

in which American readers imagined much of their own past.

Self-taught, Felix Octavius Carr Darley created an immense volume of work over a long career. Beginning as a staff artist with a Philadelphia publisher and then moving to Delaware in 1859, he illustrated on a wide variety of subjects. While in Delaware, Darley illustrated such famous literary works as Charles Dickens' "A Tale of Two Cities;" Nathaniel Hawthorne's "The Scarlet Letter;" Clement Clark Moore's "A Visit From Saint Nicholas;" Washington Irving's "The Legend of Sleepy Hollow," "Rip Van Winkle," and the five-volume "Life of George Washington;" and Henry Wadsworth Longfellow's "Evangeline." Later, in New York, his work was reproduced by numerous book publishers, Harpers Weekly, and other magazines.

So great was Darley's fame during his lifetime that many books were advertised as "Illustrated by Darley," as was the case with Clement Clark Moore's "A Visit From Saint Nicholas." Moore's name did not actually appear on the original cover, only Felix Octavius Carr Darley.

Darley was elected a member of the Academy of Design in 1852. Later he became a member of the Artist's fund Society; and, most recently Darley was inducted into the Society of Illustrators Hall of Fame in 2001. Presently, the Delaware home of Felix Octavius Carr Darley is listed on the National Historic Register and is maintained by members of the Darley Society.

It is for these reasons that we should take the steps necessary to honor the very first in a long line of great American illustrators, Felix Octavius Carr Darley by enacting legislation that will require the Postmaster General to issue a stamp commemorating his great achievements. There is no easier way to show our support for the arts, and for those persons, such as F.O.C. Darley, that have dedicated their lives to brushing just a bit of color into the imaginations of countless Americans. I urge my colleagues to cosponsor this legislation and recognize Darley's fine work and contributions to our American heritage.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. MIKE THOMPSON

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2001

Mr. THOMPSON of California. Mr. Speaker, on August 2, 2001, I missed three votes on HR 2563 due to a family obligation. If I were available, I would have voted "nay" on rollcall vote 330, "aye" on rollcall vote 311, and "nay" on rollcall vote 332.

IN RECOGNITION OF THE SISTER CITY PROJECT BETWEEN BLUE ASH, OHIO and ILMENAU, GERMANY

HON. ROB PORTMAN

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2001

Mr. PORTMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the outstanding Sister City relation-

ship between the City of Blue Ash, Ohio and Ilmenau, Germany.

The first Sister Cities began in 1956 at the behest of former President Eisenhower as a way to strengthen our nation's relations with the international community. The Sister Cities initiative proved to be a great success, and, to this day, it continues to be a success. Presently, more than 2,500 U.S. cities have forged Sister City relationships in over 130 foreign countries.

Blue Ash's relationship with Ilmenau, Germany began last year under Mayor Jim Sumner's direction. Mayor Sumner began this exchange with three primary goals in mind: fostering economic development; nurturing exchange programs between the University of Cincinnati's Raymond Walters College and the Technical University of Ilmenau, and between Sycamore Community Schools and their counterparts in Ilmenau; and to forge other significant social and cultural exchanges that will come from the emerging relationship.

A delegation from Blue Ash first visited Ilmenau in February 2000. In February 2001, at the request of Ilmenau officials, a small delegation of Blue Ash's public safety officials traveled there to share ideas and methods related to police and fire department issues and training. Another delegation of Sycamore High School students also enjoyed their first visit to Ilmenau this year. Recently, in August, a delegation of police and fire officials from Ilmenau visited Blue Ash. And, next month, from October 1 to October 7, Mayor Sumner will lead another delegation to Ilmenau to participate in the Oktoberfest celebration, among other activities.

Mr. Speaker, the Blue Ash-Ilmenau Sister City project has been a great economic, cultural and educational success. All of us in the Cincinnati area wish Mayor Sumner and his delegation the very best on their upcoming visit, and we hope that the relationship between Blue Ash and Ilmenau will continue to prosper.

PAYING TRIBUTE TO JAMES BERNARD HERALD

HON. MIKE ROGERS

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2001

Mr. ROGERS of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate James Bernard Herald as he celebrates his 90th birthday later this month. James Bernard Herald began his military career in January, 1941 at Fort Custer in Battle Creek, Michigan where he underwent basic training. Following training, Herald's unit went on to become a part of the Army's 5th Division, serving under the command of the then Brigadier General Omar Bradley. Herald was discharged from the Army in August, 1941 as a result of the "under 28 years old" law being put into effect. However, this spell away from the Army was only to be for a short time as, following events in Pearl Harbor, he was recalled on December 10, 1941 to his old outfit. In March, 1942 he was shipped as part of the 5th division to Iceland in order to maintain the operation of supplying allies with goods and equipment. 1943 was spent by Herald and the 5th Division traveling to England and, when English soil became too

crowded with troops and tanks, moving onto a base near Belfast, Northern Ireland in September, 1943.

