American soldier.

Millions of Americans have put on this nation's uniform in war and in peace since those brave early Americans who fought for our freedom in the Revolutionary War. While our independence was won more than 220 years ago, it has been secured by those who have stood sentry over those ideals since.

It gives me great pride to acknowledge the fact that my Father is one of the many members of the United States Army who protect the way of life that sets our nation apart from the rest. One incident in particular epitomizes the privilege I celebrate to have been born into the military community.

My mind is drawn to 1990 and 1991 when my family (my Mother, brother and I) was separated for seven months due to my Father's deployment to the Persian Gulf for Operations Desert Shield and Storm. I recall not having my Father around to take me Trick-or-Treating during Halloween. I remember how solemn the normally joyous Thanksgiving and Christmas holidays were in 1990 because our family unit was disrupted. And my thoughts are brought back to how cavalier my friends were about the pending war with Iraq, with seemingly little regard for the death and destruction that accompanies war.

I am proud of my Dad, for he volunteered to go to the Persian Gulf. His section was not scheduled to deploy. Dad's job was supposed to stay at Fort Steward, Georgia and support the soldiers from behind the front lines. I remember him telling me that he "had to go." He likened the call to duty like being on the sports team and not getting playing time. He said he could not live with himself knowing that his friends and comrades were going to fight a war without him. Dad said, "there's plenty of time to accomplish things in civilian life; right now, my country needs me."

I remember how much I worried about my Dad being wounded or killed on the battlefield. I would always take refuge in the text of his many letters and his words during the few phone calls he was able to make. He told "me" to be brave, that everything would be all right and he would be home soon.

As the deployment wore on, my friends, as did much of America, experienced a renewed

sense of patriotism. During the height of the Gulf War, many in my neighborhood would show their support for the soldiers of Fort Steward and Hunter Army Airfield, and the entire country, by displaying flags. I saw flags on people's homes, on kids' lunch boxes, on neckties, and on marquees.

When our soldiers came home, there were marching bands, colorful parades and an admiring public. The people of Coastal Georgia and the nation lavished heartfelt thanks upon its returning soldiers, both for their victory and their sacrifice.

Although most of the men and women from Fort Stewart did return safe-and-sound, many returned severely wounded or with emotional scars. Some did not return at all.

As our nation and its democratic ideals and institutions have evolved since colonial times, so, too, has our flag's message of freedom, equality, justice, and hope evolved to embrace all who choose the American way of life.

Our members of the Armed Forces know the loneliness of separation from family and friends, and the fear of dying in a foreign land, alone, far from home, away from their families. In serving America, they sweat, they bled, and they agonized. They have served for their devotion to duty and their love of this country and its ideals.

This is the sacrifice paid by the military and their families to maintain the way of life enjoyed by every United States citizen. The next time you take for granted your freedom of speech, your civil rights, your academic freedom, religious freedom, and the freedom of the press, remember, those freedoms were bought with a price.

#### DRAFT LAW ON RELIGION THREATENS FREEDOMS IN KAZAKHSTAN

#### HON. JOSEPH R. PITTS

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 15, 2001

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, I rise to voice concern about attempts underway in Kazakhstan to limit freedom of religion. Currently, several drafts of amendments to that country's 1992 law on religion are under consideration. In the view of the Keston News Service, one of the world's most respected organizations on religious liberty, the passage and implementation of these amendments would move Kazakhstan into the ranks of former Soviet republics with the "harshes climate for religious freedom."

Draft amendments to the religion law have surfaced in October 2000, as well as in January and February of this year. Oddly, they lack any indication of origin, which allows government officials to decline to comment on them. It seems clear, however, that the drafts in January and February did not include some of the most onerous and egregious earlier provisions, perhaps in response to criticism. Nevertheless, what remains is more than enough to evoke serious concern.

For example, Amendment 5 of the January and February drafts prohibits "the activity of religious sects in the Republic of Kazakhstan." Amendment 16 bans "the preparation, preservation and distribution of literature, cine-photo and video-products and other materials containing ideas of religious extremism and reactionary fundamentalism." Amendment 11 of the February version introduces the provision that the charter of all religious organizations "is subject to registration."

Furthermore, Amendment 6 of the February draft would permit citizens of Kazakhstan, "foreign citizens and persons without citizenship" to conduct missionary activity in Kazakhstan "only with the permission of the competent state organ." The drafts also introduce harsh penalties for conducting missionary activity without permission. January's version stipulates fines ranging between two and five month's wages, or up to one year corrective labor, or up to two months in jail. The February draft strengthens these draconian provisions: those convicted could be sentenced to two years of corrective labor, up to six months arrest, or deprivation of freedom for up to one year.