By D-Day, 1944 James B. Herald was a Sergeant and Section Chief of a 155 millimeter Howitzer Cannon and a contingent of 14 men, which landed on Omaha Beach. Sergeant Herald and his men pushed forward to Metz, a fortress city in northeast France where his courage helped him to endure the violent combat, and shrapnel wounds both to the head and the hip. Once Herald had been treated for his wounds he was cited with the "Purple Heart" and sent straight back into action. He went on to be awarded with a "Bronze Star", the medal awarded for "bravery beyond the call of duty" for his heroic actions in Czechoslovakia in May, 1945.

Throughout his career in the Army, Herald was referred to as an exceptional "American Soldier". He marched through Germany, Austria, Italy, France and Belgium, and saw London, Paris, the Rhine, Brenner Pass and the Alps at their worst. He was demobilized in Indian Town Gap, Pennsylvania in August 1945, the year and month that saw the Japanese surrender. Following his demobilization he has continued to contribute greatly to society. He became the Commander of the Walter T. Roach American Legion Post in Hubbardston, which he and Elmer Cunningham kept going out of their own generosity and hard work. Herald held this post over thirty years ago and no one has since forgotten, and he now holds the distinction of oldest past commander. Further distinctions also include Herald's role as an Intelligence Agent in Europe (#1001), a member of the Knights of Columbus for fifty years, a member of the Moose for thirty years and best of all, a member of the Heralds for almost seventy years.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join with me in congratulating James Bernard Herald as he celebrates his 90th birthday later this year. It is most appropriate at this time that his lifetime achievements and service to his country and community should be recognized and honored.

IN HONOR OF THE DEDICATED FIRE PERSONNEL OF DELAWARE

HON. MICHAEL N. CASTLE

OF DELAWARE

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 5, 2001

Mr. CASTLE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to twenty Delaware firefighters who bravely and unselfishly traveled to the State of Washington state to help combat the Wenatchee National Forest wildfires. The group was comprised of seven firefighters from the Delaware Department of Agriculture Forest Service and thirteen from various fire companies in Delaware.

Firefighters provide one of the most valuable services imaginable to this country and its people—that of saving lives and safeguarding our precious lands. With integrity, firefighters preserve the safety in the communities they serve. These brave men and women have demonstrated their community is not limited to the State of Delaware, but their commitment extends to the nation as a whole. Every year, firefighters are injured, and even die, in the service of their esteemed duty. Firefighting is one of the hardest jobs imaginable,

and it is frequently rewarded only by the satisfaction that they have made their communities safer.

Mr. Speaker, allow me to recognize here these men and women individually for their service and valor. The firefighters are Teri Guy of Camden; Todd Gsell of Chestertown, Maryland; Kevin Hauer and Mike Valenti of Dover; Kevin and Todd Schaffer of Downingtown, Pennsylvania; Mike Brown of Hartley; Andrew Mathe of Hockessin; Erich Burkentine of Lewes; Sam Sloan of Millsboro; Guy Cooper of Millville; Matt Dotterer of Milton; Glenn Gladders, Chris Gorzynski, Mike Puglisi and Steve Reeves of Newark; Josh McGrath and Mike Sethman of Smyrna, Franny Cole of Townsend and Nikki Waller of Wilmington.

It is often said that nothing is bigger than the heart of a volunteer. I think that is especially true for these dedicated men and women of Delaware who serve not only our state, but protect the nation as whole. For all their courage, their strength, their selflessness, and their dedication, I salute each and every one of them.

HUMAN CLONING PROHIBITION
ACT OF 2001

SPEECH OF

HON. SHEILA JACKSON-LEE

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, July 31, 2001

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to H.R. 2505, The Human Cloning Prohibition Act of 2001. I am absolutely opposed to any cloning that results in the creation of a human life and/or a pregnancy. That is why I support the Greenwood-Deutsch-Schiff-DeGette Amendment, legislation that prohibits such cloning but allows the opportunity for medical research.

As I have already stated, I believe that the science of cloning deserves serious consideration. As has been evidenced by the prior hearings and debate on this issue, the knowledge of the scientific community in this field is still in its infancy, particularly in the field of stem cell research. It is crucial that Congress carefully consider all options regarding this issue before it proceeds, particularly before we undertake to criminalize aspects of this practice. We must carefully balance society's need for lifesaving scientific research against the numerous moral, ethical, social and scientific issues that this issue raises. Yet what we face here today is legislation that threatens to stop this valuable research, in the face of evidence that we should permit this research to continue.

Those of us who believe in the Greenwood-Deutsch-Schiff-DeGette substitute are not proposing and are not proponents of human cloning. What we are proponents of is the Bush Administration's NIH report June 2001 entitled "Stem Cells: Scientific Progress and Future Research Directions." This report, as I will discuss further, acknowledges the importance of therapeutic cloning.

None of us want to ensure that human beings come out of the laboratory. In fact, I am very delighted to note that language in the legislation that I am supporting, the Greenwood-Deutsch-Schiff-DeGette legislation, specifically says that it is unlawful to use or at-

tempt to use human somatic cell nuclear transfer technology or the product of such technology to initiate a pregnancy to create a human being. But what we can do is save lives.

For the many people come into my office who are suffering from Parkinson's disease, Alzheimer's, neurological paralysis, diabetes, stroke, Lou Gehrig's disease, and cancer, or infertility the Weldon bill questions whether that science can continue. I believe it is important to support the substitute, and I would ask my colleagues to do so.

What we can and must accept as a useful and necessary practice is the use of the cloning technique to conduct embryonic stem cell research. This work shows promise in the effort to treat and even cure many devastating diseases and injuries, such as sickle cell anemia, spinal cord damage and Parkinson's disease through valuable stem cell research. This research also brings great hope to those who now languish for years or die waiting for a donor organ or tissue. Yet just as we are seeing the value of such research, H.R. 2505 would seek not only to stop this research, but also to criminalize it. We must pause for a moment to consider what conduct should be criminalized.

Those who support the Human Cloning Prohibition Act contend that it will have no negative impact on the field of stem cell research. However, the findings of the report that the National Institutes of Health released in June 2001 are to the contrary. This report states that only clonally derived embryonic stem cells truly hold the promise of generating replacement cells and tissues to treat and cure many devastating diseases. It is ironic at the same time that while the Weldon bill has been making its way through the House, the Administration's NIH is declaring that that the very research that the bill seeks to prohibit is of significant value to all of us.

An embryonic stem cell is derived from a group of cells called the inner cell mass, which is part of the early embryo called the blastocyst. Once removed from the blastocyst, the cells of the inner cell mass can be cultured into embryonic stem cells; this is known as somatic cell nuclear transfer. It is important to note that these cells are not themselves embryos. Evidence indicates that these cells do not behave in the laboratory as they would in the developing embryo.

The understanding of how pluripotent stem cells work has advanced dramatically just since 1998, when a scientist at the University of Wisconsin isolated stem cells from human embryos. Although some progress has been made in adult stem cell research, at this point there is no isolated population of adult stem cells that is capable of forming all the kinds of cells of the body. Adult stem cells are rare, difficult to identify, isolate and purify and do not replicate indefinitely in culture.

Conversely, pluripotent stem cells have the ability to develop into all the cells of the body. The only known sources of human pluripotent stem cells are those isolated and cultured from early human embryos and from certain fetal tissue. There is no evidence that adult stem cells are pluripotent.

Further, human pluripotent stem cells from embryos are by their nature clonally derived—that is, generated by the division of a single cell and genetically identical to that cell. Clonality is important for researchers for sev-

eral reasons. To fully understand and harness the ability of stem cells to generate replacement cells and tissues, the each identity of those cells' genetic capabilities and functional qualities must be known. Very few studies show that adult stem cells have these properties. Hence, now that we are on the cusp of even greater discoveries, we should not take an action that will cut off these valuable scientific developments that are giving new hope to millions of Americans. For example, it may be possible to treat many diseases, such as diabetes and Parkinson's, by transplanting human embryonic cells. To avoid immunological rejection of these cells "it has been suggested that . . . [a successful transplant] could be accomplished by using somatic cell nuclear transfer technology (so called therapeutic cloning), . . ." according to the NIH.

Hence, although I applaud the intent of H.R. 2505, I have serious concerns about it. H.R. 2505 would impose criminal penalties not only on those who attempt to clone for reproductive purposes, but also on those who engage in research cloning, such as stem cell and infertility research, to expand the boundaries of useful scientific knowledge. These penalties would extend to those who ship or receive product of human cloning. And these penalties are severe—imprisonment of up to ten years and a civil penalty of up to one million dollars, not to exceed more than two times the gross pecuniary gain of the violator. Many questions remain unanswered about stem cell research, and we must permit the inquiry to continue so that these answers can be found. In addition to research into treatments and cures for life threatening diseases, I am also particularly concerned about the possible effect on the treatment and prevention of infertility and research into new contraceptive technologies. We must not criminalize these inquiries.

H.R. 2505 would make permanent the moratorium on human cloning that the National Bioethics Advisory Commission recommended to President Clinton in 1997 in order to allow for more time to study the issue. Those who support the bill state that we must do so because we do not fully understand the ramifications of cloning and that allowing even cloning for embryonic stem cell research creates a slippery slope into reproductive cloning. I maintain that we must study what we do not know, not prohibit it. The very fact that there was disagreement among the witnesses who spoke before us in Judiciary Committee indicates that there is substantial need for further inquiry. We would not know progress if we were to criminalize every step that yielded some possible negative results along with the positive.

There are many legal uncertainties inherent in prohibiting cloning. First, we face the argument that reproductive cloning may be constitutionally protected by the right to privacy. We must also carefully consider whether we take a large step towards overturning *Roe v. Wade* when we legislatively protect embryos. We do not recognize embryos as full-fledged human beings with separate legal rights, and we should not seek to do so.

Instead, I again urge my colleagues to support the Greenwood-Deutsch-Schiff-DeGette substitute, a reasonable alternative to H.R. 2505. This legislation includes a ten year moratorium on cloning intended to create a human life, instead of permanently banning it. As I