Amendment 10 of the February draft would give the state enormous power over religious practice by the people of Kazakhstan—the activity of foreign religious organizations on the territory of Kazakhstan, "as well as the appointment of leaders of religious organizations in the Republic by foreign religious centers must take place with the agreement of the corresponding state organs." Moreover, Amendment 11 requires Islamic religious groups to "present a document confirming their affiliation with the Spiritual Directorate of Muslims of Kazakhstan."

To quote Keston News Service, "Any requirement that registration be made compulsory would violate Kazakhstan's international human rights commitments, as would a ban on missionary activity and a requirement for state involvement in the selection of leaders for any religious group."

Because these drafts have been "unofficial," even local representatives of the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE) in Almaty have been unable to obtain any official texts. Nevertheless, on March 6, the head of OSCE center, Herbert Salber, communicated his concerns to the chairman of Kazakhstan's Senate (the upper chamber) of parliament. Mr. Salber described the drafts as having "masses of shortcomings" and running "counter to international legal norms."

Mr. Speaker, if these draft amendments to the religion law are passed, the effect could be to make only Islam and Russian Orthodoxy the permitted religions in Kazakhstan. Other faiths and religious organizations would be severely restricted if not actually outlawed.

It appears that attempts are being made to pass this legislation on March 16, 2001 without even a public reading. Mr. Speaker, I hope the Bush administration will join me in conveying to the leaders of Kazakhstan that we are deeply concerned by this initiative to turn the clock back and to limit the rights of religious believers in Kazakhstan.

#### TRIBUTE TO MR. DONALD G. CARLSON

#### HON. JOHN CULBERSON

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 15, 2001

Mr. CULBERSON. Mr. Speaker, our greatest asset as individuals is our good name, and few people in the United States Congress have earned as good a name as Donald G. Carlson. Today marks a very important occasion in the history of this great institution because this is the final day of Don Carlson's

thirty four years of public service to the United States House of Representatives.

Don Carlson's work as Chief of Staff for Congressman Bill Archer and for me has established a standard of excellence and integrity and dedication that we should all aspire to maintain. Since 1970, every challenge or problem encountered by the people of Congressional District Seven or their Congressman has been answered by Don Carlson. He has labored tirelessly and quietly to improve the lives of the people of our district and to strengthen the accountability and integrity of the Congress, and he has always worked to achieve these noble goals without any thought of thanks or recognition for himself. His service to his country and to this institution truly exemplify the noble ideal of selfless public service.

On behalf of Congressman Archer and all of the members of the Texas Congressional Delegation and the people of Congressional District Seven, I express here today in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD our profound and perpetual gratitude to Don Carlson for his unparalleled service to the United States Congress. His good works and his worthy example as a leader and role model will continue to influence the Congress for many years to come because he has touched so many lives here and inspired so many leaders here in so many ways. Don Carlson's good name is a priceless treasure here in the United States Capitol, and all of us who know him and love him will always be uplifted and inspired by the standard of service he established. We thank him from the bottom of our hearts for all he has done for this nation and this institution, and we wish him God Speed and good luck in his new endeavors alongside Chairman Archer.

#### TRIBUTE TO ANN HOLMES

### HON. SCOTT McINNIS

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, March 15, 2001*

Mr. McINNIS. Mr. Speaker, I would like to take a moment to recognize Ann Holmes of La Jara, Colorado for her service to the elderly in her community. For 21 years Ann has dedicated her time to make sure the residents of the Conejos County Long Term Care Unit were comfortable and receiving top care. That is why I would like the 107th Congress to take a moment and recognize Ann for her work.

Ann worked in the district for five and a half years and recently decided it was time to slow down. Her dedication and hard work won her excellent ratings from the State Surveys. And because of these ratings the Conejos County Long Term Care Unit was able to participate in the ResQuip Program, which offers money for specific projects that will enhance the lives of area residents. Ann always put patients first.

The funds that came from the ResQuip Program were used to build a gazebo. One of Ann's goals, which she achieved, was to purchase a lift to transfer residents in comfort.

Ann also formed the Ethics Committee for the Conejos County Hospital. All of her staff members and residents will miss her tremendously. "It has been a privilege to work under her direction. I will miss her both professionally and personally," Julia King-Smith said.

Mr. Speaker, as Ann moves on to new pursuits, I would like to thank her for her remarkable work. In my opinion, Ann will long be remembered as a servant in the medical field, and for giving so much time to make sure that the elderly are comfortable.

For these things, Ann deserves the thanks and praise of this body.

#### W. JOE TROGDON POST OFFICE BUILDING

SPEECH OF

### HON. BOB ETHERIDGE

OF NORTH CAROLINA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Wednesday, March 14, 2001*

Mr. ETHERIDGE. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 821, a bill to designate a facility of the United States Postal Service as the W. Joe Trogdon Post Office Building. This legislation, which was cosponsored by every Member of the North Carolina Delegation, is a worthy and appropriate tribute to one of North Carolina's finest mayors.

Joe Trogdon was born on November 19, 1932 in Asheboro, North Carolina and is a graduate of North Carolina State University in my Congressional District. We honor Mayor Trogdon today because of his unique bond with the city of Asheboro. He grew up in Asheboro, was educated in its city schools, and with the exception of his college years in Raleigh and a brief stint in United States Army, he chose to live his life in the town where he was born.

Mayor Trogdon began his career in public service as a member of the Asheboro Planning Board in 1964 and then was elected to the city council in 1973. After ten years on the council he was elected mayor, a position he would hold for the next 18 years. During his tenure as mayor, he served on the North Carolina League of Municipalities Board of Directors and as chairman of the Piedmont Triad Council of Governments.

Trogdon is more than a mayor or member of the city council. He is an exemplary small businessman and father. As President of S.E. Trogdon & Sons, Inc., Joe continues to run the business his family started in 1928. He married the late Anne Peoples in 1955. Together they raised four children in Asheboro, and their family has now expanded to include six grandchildren. He is also a member of the Asheboro Jaycees, Kiwanis, and Rotary Clubs.

Mr. Speaker, in this age of mobility and change it is refreshing to recognize those who give their entire lives to their community. Joe Trogdon was a fixture in his community and a citizen in the truest sense of the word. He cared deeply for Asheboro. It is his hometown, the place where he was raised and where he chose to raise his own family and he served it well.

It gives me great pleasure to pay this fitting tribute to a great North Carolinian by naming the Post Office in Asheboro after that town's favorite son, W. Joe Trogdon. I ask my colleagues to support H.R. 821.

#### INTRODUCTION OF THE MEDICAL PRIVACY PROTECTION RESOLUTION

### HON. RON PAUL

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, March 15, 2001*

Mr. PAUL. Mr. Speaker, I rise to introduce the Medical Privacy Protection Resolution, which uses the Congressional Review Act to repeal the so-called Medical Privacy regulation. Many things in Washington are misnamed, however, this regulation may be the most blatant case of false advertising I have come across in all my years in Congress. Rather than protect an individual right to medical privacy, these regulations empower government officials to determine how much medical privacy an individual "needs." This "one-size-fits-all" approach ignores the fact that different people may prefer different levels of privacy. Certain individuals may be willing to exchange a great deal of their personal medical information in order to obtain certain benefits, such as lower-priced care or having information targeted to their medical needs sent to them in a timely manner. Others may forgo those benefits in order to limit the number of people who have access to their medical history. Federal bureaucrats cannot possibly know, much less meet, the optimal level of privacy for each individual. In contrast, the free market allows individuals to obtain the level of privacy protection they desire.

The so-called "medical privacy" regulations not only reduce an individual's ability to determine who has access to their personal medical information, they actually threaten medical privacy and constitutionally-protected liberties. For example, these regulations allow law enforcement and other government officials access to a citizen's private medical record without having to obtain a search warrant.

Allowing government officials to access a private person's medical records without a warrant is a violation of the fourth amendment to the United States Constitution, which protects American citizens from warrantless searches by government officials. The requirement that law enforcement officials obtain a warrant from a judge before searching private documents is one of the fundamental protections against abuse of the government's power to seize an individual's private documents. While the fourth amendment has been interpreted to allow warrantless searches in emergency situations, it is hard to conceive of a situation where law enforcement officials would be unable to obtain a warrant before electronic medical records would be destroyed.

Mr. Speaker, these regulations also require health care providers to give medical records to the federal government for inclusion in a federal health care data system. Such a system would contain all citizens' personal health care information. History shows that when the government collects this type of personal information, the inevitable result is the abuse of citizens' privacy and liberty by unscrupulous government officials. The only fail-safe privacy protection is for the government not to collect and store this type of personal information.

In addition to law enforcement, these so-called "privacy protection" regulations create a privileged class of people with a federally-guaranteed right to see an individual's medical